Glial Cell Line-Derived Neurotrophic Factor Expression in Skin Alters the Mechanical Sensitivity of Cutaneous Nociceptors
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Neurons classified as nociceptors are dependent on nerve growth factor (NGF) during embryonic development, but a large subpopulation lose this dependence during embryonic and postnatal times and become responsive to the transforming growth factor β family member, glial cell line-derived growth factor (GDNF). To elucidate the functional properties of GDNF-dependent nociceptors and distinguish them from nociceptors that retain NGF dependence, the cellular and physiologic properties of sensory neurons of wild-type and transgenic mice that overexpress GDNF in the skin (GDNF-OE) were analyzed using a skin, nerve, dorsal root ganglion, and spinal cord preparation, immunolabeling, and reverse transcriptase-PCR assays. Although an increase in peripheral conduction velocity of C-fibers in GDNF-OE mice was measured, other electrophysiological properties, including resting membrane potential and somal action potentials, were unchanged. We also show that isolectin B4 (IB4)-positive neurons, many of which are responsive to GDNF, exhibited significantly lower thresholds to mechanical stimulation relative to wild-type neurons. However, no change was observed in heat thresholds for the same population of cells. The increase in mechanical sensitivity was found to correlate with significant increases in acid-sensing ion channels 2A and 2B and transient receptor potential channel A1, which are thought to contribute to detection of mechanical stimuli. These data indicate that enhanced expression of GDNF in the skin can change mechanical sensitivity of IB4-positive nociceptive afferents and that this may occur through enhanced expression of specific types of channel proteins.
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Introduction

During development, the survival of most cutaneous sensory neurons is dependent on the neurotrophin NGF (Crowley et al., 1994; Koltzenburg, 1999). NGF-dependent neurons are small to medium in size, express neuropeptides, the trkA receptor protein, and have nociceptor-like response properties (Rice et al., 1998; Mendell et al., 1999). NGF-responsive afferents are either lightly myelinated high-threshold mechanoreceptors (HTMRs) or unmyelinated (C-fibers) and project to dermal and epidermal layers of the skin and superficial dorsal horn of the spinal cord (Light and Perl, 1979; Sugiuara et al., 1986, 1989). At late embryonic and postnatal times, the dependency on NGF for many cutaneous neurons is decreased. These neurons begin to express the receptor tyrosine kinase Ret and become responsive to growth factors in the glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) family (Molliver and Snider, 1997; Molliver et al., 1997; Baloh et al., 2000; Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). Neurons that are initially trkA positive that switch dependency to GDNF are typically small- to medium-sized neurons that express growth factor receptor α1 (GFRα1), are peptide poor, and bind isolectin B4 (IB4) (Silverman and Kruger, 1988; Molliver et al., 1997; Bennett et al., 1998). Centrally, these fibers project to spinal cord lamina II, with some projections to lamina I in a variety of species, including adult mouse (Kitchener et al., 1993; Woodbury et al., 2000; Gerke and Plenderleith, 2001; Woodbury et al., 2004).

To identify the properties of the GDNF-dependent sensory population and their role in pain signaling, we generated transgenic mice that overexpress GDNF (GDNF-OE) in skin (Zwick et al., 2002). GDNF overexpression increased the number of dorsal root ganglion (DRG) neurons by 27%, almost the reverse of the number of DRG neurons lost in postnatal (day 0) GDNF−/− animals (Moore et al., 1996). Immunohistochemical analysis showed that this increase was in IB4-positive neurons and also resulted in increased purinergic P2X3-positive cutaneous fibers (Zwick et al., 2002).

Phenotypic differences between GDNF- and NGF-dependent C-fibers suggest that they represent different types of nociceptive neurons with distinct functional properties (Snider and McMahon, 1998). These properties may underlie, at least in part, different types of persistent pain and changes in physiology, gene expression, and anatomy that accompany injury. Studies of transgenic mice that overexpress NGF in the skin showed significant changes in functional properties of unmyelinated nociceptive fibers, most notably a fourfold increase in firing frequency in response to heat stimuli (Stucky et al., 1999). Response properties...
of neurons expressing IB4 have also been examined (Fjell et al., 1999; Stucky and Lewin, 1999; Dirjala et al., 2003; Woodbury et al., 2004). In vitro, IB4-positive neurons had broader action potentials (APs) and smaller heat-activated currents than IB4-negative neurons (Stucky and Lewin, 1999). In an *ex vivo* preparation, IB4-positive neurons were frequently characterized as polynodal nociceptors (Woodbury et al., 2004). In the current study, we used this *ex vivo* preparation to examine whether response properties of IB4 neurons are modulated in GDNF-OE mice. In addition, assays to measure expression of channel proteins associated with heat and mechanical sensitivity in GDNF-OE mice were performed.

