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Corticostriatal projections originate from the entire cerebral cortex and provide the major source of glutamatergic inputs to the basal ganglia. Despite the importance of corticostriatal connections in sensorimotor learning and cognitive functions, plasticity forms at these synapses remain strongly debated. Using a corticostriatal slice preserving the connections between the somatosensory cortex and the target striatal cells, we report the induction of both non-Hebbian and Hebbian forms of long-term potentiation (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) on striatal output neurons (SONs). LTP and LTD can be induced selectively by different stimulation patterns (high-frequency trains vs low-frequency pulses) and were evoked with similar efficiency in non-Hebbian and Hebbian modes. Combination of LTP–LTD and LTD–LTP sequences revealed that bidirectional plasticity occurs at the same SONs and provides efficient homeostatic mechanisms leading to a resetting of corticostriatal synapses avoiding synaptic saturation. The effect of temporal relationship between cortical stimulation and SON activity was assessed using spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) protocols. An LTP was observed when an action potential was triggered in the striatal neuron before the cortical stimulus, and, conversely, an LTD was induced when the striatal neuron discharge was triggered after the cortical stimulation. Such STDP was reversed when compared with those described so far in other mammalian brain structures. This mechanism may be essential for the role of the striatum in learning of motor sequences in which sensory and motor events are associated in a precise time sequence.

Key words: basal ganglia; striatum; cortex; LTP; LTD; homeostasis; spike-timing-dependent plasticity

Introduction

The cerebral cortex and the basal ganglia constitute tightly interconnected structures involved in sensorimotor learning and cognitive functions (Graybiel, 1995). The striatum, the main input nucleus of basal ganglia, receives massive convergent glutamatergic inputs from the whole cortex. In turn, the striatum exerts a disinhibitory influence on thalamic nuclei by inhibiting the GABAergic neurons of the substantia nigra pars reticulata and of the internal segment of the globus pallidus (the two basal ganglia output nuclei). GABAergic striatal output neurons (SONs), which represent the main neuronal striatal population, act as detectors and integrators of distributed patterns of cortical activity (Graybiel et al., 1994; Wilson, 1995). SONs are silent at rest and need strong and highly time-correlated cortical inputs to discharge. This allows the striatum to detect relevant contextual information from the environment. Because SON discharges finally generate an activation of thalamocortical circuits, the identification of mechanisms regulating transmission at corticostriatal synapses is essential for a better understanding of basal ganglia function.

Activity-dependent changes in synaptic efficacy may contribute to the formation of precisely organized neural circuits and to learning and memory processes (Malenka and Bear, 2004). Although the striatum is regarded as a major site of memory formation for sensorimotor associations, the occurrence of different forms of plasticity at corticostriatal synapses is still under debate (Calabresi et al., 1996; Mahon et al., 2004).

From previous in vivo and in vitro studies, the inductions of long-term potential (LTP) and long-term depression (LTD) in the striatum were reported to be mutually exclusive and dependent on experimental conditions (Calabresi et al., 1996; Mahon et al., 2004). Moreover, LTD was found to be the preferential form of evoked plasticity. However, if LTP and LTD were indeed mutually exclusive, a resetting of transmission efficacy at these synapses would be impossible, a situation hardly consistent with striatal functions in sensorimotor learning. Therefore, it is essential to determine under which conditions of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity the different forms of plasticity occur at corticostriatal synapses.

In previous experiments in vitro, the stimulation of corticostriatal axons was applied in the corpus callosum or directly in the striatum using slices whose section plane did not strictly conform to the geometry of corticostriatal projections. To obtain specific activation of corticostriatal connections, we used horizontal slices preserving connections between pyramidal neurons of the...
somatosensory cortical area and their striatal target cells. In these conditions, a stimulation applied in the cortical layer V reliably elicited EPSCs in striatal neurons. We report here for the first time in the same experimental conditions the occurrence of both LTP and LTD at the same corticostriatal synapses using non-Hebbian and Hebbian stimulation protocols. By applying a combination of either LTP–LTD or LTD–LTP sequences, we show that these opposite forms of plasticity allow an efficient homeostasis of corticostriatal transmission. Finally, spike-timing-dependent plasticity (STDP) protocols were performed to determine the effect of temporal relationship between cortical stimulation and SON activity. Surprisingly, we observed reversed STDP at corticostriatal synapses when compared with those described so far in several mammalian brain structures (Dan and Poo, 2004).

