Glucose-Dependent Insulinotropic Polypeptide Is Expressed in Adult Hippocampus and Induces Progenitor Cell Proliferation
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The hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) is an area of active proliferation and neurogenesis within the adult brain. The molecular events controlling adult cell genesis in the hippocampus essentially remain unknown. It has been reported previously that adult male and female rats from the strains Sprague Dawley (SD) and spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) have a marked difference in proliferation rates of cells in the hippocampal DG. To exploit this natural variability and identify potential regulators of cell genesis in the hippocampus, hippocampal gene expression from male SHR as well as male and female SD rats was analyzed using cDNA array strategy. Hippocampal expression of the gene-encoding glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) varied strongly in parallel with cell-proliferation rates in the adult rat DG. Moreover, robust GIP immunoreactivity could be detected in the DG. The GIP receptor is expressed by cultured adult hippocampal progenitors and throughout the granule cell layer of the DG, including progenitor cells. Thus, these cells have the ability to respond to GIP. Indeed, exogenously delivered GIP induced proliferation of adult-derived hippocampal progenitors in vitro as well as in vivo, and adult GIP receptor knock-out mice exhibit a significantly lower number of newborn cells in the hippocampal DG compared with wild-type mice. This investigation demonstrates the presence of GIP in the brain for the first time and provides evidence for a regulatory function for GIP in progenitor cell proliferation.
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Introduction

An area of active neurogenesis in the adult brain of several species, including humans, is the subgranular cell layer of the hippocampal dentate gyrus (DG) (Altman and Das, 1965; Stanfield and Trice, 1988; McKay, 1997; Eriksson et al., 1998). The cells that are born in the adult hippocampus can differentiate into mature neurons and glial cells (Palmer et al., 1997; Aberg et al., 2000; van Praag et al., 2002). Many conditions influence cell proliferation, fate determination, and survival of hippocampal progenitors in the adult rat, including stress (for review, see Eriksson and Wallin, 2004), aging (Kuhn et al., 1996), and brain injury (for review, see Parent, 2003).

We reported previously that spontaneously hypertensive (SHR) rats exhibit a significantly higher cell-proliferation rate in the hippocampal DG than Sprague Dawley (SD) rats and that male rats produce significantly more cells than their female counterparts (Perfilieva et al., 2001). In the present investigation, we exploited these natural differences and used a cDNA microarray strategy to identify novel genes that may influence the rate of cell proliferation in the adult hippocampus.

The gene encoding glucose-dependent insulinotropic polypeptide (GIP) (gastric inhibitory polypeptide) was robustly upregulated in association with increased rates of cell proliferation in the hippocampus. GIP is a 42 aa polypeptide initially isolated from endocrine cells in porcine small intestine (Brown et al., 1969; Buchanan et al., 1978) and its gene (Gip) has been reported to be expressed in the rat small intestine, submandibular salivary gland, and lens epithelial cells (Higashimoto et al., 1992; Sharma et al., 1992; Tseng et al., 1993; Usdin et al., 1993; Nakajima et al., 2002). GIP is a member of the vasoactive intestinal peptide (VIP)/secretin/glucagon family of gastrointestinal regulatory polypep-
tides and is the only member of this peptide family that has not been detected previously in the brain. Interestingly, expression of the GIP receptor (GIPR) gene (Gipr) and GIP binding sites has been described in the adult brain, including the hippocampus (Usdin et al., 1993; Kaplan and Vigna, 1994), suggesting that GIP does indeed play a role in the brain. As with other family members, GIP has a variety of effects on different systems (Hauner et al., 1988; Kogire et al., 1992; Bollag et al., 2000). Foremost, it inhibits gastric secretion and enhances insulin release by pancreatic β islet cells in the presence of glucose (for review, see Yin and Wolfe, 2000).

The current study not only describes the presence of the Gip gene and GIP protein in the adult brain for the first time but also demonstrates that GIP influences proliferation of adult hippocampal progenitor cells. Thus, GIP may represent an important regulatory molecule for neural progenitor cell proliferation in the adult mammalian brain.