**Materials and Methods**

**Transgenic mice**

A transgene containing 2.3 kb of the human K14 keratin promoter (Albers et al., 1994), the mouse GDNF cDNA (Springer et al., 1995), and intron/exon splice sites and poly(A) tail signal from the human growth hormone gene were used to isolate GDNF-OE transgenic mice (line 997). Details concerning the isolation of this transgenic line have been described by Zwick et al. (2002). GDNF-OE mice are on a C3H X B6 F1 hybrid genetic background and express a sixfold and fourfold increase in GDNF protein in the skin and DRG, respectively. Male and female mice at 3–6 months of age were used in these studies. Mice were housed in group cages, maintained on a 12 h light/dark cycle in a temperature-controlled environment (20.5°C), and were given food and water *ad libitum*. These studies were performed in accordance with the guidelines of the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Pittsburgh and the National Institutes of Health *Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals*. Except for supportive data on C-low-threshold mechanoreceptors (CLTMRs) from three Swiss Webster mice, all data reported are from mice on the C3H/B6 hybrid background.

**Immunocytochemistry**

For single-cell immunohistochemistry, DRG containing the Neuroblastoma (NB)-labeled somata of identified afferent fibers were fixed in 1 h in 4% paraformaldehyde, embedded in gelatin, placed in fix for 1 h, and sunk in 25% sucrose/0.1 M phosphate buffer. Frozen sections were cut using a sliding microtome set at 25 μm. Sections were incubated overnight with tetramethylrhodamine isothiocyanate (TRITC)-conjugated *Bandeiraea simplicifolia* isolecitin (BSI) (1:100; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) or FITC-conjugated B4 (1:100; Sigma) and antisera for either P2X3 (1:1000) or calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP) (1:1000; Chemicon, Temecula, CA). We double labeled mouse DRG sections and found 100% overlap between TRITC-BSI and FITC-IB4 (B. M. Davis and M. Zwick, unpublished observations); thus, for simplicity, we will refer to IB4 labeling throughout the remainder of the manuscript. Sections were washed, incubated in anti-rabbit IgG-cyamine 2 (Cy2) or IgG-Cy3 (1:200; Jackson ImmunoResearch, West Grove, PA), and FITC-avidin or FITC-cy5 conjugate. Sections were then incubated with IB4-TRITC for 1 h, followed by washes in phosphate buffer. Thus, wild-type and transgenic sections were exposed to the same solutions during the incubation process. Binding was visualized using an Olympus Optical (Thornwood, NY) confocal microscope, and comparisons were made between WT and GDNF-OE tissue contained in the same gelatin section.