Materials and Methods

In vivo Neurobiotin injection: anatomical analysis of corticostriatal projections. Corticostriatal neurons were extracellularly labeled with Neurobiotin in Sprague Dawley rats weighting 305–320 g (8 weeks old). All experiments were performed in accordance with local Ethical Committee and European Union guidelines (Directive 86/609/EEC). Briefly, anesthesia was induced by pentobarbital injection (60 mg/kg, i.p.; Ceva Santé Animale, Libourne, France) and maintained by ketamine local injections (15 mg/kg, i.m., repeated as needed; Merial, Lyon, France). Animals were fixed in a conventional stereotaxic head frame (Horsley Clark Apparatus; Unimécanique, Epinay-sur-Seine, France). Body temperature was maintained at 36.5°C. Unit recordings were performed with glass micropipettes (2.6 µm external diameter; 12 MΩ impedance) filled with a solution of 0.5 M NaCl containing 2.5% Neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and positioned in the somatosensory cortex (antero-posterior from the interaural line, 7.2 mm; lateral from the midline, 6.0 mm; depth, 2.8 mm from the cortical surface) according to the atlas of Paxinos and Watson (1986). Neurobiotin was ejected by passing positive current pulses of 2.6 µA (1 s on/1 s off) for 20 min. After an overnight survival, animals were deeply anesthetized with pentobarbital (130 mg/kg, i.p.) and intracardially perfused with 0.1 M PBS, pH 7.4 (500 ml) containing 4% paraformaldehyde and 0.1% M pyruvic acid. Slices were stored for 48 h in 30% phosphate-NaHCO3, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 2 CaCl2, 1 MgCl2, and 10% sucrose dissolved into the patch-clamp pipette solution, and cells were filled at 5 kHz and sampled at 10 kHz using the program Pulse-8.50 (HEKA Elektronik). Off-line analysis was performed using Igor (WaveMetrics, Lake Oswego, OR). Series resistance compensation was set to 75–80% in whole-cell configuration (13.7 ± 0.9 MΩ; n = 145). Liquid junction potential error was calculated (−13.6 mV) and corrected.

All chemicals were purchased by Sigma (St. Quentin, France) except 6-cyano-7-nitroquinoline-2,3-dione (CNQX) and 2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid (AP-5) (Tocris Cookson, Ellisville, MO). Biocytin filling and histochemistry. Biocytin (Sigma) at 5 mg/ml was dissolved into the patch-clamp pipette solution, and cells were filled during at least 20 min of recording (performed at 32°C). Subsequently, slices were fixed overnight in 2% paraformaldehyde at 4°C. Biocytin-filled cells were visualized using the avidin–biotin–horseradish peroxidase reaction (ABC Elite peroxidase kit; Vector Laboratories) according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Stimulation protocols. Electrical stimulations of the cerebral cortex were performed with a bipolar electrode (Phymep, Paris, France) placed in the layer V of the somatosensory cortex. Electrical stimulations were monophasic and at constant current (without detectable polarization of the electrode along time). There was no significant difference of current intensities of cortical stimulations between each stimulation protocol group. This indicates that there was no relationship between the stimulation intensity and the induced plasticities. Currents were adjusted to evoke striatal EPSCs ranging from 50 to 200 pA amplitudes. Repetitive control stimuli were applied at a frequency of 0.1 Hz, a frequency for which no short- and long-term changes in EPSCs amplitudes were induced (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Long-term plasticities were investigated by applying either high-frequency stimulation (HFS) (train of 1 s duration at 100 Hz repeated four times, separated by 10 s) or low-frequency stimulation (LFS) (600 stimuli at 1 Hz). HFS and LFS protocols were performed in a Hebbian mode [the depolarization of the postsynaptic element from its resting membrane potential (RMP) to 0 mV was coincident with the presynaptic stimulation] or in a non-Hebbian mode (the postsynaptic element being maintained at its RMP during the presynaptic stimulation). It should be noted that non-Hebbian and Hebbian terms referred strictly to the stimulation protocols and the corresponding induced-plasticities and did not designate underlying induction mechanisms. STDP experiments consisted in time shifting the presynaptic stimulation with a postsynaptic action potential (AP) evoked by application of suprathreshold depolarizing current pulse. Cortical stimulations and evoked APs in SONs were delivered 100 times at 1 Hz. Neurons were recorded for at least 1 h after stimulation protocol for long-term plasticities (HFS, LFS, and spike-timing protocols) and at least 2 h after the first stimulation protocol when LTP and LTD were combined. Input resistances and injected currents were monitored all along the experiments. Variations of these parameters ≥20% lead to the rejection of the experiment.

