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Activity-Dependent Long-Term Potentiation of Intrinsic Excitability in Hippocampal CA1 Pyramidal Neurons
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Introduction

The intrinsic excitability of neurons has a crucial impact on the function of neural networks and is modified during the learning task in a variety of species (Alkon et al., 1985; Cleary et al., 1998; Antonov et al., 2001; Burrell et al., 2001; Aizenman et al., 2003). In contrast to the detailed analysis of synaptic long-term potentiation (LTP), less attention has been given to activity-dependent changes in the intrinsic neuronal excitability. By stimulating hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons with synaptic inputs correlating with postsynaptic neuronal spikes, we elicited an LTP of intrinsic excitability (LTP-IE) concuring with synaptic LTP. LTP-IE was manifested as a decrease in the action potential threshold that was attributable to a hyperpolarized shift in the activation curve of voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) rather than activity-dependent changes in synaptic inputs or A-type K⁺ channels. Cell-attached patch recording of VGSC activities indicated such an activity-dependent change in VGSCs. Induction of LTP-IE was blocked by the NMDA receptor antagonist APV, intracellular BAPTA, the CaM kinase inhibitors KN-62 and autocamtide-2-related inhibitory peptide, and the protein synthesis inhibitors emetine and anisomycin. The results suggest that induction of LTP-IE shares a similar signaling pathway with the late phase of synaptic LTP and requires activation of the NMDA glutamate receptor subtype, Ca²⁺ influx, activity of CaM kinase II, and function of the protein synthesis. This new form of hippocampal neuronal plasticity could be a cellular correlate of learning and memory besides synaptic LTP.
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The efficiency of neural circuits is enhanced not only by increasing synaptic strength but also by increasing intrinsic excitability. In contrast to the detailed analysis of long-term potentiation (LTP), less attention has been given to activity-dependent changes in the intrinsic neuronal excitability. By stimulating hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons with synaptic inputs correlating with postsynaptic neuronal spikes, we elicited an LTP of intrinsic excitability (LTP-IE) concuring with synaptic LTP. LTP-IE was manifested as a decrease in the action potential threshold that was attributable to a hyperpolarized shift in the activation curve of voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs) rather than activity-dependent changes in synaptic inputs or A-type K⁺ channels. Cell-attached patch recording of VGSC activities indicated such an activity-dependent change in VGSCs. Induction of LTP-IE was blocked by the NMDA receptor antagonist APV, intracellular BAPTA, the CaM kinase inhibitors KN-62 and autocamtide-2-related inhibitory peptide, and the protein synthesis inhibitors emetine and anisomycin. The results suggest that induction of LTP-IE shares a similar signaling pathway with the late phase of synaptic LTP and requires activation of the NMDA glutamate receptor subtype, Ca²⁺ influx, activity of CaM kinase II, and function of the protein synthesis. This new form of hippocampal neuronal plasticity could be a cellular correlate of learning and memory besides synaptic LTP.
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curves of voltage-gated sodium channels (VGSCs). Moreover, we found that induction of LTP-IE required activation of the NMDA receptor (NMDAR), activity of the calcium/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase (CaMK) pathway, and function of protein synthesis.

Materials and Methods

Slice preparation. Brain slices were prepared as described previously (Kang et al., 1998; Fang et al., 2001). Briefly, 14–20-d-old (P14–20) Sprague Dawley rats were anesthetized with pentobarbitone sodium (55 mg/kg) and decapitated. Brains were removed rapidly and glued with the anterior surfaces down. Transverse brain slices of 300 μm were cut with a vibratome (Technical Products International, St. Louis, MO) in a cutting solution containing (in mM) 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 10 MgSO4, 0.5 CaCl2, 10 glucose, 26 NaHCO3, and 230 sucrose. Slices containing the hippocampus were incubated in the slice solution gassed with 5% CO2 and 95% O2 for 1–7 h and then transferred to a recording chamber (1.5 ml) with a holding current of 10 nA. The membrane potentials were held at Cl−. The AP threshold was measured as the potential of the AP start point. The value of membrane potentials was not adjusted by the pipette electrode. 

The pipette solution for cell-attached patches (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Cells with the seal resistance <5 GΩ and a holding current more than −200 pA were rejected. Pyramidal neurons were patched in either the voltage-clamp or the current-clamp configuration (Hamill et al., 1981). The pipette solution for whole-cell recordings contained (in mM) 123 K-gluconate, 10 KCl, 1 MgCl2, 0.5 CaCl2, 10 glucose and 26 NaHCO3, pH 7.4 when gassed with 95% O2 and 5% CO2.

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings. Cells were visualized with a 63× water immersion lens on an Olympus BX51 upright microscope (Olympus, New Hyde Park, NY) equipped with differential interference contrast (DIC) optics. Patch electrodes with a resistance of 4–7 MΩ for somatic recordings and 7–10 MΩ for dendritic recordings were pulled from KG-33 glass capillaries (inner diameter, 1.0 mm; outer diameter, 1.5 mm; Garner Glass, Claremont, CA) using a P-97 electrode puller (Sutter Instruments, Novato, CA). Cells with the seal resistance <5 GΩ and a holding current more than −200 pA were rejected. Pyramidal neurons were patched in either the voltage-clamp or the current-clamp configuration. To evoke presynaptic glutamate release, a bipolar tungsten electrode with an intensity of 100–400 μA. Four trains of 100 stimuli (20 Hz, 5 s) (see Fig. 1 B) were delivered with a 5 min intertrain interval. Current injection-evoked postsynaptic APs within 10 ms of the onset of each individual EPSP (see Fig. 1 B) were used to induce synaptic plasticity and intrinsic plasticity.