**Electrophysiology**

**Ex vivo preparation.** A skin, nerve, DRG, and spinal preparation was used to examine individual sensory neurons in WT and GDNF-OE mice (Woodbury et al., 2001; Koerber and Woodbury, 2002). Adult mice were anesthetized with an intramuscular injection of a mix of ketamine (90 mg/kg) and xylazine (10 mg/kg). Animals were perfused transcardially through the left ventricle with chilled (14°C) and oxygenated (95% O2–5% CO2) artificial CSF (aCSF) in which most sodium cations had been replaced with sucrone (in mM: 253.9 sucrose, 1.9 KCl, 1.2 KH2PO4, 1.3 MgSO4, 2.4 CaCl2, 26.0 NaHCO3, and 10.0 D-glucose). The spinal cord and the right body wall were excised and placed in a circulating bath of the same solution. The spinal cord was hemisected, and up to 10 contiguous dorsal cutaneous nerves (DCNs), along with a longitudinal rectangular patch of dorsolateral skin, was dissected in continuity with their DRGs. This produces an isolated preparation with spinal cord, DRGs, nerves, and skin intact that was transferred to a recording chamber containing oxygenated aCSF in which the sodium was replaced. The aCSF was then slowly warmed to 31°C. The skin was pinned out on a mesh-covered platform. The level of the bath was lowered so that the epidermis was at the surface exposed to air. Somata in lower thoracic DRG were impaled using electrodes filled with 15–20% NB (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) in 1 M K-acetate. Cells were identified as innervating the patch of skin by electrical stimulation of the appropriate DCN using an en passant suction electrode. The conduction delay of the cell was recorded, and, using the distance from the stimulating electrode to the DRG, the conduction velocity of the cell was calculated. Cells with peripheral fiber conduction velocities <1.0 m/s were classified as C-fiber afferents. The peripheral response properties of the cell, first to mechanical and then thermal stimuli, were assessed using a fine sable-hair paintbrush, blunt glass stylus, hand-held calibrated von Frey filaments (Stoeling, Wood Dale, IL), computer-controlled Peltier device, and, on occasion, by applying dilute acid (0.2 M HCl) to its receptive field. Thermal stimulation consisted of first rapidly cooling the skin from 31 to 4°C and then heating the skin from 31 to 48 or 50°C. The temperature was held at 48 or 50°C for 5 s and then quickly cooled back to bath temperature. The average firing rate during this 5 s plateau was used as a measure of heat responsiveness. The Peltier had relatively small footprint (3 × 5 mm), reducing the potential problem of heating the same area of skin multiple times. This potential problem was also minimized by the fact that cells in different DRGs were analyzed, reducing the possible overlap of receptive fields. The temperature was monitored by a thermocouple placed between the Peltier element and the skin. After characterization, the cell was iontophoretically injected with NB, and the DRG was removed and processed for immunochemical labeling.

**Skin–nerve preparation.** Adult WT and GDNF-OE mice were anesthetized and perfused using the same anesthetics and solutions as stated above for the *ex vivo* preparation. The same patch of back skin and individual DCNs were dissected free and placed in a circulating bath containing the same oxygenated aCSF maintained at 31°C. The skin was placed on a mesh-covered platform with the epidermal side up. A small plastic well containing a platinum hook electrode in a pool of mineral oil was placed at the surface of the circulating bath. Individual nerves were desheathed, and fine strands of fibers were dissected free and placed in contact with the platinum hook electrode. Individual C-fiber response properties were assessed as described above. Conduction velocities in this preparation were determined by electrical stimulation of the receptive field of the fiber using a concentric metal electrode. The distance between the location of the stimulation site and the recording electrode was used to calculate the conduction velocity of these fibers. C-fibers were classified as having conduction velocities of <1.0 m/s.

**Reverse transcriptase-PCR analysis**

RNA was extracted from pooled lumbar (L3/L4/L5) DRG of individual mice. Mice were deeply anesthetized by injection of Avertin (2-2-2 tribromoethanol in tert-amyl alcohol) anesthetic and then transcardially perfused with ~75 ml of ice-cold phosphate buffer. DRGs were collected on dry ice and stored at -80°C until RNA extraction. RNA was isolated by homogenizing tissue in 1 ml of Trizol reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA), followed by isopropanol precipitation. Pellets were washed with 70% ethanol and suspended in RNase-free water, and the concentration was determined using a GeneQuant RNA/DNA calculator (Amersham Biosciences, Piscataway, NJ). RNA (5 μg) was treated with DNase (In-
| Table 1. List of primer pairs used for PCR gene amplification |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| **Gene**                   | **Primer set 5′ → 3′**       |
| TRPV1                      | TCTCTGCAAGAAGGACAGAGAC      |
| TRPV2                      | CCAATGGCGCGATGAGGCA         |
| TRPV3                      | TGACTGGCATAAGAGAGGCTC       |
| TRPV4                      | TGGATCTCTTTGTTCGACTACGG     |
| ASIC1                      | CTGGACTTCCTAGTGGAGAA        |
| ASIC2a                     | ATGGACCTCAAGGAGGCCAG        |
| ASIC2b                     | GACCAACTTCTCTCAGTGTTTAC     |
| ASIC3                      | TCTGACCATGATTGGCTAGCACG     |
| TRPV5                      | TCTGACATGAGGCTCCTCCGT       |
| TRPV6                      | TTTGACAAGGGGCTGAGGATTGC     |
| ASIC1                      | CTGGACTTCCTAGTGGAGAA        |
| ASIC2a                     | ATGGACCTCAAGGAGGCCAG        |
| ASIC2b                     | GACCAACTTCTCTCAGTGTTTAC     |
| ASIC3                      | TCTGACCATGATTGGCTAGCACG     |
| TRPV5                      | TCTGACATGAGGCTCCTCCGT       |
| TRPV6                      | TTTGACAAGGGGCTGAGGATTGC     |