Data analysis. All results were expressed as mean ± SEM, and statistical significance was assessed using the Student’s t-test or the nonparametric Mann–Whitney U test when appropriate at the significance level (p) indicated. Neuronal input resistance was calculated from voltage responses obtained after injecting a hyperpolarizing current (−10 pA, 500 ms duration). EPSC mean amplitudes were the average of 25 evoked EPSCs for long-term plasticity experiments. Synaptic efficacy changes were classified as either LTP or LTD when the normalized EPSC amplitudes (mean EPSC amplitude after stimulation protocol/mean EPSC amplitude before stimulation protocol) were significantly different from the control baseline. Plasticity loci were determined by the mean variance analysis method (Faber and Korn, 1991; Clements and Silver, 2000). Briefly, EPSCs coefficients of variation (CVs) were calculated by the ratio of the SD and the mean EPSC amplitude. The plasticity loci were deduced from the relationship between the normalized CV−2 (CV−2 after induction of plasticity/CV−2 before control) and the normalized EPSC amplitudes (EPSC mean amplitude after induction of plasticity/control EPSC mean amplitude). EPSCs amplitude is proportional to nq, with n being the number of releasing sites, p the probability of release, and q the quantum size. It is admitted that n and p describe presynaptic events, and q is a
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Results

Corticostriatal projections mainly travel through long and curved pathways that are difficult to keep intact within a brain slice. Anatomical studies have indicated that connections from the somatosensory cortex (layer V) to the striatum are organized in an horizontal plane (Levesque and Parent, 1998). Accordingly, after in vivo Neurobiotin injections in layer V of this cortical area (n = 3 rats), axons of pyramidal cells were visualized as traversing straight across the corpus callosum up to the adjacent striatal area in horizontal slices (Fig. 1). Therefore, stimulations were made in cortical layer V on horizontal brain slices, and recordings were performed on morphologically and electrophysiologically identified SONs (n = 102) (Fig. 2A–C). All experiments were performed at 32°C without any pharmacological treatments or ionic modifications to respect the overall effects of local striatal microcircuits involved in corticostriatal transmission. This contrasts with most reported studies in vitro in which GABAergic transmission was blocked and/or ionic concentrations (Mg2+ and Ca2+) were modified.

Based on their morphological and electrophysiological properties, SONs were easily distinguished from the striatal interneurons (Kawaguchi et al., 1989; Venance et al., 2004). Morphologically, SONs displayed a medium-sized soma with highly spiny branched dendrites (Fig. 2B). Electrophysiologically, SONs (n = 102) displayed a very hyperpolarized RMP (−89.0 ± 0.7 mV), a low input resistance (251 ± 11 MΩ), a fast inward rectification, a long depolarizing ramp to spike threshold, a long delay to first spike (384 ± 6 ms), and, finally, a medium-range discharge frequency in response to depolarizing current pulses (12.2 ± 0.3 Hz for +50 pA injected current above AP threshold) (Fig. 2C).

Cortical stimulation evoked PSCs in SONs (Fig. 2D) with a success rate of 90% (n = 102). Once corticostriatal transmission occurred, no failure was observed, and PSC amplitude was stable along time (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www. jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Such reliable cortically evoked postsynaptic events (Fig. 2D) were glutamatergic because they were totally blocked by CNQX (20 μM) and AP-5 (50 μM) treatments (n = 3). Latency times and amplitudes of the cortically evoked PSCs displayed very narrow Gaussian distributions (Fig. 2E–H). When 71 SON corticostriatal transmissions were considered, narrow distributions of latency time (Fig. 2G) and latency SD (<1 ms) (Fig. 2H) centered around, respectively, 2.1 and 0.3 ms, denoted a monosynaptic corticostriatal transmission.

Bidirectional long-term plasticity

The occurrence of both LTP and LTD at corticostriatal synapses was investigated on SONs in slices superfused with a physiological extracellular solution without ionic modification or pharmacological treatment. We investigated first the EPSC amplitude stability during 70 min recordings by applying a 0.1 Hz stimulation (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). There was no significant variation of EPSC amplitudes along time (n = 5 experiments).

