Molecular and Cellular Diversity of Neuronal G-Protein-Gated Potassium Channels
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Neuronal G-protein-gated potassium (GIRK) channels mediate the inhibitory effects of many neurotransmitters. Although the overlapping distribution of GIRK subunits suggests that channel composition varies in the CNS, little direct evidence supports the existence of structural or functional diversity in the neuronal GIRK channel repertoire. Here we show that the GIRK channels linked to GABA_B receptors differed in two neuron populations. In the substantia nigra, GIRK2 was the principal subunit, and it was found primarily in dendrites of neurons in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc). Baclofen evoked prominent barium-sensitive outward current in dopamine neurons of the SNc from wild-type mice, but this current was completely absent in neurons from GIRK2 knock-out mice. In the hippocampus, all three neuronal GIRK subunits were detected. The loss of GIRK1 or GIRK2 was correlated with equivalent, dramatic reductions in baclofen-evoked current in CA1 neurons. Virtually all of the barium-sensitive component of the baclofen-evoked current was eliminated with the ablation of both GIRK2 and GIRK3, indicating that channels containing GIRK3 contribute to the postsynaptic inhibitory effect of GABA_B receptor activation. The impact of GIRK subunit ablation on baclofen-evoked current was consistent with observations that GIRK1, GIRK2, and GABA_B receptors were enriched in lipid rafts isolated from mouse brain, whereas GIRK3 was found primarily in higher-density membrane fractions. Altogether, our data show that different GIRK channel subtypes can couple to GABA_B receptors in vivo. Furthermore, subunit composition appears to specify interactions between GIRK channels and organizational elements involved in channel distribution and efficient receptor coupling.
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Introduction

The postsynaptic inhibitory effect of many neurotransmitters and drugs of abuse is mediated by G-protein-gated inwardly-rectifying potassium (GIRK/Kir3) channels (North, 1989; Luscher et al., 1997). GIRK channels are homotetrameric and heterotetrameric complexes (Inanobe et al., 1995, 1999; Kofuji et al., 1995; Krapivinsky et al., 1995a,b; Lesage et al., 1995; Wischmeyer et al., 1997). Three GIRK channel subunits (GIRK1–GIRK3) exhibit broad and overlapping distributions in the CNS, whereas the fourth subunit (GIRK4) is found primarily in the heart (Kobayashi et al., 1995; Krapivinsky et al., 1995a; Karschin et al., 1996; Chen et al., 1997). GIRK subunit knock-out mice exhibit overlapping but distinct cellular and behavioral phenotypes. Neuronal GIRK subunit ablation has been correlated with increased seizure propensity, hyperalgesia, blunted analgesia, and hyperactivity (Signorini et al., 1997; Blednov et al., 2001, 2003; Mitrovic et al., 2003; Marker et al., 2004, 2005).

The overlapping distributions of GIRK subunits in the CNS suggest that channels formed by multiple subunit combinations contribute to neuronal GIRK channel content. Most data, however, emphasize the role of GIRK2 in the formation of neuronal GIRK channels. For example, baclofen-evoked currents were significantly reduced in hippocampal, cerebellar, and midbrain dopamine neurons from GIRK2 knock-out (KO) mice (Luscher et al., 1997; Slesinger et al., 1997; Cruz et al., 2004). Because GIRK1 staining was dramatically reduced in GIRK2 KO mice (Liao et al., 1996; Signorini et al., 1997; Torrecilla et al., 2002), GIRK1/GIRK2 heteromultimers are widely considered the prototypical GIRK channel in the CNS.

Despite its broad distribution in the CNS, the functional relevance of GIRK3 is uncertain. Multiple groups have reported that GIRK3 expression either alone or with other GIRK subunits failed to yield functional GIRK channels (Kofuji et al., 1995; Lesage et al., 1995; Ma et al., 2002). Indeed, GIRK3 was shown to direct GIRK1/GIRK2 heteromultimers and GIRK2 homomultimers to lysosomal degradation pathways, effectively reducing the number of functional GIRK channels on the cell surface (Ma et al., 2002). Other studies, however, have shown that recombi-
nant GIRK3 forms functional channels with both GIRK1 and GIRK2, channels with biophysical and regulatory properties comparable with the well characterized cardiac GIRK channel (Jelacic et al., 1999, 2000). Furthermore, the complete loss of opioid-induced current in locus coeruleus neurons required the concurrent ablation of both GIRK2 and GIRK3 (Torrecilla et al., 2002). The relevance of GIRK3 to neuronal GIRK channels remains an interesting and important topic, particularly in light of the impact of GIRK3 ablation on drug reward behavior (Morgan et al., 2003).

Here, we explored multiple aspects of neuronal GIRK channel subunit composition and distribution. We tested the hypothesis that neuronal GIRK channels are postsynaptic signaling elements consisting of GIRK1 and GIRK2. We proved the validity of the channel-forming and -trafficking roles for GIRK3. Our findings highlight, among other things, the molecular diversity of GIRK channels in the brain and support a channel-forming role for GIRK3.

Materials and Methods

Animals. Animal use was approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the University of Minnesota. Efforts were made to minimize the pain and discomfort of the animals throughout the study.

Reagents. R-[+]-β-(Aminomethyl)-4-chlorobenzenepropanoic acid hydrochloride (baclofen) and [S-(R*, R*)]-3-[[1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl) ethyl][amino]-2-hydroxypropyl]cyclohexylmethyl phosphinic acid (CGP34626) were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO) and Tocris (Ellisville, MO), respectively. Rabbit anti-GIRK1, anti-GIRK2, and anti-GIRK3 antibodies were obtained from Alomone Labs (Jerusalem, Israel). The rabbit anti-GABA<sub>B1/2a</sub> antibody was described previously (Lopez-Bendito et al., 2002). The affinity-purified guinea pig anti-vesicular glutamate transporter 1 (VGLUT1) antibody was purchased from Chemicon (Temecula, CA).

