Nicotine-Induced Antinociception, Rewarding Effects, and Physical Dependence Are Decreased in Mice Lacking the Preproenkephalin Gene
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Introduction
Nicotine, the main psychoactive component of tobacco, induces its pharmacological effects by acting on nicotinic acetylcholine receptors (nAChRs). These receptors are ligand-gated cation channels composed of five membrane subunits that combine to form a functional receptor (Dani, 2001). Thus far, 12 different subunits (α2-α10 and β2-β4) have been shown to contribute to the formation of nAChRs in the nervous system (Mansvelder et al., 2003). The specific function of the different nAChR subtypes has not been fully understood, and the generation of knock-out mice lacking nAChR subunits has provided a new tool to further clarify the role of these receptors in the CNS (for review, see Cordero-Erusquín et al., 2000). Nicotine modifies the release of many neurotransmitters by acting at presynaptic sites, which is consistent with a modulatory role of nAChRs in the CNS (Wonnacott, 1997).

It has been shown previously that the endogenous opioid system may be involved in the behavioral effects of nicotine. In the present study, the participation of endogenous enkephalins on nicotine responses has been investigated by using preproenkephalin knock-out mice. Acute nicotine-induced hypolocomotion remained unaffected in these mice. In contrast, antinociception elicited in the tail-immersion and hot-plate tests by acute nicotine administration was reduced in mutant animals. The rewarding properties of nicotine were then investigated using the place-conditioning paradigm. Nicotine induced a conditioned place preference in wild-type animals, but this effect was absent in knock-out mice. Accordingly, in vivo microdialysis studies revealed that the enhancement in dopamine extracellular levels in the nucleus accumbens induced by nicotine was also reduced in preproenkephalin-deficient mice. Finally, the somatic expression of the nicotine withdrawal syndrome precipitated in nicotine-dependent mice by mecamylamine was significantly attenuated in mutant animals. In summary, the present results indicate that endogenous opioid peptides derived from preproenkephalin are involved in the antinociceptive and rewarding properties of nicotine and participate in the expression of physical nicotine dependence.
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Animal and clinical studies have suggested the participation of the endogenous opioid system in different behavioral responses to nicotine, mainly concerning its antinociceptive and addictive properties. Thus, the administration of the opioid antagonist naloxone decreases nicotine-induced antinociception in rodents (Tripathi et al., 1982; Zarrindast et al., 1997). In addition, nicotine administration before the μ-opioid antagonist β-funaltrexamine prevents the ability of this antagonist to block morphine antinociception (Davenport et al., 1990), indicating that endogenous opioids released by nicotine administration bind to μ-opioid receptors and protect from β-funaltrexamine effects.

Nicotine has been reported to produce both rewarding and aversive responses by stimulating mesolimbic dopaminergic transmission (Pontieri et al., 1996; Dani and De Biasi, 2001; Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2003), which represents a common substrate for the motivational effects of many drugs of abuse (Koob and Le Moal, 2001). Different clinical studies have indicated an involvement of opioids in the reinforcement of cigarette smoking. Thus, the administration of opioid antagonists such as naltrexone has been shown to reduce cigarette consumption and the satisfaction during ad libitum smoking (Karras and Kane, 1980; Lerner et al., 1998; Wewers et al., 1998; Covey et al., 1999). However, other human studies with opioid antagonists have obtained negative results (Sutherland et al., 1995), and additional trials are needed to identify possible subgroups that may be particularly responsive to opioid antagonism (Modesto-Lowe and...
Van Kirk, 2002). The participation of opioid mechanisms in nicotine physical dependence has also been reported. Indeed, the opioid antagonist naloxone is able to precipitate a withdrawal syndrome in nicotine-dependent rats (Malin et al., 1993; Adams and Cicero, 1998). Besides, somatic manifestations of withdrawal could be observed in chronic smokers following naloxone challenge (Krishnan-Sarin et al., 1999). The specific involvement of μ-opioid receptors in this functional interaction between nicotine and the opioid system has been recently clarified by using knock-out mice. Thus, the antinociceptive and rewarding effects of nicotine as well as nicotine physical dependence were reduced in mice lacking μ-opioid receptors (Berrendero et al., 2002).

Different endogenous opioid peptides derived from the three protein precursors identified (proopi-melanocortin, proenkephalin and prodynorphin) show high affinity for the μ-opioid receptor. In this study, we evaluated the role of endogenous peptides derived from proenkephalin in acute and chronic nicotine responses by using preproenkephalin-deficient mice (Konig et al., 1996). Locomotor and antinociceptive effects induced by acute nicotine administration were first investigated. The conditioned place-preference paradigm was used to evaluate the rewarding properties of nicotine. In vivo microdialysis studies were performed to determine the effects of nicotine on the extracellular levels of dopamine (DA) in the nucleus accumbens (NAc).