At rest, SONs are characterized by a very hyperpolarized RMP and are maintained mostly silent (subthreshold) by intrinsic membrane conductances that shunt uncorrelated cortical inputs (Calabresi et al., 1987; Nisenbaum and Wilson, 1995). Through this filtering process, SONs contribute to the selection of cortical signals (Wickens, 1993; Graybiel et al., 1994; Wilson, 1995). To discharge, SONs require strong and correlated cortical inputs. In the meantime, they can receive other cortical signals when they are already in a suprathreshold state. Therefore, by the use of non-Hebbian (1) and Hebbian (2) stimulation protocols, attempts were made to mimic these two situations of corticostriatal activities: (1) a situation in which depolarization of SONs by cortical afferences remains subthreshold; (2) a situation in which a discharge of convergent corticostriatal neurons leads to a suprathreshold depolarization of SONs.

Long-term potentiation

HFS (four trains of 1 s duration at 100 Hz separated by 10 s intervals) of the cerebral cortex were applied in both non-Hebbian (Fig. 3A) and Hebbian (Fig. 3D) modes. The non-Hebbian HFS protocol induced a reliable LTP with a success rate of 80% (n = 10) (see example in Fig. 3B). This non-Hebbian LTP displayed a large increase of EPSC amplitude, which ranged from 87 to 399% at 45 min (n = 10), with a mean value of 221 ± 33%...
The Hebbian HFS protocol also induced an LTP of the cortically evoked EPSCs with a success rate of 79% (n = 14) (see example in Fig. 3E). The induced changes of EPSC amplitude ranged from 76 to 598% at 45 min (n = 14), with a mean value of 249 ± 46% (Fig. 3F). This value was significantly (p < 0.01) different from the baseline recorded in control. Mean variance analysis suggested that the Hebbian LTP was mainly underlain by a presynaptic mechanism (Fig. 3C).

**Long-term depression**

Changes in synaptic efficacy induced by cortical LFS (600 stimulations delivered at 1 Hz) were also investigated with either non-Hebbian (Fig. 4A) or Hebbian (Fig. 4D) stimulation protocols. Non-Hebbian LFS reliably induced an LTD of the cortically evoked EPSCs with a success rate of 80% (n = 10) (see example in Fig. 4B). The non-Hebbian LFS protocol induced changes of EPSC amplitude ranged from 11 to 120% of the control at 45 min (n = 10). The corresponding mean value of EPSC depression at 45 min was −40 ± 10% (n = 10) and was significantly (p < 0.0001) different from the baseline recorded in control (Fig. 4C). Mean variance analysis of the non-Hebbian LTD revealed that synaptic efficacy changes were mainly originated from the postsynaptic element (Fig. 4C). When Hebbian LFS was used, LTD was also observed with a success rate of 89% (n = 9) (see example in Fig. 4E). In this case, the changes of EPSC amplitude ranged from 27 to 95% of the control (n = 9). The corresponding mean value of EPSC decrease was −47 ± 8% at 45 min and was significantly (p < 0.0001) different from the baseline recorded in control (Fig. 4F). Mean variance analysis of Hebbian LTD indicated mainly a postsynaptic origin of such plasticity (Fig. 4F).

Interestingly, similar high success rates and similar magnitude of EPSC potentiation and depression were observed with non-Hebbian and Hebbian HFS and LFS protocols, respectively. As described previously in others systems (Alonso et al., 1990; Urban and Barrionuevo, 1996; Murphy and Glanzman, 1997; Bao et al., 1998) (for review, see Lechner and Byrne, 1998; Sejnowski, 1999; Bi and Poo, 2001), we report here the existence of bidirectional plasticity induced by non-Hebbian as well as Hebbian protocols at corticostratial synapses.