Materials and Methods

Experiments in vivo and on tissue samples

Animals. All experimental protocols were approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Göteborg University. Five-week-old rats (male SHR, 84–88 g; male SD, 123–128 g; female SD, 115–117 g) were used for the cDNA array, semiquantitative reverse transcription (RT)-PCR, quantitative real-time PCR, and immunohistoquantification, and were obtained from Mollegaard Breeding Center (Elby, Denmark). For all other experiments, 7- to 8-week-old male SD rats (260–280 g) were obtained from B&K Universal (Stockholm, Sweden). The background and generation of Gipr knockout-c57Bl/6 mice used in this study have been described previously (Miyawaki et al., 1999). Breeding pairs of the Gipr knock-out mice were kindly provided by Prof. Y. Seino (Department of Metabolism and Clinical Nutrition, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan). Mice were bred to obtain a colony of homozygous Gipr knock-out mice (Gipr−/−). For all experiments, 10-week-old male Gipr−/− (n = 6) and C57Bl/6 control (n = 8) mice were used. Mice were genotyped using PCR with a neo primer (TAA AGC GCA TGC TCC AGA CTG CCT T) or a genomic primer (AGT GTG AGA ATC CAG AAG AAGA TGG) as well as a genomic primer (CCA CGG TAT ACA TGA TCT GCA GGG) that is common to both Gipr−/− and wild-type mice (Wt+/−). Mice were injected with bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) (50 mg/kg body weight; Roche Diagnostics Scandinavia, Bromma, Sweden) intraperitoneally and killed 2 h later.

Atlas cDNA array. Male SHR (n = 5), male SD (n = 5), and female SD (n = 5) rats were killed at 5 weeks. The hippocampus from one-half of the brain was used for RNA isolation and the other half of the brain for immunofluorescence. Total RNA from each hippocampus was used for RNA isolation and the other half of the brain for in situ hybridization. Male SD rats were decapitated, and the brains were sectioned (14 μm) in a cryostat (Dittes, Heidelberg, Germany) and thaw-mounted on pretreated glass slides (ProbeOn; Fisher Scientific, Pittsburgh, PA). Using MacVector software (IBI, New Haven, CT), oligonucleotide probes were selected based on an optimum ratio of guanosine plus cytosine/total nucleotide numbers (50–65%) and minimal homology with genes containing homology with GenBank entered sequences. Oligonucleotide probes were reversed and complementary to GCC TTT GGA CTT GAC AGA ATC TCA GAG AAA CGA GGA GAA AGA GGC (nucleotides 313–360) and TGC TGG CCC AGG ACC AAG CCC AAG GTA TGC AGA GGC TTT CAT (nucleotides 148–195) of rat Gip mRNA (Higashimoto et al., 1992; Sharma et al., 1992) and GTA CAG GTG AGC ACT GAC TGG TGC TGC TGA AGC TCA AGA GTT GGT TCT GCC (nucleotides 61–108) and CCG GGA GTC GAC CCT CAT GCT GAG AGG GGC GGC CAT CAC CCC AGA (nucleotides 682–729) of rat Gip mRNA (Usdin et al., 1993) (MWG Biotech, Ebersberg, Germany). The probes were labeled with [33P]deoxy-ATP (dATP), and the specific activity of the labeled probes was 3 × 10⁶ cpm/μg. In situ hybridization was performed essentially as described previously (Dagrellind et al., 1992). The [33P]dATP-labeled sections were exposed to β-max autoradiography film for 2 months.

Immunohistochemistry. Sectioning, staining, and detection of immunofluorescence in rat brain sections were performed as described previously (Aber et al., 2000). Dilutions of primary antibodies used for tissue sections were as follows: primary antibodies, monoclonal mouse GIP (3.65H; 1:1000); polyclonal rabbit GIP (1:100; Chemicon, Temecula, CA), polyclonal rabbit GIP (1:100; Euro-Diagnostica, Malmö, Sweden), rabbit GIP receptor (1:500), rabbit GFAP (1:500; Dako, High Wycombe, UK), guinea pig GFAP (1:1000; Advanced ImmunoChemical, Long Beach, CA), mouse neuronal-specific nuclear protein (NeuN) (1:30;
Chemicon), goat doublet cortin (1:400; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA), and goat Sox-2 (1:200; Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Secondary antibodies for brain sections were Alexa Fluor 488- and Alexa Fluor 594-conjugated antibodies (1:400; Molecular Probes, Leiden, The Netherlands) and cyanine 5 (Cy5), Texas Red-, and FITC-conjugated antibodies (all 1:150; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA). For peroxidase detection (using 0.25 mg/ml dianmaminobenzidine), a biotinylated horse anti-mouse IgG secondary antibody (1:160; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) was used followed by application of an avidin–biotin–peroxidase complex. For antigen retrieval of GIP, sections were placed in a microwave four times for 2 min each (Moulinex Micro-Chef M055; 650 W, 230 V, 50 Hz) in TBS. As negative controls, sections were incubated with corresponding concentrations of normal serum or Ig instead of primary antibody followed by the appropriate secondary antibody. Incubations with only the secondary antibody were also performed. The specificity of the GIP antibodies was also assessed by preincubation with excess of GIP antigen.