In situ hybridization. Brains from three adult (8–10 weeks of age) male wild-type (WT), GIRK1 KO, GIRK2 KO, and GIRK3 KO mice were frozen and sectioned. Sixteen-micrometer sections were fixed in PBS and 4% paraformaldehyde and stored in ethanol. Digoxigenin-labeled cRNA probes were prepared from GIRK cDNA fragments according to the manufacturer’s protocol (F. Hoffmann-La Roche, Basel, Switzerland). The GIRK fragments spanned ~500 bp of 5’ coding sequence: rat GIRK1 (5’-gacctt... ttctctc-3’), mouse GIRK2 (5’-gacctt... tccattg-3’), and mouse GIRK3 (5’-caggaga... aacaagc-3’). Hybridization was performed as described (Bartcs et al., 1992), and labeling was detected by alkaline phosphatase-coupled antibodies to digoxigenin. Selected sections were Nissl-counterstained for identification of brain structures (Paxinos and Franklin, 2003).

Immunoblotting. Three panels of adult WT and GIRK KO mice were killed by CO<sub>2</sub> asphyxiation. Brains were removed and rinsed in ice-cold PBS. Hippocampi were extracted and homogenized in 2 ml of buffer containing (in mM) 25 Tris, pH 7.5, 150 NaCl, 5 EDTA, pH 8.0, and 1 DTT and a protease inhibitor mixture containing 0.35 μg/ml PMSF, 1.7 μg/ml aprotinin, 0.7 μg/ml pepstatin, and 10 μg/ml leupeptin. Samples were centrifuged at low speed (2200 × g), and the crude membrane fraction was pelleted by centrifugation at 200,000 × g for 30 min. Pellets were resuspended in 1 ml of a 2% SDS solution containing 1 mM DTT and the protease inhibitor mixture. Samples were centrifuged for 5 min at 500 × g to remove insoluble contents. Immunoblotting was performed as described previously (Bettahi et al., 2002; Marker et al., 2002; Torrecilla et al., 2002). Densitometry was performed using ImageQuant software (Molecular Dynamics, Sunnyvale, CA). For analysis of GIRK subunit levels in the hippocampus, the appropriate region in the lane corresponding to the KO sample relevant to the target antibody was taken as background (density value = 0). For each of the three panels of WT and GIRK KO mice, two blots for each GIRK subunit were run, and signal intensities were averaged to obtain a single data point. All data points were normalized to the mean signal intensity calculated from the WT samples.

Immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy. Procedures for the isolation of brain tissue from adult WT and GIRK KO mice for immunohistochemistry and immunoelectron microscopy experiments before and after embedding were described previously (Marker et al., 2005). When a single primary antibody was used, it was visualized by the silver-intensified immunogold reaction. In colabeling experiments, VGLUT1 immunoreactivity was visualized by the immunoperoxidase reaction, and GIRK subunit immunoreactivity was revealed with the silver-intensified immunogold reaction. Data presented are representative of results obtained with three different and complete panels of wild-type and GIRK KO mouse brain tissue.

To establish the relative abundance of GIRK1 and GIRK2 immunoreactivity in dendritic spines, quantification of immunolabeling was performed in the stratum radiatum from 60 μm coronal slices as described (Lujan et al., 1996). For each of two animals, three samples of tissue were obtained (six total blocks). Electron microscopic serial ultrathin sections were cut close to the surface of each block because immunoreactivity decreased with depth. Randomly selected areas were captured at a final magnification of 45,000X, and measurements covered a total section area of ~2000 μm<sup>2</sup>. All spines establishing synapses with putative glutamatergic axon terminals were counted and assessed for the presence of immunoparticles. Only the heads of spines were analyzed because spine necks were rarely connected to spine heads in single sections. Because differences in the distribution of gold particles among samples were not statistically significant (p > 0.46; Kolmogorov–Smirnov nonparametric test), the data were pooled. The length of the synaptic membrane specialization and the extrasynaptic membrane from all immunopositive spines was measured using a digitizing tablet and appropriate software (Sigma Scan Pro; Jandel Scientific, Ekzath, Germany). The extrasynaptic spine membrane was divided into 60 nm bins. The distance between the closest edge of the postsynaptic density and the center of the immunoparticles was measured along the spine membrane. To obtain a normalized value of the relative abundance of GIRK1 and GIRK2 along the spine, the number of gold particles was expressed as relative frequency in bins corresponding to 60 nm membrane segments of plasma membrane.

Slice electrophysiology. Horizontal slices (300 μm) from WT and GIRK KO mice (16–28 d) containing the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) and/or hippocampus were prepared in slicing solution containing the following (in mM): 110 choline-Cl, 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-H<sub>2</sub>O, 25 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 0.5 CaCl<sub>2</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.1 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, and 11 glucose. The slicing solution was bubbled with 95% O<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and maintained at 4–8°C. Slices were stored at room temperature for <5 h in artificial CSF (ACSF; in mM: 119 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 1 NaH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O, 26.5 NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, 2.5 MgSO<sub>4</sub>, 2.0 CaCl<sub>2</sub>-2H<sub>2</sub>O, and 11 glucose) and were visualized using an Olympus Optical (Tokyo, Japan) BX51WI microscope equipped with infrared optics. Holding currents, access resistance, and membrane resistance were measured with a Multiclamp 700A amplifier, Digidata 1320, and pCLAMP version 9 software (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA). Currents were low-pass filtered at 2 kHz, sampled at 4 kHz, and recorded directly onto computer hard disk.