Calcium image. A customized two-photon laser-scanning Olympus BX61WI microscope with a 60× objective lens was used to detect Ca2+ signals. A MaiTai laser (Solid-State Laser, Mountain View, CA) tuned to 810 nm was used for excitation. Both red and green fluorescence were detected simultaneously by two photomultiplier tubes. Image acquisition was controlled by Olympus software Fluoview FV300 (Olympus America, Melville, NY). In the transfluorescence pathway, a 565 nm dichroic mirror was used to separate green and red fluorescence. HQ525/50 and HQ605/50 filters were placed in the “green” and “red” pathways, respectively, to eliminate transmitted or reflected excitation light (Chroma Technology, Rockingham, VT). Neurons were loaded with dyes through the patch pipette for 20 min before image acquisition. Alexa Fluor-594 was used to outline the neuronal structures, and Alexa Fluor-488 was used to detect Ca2+ signals. To measure Ca2+ dynamics, the fluorescence was collected by scanning at 4 Hz in a surface-scanning mode (XYT). Fluorescence was averaged from the specified structures to obtain F(t). Baseline fluorescence (Fb) was the average of four images during control, and ΔF/F was calculated as (ΔF/F)(t) = (F(t) – Fb)/Fb.

Data analysis. Recording signals were filtered through an eight-pole Bessel low-pass filter with a 1 or 2 kHz cutoff frequency and sampled by pClamp version 8.2 software (Axon Instruments) with an interval of 50 μs. Data were further analyzed by Clampfit version 8.2 (Axon Instruments). Unitary currents for current–voltage (I–V) relationship curves were measured by two horizontal cursers. Analyzed data were further processed with Origin 5.1 (Microcal Software, Northampton, MA) and CorelDraw 9.0 (Corel, Ottawa, Ontario, Canada) programs. Statistical data are presented as mean ± SEM if not indicated otherwise.

Results

Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were performed in CA1 pyramidal neurons from acutely isolated hippocampal slices. To study intrinsic excitability, stable recordings of the AP threshold are necessary. We found that recording from pyramidal neurons with a Ca2+-free pipette solution (Fig. 1 A, filled circle) caused a decrease in the AP threshold, which was accompanied by a hyperpolarizing resting membrane potential (RMP) (in 0 mM Ca2+ /10 mM EGTA at 0 min, −64.4 ± 0.8 mV; at 15 min, −78.3 ± 1.8 mV; p < 0.01) compared to the control condition (n = 5 cells). In contrast, recording with an EGTA-buffered Ca2+ solution (0.1 mM Ca2+/1 mM EGTA or 1 mM Ca2+/10 mM EGTA) maintained a relatively stable AP threshold (Fig. 1 A, open circle and open diamond). Therefore, we used a pipette solution containing 0.1 mM Ca2+ and 1 mM EGTA in all following experiments. The CS used in this study was composed of synaptic stimuli (Fig. 1 B, Syn) (four 20 Hz trains of 5 s duration with an intertrain interval of 5 min) and current injection-evoked postsynaptic APs within 10 ms of the onset of each individual EPSP (Fig. 1 B, Cur) (0.4–0.8 nA, 2 ms) (Markram et al., 1997; Bi and Poo, 1998; Debanne et al., 1998).

LTP is accompanied by a decrease in the AP threshold

Under the control conditions, the AP threshold in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons is in a range of −38 to −51 mV. CS induced a decrease (−4 mV) in the AP threshold in 92% (46 of 50) of tested pyramidal neurons (Fig. 1 C, bottom, arrows). The time course of the changes (Fig. 1 D, bottom) showed that the decrease in the postsynaptic AP threshold took 100–120 min to attain its maximum. The decreased AP threshold lasted for as long as recorded pyramidal neurons to deliver extracellular stimulation to the Schaffer collateral pathway. Stimuli were produced by a Master eight-pulse generator (A.M.P.I., Jerusalem, Israel) and passed through an ISO-Flex isolator (A.M.P.I.). The duration of each pulse was 0.1 ms with the intensity of 100–400 μA. Four trains of 100 stimuli (20 Hz, 5 s) (see Fig. 1 B) were delivered with a 5 min intertrain interval. Current injection-evoked postsynaptic APs within 10 ms of the onset of each individual EPSP (see Fig. 1 B) (current: 0.4–0.8 nA, 2 ms) were subtracted by off-line analysis using Clampfit version 8.2.
we could keep recordings in these cells (the longest recording in our study was 6 h; data not shown). At the same time, CS induced a persistent increase in the synaptic strength, indicating the onset of synaptic LTP (Fig. 1C, D, EPSC). Pooled data showed that the postsynaptic AP threshold was significantly lower after CS (Fig. 2A, bottom, filled circle) (n = 12 cells) than in the control group (Fig. 2A, bottom, open circle) (n = 13 cells; p < 0.01; two-way ANOVA). Enhancement of synaptic strength (Fig. 2A, top, filled circle) occurred immediately after CS, whereas the decrease in the AP threshold progressed gradually (Fig. 2A, bottom, filled circle). CS-induced synaptic LTP showed a slow progressive increase with time (Figs. 1C, 2A), which is similar to the observation reported by Magee and Johnston (1997), and may be attributable to a slow enhanced NMDAR function (D’Angelo et al., 1999; Watt et al., 2004). In contrast to the effect of the Ca2+ free pipette solution, which induced a shift in the postsynaptic AP threshold (Fig. 1A, filled circle and filled square) and RMP, CS did not change the RMP significantly (control, −63.1 ± 0.3 mV; after CS, −63.9 ± 0.2 mV; p = 0.35; paired t test; n = 5 cells).