Immunocytochemistry. AHPs were cultured as described previously (Aberg et al., 2001, 2003). Dilutions of primary antibodies used for cell cultures were as follows: rabbit GIP receptor (1:500) (Lewis et al., 2000), mouse Nestin (1:500; PharMingen, San Diego, CA), mouse NeUN (1:30; Chemicon), mouse Map2ab (1:100; Sigma, St. Louis, MO), monoclonal mouse GIP (3.65H1; 1:1000; kindly provided by Dr. Alison Buchan, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada), rabbit GIP (1:75; Chemicon), and mouse Ki-67 (1:25; Novoceastra Laboratories). As negative controls, cells were incubated with corresponding concentrations of normal serum or Ig instead of primary antibody followed by the appropriate secondary antibody. Incubations with only the secondary antibody were also performed. The specificity of the GIP antibodies was also assessed by preincubation with excess of GIP antigen. Hoechst staining of individual nuclei was used to visualize cultured cells.

Western blot analysis. AHPs were plated on polyornithine/laminin-coated plates at a density of $2 \times 10^5$ cells/cm$^2$. The cells were lysed in cold radioimmunoprecipitation assay buffer containing 1% protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma) and centrifuged at 12,000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The supernatant was analyzed for protein concentration using the Lowry assay. Protein was also isolated from rat hippocampus, in which GIP receptor mRNA has been described previously (Usdin et al., 1993). The Western blot analysis was performed using 10% polyacylamide gels. Samples of 15 µg of protein and a negative control using rat serum were loaded onto the gel. Protein was transferred to a polyvinylidene fluoride membrane and incubated with primary rabbit GIP receptor (1:500) antibody. Controls without primary antibody were also performed. The membranes were incubated in secondary HRP-conjugated donkey anti-rabbit (1:1000; Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ), treated with chemiluminescence substrate (Roche Diagnostics Scandinavia), and recorded on film.

Proliferation assay. AHPs were seeded at $0.2 \times 10^4$ cells/cm$^2$ on 24-well plates in culture medium containing human FGF-2 (20 ng/ml; a well documented proliferative agent for AHPs) and grown for 48 h. After an additional 48 h of growth without FGF-2, cells were incubated with FGF-2 (20 ng/ml; four experiments), porcine GIP (Sigma) alone (eight experiments), or in combination with FGF-2 (eight experiments) for 48 h. Controls were cells grown without FGF-2. Each experiment represents the mean of at least four different culture wells. The cell-proliferation assay was performed using the CyQUANT Cell Proliferation kit (Molecular Probes) and a GENios microplate reader (TECAN Austria, Grödig, Austria) according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Methyl [-3H]thymidine-assay. Cultured AHPs were seeded at 0.5 $\times 10^5$ cells/cm$^2$ on 48-well plates in culture medium and left to grow for 48 h before being labeled with methyl-[3H]thymidine and incubated with GIP (1 nM) or FGF-2 (20 ng/ml) for 24 h. Controls were cells grown without FGF-2. Cells were lysed in 0.4 M NaOH, transferred to scintillation vials, mixed with 0.4 M HCl, and assayed for DNA synthesis by scintillation spectrometry. To block the effects of GIP, a receptor antagonist (ANTGIP; GIP [7–30]NH$_2$) (Tseng et al., 1996) was manufactured (Sigma-Genosys, Cambridge, UK), and 1 µM was applied to the cells immediately before incubation with GIP. The mean for each experiment was calculated from four different culture wells, and each experiment was performed four times.