**Figure 2.** Characteristics of the corticostriatal transmission. A, Infrared microphotographs of a horizontal corticostriatal slice showing the dorsal striatum, the corpus callosum, and the successive cortical layers of the somatosensory cortex (noted from I to VI). Scale bar, 100 μm. The stimulation electrode was placed in the cortical layer V (mean distance from corpus callosum, 579 ± 8 μm; n = 102), and the SONs were recorded in the dorsal striatum at a mean distance from corpus callosum of 292 ± 22 μm. B, High-magnification view of an SON injected with biocytin (scale bar, 100 μm) and a characteristic spiny dendrite (scale bar, 5 μm). C, Characteristic membrane properties and spiking pattern of an SON: note the very hyperpolarized RMP (−87 mV), the inward rectification (illustrated in the steady-state I–V relationship, right side), and the long depolarizing ramp to the AP threshold leading to a delayed spike discharge (the delay to first spike is 452 ms in this example). Raw traces show individual voltage responses to series of 500 ms current pulses from −90 to 90 pA with 20 pA increasing current steps (left side) and to +50 pA above AP threshold (middle panel; spike frequency, 15 Hz). D, Example of SON EPSCs evoked by cortical layer V (Cx(LV)) stimulations. The black line represents the average of 12 consecutive EPSCs raw traces (gray lines). E, Latency distribution of 103 EPSCs recorded in a single SON (well fitted by a Gaussian curve). Note the short latency time centered around 2.3 ms. F, Amplitude distribution of 103 EPSC amplitudes recorded in the same SON shown in E (distribution well fitted by a Gaussian curve centered around −222 pA). G, Latency distribution of EPSCs recorded in 71 SONs was centered around 2.1 ms and well fitted by a Gaussian curve. H, SD distribution of averaged EPSC latency recorded in 71 SONs was centered around 0.3 ms and well fitted by a Gaussian curve. Such narrow distributions indicate a monosynaptic corticostriatal transmission.

**Corticostriatal homeostatic plasticity**

Because of the high occurrence (~80%) of LTP and LTD at corticostratial synapses, both forms of plasticity could be induced on the same SON, and this should allow to explore interactions between LTP and LTD and therefore the possible existence of homeostatic processes.

Combinations of cortical HFS–LFS and LFS–HFS sequences were thus used to look for the occurrence of both LTP and LTD on the same SON. More precisely, the following sequences of protocols were performed: non-Hebbian HFS followed by non-Hebbian LFS, Hebbian HFS followed by Hebbian LFS, non-Hebbian LFS followed by non-Hebbian HFS, and Hebbian LFS followed by Hebbian HFS. As shown by representative experiments (Fig. 5A–D), in both non-Hebbian and Hebbian modes, HFS and LFS protocols induced, respectively, LTP and LTD on the same SON. This demonstrates that bidirectional plasticity takes place at the same corticostriatal synapses. In all cases, long-
term synaptic efficacy changes induced by either HFS or LFS were efficiently counteracted by application of EPSC amplitudes in control situations and those recorded on the same cell after either a sequence of LTP followed by LTD (n = 6) or LTD followed by an LTP (n = 6) (Fig. S5E). Interestingly, such bidirectional plasticity occurred in non-Hebbian as well as Hebbian modes (Fig. S5E). These observations revealed the existence of efficient homeostatic mechanisms at corticostratial synapses, allowing a resetting of synaptic weights and thereby avoiding synaptic saturation.

Reversed spike-timing-dependent plasticity
According to several studies performed in structures such as the hippocampus and the cerebral cortex, the temporal relationship between activity in presynaptic and postsynaptic elements constitutes a determinant factor for the induction of synaptic plasticity (Debanne et al., 1994, 1998; Magee and Johnston, 1997; Markram et al., 1997; Bi and Poo, 1998; Egger et al., 1999; Feldman, 2000; Sjöström et al., 2001; Froemke and Dan, 2002; Tzounopoulos et al., 2004) (for review, see Dan and Poo, 2004). Therefore, we examined the influence of the temporal relationship between the discharges of SONs and the activation of their cortical afferences on the induction of long-term plasticity. For this purpose, an AP was evoked in the recorded SON by applying a suprathreshold depolarizing current pulse either before or after the stimulation of cortical afferences. This pairing protocol was repeated 100 times at 1 Hz frequency. An LTP was mainly observed when an AP was triggered in the target SON before the cortical stimulus (Fig. 6A, post-pre protocol, B, E). LTP induction occurred with a success rate of 53% (n = 15) for time intervals from −7 to −40 ms (minus sign indicates that postsynaptic AP precedes the presynaptic cortical stimulation). The potentiation of EPSC amplitude estimated at 45 min after the application of this spike-timing “post-pre” protocol ranged from 87 to 253%, with a mean value of 141 ± 17% (n = 15). This value was significantly different from the baseline recorded in control (p < 0.05) (see averaged baseline data of the post-pre experiments in Fig. 6E) (n = 15). No synaptic efficacy changes were observed when the time interval was higher than −30 ms. Conversely, an LTD was induced (Fig. 6D, E) when the SON discharge was triggered...
after the cortical stimulation (Fig. 6C, pre–post protocol). This LTD occurred with a success rate of 67% \( (n = 12) \) for time intervals between 5 and 37 ms. The mean EPSC amplitudes estimated at 45 min after the spike-timing “pre–post” protocol ranged from 24 to 117%. The corresponding mean value of EPSC depression was \(-25 \pm 9\%\) \( (n = 12) \). This value was significantly different from the baseline recorded in control \( (p < 0.05) \) (see averaged baseline data of the pre–post experiments in Fig. 6E) \( (n = 12) \).