Borosilicate patch pipettes (3–5 MΩ) were filled with a solution containing the following (in mM): 140 K-glutamate, 2 MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 1.1 EGTA, 5 HEPES/KOH, 2 NaATP, 0.3 Na<sub>2</sub>GTP, and 5 phosphocreatine, pH 7.4. Bath (ACSF) and drug solutions were applied using a Dynamax peristaltic pump (Rainin, Woburn, MA). Experiments were performed at room temperature, and all voltage values were corrected for junction potential (~16 mV). Series resistance and capacitance compensations were not performed because these manipulations did not significantly affect peak currents or kinetics but did increase noise and decrease recording stability, complicating the measurement of small currents in GIRK knockout slices. After establishment of the whole-cell access, resting membrane potentials were measured. Subsequently, recording stability was assessed in voltage clamp mode (V<sub>hold</sub> = −62 mV) over a 5–10 min interval. Holding current, input resistance (R<sub>i</sub>), and access resistance (R<sub>a</sub>) values were extracted from current responses to periodic (0.2 Hz) voltage steps (~5 mV, 800 ms) and were monitored throughout the experiment. Only those experiments with stable (<20% variation) and low R<sub>a</sub> values (<25
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ML1) over the entire experiment were included in the final data set. For single-dose experiments, cells were given 50 μM baclofen for 5–10 min before application of 1 μM CGP54626. For multidose studies, cells were challenged with 0.5 and/or 5 μM baclofen and then 50 μM baclofen. For Ba2+ experiments, cells were perfused with ACSF supplemented with 1 mM Ba2+ for 5 min before baclofen application. Dopamine neurons in the SNC were identified by the presence of (1) a hyperpolarization-activated current (Ih), (2) low-frequency (∼2 Hz) action potentials induced by injection of +100 pA holding current, and (3) a sag-shaped membrane potential response to current injections of −200 and −300 pA, as described (Grace and Onn, 1989; Lacey et al., 1989).

**Lipid raft isolation.** For each preparation, two brains taken from adult WT mice were processed through two rounds of homogenization in TNE buffer consisting of (in mm) 25 Tris-HCl, 150 NaCl, and 5 EDTA, pH 7.5, and a protease inhibitor mixture containing (in μg/ml) 40 aprotinin, 40 leupeptin, 20 pepstatin A, and 40 PMSF. Samples were centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1 h at 4°C; and the resultant pellet was suspended in 0.5 ml of TNE buffer, pH 11, containing 1% Triton X-100. Samples were adjusted to 40% sucrose, transferred to an ultracentrifuge tube, gently covered with 1.5 ml of 36% sucrose and 2 ml of 10% sucrose solutions prepared in TNE buffer, pH 11, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 16 h at 4°C. Subsequently, 6 × 700 μl fractions were removed, diluted with 3 ml of TNE buffer, pH 11, and centrifuged at 100,000 × g for 1.5 h at 4°C. Pellets were suspended in 50 μl of 2% SDS loading buffer before immunoblotting. Fractions 1–3 did not contain appreciable protein and were not reactive for any of the antibodies tested. Three separate lipid raft isolations were performed. For each preparation, total target signal intensity in fractions 4–6 was calculated by densitometry, and the percentage of total signal intensity in each fraction was calculated.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are presented as the mean ± SEM. Statistical comparisons were made using one-way ANOVA, followed by Tukey’s honestly significant difference post hoc test for pair-wise comparisons. The level of significance was considered p < 0.05.

**Results.** We examined the distribution of GIRK subunit mRNAs throughout the adult mouse CNS, reasoning that a comparative analysis of GIRK channel function and distribution in neurons with different subunit repertoires would highlight the functional relevance of individual subunits. GIRK1, GIRK2, and GIRK3 mRNA levels and distributions were evaluated by in situ hybridization in brain sections taken from adult WT mice. Sections from GIRK KO mice were processed in parallel to assess the specificity of any observed signals. Our observations were mostly consistent with previous comprehensive reports in the rodent brain (Kobayashi et al., 1995; Karschin et al., 1996; Chen et al., 1997), suggesting a high degree of overlap between neuronal GIRK subunits.

In the hippocampus, GIRK1, GIRK2, and GIRK3 mRNAs were clearly present in CA1 and CA3 pyramidal neurons and in granule cells of the dentate gyrus (Fig. 1A–C). The GIRK subunit mRNA distribution in the hippocampus was typical of staining observed in several other structures, including the cortex, cerebellum, amygdala, thalamus, and locus coeruleus (data not shown). Different GIRK subunit mRNA distributions were observed in some structures, including the substantia nigra (SN) (Fig. 1D–F) and ventral tegmental area (VTA) (data not shown). Consistent with previous studies (Karschin et al., 1996; Liao et al., 1996; Chen et al., 1997; Murer et al., 1997; Schein et al., 1998; Liss et al., 1999), these structures showed prominent expression of GIRK2, whereas the expression of GIRK1 and GIRK3 was either not evident or was at the limit of specific resolution. Within the SN, GIRK2 expression was primar-
immunolabeling in a WT (patterns are representative of data obtained from three different and complete panels of adult WT and GIRK KO mice. GIRK2 (distinct GIRK subunit expression patterns. GIRK channels in the hippocampus and SNc, structures evincing ily restricted to the SNc. Based on these observations, we proceeded Figure 3. Distribution of GIRK1 and GIRK2 subunits in the hippocampus. Immunohistochemical detection of GIRK1 (A–F) and GIRK2 (G–L) in the hippocampal formations of WT, GIRK KO, GIRK1 KO, and GIRK2/GIRK3/KO mice is shown. Staining patterns are representative of data obtained from three different hippocampal formations of WT and GIRK KO mice. A, G. Nissl staining of GIRK1 and GIRK2 in WT hippocampi, respectively, alt, Alveus; so, stratum oriens; sp, stratum pyramidale; sr, stratum radiatum; slm, stratum lacunosum-moleculare; ml, molecular layer; gc, granule cell; h, hilus; DG, dentate gyrus. B, C. GIRK1 immunolabeling in a WT (B) and GIRK KO (C) hippocampus. D–F, Residual GIRK1 immunoreactivity in sections from GIRK KO mice. H, GIRK2 immunolabeling in a WT hippocampus. I, L. GIRK2 labeling in GIRK KO (I) and GIRK2/GIRK3 KO (L) mice. J, K. No significant changes in immunoreactivity for GIRK2 were detected in the hippocampal formations of GIRK1 KO (J) and GIRK3 KO (K) mice. Scale bar, 1 mm.