Finally, the behavioral expression of mecamylamine-precipitated withdrawal after chronic nicotine treatment was evaluated in these knock-out mice.

Materials and Methods

Animals. The generation of mice lacking the preproenkephalin gene has been described previously (Konig et al., 1996). Mice were housed five per cage in a temperature (21 ± 1°C)- and humidity (55 ± 10%)-controlled room with a 12 h light/dark cycle (light between 8:00 A.M. and 8:00 P.M.). Food and water were available ad libitum. Mice were habituated to their new environment and handled for 1 week before starting the experimental procedure. The mice (8–12 weeks of age) used in this study were on a C57BL/6j genetic background and were obtained from hybrid mutant mice originally created on a 129 SV-C57BL6 background (Konig et al., 1996). Knock-out mice used in these experiments have been crossed for 12 generations to C57BL/6j mice purchased from The Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Because of this extensive backbreeding, these knock-out mice have virtually no remaining 129sv genetic background.

Furthermore, to avoid a genetic drift, these knock-out mice were crossbred in the laboratory every third or fourth generation to C57BL/6j mice purchased from The Jackson Laboratory.

In each experimental group, mice were matched for age and sex. Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with the guidelines of the European Communities Directive 86/609/EEC regulating animal research and approved by the local ethical committee. The observer was blind to genotype and treatment in all of the experiments.

Drugs. (−)Nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt [(−)-1-methyl-2-[3-pyrindyl]pyrrolidine] and mecamylamine hydrochloride (Sigma, Madrid, Spain) were dissolved in physiological saline (0.9%) and administered by subcutaneous route in a volume of 10 ml/kg. All nicotine doses were calculated as nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt. Ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) (Imalgène 1000; Rhône Mérieux, Lyon, France) and xylazine hydrochloride (20 mg/kg) (Sigma) were mixed and dissolved in ethanol (5%) and distilled water (95%). This anesthetic mixture was administered in an injection volume of 20 ml/kg body weight (i.p.). Tetradoxorine (TTX) (Sigma) was dissolved in Ringer’s solution. All of the other substances used for neurochemical and histological studies were obtained from Sigma.

Locomotor activity. The locomotor responses induced by acute administration of nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline administration were measured by using individual locomotor activity boxes (9 × 20 × 11 cm; Imetronic, Passac, France). The boxes were equipped with one line of photocells placed 2 cm above the floor to evaluate horizontal movements and another line 6 cm above the floor to measure vertical activity (rears). Mice were habituated to the locomotor cages daily (10 min in the morning) on three consecutive days. On day 4, mice were placed in the locomotor cages 5 min after drug injection, and horizontal and vertical locomotor activity were recorded for 10 min in a low-luminosity environment (20–25 lux).

Tail-immersion and hot-plate tests. The tail-immersion test was measured 15 min after acute nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline administration. The water temperature was maintained at 50 ± 0.5°C using a thermo-regulated water circulating pump (Clifton, North Somerset, UK). The time (in seconds) to withdraw the tail from the bath was measured, with a cutoff latency of 5 s to prevent tissue damage.

The hot-plate test was performed 16 min after acute nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline injection. The heated surface of the plate was kept at a temperature of 52 ± 0.1°C (Columbus Instruments, Columbus, OH). The nociceptive threshold evaluated was the jumping response. In absence of jumps, a 240 s cutoff was used to prevent tissue damage.

The data obtained were expressed as percentage of maximum possible effect using the following equation: (MPE %) = [(test latency − control latency)/(cutoff time − control latency)] × 100.

Conditioned place preference. The rewarding effects of nicotine were evaluated by using the conditioned place-preference paradigm, as described recently (Castañé et al., 2002). The apparatus consisted of two main square conditioning compartments separated by a triangular central division. The movement and location of the mice were monitored by computerized monitoring software (VideoTrack; View Point, Lyon, France). During the preconditioning phase, each mouse was placed in the middle of the central division and had free access to both compartments of the conditioning apparatus for 18 min, with the time spent in each compartment recorded. Treatments were counterbalanced between compartments to use an unbiased procedure. No initial place preference or aversion for the different compartments was observed in the experiment.