No synaptic efficacy changes were observed when the time interval between the cortical stimulation and the SON discharge was higher than \(+30\) ms. Mean variance analysis of the cortically evoked synaptic events indicated that the spike-timing-dependent LTD arose mainly from the presynaptic element and the spike-timing-dependent LTD had mainly a postsynaptic origin (Fig. 6E). As illustrated in the representative experiments (Fig. 6B, D), the STDP-induced plasticities displayed a longer delay before being fully established when compared with those induced by HFS and LFS protocols. In STDP protocols, being less drastic than HFS and LFS protocols, long-term synaptic efficacy changes might be induced by more subtle intracellular mechanisms, which need longer delays to be fully established.

LTP was induced in SONs when the SON discharge preceded cortical stimulation, whereas LTD was observed when the SON discharge followed the cortical stimulation (Fig. 6E). Interestingly, such responses indicated that the corticostriatal transmission exhibits specific features of STDP because opposite forms of plasticity were found in the other brain structures studied so far in mammals. Indeed, in these structures, an LTD was observed when the discharge of the postsynaptic element followed the activation of the presynaptic element, whereas an LTP occurred when the postsynaptic stimulation preceded the presynaptic stimulation (Debanne et al., 1994, 1998; Magee and Johnston, 1997; Markram et al., 1997; Bi and Poo, 1998; Egger et al., 1999; Feldman, 2000; Sjostrom et al., 2001; Froemke and Dan, 2002; Tzounopoulos et al., 2004) (for review, see Dan and Poo, 2004).

**Discussion**

Studies of corticostriatal plasticities required setting up appropriate conditions to record a genuine corticostriatal transmission. Until now, in vitro corticostriatal transmission has been studied indirectly by stimulating either in the corpus callosum or the striatum itself. In addition to activate corticostriatal fibers, such stimulations also recruit extracortical afferences of striatal neurons (GABAergic, serotoninergic, dopaminergic, cholinergeric, and noradrenergic) that could orientate plasticity toward either LTP or LTD. Here, we show that stimulations of the somatosensory cortex triggered reliable monosynaptic glutamatergic EPSCs on SONs. The absence of ionic and pharmacological modifications has allowed us to investigate corticostriatal plasticities in a physiological situation that preserves the functionality of the local intrastriatal microcircuits normally involved in the processing of cortical signals.

**Figure 5.** Homeostatic plasticity of corticostriatal transmission. A. Representative experiment of a combination of a non-Hebbian HFS followed by a non-Hebbian LFS. The non-Hebbian HFS induced a potentiation of the EPSC amplitude of 222 ± 3% (estimated at 45 min), and the subsequent non-Hebbian LFS induced a depression of −126%. The EPSC amplitudes measured at 130 min returned to 96 ± 1% of the control. These values were not significantly different from those recorded in control. B. Representative experiment of a combination of a Hebbian HFS followed by a Hebbian LFS. Hebbian HFS induced an LTP with a potentiation of the EPSC amplitude of 598 ± 15% (estimated at 45 min). The subsequent Hebbian LFS induced a depression of −458%, and EPSC amplitudes measured at 130 min returned to 140 ± 2% of the control. C. Representative experiment of a non-Hebbian HFS followed by a non-Hebbian LFS. Non-Hebbian HFS induced an LTP with a depression of the EPSC amplitude of −66 ± 1% (estimated at 45 min). The subsequent Hebbian HFS induced a potentiation of 33%, with EPSC amplitudes measured at 125 min representing 77 ± 1% of the control. D. Representative experiment of a Hebbian LFS followed by a Hebbian HFS. Hebbian LFS induced an LTD with a depression of the EPSC amplitude of −73 ± 1% (estimated at 45 min), and the subsequent
LTP, LTD, and homeostatic plasticity at corticostratial synapses