The three neuronal GIRK subunits were clearly present in WT hippocampal membrane protein extracts, and the levels of each subunit varied as a function of genotype (Fig. 2). The absence of GIRK1 was correlated with lower levels of GIRK2 (30 ± 3% reduction; p < 0.05) and GIRK3 (49 ± 2% reduction; p < 0.01) (Fig. 2B). The level of residual heavily glycosylated (~70 kDa) GIRK1 was significantly lower in the absence of either GIRK2 (69 ± 1%; p < 0.01) or GIRK3 (36 ± 1%; p < 0.01). In samples from GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice, the level of heavily glycosylated GIRK1 was even lower (83 ± 2% reduction; p < 0.01). Because mature glycosylation of GIRK1 occurs as a consequence of channel heteroassembly and is correlated with plasma membrane distribution (Krapivinsky et al., 1995a; Kennedy et al., 1999), the impact of GIRK2 and/or GIRK3 ablation on the abundance of this GIRK1 species likely reflects the loss of functional GIRK1-containing heteromultimers. Thus, these data support the contention that GIRK1 forms functional channels primarily with GIRK2 and, to a lesser extent, GIRK3 in the hippocampus.

We next examined the regional distribution of GIRK subunits in the hippocampus using immunohistochemistry. Although available GIRK3 antisera displayed nonspecific labeling as assessed in sections from GIRK3 KO mice (data not shown), GIRK1 and GIRK2 antibodies were quite specific (Fig. 3). Staining for GIRK1 and GIRK2 in the WT hippocampus was strongest in the molecular layer of the dentate gyrus and stratum lacunosum-moleculare and in the distal half of the stratum radiatum of the CA1 and CA3 areas (Fig. 3 B, H). The alveus, stratum oriens, and proximal half of the stratum radiatum of the CA1 and CA3 areas were labeled intensely, and minimal immunoreactivity was found in the stratum pyramidale, stratum lucidum, and dentate hilus for both subunits. GIRK1 was preferentially located in distal dendrites, whereas GIRK2 was more uniformly distributed among distal, proximal, and basal dendrites (compare staining for both subunits in the stratum lacunosum-moleculare and the distal half of the stratum radiatum). GIRK2 immunostaining was relatively unaffected by the loss of either GIRK1 (Fig. 3J) or GIRK3 (Fig. 3K). The former observation contrasts with immunoblotting results (Fig. 2), which showed a significant 30% reduction in GIRK2 protein levels in membrane protein extracts from GIRK1 knock-out hippocampi. This discrepancy is perhaps explained by the fact that the immunohistochemical approach cannot readily discriminate between plasma membrane and intracellular labeling. Consistent with immunoblotting data, GIRK1 immunostaining was found to be less intense in sections from GIRK2 KO mice (Fig. 3D), modestly reduced in sections from GIRK3 KO mice (Fig. 3E), and dramatically reduced and primarily confined to cell bodies in sections from GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice (Fig. 3F). Thus, this approach provided further evidence that GIRK1 interacts with both GIRK2 and GIRK3 in the CA1 region of the mouse hippocampus.

We next compared resting electrophysiological properties and neurotransmitter-evoked currents in hippocampal neurons in slices taken from WT and GIRK KO mice. We decided to focus on CA1 pyramidal neurons because these neurons were shown previously to exhibit robust neurotransmitter-induced GIRK currents (Luscher et al., 1997). Compared with CA1 neurons from WT mice, the resting membrane potentials of CA1 neurons from GIRK KO mice were all relatively depolarized (Table 1). Significant depolarization was observed in CA1 neurons from GIRK2 KO and GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice, consistent with previous reports (Luscher et al., 1997; Chen and Johnston, 2005).