To establish whether the occurrence of LTP-IE and synaptic LTP requires correlation of synaptic inputs and postsynaptic firing of APs, we stimulated pyramidal neurons either by synaptic stimulation alone (20 Hz) or by injecting currents to generate APs alone. We found that neither synaptic stimulation (Fig. 2A, bottom, open square) (n = 6 cells; p = 0.67 compared with control; two-way ANOVA) nor postsynaptic firing of APs (Fig. 2A, bottom, open diamond) (p = 0.80 compared with control; two-way ANOVA; n = 5 cells for each group) induced significant changes in the postsynaptic AP threshold. In addition, synaptic strength was not enhanced significantly by synaptic stimuli alone or by APs alone (Fig. 2A, top) (synaptic stimulation, 106.0 ± 27%; AP, 96.7 ± 26%; p = 0.70 and 0.31 for synaptic stimulation and AP, respectively; paired t test). The results suggest that both LTP-IE and synaptic LTP require the cooperation of synaptic inputs and postsynaptic firing of APs when 20 Hz synaptic stimuli were used.

CS also induced a reduction of AHP similar to that reported previously (Saar et al., 1998; Sourdet et al., 2003). AHPs were measured from the baseline to the peak of hyperpolarization voltage traces. Six consecutive traces with 20 s intervals were used to get the average amplitude of AHP. The amplitude of AHP decreased significantly 100 min after CS (Fig. 2B, left, filled bar) (−1.8 ± 0.2 mV) compared with either AHP during control (Fig. 2B, left, Con) (p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 10 cells) or time control (Fig. 2B, left, T-Con) (p < 0.01; t test). As a result of the decreased firing threshold and reduced AHP, the number of spikes induced by injecting a 50 pA current was increased after LTP-IE induction (Fig. 2B, right, filled bar) (9.8 ± 1.4) compared with control (Fig. 2B, right, open bar) (3.8 ± 0.4; p < 0.001; paired t test; n = 11 cells). The input resistance was reported to increase after theta-burst stimulation (Armano et al., 2000). Activity-dependent changes in the input resistance may differ at the dendrite from at the soma. To examine changes in the input resistance in the soma and dendrite during induction of LTP-IE, we used pair whole-cell recordings with one pipette in the soma and one pipette in the proximal dendrite. To exclude the effects of increased access resistance during long-time recording, we measured the slope input resistance from the linear region of the I–V relationship for current-clamp recordings from one pipette with current steps injected through the other pipette. The input resistance at both the soma and dendrite increased during induction of LTP-IE (Fig. 2C). The increase in the input resistance at the dendrite (Fig. 2C, filled circle) was larger than that at the soma (Fig. 2C, open circle) (p < 0.01; two-way ANOVA; n = 5 cells), suggesting that CS induces larger changes in dendritic channels than somatic channels. The results are in accordance with the previously reported activity-dependent increase in the input resistance (Armano et al., 2000). Activity-dependent increase in the input resistance will work together with the decreased AP threshold to facilitate the AP activation. To test whether synaptic inputs can trigger APs with the decreased AP threshold during LTP-IE, we elicited postsynaptic APs through synaptic activation (300–400 μA). The CS-induced decrease in the threshold of synaptically evoked APs (Fig. 2D, CS) (−8.5 ± 0.9 mV; n = 5 cells) was not significantly different from that of APs evoked by somatic current injections (Fig. 2A) (p = 0.90; paired t test; n = 5 cells).

The decrease in the AP threshold is not attributable to increased excitatory inputs, reduced inhibitory inputs, and inhibition of A-type K+ channels

Induction of synaptic LTP may increase spontaneous EPSPs that can influence the intrinsic excitability by depolarizing the membrane...
A dendrite was used. The somatic and dendritic (filled circle) input resistance plotted against time. Dual recording with two pipettes in the soma and proximal control; injection steps, and the dendritic well et al., 1996), we used the GABAA and GABAB receptor antagonist LTP-IE (Abraham et al., 1987; Chavez-Noriega et al., 1990; Break-Inhibitory inputs were involved in induction and expression of puts do not contribute to the expression of LTP-IE. To test whether the presynaptic effects of 4-AP by adding APV (50 μM), CNQX (20 μM), and Cd2+ (0.2 mM), 4-AP did not significantly alter the AP threshold, although an increase in bursting of APs attributable to inhibition of A-type K+ channels was observed (Fig. 3E, F, APV/CNQX/ Cd) (ΔThap, 0.7 ± 0.3 mV; p < 0.12; paired t test; n = 5 cells). Furthermore, 4-AP did not significantly alter the threshold of APs (Fig. 3D, APV/CNQX (20 μM)) and examined changes in the AP threshold. The APs were evoked by current injection through the whole-cell recording pipette in the soma. 4-AP alone caused a small but significant decrease in the AP threshold (Fig. 3F, 4-AP alone) (Con, −46.9 ± 0.5 mV; 4-AP, −49.9 ± 0.8 mV; p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 10 cells). However, when eliminating the presynaptic effects of 4-AP, the APs contributed to the expression of LTP-IE, which is sensitive to TTX (1 μM), could be detected when the membrane was depolarized to approximately −45 mV during control (Fig. 4A, Con, INa). After CS, the depolarization required for activating the INa decreased to −60 mV (Fig. 4A, CS, INa). Pooled data showed that CS induced a negative shift in the activation of the INa (Fig. 4B, filled bar) (−9.2 ± 0.3 mV) that was significantly different from the time control group (Fig. 4B, open bar) (−2.1 ± 0.5 mV; p < 0.01; unpaired t test). The CS-induced shift in the activation of the INa was not significantly different from the CS-induced decrease in the AP threshold (p = 0.72; paired t test; n = 6 cells), implying that the change in the activation property of the INa might account for LTP-IE.