As already underlined, long-term plasticity at corticostratial synapses is still under debate (Calabresi et al., 1996; Mahon et al., 2004). Indeed, pioneering studies in vitro suggested that LTD was the major form of corticostratial plasticity (Calabresi et al., 1992a; Llovet et al., 1993; Ronesi and Llovet, 2005). In addition, LTP was thought to be pathological because it was necessary to remove Mg$^{2+}$ to observe this response (Calabresi et al., 1992b). The occurrence of LTD or LTP was dependent on experimental conditions: in vitro versus in vivo, stimulation protocols, dopaminergic tone, localization of the striatal recording site, and magnesium block of NMDA receptors. In studies in vitro, LTD was induced with either HFS (Calabresi et al., 1992a; Llovet et al., 1993) or LFS (10 Hz) (Ronesi and Llovet, 2005). In experiments in vivo, LTD has also been observed using HFS (Reynolds and Wickens, 2000), whereas LTP was shown after HFS (Charpier and Deniau, 1997), LFS (5 Hz) (Charpier et al., 1999), or hyperdopaminergic (Reynolds and Wickens, 2000). Moreover, the occurrence of LTD or LTP depended on the striatal region and development because they were observed in distinct striatal area and not at the same period during ontogenesis (Partridge et al., 2000; Smith et al., 2001). However, the occurrence of both plasticities in the same conditions has never been reported. Consequently, this raises also the central question of the existence of homeostatic processes at these synapses.

Here, using a slice preparation preserving corticostratial connections, we report the occurrence of both LTP and LTD at the same synapses and in the same experimental conditions. The evoked plasticity forms depended exclusively on the type of stimulation protocols applied. LTP was elicited by HFS and LTD by LFS protocols. Here, we report the existence of bidirectional plasticity induced by non-Hebbian as well as Hebbian stimulation protocols at the same synapses. According to Hebb’s postulate, if an association of neuronal events induces a Hebbian plasticity, each single event should be neutral. Hebb’s postulate has been extended to example studies reporting the coexistence of Hebbian and non-Hebbian plasticities at the same synapses (Alonso et al., 1990; Urban and Barrionuevo, 1996; Murphy and Glanzman, 1997; Bao et al., 1998) (for review, see Lechner and Byrne, 1998; Sejnowski, 1999; Bi and Poo, 2001). At corticostratial synapses, a non-Hebbian mode mimics a situation of subthreshold cortical inputs. In contrast, a Hebbian stimulation protocol mimics the synchronization of cortical inputs, from the same or different cortical areas, leading thus to a suprathreshold depolarization of SOCs. Studies performed in other brain structures report that non-Hebbian protocols either fail (Baranyi and Feher, 1981; Gustafsson et al., 1987) or induce plasticity with lower magnitude than Hebbian protocols (Alonso et al., 1990; Urban and Barrionuevo, 1996). Therefore, we investigated whether LTP and LTD induced by Hebbian protocols displayed larger synaptic efficacy changes than those induced by non-Hebbian protocols. Surprisingly, in our experiments, LTP and LTD were evoked in the same range of synaptic efficacy changes using both non-Hebbian and Hebbian protocols. This indicates that SOCs can develop robust long-term plasticities not only when they are first depolarized by other excitatory inputs but also when they are at a resting state. It should be noted that, because of the highly branched dendritic architecture of the SOCs, a space-clamp effect could occur. Nevertheless, Carter and Sabatini (2004) have reported that an absence of stimulation never induced any calcium variation at the SON dendritic level, whereas suprathreshold depolarization triggered a calcium rise in the dendrites. Accordingly, it can be assumed that the evoked somatic suprathreshold depolarization used in Hebbian protocol may backpropagate until corticostratial dendritic connections. Therefore, the similar synaptic efficacy changes triggered by non-Hebbian and Hebbian modes indicate that the main element to induce long-term plasticity could be the frequency of cortical inputs, whatever the SON membrane potential.