Apop Tag. Cultured AHPs were seeded at $1 \times 10^4$ cells/cm$^2$ on glass coverslips, treated the same way as for the proliferation assay, and incubated with GIP (1 nM). Cells were fixed and stained for apoptosis according to the Apop Tag kit user manual (Apop Tag S160 direct; Intergene Company, Purchase, NY). A negative control without terminal deoxynucleotidyl transferase enzyme was included, and positive controls with addition of either H$_2$O$_2$ (100 µM and 1 mM) or DNase I (1 µg/ml) were included. In the last washing step, the cells were incubated with the nuclear dye bisbenzimidize (Hoechst 33258; Sigma) for 30 min. Apoptotic or dead cells were identified by green fluorescence in the nuclei, and Hoechst nuclear dye was used to determine the total number of cells.
Three coverslips per experiment were stained from four different experiments. Positive cells were quantified by scoring the immunoreactivity of 1000–3000 cells systematically observed in six nonoverlapping fields in each coverslip.

Lactate dehydrogenase activity. Release of lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) from dying cells was measured using a routine photometric method (Department of Clinical Chemistry, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Göteborg, Sweden) (Aberg et al., 2003). Cell-culture medium was collected from culture wells seeded for the Apop Tag staining (see above). The mean for each experiment was calculated from three different culture wells, and each experiment was performed four times. The coefficient of variation for the assay was 1.7%, and the assay standard curve was linear for enzyme activities between 0.1 and 20 μkat/dm³.

Statistics. Comparisons between groups were made using one-way ANOVA followed by a Fisher’s post hoc test, when appropriate, throughout the study. A p value <0.05 was considered statistically significant. All values are expressed as means ± SEM.

Results

In vivo studies

Expression levels of the Gip gene in the hippocampus vary in parallel with cell-proliferation rates in the DG in rat

To identify novel genes that might be associated with neural proliferation in the young adult rat hippocampus, we compared gene expression between three groups of rats known to differ with regard to neural progenitor cell proliferation in the adult DG (Perfilieva et al., 2001). Hippocampal RNA was isolated from normal prepubescent male SHR and male and female SD rats (summarized in Fig. 1A) and used to synthesize cDNA probes for hybridization to an Atlas rat 1.2 cDNA array. The hybridization results are shown in Figure 1A, in which stained spots represent each gene in the array. A comparison of hippocampal gene expression profiles from male SHR to male SD rats revealed 11 differentially expressed genes between the two groups. We subsequently performed a second comparison between male and female SD rats that revealed 30 differentially expressed genes (supplementary Table 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). We then chose to further investigate genes that demonstrated an expression profile in the hippocampus that varied in parallel with the in vivo proliferation level of cells in the DG in both comparisons. Gip was upregulated in SHR males compared with SD males and in SD males compared with SD females. Gip was the only gene of 1176 genes analyzed that exhibited this pattern in both comparisons.

Confirmation of Gip gene expression in the hippocampus was performed using RT-PCR and in situ hybridization (Fig. 1B–D). In total RNA from both the hippocampus and small intestine, a PCR product corresponding to 220 bp was obtained (Fig. 1B). Sequencing of the PCR product confirmed the expression of the Gip gene in the rat hippocampus. Consistent with the cDNA array results, Gip expression was highest for male SHR rats and lowest for female SHR rats when analyzed using semiquantitative RT-PCR for 35 cycles (Fig. 1C). Indeed, the 220 bp band could not be detected in female SD rats when only 30 PCR cycles were performed. To localize expression of the Gip gene in rat hippocampal sections, we performed in situ hybridization, using two different oligonucleotide probes, on sections from male SD rats. Weak Gip mRNA expression was demonstrated in the CA1–CA3 region and the DG, including the GCL (Fig. 1D). We further confirmed expression of the Gip gene in these three groups using quantitative real-time PCR and a different set of primers (Fig. 1E). Again, SHR males had the highest Gip expression using quantitative real-time PCR, but in this case, the expression level was similar in both male and female SD rats.