Whole-cell recording of Na+ currents has limitations because of the problem of spatial clamping. To further test the change in

toward the AP threshold. To test whether glutamatergic synaptic inputs contribute to LTP-IE, we perfused slices with the Ca2+ channel blocker Cd2+ (0.2 mM) and the ionotropic glutamate receptor antagonists amino-phosphonopentanoic acid (APV; 50 μM) and cyano-7-nitroquinoxaline-2,3-dione (CNQX; 20 μM) after the induction of LTP-IE. The decrease in the AP threshold induced by CS (Fig. 3A, B, CS) remained after perfusion with Cd2+, AVP, and CNQX (Fig. 3A, B, APV/CNQX/Cd), suggesting that excitatory inputs do not contribute to the expression of LTP-IE. To test whether inhibitory inputs were involved in induction and expression of LTP-IE (Abraham et al., 1987; Chavez-Noriega et al., 1990; Breakwell et al., 1996), we used the GABAa and GABAb receptor antagonists biccucculine and (2S,3S)-[1(15S)-1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]amino-2-hydroxypropyl[phenylmethyl]phosphonic acid (CGP 55845A). In the presence of biccucculine (30 μM) and CGP 55845A (3 μM), CS still induced LTP-IE (Fig. 3C, filled bar) (p = 0.77; t test; n = 5 cells for each group), suggesting that activity-dependent disinhibition does not contribute significantly to CS-induced LTP-IE. To examine whether previously reported activity-dependent inhibition of A-type K+ channels (Frick et al., 2004) contributes to the expression of LTP-IE, we did two experiments. First, we added the A-type K+ channel inhibitor 4-AP (10 mM) in the pipette solution to inhibit A-type K+ channels intracellularly. Control recording was performed for 20 min to allow the diffusion of 4-AP into the cell. 4-AP prolonged the half width of APs from 2.8 ± 0.2 to 5.3 ± 0.8 ms (p < 0.05; paired t test; n = 6 cells), indicating that intracellular 10 mM 4-AP indeed inhibited A-type K+ channels. Then the same CS protocols were applied. In the presence of internal 4-AP, CS still induced LTP-IE (Fig. 3D, filled circle) that was different from the absence of 4-AP (Fig. 3D, open circle) (100 min after CS; p = 0.26; t test; n = 5 cells for each group). Next, we perfused slices with the slice solution containing 4-AP (5 mM) and synaptic stimulation alone (open square; n = 6 cells), postsynaptic APs alone (open diamond; n = 5 cells), and time control (open circle; n = 13 cells). The amplitude of the EPSCS was normalized according to the amplitude of EPSCs during the control period, and the mean changes in the postsynaptic AP threshold (ΔThap) were plotted against time. B, Left, The averaged amplitude of APs before (Con) and after CS (**p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 10 cells) and in the time control group (T-Con; **p < 0.01; t test; n = 10 cells for each). Right, The firing frequency evoked by injecting a 60 pA current with 1 s duration. The firing frequency was significantly higher after CS (filled bar) than during control (open bar; p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 11). C, The somatic (open circle) and dendritic (filled circle) input resistance plotted against time. Dual recording with two pipettes in the soma and proximal dendrite was used. The somatic I–V curve was obtained by measuring somatic membrane potentials with dendritic current injection steps, and the dendritic I–V curve was obtained by measuring dendritic membrane potentials with somatic current injection steps. The slope input resistance was calculated from the linear region of the I–V curve. D, Recording traces of APs evoked by synaptic stimulation (arrows) during control conditions (Con) and after induction of LTP-IE (CS). Inset, Summary of changes in the threshold of synaptic evoked APs in the time control (open bar) and CS (filled bar) groups. **p < 0.01 compared with control; t test; n = 5 cells for each group.