The GABAg receptor agonist baclofen (0.5–250 μM) evoked outward current in CA1 neurons from WT mice (Fig. 4). The outward current was accompanied by a marked decrease in input resistance, showed little or no desensitization during a 10 min agonist exposure, and was reversed with the GABAB receptor antagonist CGP54626 (1 μM). The current response was dose dependent, with a maximum response observed at 50 μM baclofen (92 ± 12 pA; n = 18) (Fig. 4A) and an approximate EC50 value of 5 μM (Fig. 4D). In the presence of the nonselective K+ channel blocker Ba2+ (1 mM), the current was markedly attenuated (16 ± 2 pA; n = 7) (Fig. 4C, gray bar), indicating that the postsynaptic inhibitory effect of GABAg receptor activation on CA1 neurons is mediated primarily by K+ channels. Indeed, baclofen-evoked currents in CA1 neurons from GIRK1 KO (24 ± 2 pA; n = 9) and GIRK2 KO (24 ± 2 pA; n = 11) mice were significantly smaller than currents in WT neurons (p < 0.05), suggesting that these two subunits form the channel responsible for most of the baclofen-evoked current.
Table 1. Group data for electrophysiology studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Mice</th>
<th>CA1 neurons</th>
<th>SNC</th>
<th>Dopamine neurons</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Age (d)</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Age (d)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23 ± 1</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>−75 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIRK1 KO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>21 ± 1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>−72 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIRK2 KO</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>20 ± 1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−71 ± 1*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIRK3 KO</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>19 ± 1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>−73 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GIRK2/3 KO</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>−67 ± 2∗∗∗</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td>F</td>
<td>p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Numbers and ages of mice from each genotype used for the analysis of baclofen-evoked currents in the hippocampus and SN are shown. Resting membrane potentials (MP) and Rm were measured for each CA1 neuron and compared across genotypes. Significantly depolarized MP were observed in GIRK2 and GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice. Because of the spontaneous firing observed in SN and DA neurons, MP were not determined. ∗p < 0.05 versus WT; ∗∗p < 0.05 versus GIRK3 KO.

Although the residual baclofen-induced current seen in CA1 neurons from GIRK1 KO and GIRK2 KO mice was small, it was still larger than the Ba2+-insensitive current in WT CA1 neurons. Furthermore, Ba2+ significantly reduced the baclofen-induced current in CA1 neurons from GIRK2 KO mice (Fig. 4C, gray bar). Accordingly, we measured the baclofen response in CA1 neurons from GIRK3 KO and GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice. The potency of baclofen in CA1 neurons from GIRK3 KO mice was indistinguishable from that in WT mice (Fig. 4D), as was maximal current (82 ± 8 pA; n = 8) (Fig. 4C). In CA1 neurons from GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice, however, baclofen-induced current (12 ± 2 pA; n = 7) (Fig. 4B,C) was significantly smaller than the responses measured in GIRK1 KO and GIRK2 KO mice. Interestingly, baclofen-evoked currents in GIRK2/GIRK3 KO CA1 neurons measured in the presence of 1 mM Ba2+ (7 ± 1 pA; n = 4) were slightly though significantly (p < 0.05) smaller than currents measured in the absence of Ba2+, perhaps indicating the presence of a residual K+ channel modulated by GABAA receptor stimulation. Altogether these data argue, however, that the simultaneous ablation of GIRK2 and GIRK3 results in a ≥90% reduction in the Ba2+-sensitive component of the baclofen-evoked outward current in CA1 pyramidal neurons.

We used electron microscopy to study the subcellular distributions of GIRK1 and GIRK2 in the stratum radiatum, a region consisting primarily of proximal dendrites from CA1 neurons and exhibiting prominent staining for GIRK1 and GIRK2 (Fig. 5). Labeling for GIRK1 (Fig. 5A–E) and GIRK2 (Fig. 5F–K) in WT sections was found primarily along the plasma membrane but also associated with the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) cisterna of dendritic shafts and spine apparatus (36 and 32% of all particles examined for GIRK1 and GIRK2, respectively) (Fig. 5B,F). Of the immunoparticles found in the plasma membrane, most (85% of GIRK1; n = 1568; and 87% of GIRK2; n = 1308) were found in the postsynaptic compartment of interneurons (data not shown) and pyramidal cells. GIRK1 and GIRK2 were observed primarily at extrasynaptic and perisynaptic positions in dendritic spines in contact with axon terminals, likely deriving from Schaffer collaterals. Analysis of the position of gold particles (GIRK1, n = 331; GIRK2, n = 324) in relation to the closest edge of the postsynaptic membrane specialization revealed that GIRK1 and GIRK2 share virtually the same subcellular distribution in dendritic spines in CA1 neurons (Fig. 5L). A potentially significant difference in the subsynaptic distributions of postsynaptic GIRK1 and GIRK2 was revealed, however, with a postembedding immunogold technique. GIRK1 immunoparticles were never found, whereas GIRK2 labeling was often seen within the postsynaptic specialization (Fig. 5G,L).