Figure 1. Examples of intracellular recording and immunostaining of cutaneous C-fiber somata in WT mice. **a.** Somal action potential and first derivative from a C-polymodal nociceptor. **b.** The cell in a stained positively for IB4 (red) and P2X3 (blue). **c.** Somal action potential and first derivative of a C-mechanonociceptor. **d.** This cell was IB4 negative (red) and P2X3 (blue). **e**. This cell was IB4 negative (red) and CGRP (blue). **f**. The cell in **e** stained positively for IB4 (red) and P2X3 (blue). **g**. This cell was IB4 negative (red) and CGRPpositive (blue). **h**. This cell was IB4 negative (red) and CGRP positive (blue).


mixture provided in the SYBR green reagent kit (Applied Biosystems). The amplification protocol included 2 min at 50°C to activate the AmpliErase UNG to prevent the reamplification of any carryover PCR products, 12 min at 95°C to activate the AmpliTaq polymerase, 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C for denaturation, and 1 min at 60°C for annealing and extension. After amplification, a dissociation curve was plotted against melting temperature to ensure amplification of a single product and to test for primer dimers. Controls were run with water replacing the template (to further test for primer dimers). Relative fold change in RNA level was calculated by the threshold cycle (C_{T}) method using glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) as a reference standard because its level of expression was identical to that of the GDNF-OE ganglia. C_{T} values from triplicate samples were averaged and subtracted from the reference standard yielding ΔC_{T}. The difference between the ΔC_{T} of the experimental and control groups was then calculated (ΔC_{T}). The relative fold change was determined as 2^{ΔC_{T}}. An unpaired t test (p ≤ 0.05) was used to determine significance of expression. PCR primers for real-time PCR analysis (Table 1) were generated using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems) using parameters optimized by the manufacturer. For all other gene targets, PCR reactions were done in the presence of [32P]dCTP. The cycle number was optimized for each set of primers by first running PCR reactions at different cycle numbers to establish the midphase of the reaction. Aliquots of the optimized PCR reaction were run on 8% polyacrylamide gels in Tris borate EDTA buffer, gels were dried and placed against a PhosphorImager screen, and the relative level of incorporated [32P]dCTP was determined using a Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) PhosphorImager. Values were normalized to GAPDH. Primer sets used in radioactively labeled reactions were generated using Macvector software (Accelrys, San Diego, CA).

Results

Effects of GDNF-OE on somal action potential properties

To determine whether enhanced levels of skin-derived GDNF affected physiologic properties of C-fiber afferents, we recorded intracellularly from a total of 25 C-fiber somata in preparations from eight WT mice and 37 C-fiber somata from eight GDNF-OE mice. Of these fibers, 19 from WT and 28 from GDNF-OE preparations showed stable resting membrane potentials of −50 mV or lower and displayed AP overshoot. The somal action potentials of these fibers were used for additional analysis (Fig. 1). The average resting membrane potentials of the two groups was 62.1 ± 2.3 mV in GDNF-OE mice and 59.6 ± 1.9 mV in WT mice. The somal spike properties were also similar for both groups (WT, n = 19; GDNF-OE, n = 28), including the following: (1) amplitude (GDNF-OE, 71.1 ± 1.5 mV; WT, 70.1 ± 3.5 mV); (2) action potential duration at half-amplitude (GDNF-OE, 2.99 ± 0.1 ms; WT, 2.67 ± 0.32 ms); (3) afterhyperpolarization amplitude (GDNF-OE, 17.7 ± 0.6 mV; WT, 17.1 ± 0.3 mV); and (4) afterhyperpolarization duration at half-amplitude (GDNF-OE, 13.6 ± 0.7 ms; WT, 12.2 ± 0.1 ms). All values here and below are listed as means ± SEM. Statistical analysis (two-tailed t test) of the resting membrane potential and listed somal spike properties from WT and GDNF-OE mice revealed that they were not statistically different. These data show that constitutively enhanced levels of GDNF in the skin did not cause a significant change in cutaneous C-fiber somal AP properties.