**Figure 2.** Association of presynaptic inputs and postsynaptic AP is required for the induction of LTP and LTP-IE. A. Statistical data showing changes in synaptic EPSCs (top) and postsynaptic AP threshold (bottom) induced by CS (filled circle; n = 12 cells), synaptic stimulation alone (open square; n = 6 cells), postsynaptic APs alone (open diamond; n = 5 cells), and time control (open circle; n = 13 cells). The amplitude of the EPSCs was normalized according to the amplitude of EPSCs during the control period, and the mean changes in the postsynaptic AP threshold (ΔThap) were plotted against time. B, Left, The averaged amplitude of APs before (Con) and after CS (**p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 10 cells) and in the time control group (T-Con; **p < 0.01; t test; n = 10 cells for each). Right, The firing frequency evoked by injecting a 60 pA current with 1 s duration. The firing frequency was significantly higher after CS (filled bar) than during control (open bar; p < 0.01; paired t test; n = 11). C, The somatic (open circle) and dendritic (filled circle) input resistance plotted against time. Dual recording with two pipettes in the soma and proximal dendrite was used. The somatic I–V curve was obtained by measuring somatic membrane potentials with dendritic current injection steps, and the dendritic I–V curve was obtained by measuring dendritic membrane potentials with somatic current injection steps. The slope input resistance was calculated from the linear region of the I–V curve. D, Recording traces of APs evoked by synaptic stimulation (arrows) during control conditions (Con) and after induction of LTP-IE (CS). Inset, Summary of changes in the threshold of synaptic evoked APs in the time control (open bar) and CS (filled bar) groups. **p < 0.01 compared with control; t test; n = 5 cells for each group.
the activation property of VGSCs during LTP-IE, we used dual patch-clamp techniques, with one pipette in the cell-attached voltage-clamp configuration and one in the whole-cell current-clamp configuration (Fig. 4D) (Hamill et al., 1981; Kang et al., 2000). Cell-attached patch recording was used to detect VGSC channel activities, and whole-cell recording was used to deliver the CS protocol, detect LTP-IE, and control membrane potential. To standardize the results, we held the membrane at −64 mV, the mean value of RMP in these cells. VGSC channel activities, depending on depolarization steps, were detected in 42 of 45 patches. Thirty-eight of 42 patches contained fast and transient VGSCs (Fig. 4C, Con), and four patches contained persistent Na\(^+\) channels. In dual recording experiments, we successfully performed recordings in 42 of 85 cells. Both cell-attached patch and whole-cell recordings kept in good conditions were attained for >40 min in 21 cells. In these 21 cells, six cell-attached patches that contained multiple fast transient VGSCs and showed a decreased threshold of channel activities after CS stimulation were selected for analyzing the CS-induced changes in activation and inactivation. In a representative patch from these six patches, channel activities started at −44 mV during control (Fig. 4C, Con). Channel openings were slower and less synchronized around threshold voltage (Fig. 4C, Con) (−44 and −39 mV) and became transient and fast at more depolarized steps (Fig. 4C, Con) (−29 mV), which is in accordance with previous reported properties of VGSCs by other groups (Magee and Johnston, 1995). In the same patch 60 min after CS, channel activities started at −54 mV (Fig. 4C, CS), and synchronized openings also shifted to −39 mV. When TTX (2 μM) was added to the cell-attached pipette solution, the fast and transient openings were not detected either before or after CS (15 patches; data not shown), suggesting that the channel is a TTX-sensitive VGSC. The \(I-V\) relationship (\(I-V\) curve) was obtained by measuring the amplitude of unitary currents chosen from all 38 patches. The channel activity at just above the threshold potential (Fig. 4A) (Con, −39 and −44 mV; CS, −49 and −54 mV) was less synchronized. Single-channel openings could be sometimes observed at these voltage steps, especially for patches that contained a few channels as reported previously by other groups (Magee and Johnston, 1995; Colbert and Johnston, 1996). The amplitude of unitary currents was measured by cursors manually. The \(I-V\) curve for unitary currents of control VGSCs (Fig. 4E) showed a unitary conductance of 16.3 ± 0.6 pS and a reversal potential (\(V_{rev}\)) of 52.3 ± 3.6 mV (n = 8 patches). The activation curve for VGSCs was obtained by normalizing chord conductance at each depolarization step by the maximal conductance from the six patches that contained multiple VGSCs (maximal amplitude, >10 PA) and showed a decreased opening threshold. Chord conductance was calculated from the averaged peak amplitude and the driving force (\(V_m - V_{rev}\)). The activation curve for the control VGSCs showed a typical voltage-dependent activation (Fig. 4F, open circle) with a \(V_{1/2}\) (voltage at which the chord conductance of VGSCs is an half of the maximum) of −29.5 ± 1.2 mV similar to the value reported previously by the other groups (Magee and Johnston, 1995). The activation curve for the VGSCs after CS (Fig. 4F, filled circle) showed a shift to the hyperpolarized direction. The \(V_{1/2}\) of the activation curve after CS was −37.6 ± 1.0 mV and was significantly different from that for the control channels (\(p < 0.01\); paired \(t\) test; \(n = 6\) patches). The results suggest that LTP-IE is attributable to a CS-induced hyperpolarized shift in the activation curve of VGSCs. Inactivation of channel activities before and after CS was tested by delivering a prepulse protocol to cell-attached patches (Fig. 5A, bottom lines). Both control VGSCs and CS-induced VGSCs were inactivated in a voltage-dependent manner (Fig. 5A). The inactivation curve was obtained by plotting the normalized ensemble average current against the voltage. CS also induced a hyperpolarized shift in the inactivation curve for the VGSCs (Fig. 5B, filled circle), and the \(V_{1/2}\) of the inactivation curve for control channels was −57.2 mV and shifted to −69.3 mV after CS (\(p < 0.05\); paired \(t\) test; \(n = 6\) patches). The results suggest that during induction of LTP-IE, both the activation and inactivation curves of VGSCs shift in a hyperpolarized direction. The CS-induced changes in the steadystate inactivation of VGSCs may cause more VGSCs to be inactivated at rest and thereby attenuate the AP amplitude. Therefore, we carefully examined the AP amplitude in the time control and...
CS-stimulation groups. The amplitude of APs was measured from the starting point (threshold) to the peak of APs that were evoked by the threshold current step. The average amplitude of APs from five consecutive traces (20 s intervals) was used as one time point in each cell, and the mean of AP amplitude from five cells was used as one average value. This decrease in the AP threshold (Fig. 6B, inset, CS, 3) was significantly smaller than that in the absence of the blockers (Fig. 6B, inset, CS, 1) (p < 0.01; t-test). The results suggest that activation of mGluR receptors is not involved in the CS-induced decrease in the AP threshold but contributes partially to the CS-induced reduction of AHP.