In the conditioning phase, mice were treated during 8 d with alternate injections of nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (0.25, 0.5, and 1 mg/kg, s.c.), or saline. Mice were confined into the corresponding compartment immediately after injection by using guillotine doors matching the walls for 20 min. Nicotine was administered on days 1, 3, 5, and 7 and saline on days 2, 4, 6, and 8. Control animals received saline every day. The test phase was conducted as in the preconditioning phase (i.e., free access to both compartments for 18 min) and the time spent in each compartment recorded. The time in the central area was proportionally shared and added to the time value of each compartment as reported previously (Maldonado et al., 1997). A score was calculated for each mouse as the difference between the postconditioning and preconditioning time spent in the drug-paired compartment. In an additional experiment, the nicotinic antagonist mecamylamine (1 mg/kg, s.c.) was administered 15 min before nicotine (0.5 mg/kg, s.c.) during the conditioning phase in wild-type animals to verify the mediation of nicotinic acetylcholine receptors in nicotine-rewarding properties.

Surgery and microdialysis procedure. Mice were anesthetized with a ketamine/xylazine mixture (0.2 ml/10 g body weight, i.p.) and placed in a stereotactic apparatus with a flat skull (Paxinos and Franklin, 1997). A small hole was drilled on the right side of the skull, and the cannula guide (CMA/7; CMA Microdialysis, Stockholm, Sweden) was implanted vertically 1 mm above the NAc (anteroposterior, +1.60 mm; mediolateral, −0.9 mm; dorsoventral, −3.80 mm from bregma) and then fixed to the skull with dental cement. Three days after the surgery, the analytical probe (CMA/711 mm; CMA Microdialysis) was inserted into the guide cannula. Two days after probe implantation, animals were habituated to the experimental environment overnight. The following morning, Ring-er’s solution was pumped through the dialysis probe at a constant rate of 1 µl/min. Samples were taken every 15 min and analyzed by HPLC (see below). Baseline samples were taken during 1.5 h, and subsequently mice were injected with either saline or nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt (0.5, 1, and 3 mg/kg, s.c.). Collection of samples was continued for 3 h after injection. To ensure that the DA content in the dialysate was impulse
dependent, baseline samples were taken for 2 h in a separate experiment and then Ringer’s solution containing the fast Na+ channel blocker, TTX (5 µM), was perfused for 80 min. Samples were taken for an additional 3 h.

HPLC procedure. Dialysate samples (15 µl) were injected without any purification into an HPLC system that consisted of a pump linked to an automatic injector (Agilent 1100; Agilent Technologies, Palo Alto, CA), a reverse-phase column (Zorbax SB C18, 3.5 µm, 150 × 4.6 mm; Agilent Technologies), and a coulometric detector (Coulonchem II; CMA Microdialysis, North Chelmsford, MA) with a 501 analytical cell to quantify DA, 3,4-dihydroxyphenylacetic acid (DOPAC), and homovanillic acid (HVA). The first electrode was fixed at –100 mV and the second electrode at +300 mV. The gain of the detector was set at 50 nA. The signal of the second electrode was connected to a HP Chemstation for HPLC (revision A08.03847)). The composition of the mobile phase was 50 mM NaH2PO4, 0.1 mM Na2EDTA, 0.65 mM octyl sodium sulfate, and 14% (v/v) methanol, pH 3.5. The flow rate was set at 1 ml/min. The sensitivity of the assay was 1 pg/15 µl for DA, 5 pg/15 µl for DOPAC, and 10 pg/15 µl for HVA. Calibration curves were prepared for DA with standards between 1 and 50 pg/sample, for DOPAC between 20 and 100 pg/sample, and for HVA between 20 and 1000 pg/sample. Five replicates of a given concentration of DA (15 pg/sample), DOPAC (150 pg/sample), and HVA (150 pg/sample) were analyzed for determination of intra-assay precision and accuracy. Precision, expressed as the percentage relative error of the calculated concentrations, was 13.12 for DA, 8.67 for DOPAC, and 4.14 for HVA.

One single mean basal level of DA, DOPAC, or HVA was calculated from three baseline samples collected separately, and the values of DA, DOPAC, or HVA after administration of either nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt or saline were normalized to this basal level. DA concentrations after either nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt or saline challenge were converted to area under the curve (AUC) using a standard trapezoid method (Gibaldi and Perrier, 1975). The following equation was used: AUC = \(0.5(B + C_1)d + 0.5(C_1 + C_2)d + 0.5(C_1 + C_2)^d + \ldots + 0.5(C_{n-1} + C_n)^d - Bd\), where \(B\) is the average basal value, \(C_1\) to \(C_n\) are values during drug challenge, and \(d\) is the duration of the sample (15 min in this case).