Immunohistochemistry reveals the presence of GIP protein in the adult hippocampus

The presence of GIP protein in the adult rat hippocampus was examined immunohistochemically using both a monoclonal and
a polyclonal antibody against GIP. GIP immunoreactivity could be observed in CA1, CA2, and CA3 and in scattered larger cells with neuronal morphology in the hilus (Fig. 2A). Quantification of GIP immunoreactivity in the GCL of all groups (Fig. 2B) demonstrated that GIP protein content is greater in animals exhibiting higher rates of cell proliferation, in accordance with the pattern described in the present study for Gip RNA. Of particular interest to the current investigation, the GCL in the hippocampus contained a large amount of GIP immunoreactivity with a characteristic cytoplasmic staining pattern (Fig. 2C). Colocalization of GIP immunoreactivity with the neuronal marker NeuN, but not the astrocytic cell marker GFAP, was observed in rat brain sections (Fig. 2C–F). Cells in the GCL that express doublecortin, a marker of developing neuroblasts, also expressed GIP (Fig. 2G–I). Moreover, GIP immunoreactivity could also be observed in cells expressing Sox-2, a potential progenitor cell marker (D’Amour and Gage, 2003; Komitova and Eriksson, 2004). However, Sox-2 expression was also observed in cells that did not exhibit any GIP immunoreactivity (data not shown), suggesting that GIP is present only in a subpopulation of progenitor cells (Fig. 2J, K).

The adult hippocampus expresses the Gipr gene and GIPR protein

Gipr (GIP receptor) mRNA in the GCL was demonstrated via in situ hybridization using two different oligonucleotide probes (Fig. 3A). Moreover, we observed expression of the Gipr gene in hippocampal tissue using RT-PCR in accordance with another study (Usdin et al., 1993) (Fig. 6A). Immunohistochemical detection of the GIPR revealed expression throughout the hippocampal GCL (Fig. 3B). GIPR immunoreactivity could be observed in progenitor cells expressing Sox-2 (Fig. 3B) and in neuroblasts expressing doublecortin (Fig. 3C–E). Moreover, GIPR immunoreactivity was also present in mature granule cells and was colocalized with expression of NeuN (Fig. 3F–H), demonstrating that the GIPR is expressed in both mature neurons and undifferentiated cells.

Figure 2. GIP immunoreactivity is present in the adult rat hippocampus. A, Apart from the GCL, GIP immunoreactivity was also observed in the CA1–CA3 region and in scattered cells in the hilus. GIP immunoreactivity (IR) in brain slices from SHR males, SD males, and SD females (B) was measured, and the immunoreactive area of the GCL was compared with the total GCL area; *p < 0.05; ***p < 0.001. GIP immunoreactivity (C; red) was colocalized with NeuN (D; green) but not with GFAP (E; blue) in the hippocampal GCL (F; merged). Developing neuroblasts in the GCL expressing doublecortin (G; green) and GIP (H; red) are shown as merged in J. Arrows in G–I indicate a doublecortin-positive neuroblast also expressing GIP. The GCL contains Sox-2-immunoreactive progenitor cells (J, K; green) also exhibiting GIP immunoreactivity (J, K; red). The arrow in J indicates the enlarged cell shown in K. Scale bars: A, 100 μm; C–F, 50 μm; G–J, 20 μm.
GIP increases cell proliferation in the adult GCL
To investigate whether GIP influences proliferation of cells in the adult GCL in vivo, we analyzed the number of newly formed cells in the rat GCL after chronic intracerebroventricular infusion of GIP (Fig. 4A, B). In animals that underwent GIP treatment, the number of BrdU-positive cells in the GCL was 86% higher than controls (Fig. 4C). Moreover, to verify that the observed difference in proliferation was not an effect on survival of the newborn cells, the number of Ki-67-positive cells in the GCL was quantified in both groups. Results demonstrated that the animals that had been given GIP had 105% more Ki-67-positive cells compared with PBS-treated animals (Fig. 4C). To verify the effect of GIP on cell proliferation, we also analyzed the number of BrdU-positive cells in mice lacking the GIP receptor. The Gipr<sup>−/−</sup> mice did not show any obvious abnormalities in phenotype, behavior, or breeding abilities compared with wild-type mice. The effects on the entero-insular axis and obesity in the Gipr<sup>−/−</sup> mice have been described previously (Miyawaki et al., 1999, 2002). When comparing the number of newborn cells in the GCL of Gipr<sup>−/−</sup> and Wt<sup>+/+</sup> mice 2 h after BrdU injection, the GCL of Gipr<sup>−/−</sup> mice contained less than one-half the number of BrdU-positive cells observed in Wt<sup>+/+</sup> mice (Fig. 4D). Thus, GIP does indeed influence cell proliferation in the adult hippocampus.