Given that electrophysiological studies in the hippocampus have suggested that GIRK channels do not contribute to presynaptic inhibition, we were surprised to find that the remaining plasma membrane labeling for GIRK1 (15%) and GIRK2 (13%) was found in presynaptic terminals establishing asymmetrical (putative excitatory) synapses on spines (Fig. 5D,F). Double-labeling approaches indicated that the presynaptic GIRK subunits (Fig. 5E,K) were found in terminals immunoreactive for the synaptic vesicle glutamate transporter VGluT1, a marker of glu-
Figure 5. Subcellular distributions of GIRK subunits in the hippocampus. Electron micrographs show immunolabeling for GIRK1 and GIRK2 in the stratum radiatum of the CA1 area of WT and GIRK KO mice. Den, Dendritic shaft; b, bouton; s, dendritic spine. A, B, GIRK1 immunoparticles were observed primarily along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrows) of dendritic spines of CA1 neurons from WT mice, although perisynaptic labeling (crossed arrow) was also frequently observed. GIRK1 labeling was also found associated with ER cisterna of dendritic shafts and with the spine apparatus (double arrowheads) of spines. C, GIRK1 labeling was never detected within the postsynaptic specialization, as demonstrated with postembedding techniques. D, E, GIRK1 immunoparticles at presynaptic sites were localized to the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrowheads) and occasionally to the presynaptic membrane specialization of axon terminals establishing putative excitatory synapses on spines, as confirmed in double-labeling experiments with VGluT1. F–H, GIRK2 immunoparticles were found along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrows) of dendritic shafts of CA1 cells from WT mice, mainly associated with dendritic spines. Both perisynaptic (G, crossed arrows) and synaptic (H, double arrow) labeling at asymmetrical synapses was detected, the latter confirmed using postembedding techniques (I). J, K, GIRK2 immunoparticles were detected at presynaptic sites (arrowheads), mainly in the extrasynaptic plasma membrane, and occasionally in the presynaptic membrane specialization of axon terminals establishing putative excitatory synapses on spines, as confirmed using double-labeling experiments with VGluT1. Note also the GIRK2 immunoreactivity associated with ER cisterna of dendritic shafts and the spine apparatus of dendritic spines (U, double arrowheads). L, Distribution of GIRK1 and GIRK2 on dendritic spines of CA1 neurons. Data are displayed as percentage frequency of particles in 60-nm-wide bins, starting at the edge of the postsynaptic specialization. M–T, Electron micrographs showing GIRK1 immunoreactivity in the stratum radiatum of the CA1 area in sections taken from GIRK2 KO (M–P), GIRK3 KO (Q, R), GIRK2/GIRK3 KO (S), and GIRK1 KO (T) mice. Note that GIRK1 immunoreactivity was still observed along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrows) of dendritic spines and shafts of CA1 neurons from GIRK2 KO and GIRK3 KO mice. Immunoparticles for GIRK1 were also observed at perisynaptic (data not shown) positions and at presynaptic sites along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane (arrowheads) of axon terminals establishing putative excitatory synapses on dendritic spines. A higher proportion of immunoparticles for GIRK1 was detected in the ER cisterna of dendritic shafts and the spine apparatus (double arrowhead). Immunoreactivity for GIRK1 was primarily restricted to the ER of CA1 cells in the hippocampus of GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice and was absent in sections from a GIRK1 KO mouse. Scale bars, 0.2 μm.
The subcellular distribution and expression level of GIRK2 seen in sections from GIRK1 KO and GIRK3 KO mice were indistinguishable from those seen in WT sections (data not shown). GIRK1-labeled dendritic spines (and immunoparticles per spine), however, were observed less frequently in both GIRK2 KO (Fig. 5M–P) and GIRK3 KO (Fig. 5Q,R) mice. In sections from these mice, GIRK1 labeling was still observed along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane of dendritic spines and shafts of pyramidal cells and interneurons. Furthermore, GIRK1 labeling was still observed at perisynaptic positions (data not shown) and at presynaptic sites along the extrasynaptic plasma membrane and presynaptic active zone of axon terminals. GIRK1 immunoparticles were more frequently associated, however, with the ER and spine apparatus (57 and 42% of GIRK1 immunoparticles in GIRK2 KO and GIRK3 KO mice, respectively). In sections from GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice, GIRK1 labeling was primarily restricted to the soma (98% of particles) (Fig. 5S). Altogether, our ultrastructural analysis of GIRK subunit distribution in WT and GIRK KO mice supports the contention that GIRK1 interacts with both GIRK2 and GIRK3 to form functional channels in CA1 pyramidal neurons and that subunit composition can vary among subcellular compartments.

The modest impact of GIRK3 ablation on baclofen-evoked current in the hippocampus contrasted with direct evidence supporting the expression of GIRK3 in CA1 neurons and indirect evidence supporting its interaction with GIRK1 in the dendritic compartment of CA1 neurons. These divergent observations led us to speculate that one or more factors contribute to the compartmentalization of GABA<sub>B</sub>/GIRK signaling to emphasize the link between a subset of GIRK channels and GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors. Given that GIRK1 was identified in lipid rafts isolated from rat brain (Delling et al., 2002), we tested the hypothesis that GIRK1 and GIRK2 (but not GIRK3) coexisted with GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in lipid rafts.

Detergent-resistant membranes from mouse brain were processed by sucrose gradient centrifugation. Six fractions representing distinct sample densities were concentrated and probed by immunoblotting for GIRK subunits, GABA<sub>B</sub>(1), and the lipid raft marker Fyn (Fig. 6). Consistent with previous observations, Fyn was found primarily (77 ± 1%) in fraction 4 (Delling et al., 2002). Signals for GIRK1 (61 ± 9%), GIRK2 (50 ± 5%), and GABA<sub>B</sub>(1) (68 ± 5%) were also most prominent in this fraction. Signal for GIRK3, however, was most prominent in fraction 6 (55 ± 4%), a higher-density fraction exhibiting relatively little GIRK2 or GABA<sub>B</sub>(1). GIRK1 and GIRK2 signal intensities in fraction 6 were of moderate intensity (~30%), perhaps a reflection of two broad populations of GIRK channels in the CNS (raft-associated and -independent). These data provide an explanation for the modest contribution of GIRK3-containing channels to baclofen-evoked current in CA1 neurons and support the contention that an active process of compartmentalization affects the coupling of GIRK channels to receptors in a subunit-dependent manner.

Cell biological, biochemical, and electrophysiological evidence indicated that GIRK1/GIRK2 heteromultimers represent the dominant GIRK channel linked to postsynaptic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors, whereas GIRK3-containing channels make a modest contribution to current density, in hippocampal CA1 neurons. To determine whether this subunit composition is required for effective coupling of GIRK channels to GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors in vivo, we probed the GIRK channel subunit content in the SNc. Consistent with our in situ hybridization data, we were unable to detect specific GIRK1 labeling in the SNc (data not shown). GIRK2 staining, however, was prominent and most evident in dendritic arbors located in the substantia nigra pars reticulata (SNr) (Fig. 7A). Staining for GIRK2 was normal in sections from GIRK1 KO and GIRK3 KO mice (data not shown) but completely absent in sections from GIRK2 KO mice (Fig. 7B).