Histology. At the end of the experiments, animals were killed, and brains were quickly removed and stored at –80°C. To check the position of the probe, brains were cut using a cryostat in 20 µm serial coronal sections, which were then processed with cresyl violet and observed under a microscope. Only those animals that had been implanted correctly were included in the study.

Nicotine dependence and withdrawal. Nicotine dependence was induced by using Alzet osmotic minipumps (model 1901; Alzet, Cupertino, CA) as reported previously (Castané et al., 2002). These minipumps, implanted subcutaneously under brief ether anesthesia, contained saline or nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt solutions and delivered a constant subcutaneous flow in a rate of 1 µl/h. The concentration of nicotine was adjusted to compensate for differences in the mouse body weight. Thus, the mice received a mean dose of ~25 mg/kg/d of nicotine hydrogen tartrate salt during 6 d. Nicotine withdrawal syndrome was precipitated 6 d after minipump implantation by injection of the nicotinic receptor antagonist mecamylamine (1 mg/kg, s.c.). The somatic signs of withdrawal were evaluated immediately after mecamylamine injection during 30 min, as reported previously (Castané et al., 2002). The number of wet dog shakes, front paw tremors, sniffing, and scratching were counted. Body tremor, ptosis, teeth chattering, genital licks, and piloerection were scored 1 for appearance or 0 for nonappearance within each 5 min period. The locomotor activity over 5 min periods was rated 0, 1, or 2 (0 for inactivity, 1 for low activity, and 2 for normal activity). A global withdrawal score was calculated for each animal by giving each individual sign a relative weight: 0.5 for each episode of wet dog shake, front paw tremor, sniffing, and scratching; and 1 for the presence of ptosis, genital lick, tremor, piloerection, and teeth chattering during each 5 min observation period. The relative weight of locomotor activity for each 5 min period was 0 for normal activity, 0.5 for low activity, and 1 for inactivity (Castané et al., 2002).

Statistical analysis. Acute nicotine effects and global withdrawal scores were compared by using a between-subjects two-way ANOVA (genotype and treatment as factors of variation), followed by one-way ANOVA for individual differences and post hoc comparisons (Dunnett’s test) when required. For place-conditioning studies, score values were compared by using a between-subjects two-way ANOVA (genotype and treatment as factors of variation). Time spent in the drug-paired compartment during pretest in the different groups was compared by a one-way ANOVA to ensure use of an unbiased procedure. Individual comparisons of time spent in the drug-paired compartment during preconditioning and test phases were made with a paired two-tailed Student’s t test. The microdialysis data were analyzed using three-way ANOVA with treatment (saline and nicotine) and genotype (wild-type and knock-out) as between-subjects factors and time after nicotine injection as within-subjects factor. Basal levels of DA, DOPAC, and HVA were analyzed between genotypes by using a two-tailed Student’s t test. AUC values were compared by using a between-subjects two-way ANOVA (genotype and treatment as factors of variation), followed by one-way ANOVA for individual differences and post hoc comparisons (Dunnett’s test) when required. Differences were considered significant if the probability of error was <5%.

Results

Nicotine decreased locomotion in wild-type and preproenkephalin-deficient mice

On days 1, 2, and 3, animals were exposed to the locomotor activity boxes to be habituated to the test environment (data not shown), and acute effects of nicotine (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg, s.c.) were evaluated on day 4. Nicotine decreased locomotion in enkephalin knock-out mice and wild-type littermates (Fig. 1). Two-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment on the horizontal activity (\(F_{(3,165)} = 70.02; p < 0.0001\)) but not effect of genotype (\(F_{(1,165)} = 0.00; \) NS) nor interaction between treatment and genotype (\(F_{(3,165)} = 0.74; \) NS). Subsequent one-way ANOVA (treatment) indicated a significant effect of treatment in wild-type (\(F_{(3,86)} = 30.32; p < 0.0001\)) and knock-out mice (\(F_{(3,79)} = 48.02; p < 0.0001\)). Post hoc analysis showed a similar decrease of horizontal activity when nicotine was administered in wild-type (3 and 6 mg/kg; \(p < 0.01\)) and preproenkephalin-deficient mice (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg; \(p < 0.01\)) (Fig. 1A).

Two-way ANOVA also revealed a significant effect of treatment on the vertical activity (\(F_{(3,165)} = 49.02; p < 0.0001\)), without effect of genotype (\(F_{(1,165)} = 0.62; \) NS) or interaction between these two factors (\(F_{(3,165)} = 0.71; \) NS). One-way ANOVA revealed a significant effect of treatment in wild-type (\(F_{(3,86)} = 28.18; p < 0.0001\)) and knock-out mice (\(F_{(3,79)} = 27.51; p < 0.0001\)). Post hoc comparisons showed a similar reduction of vertical activity when nicotine was administered in wild-type (3 and 6 mg/kg; \(p < 0.01\)) and preproenkephalin-deficient mice (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg; \(p < 0.01\)) (Fig. 1B).