**In vitro studies**

**Cultured AHPs express both the Gip gene and GIP protein**
To investigate whether GIP was also produced by hippocampal progenitor cells in vitro, cultured AHPs were analyzed with regard to Gip gene expression and GIP immunoreactivity. RNA isolated from cultured AHPs demonstrated expression of the Gip gene when analyzed with RT-PCR. The same band was observed in cells cultured in the presence or absence of FGF-2 (Fig. 5A), suggesting that the Gip gene is expressed in both immature and more differentiated cells. Moreover, GIP immunoreactivity was also observed in cultured AHPs. Colabeling of GIP with both a neuronal marker, Map2ab (Fig. 5B–E), and a marker of cell division, Ki-67 (Fig. 5F–I), was observed. No colocalization of GIP and GFAP was observed in the present study.

**Cultured AHPs express both the Gipr gene and GIPR protein**
Cultured AHPs were analyzed for the presence of the Gipr gene and GIPR protein to investigate whether these cells have the ability to respond to GIP. Expression of the Gipr gene was strongest in proliferating cell cultures (plus FGF-2) and decreased after removal of FGF-2 (Fig. 6A). Western blot analysis also revealed the presence of the GIPR protein in cultured AHPs (Fig. 6B). Colocalization of the GIPR with markers of cell division and of progenitor cells (Ki-67 and Nestin, respectively) as well as NeuN was observed, again demonstrating that the receptor is present in both undifferentiated progenitor cells and mature neurons (Fig. 6C–E).

**GIP increases proliferation in cultured AHPs**
Subsequent studies were designed to investigate whether GIP might influence proliferation of neural progenitor cells in vitro. Using a commercial proliferation assay, GIP increased the rate of cell proliferation in a dose-dependent manner, with significant increases between concentrations of 1 pm and 0.1 μM (Fig. 7A). The greatest effect was achieved at a GIP concentration of 1 nM, resulting in a 175% increase in DNA content compared with controls. At 0.1 μM, the proliferative effect was absent. FGF-2 alone resulted in an increase in DNA content to 212% of controls (Fig. 7A). When incubating cells with 1 μM GIP in addition to FGF-2, a greater effect on proliferation was observed, with an increase in cell growth to 272% of controls (Fig. 7B). When incubating cells with 1 nM GIP in addition to FGF-2, a greater effect on proliferation was observed, with an increase in cell growth to 272% of controls (Fig. 7B). At the beginning of the experiment, ~6 × 10<sup>3</sup> cells were seeded, and at the end of the experiment, there were ~1.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells in control wells without FGF-2, 3 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells in wells with FGF-2, and 2.5 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells in wells with GIP. These results were confirmed using a
methyl-[3H]thymidine incorporation assay, in which 1 nM GIP significantly increased thymidine incorporation to 188% of controls (Fig. 7C). To block the effect of GIP, the cells were treated with ANTGIP (GIP [7–30]NH2). ANTGIP has been described previously as a specific GIP receptor antagonist with no agonistic properties (Tseng et al., 1996). When treated with ANTGIP before GIP incubation, thymidine incorporation was not significantly different from control levels. Most interestingly, ANTGIP alone, in the absence of GIP, significantly reduced thymidine incorporation to 48% of controls, presumably by blocking the influence of endogenously produced GIP on cell proliferation.

GIP does not influence cell death in cultured AHPs

To determine whether the increase in cell number observed in the in vitro proliferation assays was caused by a survival, rather than a mitogenic effect of GIP, we measured cell death with two different methods (Fig. 7D). Using Apop Tag, cell death in the control experiments with two different methods (Fig. 7D). Using Apop Tag, cell death in the control experiments without FGF-2 (20 ng/ml) or GIP (1 nM) was extremely low and not statistically different from cell death in cells treated with GIP. Additionally, LDH release into the culture medium was not significantly different between controls and cells treated with GIP, verifying the assumption that GIP does not influence survival of these cells but most likely acts to stimulate proliferation.