Ultrastructural colabeling experiments indicated that GIRK2 was found in both TH-positive (putative dopaminergic) and TH-negative (putative GABAergic) neurons in the SNc (Fig. 7C,D). In both neuron types, GIRK2 labeling was found primarily at extrasynaptic sites in dendrites. Furthermore, colabeling studies indicated a significant overlap in the subcellular distributions of GABA<sub>B</sub>(1) and GIRK2 in the SNc (Fig. 7E,F). Consistent with our observations in the hippocampus, a small percentage of total GIRK2 labeling was seen in presynaptic terminals establishing excitatory (asymmetrical) synapses with GIRK2-positive dendritic shafts (data not shown).

Baclofen-evoked currents were measured in SNc dopamine (DA) neurons in slices from WT and GIRK KO mice. DA neurons were identified on the basis of size and functional properties as described (Materials and Methods). Baclofen (50 μM) evoked a desensitizing outward current in WT SNc neurons (150 ± 17 pA; n = 12) (Fig. 8A). The current desensitization profile was similar to that observed in the VTA (Cruz et al., 2004) and in mammalian cells transfected with GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor subunits and GIRK2 (Mutneja et al., 2005). The baclofen-induced current was reversed by CGP354626 (1 μM) and was significantly smaller in the presence of 1 mM Ba<sup>2+</sup> (13 ± 2 pA; n = 5; p < 0.001) (Fig. 8B,C). In GIRK1 KO (137 ± 20 pA; n = 6) and GIRK3 KO (131 ± 19 pA; n = 7) mice, baclofen-induced currents in SNc DA neurons were of similar magnitude and exhibited the same desensitization profile (Fig. 8C). In stark contrast, the current measured in GIRK2 KO SNc DA neurons was virtually absent (11 ± 2 pA; n = 4; p < 0.001) and was completely insensitive to 1 mM Ba<sup>2+</sup> (10 ± 2 pA; n = 7) (data not shown). These studies suggest that the GIRK channel coupled to the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor in SNc DA neurons is formed solely by a product(s) of the GIRK2 gene.

**Discussion**

In this work, we probed the relevance of individual GIRK subunits to neuronal GIRK channels. We exploited the differential
subunit expression patterns in the hippocampus and SN to assess the relevance of subunit composition to receptor coupling and subcellular distribution. We demonstrated that GIRK channels account for the vast majority of the postsynaptic current triggered by GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor activation in both CA1 pyramidal neurons and DA neurons of the SNC. In the hippocampus, complexes formed by GIRK1 and GIRK2 appear to be the dominant underlying channel, although GIRK3 also makes a minor contribution to current density. GIRK1, GIRK2, GIRK3, and the GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> subunits were found to be variably distributed in lipid rafts, supporting the argument that multiple pools of GIRK channels of distinct subunit composition exist in the CNS. Indeed, we demonstrated that the GIRK channel coupled to the GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> receptor in the SNC DA neurons consists solely of GIRK2 gene product(s). As such, our findings support the contention that cellular and subcellular diversity exists with respect to neuronal GIRK channels and provide insight into the relevance of individual subunits to channel function.

The loss of GIRK1 in CA1 neurons had little impact on residual GIRK protein levels and subcellular distributions. Nevertheless, the residual GIRK subunits were incapable of coupling effectively to GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> receptors, reminiscent of our previous observations in atrial myocytes from GIRK1 KO mice (Bettahi et al., 2002). We conclude, therefore, that GIRK1 promotes effective coupling between GIRK channels containing this subunit and G-protein-coupled receptors. Our observation that GIRK2 homomultimeric channels couple effectively to GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> receptors in the SNC indicates, however, that GIRK1 is not required for robust channel–receptor interactions. Observed differences in the coupling to GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> receptors of native GIRK2 homomultimers in SNC DA neurons and residual GIRK channels in GIRK1 KO CA1 neurons argue that distinct mechanisms facilitate effective channel–receptor interactions in a cell type-dependent manner.

The inability of residual GIRK2 homomultimeric channels to mediate robust baclofen-evoked current responses in CA1 neurons from GIRK1 KO mice may be explained in several ways. For example, the GIRK channel in the SN was proposed to consist of GIRK2a and GIRK2c subunit isoforms (Wei et al., 1998; Inanobe et al., 1999), and one of these GIRK2 variants may be functionally equivalent to GIRK1. Both of these GIRK2 variants, however, were found in the hippocampus (Leaney, 2003). Alternatively, an unidentified protein(s) may facilitate channel coupling with receptors in a cell type-specific manner. It is also tempting to speculate that GIRK1 promotes the raft association of GIRK channels. In this regard, it is interesting to note that the mature glycosylation of many proteins occurs before their association with lipid rafts (Simons and Ikonen, 1997; Roper et al., 2000; Kiddell et al., 2001; Vinson et al., 2003). GIRK1 has a single N-linked glycosylation consensus sequence at residue 119. In COS-7 cells, GIRK4 and the glycosylation-deficient (N119D) GIRK1 mutant combined to form functional channels with biophysical properties identical to those of the WT GIRK1/GIRK4 channel (Kennedy et al., 1999). Channel activity was evoked, however, with direct application of G<sub>B</sub>Y; thus, the impact of the N119D mutation on channel interactions with receptor and endogenous G-proteins was not explored. Although perhaps irrelevant in the context of a non-native environment, mature glycosylation of GIRK1 may be required for the delivery of GIRK1/GIRK2 heteromultimers to specific subcellular compartments and/or for positioning near GAB<sub>A</sub><sub>B</sub> receptors.