Nicotine antinociception was reduced in preproenkephalin-deficient mice

Nicotine-induced antinociceptive responses (1, 3, and 6 mg/kg, s.c.) were decreased in preproenkephalin knock-out compared with wild-type mice in the hot-plate and the tail-immersion tests (Fig. 2). In the hot-plate, two-way ANOVA showed a significant effect of treatment (\(F_{(3,162)} = 91.61; p < 0.0001\)), genotype (\(F_{(1,162)} = 12.54; p < 0.001\)), and interaction between treatment and genotype (\(F_{(3,162)} = 4.41; p < 0.01\)). Subsequent one-way ANOVA revealed significant treatment effects in wild-type (\(F_{(3,84)} = 74.25; p < 0.0001\)) and knock-out mice (\(F_{(3,78)} = 25.13; p < 0.0001\)). Nicotine induced an antinociceptive response at the doses of 3 and 6 mg/kg (\(p < 0.01\)) in both genotypes as revealed
One-way ANOVA revealed a similar time spent in the drug-paired compartment during the preconditioning phase in the different groups ($F_{(1,115)} = 4.47; p < 0.05$), without effect of treatment ($F_{(1,115)} = 2.75; NS$) and a significant interaction between these two factors ($F_{(1,115)} = 5.16; p < 0.05$). Subsequent one-way ANOVA revealed that nicotine produced a conditioned place preference for the nicotine-assigned compartment in wild-type mice (score values: saline, $-26.54 \pm 15.89$; nicotine, $90.29 \pm 29.60$) ($p < 0.01$), whereas no effect was observed in knock-out mice (score values: saline, $-21.84 \pm 32.30$; nicotine, $-40.08 \pm 40.63$) (data not shown).
In an additional experiment, mecamylamine (1 mg/kg) pre-treatment blocked the conditioned place preference induced by nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) in wild-type animals, indicating that this effect is mediated by nicotinic acetylcholine receptors. One-way ANOVA revealed a similar time spent in the drug-paired compartment during the preconditioning phase in the different groups ($F_{(3,53)} = 0.1072$; NS), ensuring the use of an unbiased procedure. A significant rewarding effect of nicotine was only observed in the group receiving saline plus nicotine. Thus, mice treated with saline plus nicotine spent significantly ($p < 0.01$) more time in the drug-paired compartment during the testing than during the preconditioning phase. In contrast, the other three groups of mice (saline plus saline, mecamylamine plus saline, and mecamylamine plus nicotine) spent the same time in the drug-paired compartment during both phases (Fig. 4). Two-way ANOVA calculated for score values (saline plus saline, $-24.04 \pm 40.59$; mecamylamine plus saline, $41.17 \pm 53.02$; saline plus nicotine, $144.04 \pm 33.00$; mecamylamine plus nicotine, $30.35 \pm 37.43$) indicated no effect of nicotine treatment ($F_{(1,53)} = 3.45$; NS) or mecamylamine ($F_{(1,53)} = 0.33$; NS) but a significant interaction between nicotine and mecamylamine treatments ($F_{(1,53)} = 4.47; p < 0.05$) (data not shown).

Nicotine-enhanced extracellular dopamine in the nucleus accumbens is attenuated in preproenkephalin-deficient mice
Basal levels of DA (wild-type, $3.87 \pm 0.77$; knock-out, $4.78 \pm 1.25$ pg/15 µl; NS), DOPAC (wild-type, $174.74 \pm 40.11$; knock-out, $146.69 \pm 16.21$ pg/15 µl; NS), and HVA (wild-type, $79.20 \pm 11.87$; knock-out, $88.49 \pm 11.96$ pg/15 µl; NS) in NAc dialysates were similar in both genotypes. These basal levels are directly related to the physiological dopaminergic transmission because DA, DOPAC, and HVA decreased to undetectable levels 40 min after TTX perfusion and recovered to previous basal levels after TTX was removed.