NMDAR-mediated Ca²⁺ influx plays a key role in induction of synaptic LTP (Bliss and Collingridge, 1993; Malenka and Nicoll, 1999). However, because reducing intracellular Ca²⁺ by the calcium chelator decreased the AP threshold by itself (Fig. 1A, filled square), use of the single whole-cell pipette with BAPTA to chelate intracellular Ca²⁺ is not reliable. Therefore, we used dual whole-cell recordings with the somatic pipette containing 0.1 mM Ca²⁺/1 mM EGTA to stabilize the firing threshold and the dendritic pipette containing 10 mM BAPTA to chelate Ca²⁺ signals in dendrites. In the presence of BAPTA in the dendrite, CS did not induce the decrease in the AP threshold (Fig. 6B, bottom, open diamond) (p = 0.31 compared with time control; two-way ANOVA). The results suggest that Ca²⁺ signals in dendrites are required for induction of LTP-IE. To further study CS-induced Ca²⁺ signals in local dendrites, we used two-photon microscopy to measure Ca²⁺ signals in spines and local dendrites induced by stimuli similar as those used in Figure 2A. Alexa Fluor-4 (100 μM) was used to monitor the Ca²⁺ signals, and Alexa Fluor-594 (100 μM) was used to outline the dendritic structure of pyramidial neurons. Stimuli were delivered in a random order. Synaptic stimulation (20 Hz; 5 s) induced a transient Ca²⁺ increase in spines (Fig. 7A,B, II, 1 and 2), parent dendritic shafts (Fig. 7A,B, II, 3), and dendritic branch points (Fig. 7A,B, II, 4). Postsynaptic APs induced Ca²⁺ signals that were longer and larger than the Ca²⁺ signals induced by synaptic stimulation in the three structures (Fig. 7A,B, IV). The AP-induced Ca²⁺ signals in spines were in accordance with previous studies (Sabatini et al., 2002). CS in-
produced Ca\(^{2+}\) signals that lasted even longer than those evoked by postsynaptic APs (Fig. 7A, B, VI and VIII). Pooled data showed that CS-induced Ca\(^{2+}\) signals (Fig. 7C, red) lasted significantly longer than those evoked by synaptic stimulation (Fig. 7C, black) or by postsynaptic APs (Fig. 7C, cyan) in the three structures. The results suggest that large and prolonged Ca\(^{2+}\) signals in dendrites and spines may be required for induction of LTP-IE.

**Induction of LTP-IE requires activities of the CaMK pathway and function of protein synthesis**

The CaMK pathway is required for induction of synaptic LTP (Malinow et al., 1989; Braun and Schulman, 1995; Lisman et al., 1997). To examine whether the CaMK pathway also is required for induction of LTP-IE, we pretreated the slices with the CaMK inhibitor KN-62 (10 \(\mu\)M) for 30 min and added KN-62 (10 \(\mu\)M) to the pipette solution. LTP-IE induced by CS was blocked by KN-62 (Fig. 8A, B, CS/KN-62) (\(p = 0.47\) compared with control; two-way ANOVA). As expected, synaptic LTP also was blocked by KN-62 (Fig. 8B, top) (\(p = 0.30\) compared with control; two-way ANOVA). The results suggest that activation of CaMK is required for induction of both LTP-IE and synaptic LTP. Because KN-62 inhibits both CaMKII and CaMKIV (Ensen et al., 1994), to further test whether activation of CaMK II is required for induction of LTP-IE, we used the specific CaMKII inhibitor autocamitide-2-related inhibitory peptide (AIP) (Ishida et al., 1995). AIP (5 \(\mu\)M) was dissolved in the pipette solution for whole-cell recording, and CS was applied 20 min after the whole-cell configuration was formed. CS-induced LTP-IE was fully blocked by AIP (Fig. 8B, bottom, open diamond) (\(p = 0.85\) compared with time control; two-way ANOVA; \(n = 5\) cells). Synaptic LTP was also blocked by AIP (Fig. 8B, top, open diamond) (\(p = 0.30\) compared with time control; two-way ANOVA). The results suggest that induction of both synaptic LTP and LTP-IE requires CaMKII. The AP amplitude was not changed significantly by either KN-62 or AIP (Fig. 8B, inset, AP) (\(p = 0.41\) and 0.58 for KN-62 and AIP, respectively; \(t\) test; \(n = 8\) cells for each group), indicating that KN-62 and AIP did not directly affect activation of VGSCs. The reduction of AHP was not blocked by either KN-62 or AIP (Fig. 8B, inset, AHP), suggesting that CaMKs are not required for the CS-induced reduction of AHP.

The slow time course of LTP-IE induction (Figs. 1D, 2A) implies that LTP-IE may require protein synthesis, similar to the late phase of LTP (L-LTP) (Frey et al., 1993). To test whether protein synthesis is involved in LTP-IE, we pretreated brain slices with the protein synthesis inhibitor emetine (5 \(\mu\)M) for 30 min and added emetine (5 \(\mu\)M) to the pipette solution. Emetine completely blocked LTP-IE (Fig. 9A, B, bottom, open diamond) (\(p = 0.74\) compared with time control; two-way ANOVA; \(n = 5\) cells for each group) but only attenuated the L-LTP (Fig. 9A, B, top, CS 100 min), leaving the early phase of LTP unaffected (Fig. 9A, B, top, CS 30 min). In the presence of emetine, EPSCs at 30 min after CS (182 \(\pm\) 7%) were significantly different from control (Con, 97 \(\pm\) 6%; \(p < 0.01\); unpaired \(t\) test), whereas EPSCs at 100

---

**Figure 5.** Changes in the inactivation curve of VGSCs during induction of LTP-IE. A, Voltage-dependent inactivation of VGSCs before (Con) and after CS tested by a prepulse protocol (bottom lines). The number at the left of each trace indicates the prepulse steps in millivolts. Prepulse steps from -104 to -44 mV in 10 mV steps (bottom lines) were given through cell-attached patch pipettes. Patches were held at -84 mV by adding +20 mV to the cell-attached patch pipette. The depolarization step after prepulses was -34 mV. Each trace was an ensemble average trace from six patches for each prepulse step. B, The inactivation curve of VGSCs before (open circle) and after (filled circle) CS. The inactivation curve was obtained by plotting normalized amplitude of ensemble trace (divided by the maximal amplitude) against prepulse steps. C, The mean amplitude of APs in the time control (open circle) and LTP-IE (filled circle) groups. The AP amplitude in the LTP-IE group was not significantly different from the time control before CS (\(p < 0.05\); \(t\) test).