Another major observation of our study is that sequences of LTP–LTD and LTD–LTP revealed the existence of efficient homeostatic processes, allowing the resetting of synaptic efficacy changes and thus avoiding synaptic saturation. This means that...
corticostriatal transmission efficacy can easily return to a naive state, allowing SONs to be fully receptive to subsequent synaptic efficacy modifications. Such an observation is coherent with the role of corticostriatal transmission in sensorimotor learning. Indeed, corticostriatal transmission needs to be highly plastic to take into account environmental variations and to deliver appropriate signals toward basal ganglia output nuclei to produce adapted cognitivomotor responses.

Reversal of STDP at corticostriatal synapses

The temporal relationship between cortical activity and SON discharge was explored using STDP protocols. Our results provide the first evidence that corticostriatal network expresses STDP forms that are reversed to those observed so far in different mammalian brain structures (Magee and Johnston, 1997; Markram et al., 1997; Bi and Poo, 1998; Debanne et al., 1998; Egger et al., 1999; Feldman, 2000; Sjostrom et al., 2001; Froemke and Dan, 2002; Dan and Poo, 2004; Tzounopoulos et al., 2004). An LTD occurred when the SON AP happened before a cortical stimulation, whereas an LTD was observed when an AP was triggered in an SON after a cortical stimulation. To ascertain that such a reversed STDP was not attributable to our experimental conditions, comparative experiments were performed in the cerebral cortex. Corticocortical STDP (with pyramidal cells of layer II/III as presynaptic elements and pyramidal cells of layer V as postsynaptic elements) displayed the “classical” bidirectional plasticity: LTP when the presynaptic stimulation preceded the postsynaptic stimulation and LTD in the reverse situation (data not shown). As for corticostriatal transmission, reversed STDP have also been described in the cerebellum-like structure of the electric fish (Bell et al., 1997) and in the cartwheel cells of the dorsal cochlear nucleus of mammals (Tzounopoulos et al., 2004), but, in the latter case, this was only a partial reversed STDP (pre–post induced LTD, whereas no plasticity was observed for post–pre protocols).

Mechanisms underlying STDP remain under investigations (Bi and Poo, 1998; Koester and Sakmann, 1998; Goldberg et al., 2002; Froemke et al., 2005) (for review, see Dan and Poo, 2004). Several experimental and theoretical attempts were made to evaluate the impact of different parameters on STDP. In fact, the amplitude and broadness of STDP can be modulated by several factors, such as the dendritic location of the stimulation (Froemke et al., 2005), the shape of the postsynaptic depolarization, the RMP (Saudargiene et al., 2005), and the number of coincidence detectors (density of NMDA receptors) (Karmarkar and Buonomano, 2002). However, when considered separately, none of these factors were shown to be determinant for the observation of a reversed STDP. It is conceivable that several combined factors contribute to the STDP orientation. First, the nature of the neurons (excitatory vs inhibitory) could orientate STDP. For instance, studies reporting reversed STDP (Bell et al., 1997) and partial reversed STDP (Tzounopoulos et al., 2004) involve inhibitory neurons. Second, the architecture of dendritic trees could also play a major role in the shaping of the STDP (Goldberg et al., 2002). Accordingly, SONs exhibit a very hyperpolarized RMP, are GABAergic, and have a highly branched spiny dendritic tree, which receive cortical glutamatergic afferences at a proximal level. The combination of these factors could underlie the reversed STDP observed at the corticostriatal transmission. As shown previously in vivo, corticostriatal synapses exhibit a form of short-term intrinsic memory by which an SON spike discharge increases corticostriatal transmission via a change in membrane conductances (Mahon et al., 2003). The present demonstration of LTD with a post–pre protocol provides an additional mechanism by which inputs paired to a previous suprathreshold synaptic activation can be reinforced. This mechanism may be essential for the role of the striatum in learning of motor sequences in which sensory and motor events are associated in a precise time sequence.

For years, LTD was considered as the unique physiological form of activity-dependent plasticity at corticostriatal synapses. Our results demonstrate in the same conditions the occurrence of both LTP and LTD at these synapses. These findings reconcile the corticostriatal plasticity with the general features of plasticity observed in the CNS. Moreover, the bidirectional plasticity observed in our study underlies the existence of efficient homeostatic corticostriatal plasticity. Finally, the reversed STDP observed at corticostriatal synapses appears to be a specific feature of these synapses.
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