Nicotine (0.5 mg/kg, s.c.) induced an enhancement of DA extracellular levels in the NAC that was clearly revealed in wild-type mice. A higher dose of nicotine (1 mg/kg, s.c.) did not modify DA efflux in the NAc in both wild-type and mutant mice. Three-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of time ($F_{(1,319)} = 3.341; p < 0.001$) and treatment ($F_{(2,29)} = 12.121; p < 0.001$), whereas no gene patrimony effect ($F_{(2,29)} = 1.284$; NS) was observed after nicotine injection. No significant two- or three-way interactions between factors were observed (Fig. 5A). In Figure 5B, AUC values for DA extracellular levels after nicotine (0.5 and 1 mg/kg, s.c.) or saline administration are shown. Two-way ANOVA indicated treatment effect ($F_{(2,29)} = 7.293; p < 0.01$), no genotype effect ($F_{(1,29)} = 1.703$; NS), and a significant interaction between these two factors ($F_{(2,29)} = 3.172; p < 0.05$). Subsequent one-way ANOVA (treatment) revealed a significant effect of nicotine in wild-type mice ($F_{(2,17)} = 9.839; p < 0.01$) but not in knock-out mice ($F_{(2,12)} = 0.535$; NS). Post hoc comparisons showed that nicotine induced an enhancement of AUC values for DA extracellular levels in the NAc only in wild-type mice at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg ($p < 0.01$) when compared with the saline group. A reduction of nicotine effects in AUC values in preproenkephalin mutants when compared with control animals at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg ($p < 0.05$) was also revealed. These results indicate that the deletion of the preproenkephalin gene impaired the effects of nicotine on the extracellular levels of DA.

Nicotine treatment induced a significant increase of DOPAC levels in NAc dialysates in both genotypes, whereas nonsignificant changes were observed in HVA levels. Three-way ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of treatment for DOPAC ($F_{(2,29)} = 8.09; p < 0.05$) but not for HVA ($F_{(2,21)} = 3.00$; NS). A significant effect of time was observed for DOPAC ($F_{(1,319)} = 7.46; p < 0.01$) and HVA ($F_{(1,213)} = 2.334; p < 0.05$). No effects of gene patrimony were observed for DOPAC and HVA ($F_{(1,29)} = 0.235$, NS, and $F_{(1,21)} = 0.004$, NS, respectively). No significant three-way interactions between factors were observed (data not shown).

In an additional experiment, nicotine at the dose of 3 mg/kg (s.c.) induced a decrease of DA extracellular levels in the NAc in wild-type mice ($F_{(2,60)} = 4.630; p < 0.01$) when compared with basal values. This reduction was significant 165 min (63.46% of reduction regarding the basal value) and 180 min (59.42% of reduction regarding the basal value) ($p < 0.05$) after nicotine injection (data not shown).

Figure 6 shows a representative coronal section of the mouse brain stained with cresyl violet, illustrating the placement of the microdialysis probe through the NAc. Most probes were located medial to the anterior commissure, between 1.7 and 0.74 mm from bregma, and dorsal to the olfactory tubercle. Mice with the
placement of the microdialysis probe outside of the NAc were
excluded from the study.

Somatic expression of nicotine withdrawal is attenuated in
preproenkephalin-deficient mice

During the behavioral observation performed before mecamylamine administration, no somatic signs of withdrawal were observed in any group of animals. After mecamylamine injection, nicotine withdrawal syndrome was manifested by the presence of a variety of somatic signs in mice receiving chronic nicotine perfusion, as reported previously (Castané et al., 2002) (Table 1). The intensity of the withdrawal syndrome was decreased in mice lacking the preproenkephalin gene, as shown by two-way ANOVA calculated for global withdrawal scores (treatment: \( F_{(1,52)} = 19.66, p < 0.0001 \); genotype: \( F_{(1,52)} = 5.08, p < 0.05 \); interaction: \( F_{(1,52)} = 5.24, p < 0.05 \) (Fig. 6A). One-way

ANOVA revealed significant increases in the global withdrawal scores in wild-type (\( p < 0.01 \)) and knock-out (\( p < 0.05 \)) mice receiving chronic nicotine compared with saline-treated controls. A significant decrease of withdrawal was observed in nicotine-treated knock-out mice compared with nicotine-dependent wild-type animals (\( p < 0.05 \)) (Fig. 7A). The most prominent withdrawal sign attenuated in mutant mice was the number of scratches, as revealed by two-way ANOVA (treatment: \( F_{(1,52)} = 4.45, p < 0.05 \); genotype: \( F_{(1,52)} = 10.95, p < 0.01 \); interaction: \( F_{(1,52)} = 4.98, p < 0.05 \) (Fig. 7B) and subsequent one-way ANOVA (\( p < 0.05 \), comparison between nicotine- and saline-treated wild-type mice; \( p < 0.01 \), comparison between nicotine-treated wild-type and mutant mice).