**Figure 6.** Activation of NMDARs and Ca\(^{2+}\) influx are required for induction of LTP-IE. A, EPSCs (top traces) and APs (bottom traces) from a representative cell showing that APV (50 \(\mu\)M) blocked both the increase in EPSCs and decrease in the postsynaptic AP threshold (arrow) induced by CS. B, Pooled data showing that, in the presence of APV, CS-induced changes in EPSCs (top) and in the postsynaptic AP threshold (bottom; open square; \(n = 5\) cells) were not different from those in the time control group (open circle; \(n = 6\) cells). Using dual whole-cell recording, BAPTA (10 mm) in the dendritic pipette blocked the CS-induced decrease in the AP threshold in somatic recording (bottom; open diamond; \(n = 6\) cells). In the presence of MCPG (1 mm) and MSOP (100 \(\mu\)M), CS still induced LTP-IE (filled square). Inset, The mean amplitude of AHP during control (open bar) and after CS (filled bar) in the absence (1) or presence of APV (50 \(\mu\)M) (2) or MCPG (1 mm)/MSOP (100 \(\mu\)M) (3). In the presence of MCPG/MSOP, CS-induced reduction of AHP was significantly smaller than the absence the blockers (Fig. 2B) (\(p < 0.01\); \(t\) test; \(n = 7\)) but still significantly different from control AHP (\(p < 0.01\); paired \(t\) test).
protein synthesis is not involved in the CS-induced reduction of AHP.

**Discussion**

**Characteristics of CS-induced LTP-IE**

In this study, we report that correlation of presynaptic activities with APs is capable of inducing an activity-dependent LTP-IE that is primarily expressed as a progressive decrease in the AP threshold (Figs. 1C, 2A) in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. The decrease in the AP threshold makes neurons more sensitive to membrane depolarization and easier to generate APs (Fig. 1B). This decrease in the AP threshold works together with the increase in the input resistance (Fig. 2C) to enhance the excitability of postsynaptic neurons. This type of LTP-IE serves, at least partially, as the mechanism for E-S potentiation, which is consistent with previous studies reported by other groups (Chavez-Noriega et al., 1990; Jester et al., 1995). The activity-dependent increase in the intrinsic excitability is concurring with synaptic LTP to increase the efficiency of active neurons for information relay. The slow and gradual induction of LTP-IE comparing with quick induction of synaptic LTP (Fig. 2A) may reflect the slow expression of LTP-IE.

**A hyperpolarized shift in the activation and inactivation curves of VGSCs during LTP-IE**

Results from several experiments in this study support that CS-induced changes in VGSCs are the mechanism for expression of LTP-IE. First, the decrease in the AP threshold is attributable to changes in intrinsic properties rather than increased excitatory synaptic inputs because blockade of synaptic activity by APV, CNQX, and Cd^{2+} after induction of LTP-IE did not recover the AP threshold (Fig. 3A, B). Furthermore, application of GABAA and GABAB receptor blockers affected neither induction nor expression of LTP-IE (Fig. 3C), suggesting that activity-dependent depression of inhibitory transmission is not involved in the CS-induced decrease in the AP threshold. Second, activity-dependent downregulation of A-type K channels does not contribute to the decrease in the AP threshold, because blockade of A-type K channels with 4-AP in the presence of the synaptic transmission blockers does not change the AP threshold (Fig. 3E, F). Our results indicate that only in the absence of synaptic transmission blockers does 4-AP cause a small but significant decrease in the AP threshold (Fig. 3E, 4-AP alone), which is mainly attributable to increased excitatory inputs. The effects of 4-AP here are different from the observation reported by Nedergaard (1999). The discrepancy may be attributable to use of either different recording techniques (whole-cell patch-clamp vs intracellular recording) or different neurons (hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons vs substantial
nigra neurons). Third, dual recording with one whole-cell and one cell-attached patch provided direct evidence for the expression mechanism for LTP-IE. A hyperpolarized shift in the activation curve of VGSCs during induction of LTP-IE (Fig. 4) indicates that the decrease in the threshold of APs and $I_{Na}$ is attributable to such alteration of VGSCs. The hyperpolarized shift in the activation curve of VGSCs represents both more channel activities and more synchronized openings of VGSCs at given membrane potential above the threshold potential (Fig. 4A). CS also induced a negative shift and decreased slope of the steady-state inactivation curve of VGSCs (Fig. 5B). These changes in the inactivation curve may cause less VGSC channels ready to open in response to depolarization during LTP-IE than during control conditions. The observation that a slight but significant reduction in the amplitude of APs (Fig. 5C) during LTP-IE compared with the time control is consistent with such changes in the inactivation curve of VGSCs.

The CS-induced modification of VGSCs found in this study is different from the change in presynaptic VGSCs previously reported by Poo and colleagues (Ganguly et al., 2000) in the following several views: (1) the change in our study occurs in postsynaptic pyramidal neurons in slices rather than in cultured presynaptic neurons, although the stimulation protocol is similar; (2) the CS-induced changes in the AP threshold in presynaptic neurons depend on activity of the PKC pathway, whereas the decrease in the AP threshold in postsynaptic pyramidal neurons depends on activity of CaMKs and protein synthesis; and (3) Ganguly et al. (2000) reported that CS induced a depolarized shift in the inactivation curve of presynaptic VGSCs, whereas we found that CS also induced a hyperpolarized shift in the inactivation curve of postsynaptic VGSCs. The parallel shifts in the activation and inactivation property of VGSCs and requirement of protein synthesis imply new synthesized VGSC channels, because the VGSC channel is expressed with coupled activation and inactivation determinant residues (Catterall, 2000). However, we cannot rule out modulation of the existing channel by new synthesized enzymes.