Discussion

In the present investigation, we describe the presence of GIP in the mammalian brain for the first time and also give a detailed description of the pattern of expression in the hippocampal GCL. GIP is the last remaining member of the VIP/secretin/glucagon family of gastrointestinal regulatory polypeptides to be described in the brain. Other family members such as VIP, pituitary adenylate cyclase activating polypeptide (PACAP), and glucagon-like peptide 1 (GLP-1) are all classified as neurotransmitters and are widely distributed throughout the brain (Sims et al., 1980; Jin et al., 1988; Masu et al., 1993; Hannibal, 2002). Moreover, they have been demonstrated to be involved in a variety of brain functions such as neuromodulation, brain development, cell-cycle regulation, differentiation, and cell death as well as regulation of food intake and body weight (for review, see Sherwood et al., 2000). Expression of the Gip gene and GIP immunoreactivity is widespread throughout the brain (J. Nyberg, C. Jacobsson, and P. S. Eriksson, unpublished data), suggesting that GIP also might be involved in several functions of the brain. In the present investigation, we provide insight into one of its potential biological roles in the brain: hippocampal progenitor cell proliferation.

Although the expression of the other members of the secretin–glucagon family of gastrointestinal regulatory polypeptides has been described previously in the brain (Sherwood et al., 2000), previous efforts to detect Gip mRNA in the brain have been unsuccessful (Higashimoto et al., 1992; Usdin et al., 1993). We are confident that both our PCR primers and antibodies have not simply detected a related peptide, because sequence analysis of the PCR product demonstrated that we had indeed detected the gene encoding Gip. Moreover, it is also highly unlikely that our antibody detected another member of the VIP/secretin/glucagon family of peptides, because the specificities and sensitivities of different GIP antibodies have already been thoroughly investigated, and these studies concluded that the monoclonal C-terminal-specific antibody used in the present study is the most specific (Buchan et al., 1982; Sjolund et al., 1983). Our antibody has also been tested previously for its specificity through preincubation with VIP, secretin, glucagon, and somatostatin (Buchan et al., 1982; Danholt et al., 1999). Therefore, we reasonably conclude that the adult hippocampus produces GIP.

In the present investigation, we have shown that the regulation of progenitor cell proliferation is one potential function of GIP in the brain. Because some other members of the VIP/secretin/glucagon family of neuropeptides have demonstrated neuroprotective effects (Pincus et al., 1994; Waschek, 1995; Vaudry et al., 1999), it may be argued that the observed GIP-induced increase in BrdU-positive cells may result as much from increased survival as it does from stimulated proliferation. However, no difference was observed when we investigated the rate of cell death in cell-generated AHPs treated with and without GIP. Moreover, in contrast to BrdU incorporation, Ki-67 antibodies only identify cells that are proliferating at the time the animal was killed, and thus such analysis is not affected by treatments that increase cell survival. We also observed that Gipr−/− mice produce significantly less cells in the adult GCL compared with Wt+/+ mice, providing the loss-of-function data needed to implicate endogenous GIP in the regulation of cell proliferation in the adult GCL. A proliferative role for GIP in the hippocampus is perhaps not surprising, because GIP is mitogenic in several other cell types of different origins, for example quiescent adrenal tumor cells (Chabre et al., 1998), vascular endothelial cells (Ding et
al., 2003), and the osteoblastic-like cell line MG-63 (Zhong et al., 2003), and acts as a growth factor for H9252 cells (Trumper et al., 2001). Furthermore, other members of this family of neuropeptides, such as PACAP, VIP, growth hormone-releasing hormone, and GLP-2, have been demonstrated to have growth-stimulating qualities (Lin et al., 1992; Pincus et al., 1994; Waschek, 1995; Lu et al., 1998; Vaudry et al., 1999; Sherwood et al., 2000; Suh et al., 2001).