Discussion

In this study, we show that the effects induced by nicotine on antinociception, reward, and DA extracellular levels in the NAc as well as nicotine withdrawal syndrome precipitated by mecamylamine are reduced in preproenkephalin knock-out mice. These data are in agreement with our recent findings using mice lacking \( \mu \)-opioid receptors (Berrendero et al., 2002) and identify a family of endogenous opioid peptides that play a major role in these nicotine responses, presumably by activating \( \mu \)-opioid receptors.

We first analyzed the acute effects induced by nicotine on antinociception and locomotor activity in preproenkephalin knock-out mice. Nicotine has been shown widely to produce antinociception in animal models, and the therapeutic potential of nAChR agonists in pain relief is currently being evaluated.
preproenkephalin, which would participate in the antinociceptive effects induced by nicotine, presumably by stimulating μ-opioid receptors. In agreement, an increase of preproenkephalin mRNA expression in specific brain regions, such as striatum and hippocampus, has been reported after acute nicotine administration (Dhatt et al., 1995; Houdi et al., 1998; Isola et al., 2002). Furthermore, opioid receptors and different subunits for nAChRs involved in nicotine antinociception, such as α4 and β2, overlap in several neuronal regions involved in the control of pain (Mansour et al., 1995; Marubio et al., 1999). Nevertheless, other neurotransmitters apart from opioid peptides also seem to participate in the antinociceptive effects of nicotine (Iwamoto and Marion, 1993; Rogers and Iwamoto, 1993; Cordero-Erausquin and Changeux, 2001).

The rewarding effects of nicotine were investigated in preproenkephalin-deficient mice by using the place-conditioning paradigm. In our experimental conditions, nicotineinduced a significant place preference in wild-type but not in preproenkephalin-deficient animals when administered at the dose of 0.5 mg/kg. The administration of higher (1 mg/kg) or lower (0.25 mg/kg) doses of nicotine was ineffective in both genotypes, which excludes a possible shift of the dose–response curve of nicotine in preproenkephalin knock-out mice. In agreement, nicotine-induced conditioned place preference has also been reported previously in rodents (Risinger and Oakes, 1995; Berrendero et al., 2002; Castañé et al., 2002) but only under a narrow range of doses when systemically administered. Indeed, similar ineffective (0.25 and 1 mg/kg) and effective (0.5 mg/kg) doses of nicotine have been reported previously in the mouse place-conditioning paradigm (Risinger and Oakes, 1995; Berrendero et al., 2002; Castañé et al., 2002). Local nicotine microinjection into the ventral tegmental area (VTA) produces conditioned place preference in a wider range of doses (Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2003). Therefore, these results clearly reveal that opioid peptides derived from preproenkephalin are involved in the modulation of nicotine-rewarding properties. Nicotine, like most drugs of abuse, activates the mesocorticolimbic dopaminergic system and increases extracellular DA levels in the NAc (Di Chiara and Imperato, 1988; Pottier et al., 1996; Zocchi et al., 2003), a feature that has been related to its rewarding properties. Accordingly with our behavioral approach, in vivo microdialysis experiments showed that the enhancement in DA efflux in the NAc induced by nicotine (0.5 mg/kg) in wild-type mice was significantly attenuated in preproenkephalin knock-out animals. The administration of a higher dose of nicotine (1 mg/kg) did not modify DA efflux in the NAc in both wild-type and mutant mice. Two previous microdialysis studies have evaluated the effects of nicotine on mesolimbic dopaminergic activity in mice. A high dose of nicotine (0.8 mg/kg) produced a slight increase in DA levels but only when the probe was located in the shell region of the nucleus accumbens (Zocchi et al., 2003). In the second study, nicotine at the dose of 1 mg/kg increased DA levels in an area shown as the ventral pallidum (Marubio et al., 2003).