Induction of LTP-IE requires the NMDAR, CaMK pathway, and protein synthesis
The decreased AP threshold has been reported to be induced by CS (Ganguly et al., 2000), tetanic synaptic stimulation (Chavez-
Noriega et al., 1990; Jester et al., 1995; Staff and Spruston, 2003), or postsynaptic depolarization (Aizenman and Linden, 2000; Armano et al., 2000; Cudmore and Turrigiano, 2004). Both NMDAR-dependent (Aizenman and Linden, 2000; Armano et al., 2000; Ganguly et al., 2000) and NMDAR-independent (Cudmore and Turrigiano, 2004) induction of this type of intrinsic plasticity has been reported. Our results suggest that the induction mechanism for this type of LTP-IE shares the similar pathways with synaptic LTP. First, the induction of both synaptic LTP and LTP-IE requires the correlation of synaptic activities and postsynaptic APs in our experimental conditions (Fig. 2A). Second, the requirement of NMDARs and Ca$^{2+}$ influx through NMDARs is a key factor for induction of both synaptic LTP and LTP-IE. Third, CaMKs are required for induction of both synaptic LTP and LTP-IE. Fourth, protein synthesis is involved in induction of both late phase synaptic L-LTP and LTP-IE. Other kinases that have been suggested to be involved in induction of synaptic LTP, such as PKA (Abel et al., 1997), PKC (Colley and Routtenberg, 1993), and mitogen-activated protein kinase (English and Sweatt, 1996, 1997), should be tested in future studies.

**Cooperation of activity-dependent intrinsic plasticity**

Activity-dependent intrinsic plasticity has been suggested to be the other side of the engram for learning and memory (Aizenman and Linden, 2000; Armano et al., 2000; Ganguly et al., 2000; Zhang and Linden, 2003; Cudmore and Turrigiano, 2004; Frick et al., 2004). The correlation of decrease in the AP threshold with learning-related behavior changes has been established by several groups (Schreurs et al., 1997, 1998; Burrell et al., 2001). LTP-IE expressed as a hyperpolarized shift in the activation property of VGSCs in this study adds another piece of evidence to support this important concept. Activity-dependent plasticity of intrinsic excitability in postsynaptic neurons could target on modulating Na$^+$, K$^+$, and Ca$^{2+}$ channels. Modulation of K$^+$ channels has been previously reported and expressed as inhibition of the A-type K$^+$ channel (Frick et al., 2004) and inhibition of AHP (Saar et al., 1998, 2001; Ibrahim and Abraham, 2002, Melyan et al., 2002, 2004; Sourdut et al., 2003). In our study, CS also induced a reduction in AHP (Fig. 2B). However, CS-induced reduction of AHP is only partially dependent on the mGlur (Fig. 6B, inset, 3) that is different from the observation of 10 Hz burst stimulation-induced attenuation of AHP in neocortical neurons (Ibrahim and Abraham, 2002; Sourdut et al., 2003). Thus, the mGlur-independent part of CS-induced reduction of AHP may involve other cellular mechanisms, such as activation of KA receptors (Melyan et al., 2002, 2004) and cholinergic-muscarinic receptors (Saar et al., 1998, 2001). Consistent with NMDAR independency, blockade of CaMK and protein synthesis did not inhibit CS-induced reduction of AHP, further suggesting the decreased AP threshold and attenuated AHP are different forms of intrinsic plasticity through separate cellular mechanisms.

The activity-dependent modulation of Na$^+$ channels expressed as the decreased AP threshold found in this study may work together with the modulation of the input resistance, the A-type K$^+$ channel, and AHP to modify neuronal input–output property. The increase in input resistance will cause a larger depolarization with a given synaptic current, and the larger increase in input resistance at dendrites than at the soma found in this study (Fig. 2C) will specifically facilitate dendritic depolarization evoked by synaptic activation of ionotropic receptors. Inhibition of the dendritic A-type K$^+$ channels will make EPSPs easier to depolarize membrane to the firing threshold and facilitate propagation of EPSPs. The attenuated AHP will reduce the interspike interval and increase neuronal bandpass in the high-frequency range. The decreased firing threshold will lower the size requirement for EPSPs to evoke APs and enhance output for all synapses in the neuron. The form of LTP-IE in this study may serve as a cellular mechanism for learning and memory in certain learning tasks. This idea is supported by a study in which an increase in the voltage-gated Na$^+$ current was observed in optical tectal neurons of Xenopus tadpoles with persistent visual stimulation (Aizenman et al., 2003).

In this study, we demonstrated that synaptic activities cooperated with postsynaptic APs induce two forms of plasticity, synaptic LTP and neuronal LTP-IE, through the same signaling pathway, NMDARs/Ca$^{2+}$/CaMK/protein synthesis. Under physiological conditions, subthreshold synaptic inputs may not induce LTP-IE because postsynaptic firing is required. However, when synaptic strength is enhanced by previous synaptic activity, such as after LTP or short-term potentiation, or when activity at multiple synapses is synchronized, postsynaptic firing may occur and cooperate with synaptic inputs to induce LTP-IE. Because synaptic LTP consists of an increase in synaptic strength and LTP-IE is expressed as a decrease in the AP threshold, these two forms of plasticity would work cooperatively at the same circuit. In addition, LTP-IE also may serve as the mechanism for some pathological brain disorders with increased excitability, such as epileptic seizures.
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