In the adult rat DG, GIP was observed both in proliferating progenitor cells as well as in more mature neuronal cells. Interestingly, a subpopulation of Sox-2-positive progenitor cells did not express GIP, suggesting that the presence or absence of GIP expression may distinguish between two different populations of progenitor cells. We have demonstrated previously two separate populations of Sox-2-positive cells in the adult hippocampus, separated by the absence or presence of GFAP expression (Komitova and Eriksson, 2004). The Sox-2-positive/GFAP-positive cells were mostly quiescent, and the Sox-2-positive/GFAP-negative cells were proliferating and corresponded to transit-amplifying neuronal precursor cells. In support of this, cells in the rat DG expressing doublecortin, a transient marker of neuronal-committed progenitor cells (Brown et al., 2003), also expressed GIP. This suggests that GIP may act as a marker of hippocampal cells that are restricted to a neuronal cell lineage, from early neuronal commitment until the final maturation of the neuron. The same pattern of expression has also been observed for presenilin-1, an unrelated protein expressed in mature neurons as well as in 70% of the progenitor cells in the adult mouse hippocampus (Wen et al., 2002). Accordingly, these authors also concluded that presenilin-1 might be a marker of distinct lineages of neural progenitors.

In cultured AHPs, GIP and FGF-2 together resulted in a greater effect on cell proliferation than the two peptides on their own, suggesting the possibility that GIP and FGF-2 work through different intracellular signaling mechanisms to increase the level of proliferation.

Alternatively, FGF-2 may upregulate the Gipr gene, thereby increasing the cell responsiveness toward GIP, similar to the effect of FGF-2 on the insulin-like growth factor-I receptor (Aberg et al., 2003). However, most interestingly was the finding that the specific GIP receptor antagonist, ANTGIP, decreased cell proliferation to control levels when applied just before GIP in culture and decreased the proliferation rate to 50% in the absence of GIP. The latter result is probably attributable to the blockage of endo-
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**Figure 5.** GIP is present in cultured AHPs. A, Cultured AHPs express the Gip gene, as demonstrated by PCR. As an internal standard, PCR primers detecting Rpl were used. The lanes marked + and — represent RNA from cells cultured with and without FGF-2, respectively. The progenitor cells in culture were also immunoreactive for GIP (C, red) in combination with Map2ab (D, green), as shown merged in E. Mitotic cells expressing Ki-67 (G, yellow) also expressed GIP (H, red), shown merged in I. Nuclei in B and F are marked with Hoechst (blue). Scale bars, 25 μm.
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**Figure 6.** The GIP receptor is present in cultured AHPs. A, PCR analysis demonstrated expression of the Gipr gene in cultured AHPs. Lane 1 (+) shows RNA from proliferating cell cultures (+FGF-2). Cells were also allowed to differentiate for 6 and 10 d (lanes 2 and 3). Lane H, Hippocampus (positive control); lane S, spleen (negative control). B, Western blot analysis demonstrated the presence of the GIPR in protein from cultured AHPs and the hippocampus. The GIPR (C–E; red) was also observed in cultured mitotic AHPs expressing Ki-67 (C; green) and in cells expressing Nestin (D; green) as well as cells expressing NeuN (E; green). Scale bars: C, D, 20 μm; E, 25 μm.
genously produced GIP in vitro. Indeed, we have demonstrated that GIP is produced by the cultured AHPs, and it is possible that GIP also is secreted out to the culture medium.

Because of the insulinotropic action of GIP, there has been considerable interest in studying the role of GIP and GIPR in type 2 diabetes. Type 2 diabetes results in decreased responsiveness of the pancreas to GIP, because of decreased expression of Gipr mRNA and GIPR protein in pancreatic islets (Lynn et al., 2001). Deficits in spatial learning (Biessels et al., 1996) as well as lower cell-proliferation rates in the DG (Jackson-Guilford et al., 2000) that can be improved by treadmill exercise (Kim et al., 2003) have been demonstrated in streptozotocin-induced diabetic rats, suggesting a link between adult neurogenesis and diabetes. Gipr−/− mice also exhibit glucose intolerance and impaired insulin secretion after orally administered glucose (Miyawaki et al., 1999). Because the present investigation demonstrates less proliferation in the DG of Gipr−/− mice, one may hypothesize that the deficits in spatial learning and decreased hippocampal cell genesis observed in experimental animal models of diabetes may be attributable to impaired GIPR activation in the brain. Although additional studies are needed to clarify the exact role of GIP in the hippocampus and in other brain regions, the demonstrated importance of the other members of the VIP/secretin/glucagon family of peptides in regulating brain function indicates that we have opened up a new and potentially exciting area of investigation.
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