The activation of nAChRs located in the VTA has been reported to play a crucial function in the rewarding effects of nicotine. Thus, the local infusion of the nAChR antagonist mecamylamine into the VTA blocks the increase induced by nic-
otone in the extracellular levels of DA in the NAc (Nisell et al., 1994). This effect is not prevented when mecamylamine is directly infused in the NAc. Thus far, three different types of cells have been reported to express nAChRs in the VTA: DA neurons, GABA neurons, and presynaptic glutamatergic terminals from the medial prefrontal cortex (Mansvelder and McGehee, 2002). Moreover, possible localization of nAChRs in other VTA neurons cannot be excluded at the present moment. Nicotine activation of α4 β2 nAChRs localized on glutamatergic afferents produces an increase in glutamate levels and subsequent stimulation of NMDA receptors found on VTA DA neurons (Schilstrom et al., 1998; Nomikos et al., 2000). Cholinergic and glutamatergic inputs from the pedunculopontine tegmental nucleus (PPTg) also seem to participate in nicotine reward, because PPTg lesions reduce nicotine self-administration (Lança et al., 2000; Picciotto and Corrigall, 2002). Besides these excitatory inputs, DA neurons in the VTA are under inhibitory control, mainly by GABAergic inputs. GABA neurons are also activated by nicotine, but α4 β2 nAChRs on these cells tend to desensitize rapidly during nicotine exposure (Mansvelder et al., 2002). Therefore, the final activation of the mesolimbic dopaminergic activity by nicotine depends on a functional balance between the excitatory and inhibitory inputs on the VTA DA neurons, in addition to the direct nicotine effect on the DA neurons themselves (for reviews, see Mansvelder et al., 2003; Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2004). As mentioned previously, our results indicate that endogenous enkephalins play an important role in mediating the rewarding properties of nicotine. Enkephalinergic interneurons as well as enkephalinergic inputs from the NAc are present in the VTA (Garzón and Pickel, 2002). Therefore, we suggest that nicotine could induce, by a direct mechanism or indirectly through glutamate transmission (Isola et al., 2000), a release of endogenous opioid peptides derived from preproenkephalin. This release would inhibit GABAergic neurotransmission through presynaptic μ-opioid receptor activation (Berrendero et al., 2002) located on the terminals of GABA cells (Bergevin et al., 2002). This opioid-dependent mechanism would be important to remove the inhibitory inputs on VTA DA neurons, finally increasing their firing rate in vivo (Fig. 8). The elucidation of these neurobiological mechanisms is crucial for a better understanding of the differential responsiveness of human nicotine addicts to opioid antagonists (Modesto-Lowe and Van Kirk, 2002). However, other neuroanatomical pathways and neurotransmitters seem to be involved also in the rewarding properties of nicotine (Laviolette and van der Kooy, 2004).

Nicotine physical dependence was also attenuated in mice lacking the preproenkephalin gene. Common mechanisms underlying opiate and nicotine dependence have been proposed by different studies, although serious controversies have been raised concerning the interpretation of these previous pharmacological results. First, the somatic signs of nicotine withdrawal in rodents resemble those seen in opioid withdrawal (Malin et al., 1993; Hildebrand et al., 1997). In addition, somatic manifestations of nicotine abstinence were precipitated in nicotine-dependent rats by naloxone administration (Malin et al., 1993; Adams and Cicero, 1998; Carboni et al., 2000), although other investigators failed to reproduce this latter effect (Watkins et al., 2000). Also, in humans, naloxone administration has been reported to induce somatic signs of nicotine withdrawal in heavy chronic smokers (Krishnan-Sarin et al., 1999). However, these effects could be a result of, at least in part, direct blockade of nAChRs, because this opioid antagonist was found to bind nAChRs (Tome et al., 2001). Besides these pharmacological data, there are molecular studies showing a possible role of the endogenous opioid system in nicotine abstinence. Thus, an increase in preproenkephalin mRNA levels was found in both striatum and hippocampus of rats during nicotine withdrawal (Houdi et al., 1998) as well as in the striatum of nicotine-abstinent mice (Isola et al., 2002). An additional advance to clarify this controversy was recently reported by using μ-opioid receptor knock-out mice. Indeed, the somatic expression of the nicotine withdrawal syndrome was attenuated by the deletion of μ-opioid receptors (Berrendero et al., 2002). The present findings clearly indicate that endogenous opioid peptides derived from preproenkephalin would be responsible for such an activation of μ-opioid receptors during nicotine dependence. Therefore, this opioid component could play an important role in maintaining smoking behavior under conditions of nicotine deprivation, such as attempted smoking cessation (Malin, 2001). The endogenous opioid system could also participate in the dysphoric effects of nicotine abstinence, because naloxone produces conditioned place aversion in chronically nicotine-treated rodents (Ise et al., 2000; Watkins et al., 2000).

In conclusion, this study shows that opioid peptides derived from preproenkephalin participate in the effects of nicotine on antinoceception, reward, the extracellular levels of DA in the NAc, and the somatic expression of nicotine withdrawal. However, most of the behavioral and biochemical effects of nicotine evaluated here were attenuated but not abolished in preproenkephalin knock-out mice, which raises the possibility that other neurotransmitters different from endogenous opioid peptides could also be involved in these nicotine responses. The present results identify a particular family of endogenous opioid peptides involved in the addictive properties of nicotine and provide new information to better understand the mechanisms involved in nicotine addiction, which could help to develop a more rational therapeutic strategy.
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