GIRK3 was proposed to target functional neuronal GIRK channels (GIRK1/GIRK2 heteromultimers and GIRK2 homomultimers) to lysosomal degradation pathways (Ma et al., 2002).
If this were the primary role for GIRK3, one would predict that the levels and/or activity of residual GIRK channels would be elevated in GIRK3 KO mice. In CA1 neurons from GIRK3 KO mice, however, we failed to detect enhanced baclofen-evoked current. Rather, the concurrent absence of GIRK2 and GIRK3 was necessary to eliminate nearly all of the baclofen-evoked current in these neurons. Furthermore, the levels of residual GIRK1 and GIRK2 were not increased in hippocampal protein samples from GIRK3 KO mice. Indeed, the impact of GIRK2 and/or GIRK3 ablation on the level of residual GIRK1 (particularly on the level of heavily glycosylated GIRK1) is most consistent with a channel-forming role for GIRK3.

Although our observations do not support a trafficking role for GIRK3, GIRK3-containing channels seem to play only a modest role in the postsynaptic inhibitory effects of GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor stimulation. Indeed, baclofen-induced currents in CA1 neurons were only modestly reduced (~10 pA) with the loss of GIRK3. A similar modest contribution of GIRK3 to opioid-induced current was observed in the locus coeruleus (Torrecilla et al., 2002). Thus, whereas GIRK3 adds to the repertoire of functional GIRK channels in the CNS, it seems likely that we have not as yet identified its primary contribution. GIRK3-containing channels may display preferential coupling to a subset of G-protein-coupled receptors not challenged in this or previous studies. It is also possible that GIRK3-containing channels are preferentially distributed to distal dendrites and/or axonal compartments that make minimal contributions to the whole-cell currents measured in this and previous studies.

Consistent with previous studies (Ponce et al., 1996; Drake et al., 1997; Luscher et al., 1997; Takigawa and Alzheimer, 1999; Chen and Johnston, 2005), we found GIRK1 and GIRK2 primarily in the dendritic compartment. GIRK1 and GIRK2 were found at extrasynaptic or perisynaptic sites of postsynaptic specialization in hippocampal CA1 neurons, reminiscent of the distribution of the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor (Kilik et al., 2003). Extrasynaptic and perisynaptic GABA<sub>B</sub> receptors are proposed to respond to GABA “spilling over” from synapses during synchronous activity of many inhibitory interneurons (Scanziani, 2000; Nicoll, 2004). Given our data, it seems reasonable to suggest that GIRK channels consisting of GIRK1 and GIRK2 mediate the dominant component of the GABA-mediated postsynaptic inhibition. GIRK channels may also contribute to the inhibitory effects of other receptors. For example, metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 is also found extrasynaptically and perisynaptically in the CA1 neuron dendrites (Lujan et al., 1996), and studies in expression systems have shown that this receptor can couple to GIRK channels (Malherbe et al., 2002). Thus, GIRK channels may serve as a break in the context of elevated glutamate release.

Although the extrasynaptic distributions of GIRK1 and GIRK2 were strikingly similar, only GIRK2 was detected within the synaptic specialization. The absence of GIRK1 in the postsynaptic specialization is additional evidence of the molecular diversity of GIRK channels in the CNS and is consistent with recent observations made in the spinal cord dorsal horn (Marker et al., 2005). Channels within the synaptic specialization are likely either GIRK2 homomultimers or GIRK2/GIRK3 heterotetramers. The distribution of such channels within the synaptic specialization may reflect the presence of a PDZ (postsynaptic density-95/Discs large/zona occludens-1) interaction motif on one of the GIRK2 splice variants and/or GIRK3 (Iannobe et al., 1999; Hibino et al., 2000; Nehring et al., 2000; Kurachi and Ishii, 2004). At present, the functional significance of GIRK channels within the synaptic specialization is unknown.

Consistent with previous observations (Morishige et al., 1996; Ponce et al., 1996; Marker et al., 2005) but contrary to observations from functional studies (Luscher et al., 1997), we found small but significant presynaptic labeling for GIRK subunits in glutamatergic terminals establishing synapses with CA1 neurons. Presynaptic inhibition is thought to result primarily from the G-protein-dependent suppression of voltage-gated Ca<sup>2+</sup> channel activity (Dolphin and Scott, 1986; Scott and Dolphin, 1986; Scholz and Miller, 1991; Tatebayashi and Ogata, 1992; Campbell et al., 1993). Functional studies involving GIRK2 KO mice have not supported a contribution of GIRK channels to presynaptic inhibition (Luscher et al., 1997). It is possible, however, that the resolution of techniques used to sample presynaptic inhibition in GIRK2 KO mice was insufficient to detect a modest contribution of GIRK channels to the suppression of neurotransmitter release. Alternatively, GIRK2 and GIRK3 may assemble to form presynaptic GIRK channels (Grosse et al., 2003), and the simultaneous ablation of both subunits may then be required to significantly affect this putative mechanism of presynaptic inhibition. Examining the potential contribution of GIRK channels to presynaptic inhibition will be facilitated by future studies involving GIRK2/GIRK3 KO mice.

In summary, we found that the three primary neuronal GIRK subunits assemble to form multiple pools of functional channels that can differ from cell to cell and across subcellular compartments. Furthermore, we present evidence that multiple active processes of signaling compartmentalization facilitate interactions between the GABA<sub>B</sub> receptor and neuronal GIRK channels of defined subunit composition. These observations indicate that it may be possible to use GIRK channel subtype-specific drugs or gene therapy to selectively modulate neuronal excitability, which may prove useful in the contexts of epilepsy, mood disorders, pain, and addiction.
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