\[ \text{Potential and first derivative from a C-polymodal nociceptor.} \]

\[ \text{viaorgen) to remove genomic DNA, and then 1 \mu g was reverse transcribed using Superscript II reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen). Routine control reactions included Superscript II reverse transcriptase (RT), and reactions were run without templates to test for contamination. Two methods of PCR amplification were used. To measure transient receptor potentials TRPV1, TRPV2, TRPV3, and TRPV4 transcripts, SYBR green-labeled PCR amplification was performed as described previously (Molliver et al., 2005). An Applied Biosystems (Foster City, CA) real-time thermal cycler controlled by a Dell Latitude laptop computer running ABI Prism 7000 SDS software was used. Twenty nanograms of cDNA template were added to 50 \mu l reaction.
Effects of GDNF-OE on anatomical properties

After physiological characterization in the intracellular experiments, cells were iontophoretically injected with NB to allow visualization of the neuronal somata (Figs. 1–4) and, in some instances, the central terminations in the spinal cord (Figs. 3, 4). In preparations from WT mice (Figs. 1, 2), immunohistochemical analysis revealed that 68% (11 of 16) of the somata of cutaneous C-fibers bound the lectin IB4. Of neurons examined with combined IB4 and antisera for the ATP receptor P2X3 (Jahr and Jessell, 1983; Chen et al., 1995), 64% (7 of 11) stained positively for both (Fig. 1b). The remaining eight cells were reacted with IB4 and primary antisera for CGRP, which showed that 25% (2 of 8) were CGRP positive (Fig. 1d). None were found to bind IB4 and stain positively for CGRP (Fig. 2b).

From GDNF-OE transgenic ganglia (Figs. 3, 4), we recovered the somata of 20 characterized C-fiber nociceptors. All 20 cells were positively labeled with the IB4 lectin, and, of these, 13 were also reacted with antisera for P2X3, with 10 (77%) showing positive staining for both (Fig. 3b). Seven IB4-labeled somata were also reacted with antisera for CGRP (Fig. 4). Only one of seven IB4-labeled somata tested (14%) was CGRP positive (data not shown).

Examination of the central projections of individual C-fiber nociceptive neurons in GDNF-OE (n = 6) and WT (n = 5) mice revealed that, in general, the central projections of these cutaneous primary sensory neurons were very similar to those described previously by Sugiura and colleagues and in previous studies from this laboratory (Sugiura et al., 1986, 1989; Woodbury et al., 2001, 2004). Both WT (data not shown) and GDNF-OE C-polymodal fibers projected extensively in lamina I and IIo and formed dense “nest-like” terminal fields (Figs. 3, 4). Although the focus of the terminal fields of individual fibers varied slightly, there was no obvious difference between the projections of transgenic and wild-type fibers. It is important to note that, in agreement with our previous findings (Woodbury et al., 2004), these results demonstrate that cutaneous afferent fibers in cell bodies that stained positively for IB4 and P2X3 (Fig. 3b) or were IB4 positive and CGRP negative (Fig. 4a) can give rise to extensive projections in laminae I and IIo.

Effects on peripheral response properties

The immunostaining results from the intracellular recordings of C-fiber nociceptive neurons in GDNF-OE preparations, i.e., 20 of 20 cells were IB4 positive, indicated that we were examining a homogeneous population of fibers. In a previous report on this transgenic line (Zwick et al., 2002), it was found that cells binding IB4 were hypertrophied compared with those in wild-type mice.
Together, these findings suggest that the intracellular recordings may have been biased toward the larger (IB4-positive) cells in the GDNF-OE mice.

To counter this potential bias, we used fiber teasing techniques. Additional C-fiber response properties in WT \( (n/H_11005/12) \) and GDNF-OE \( (n/H_11005/10) \) mice were analyzed using this approach. For all measurements made, i.e., conduction velocity and thermal response properties, the results using the two different preparations were analyzed and found to be statistically indistinguishable within WT and OE groups. For example, of the 19 WT C-fiber neurons recorded intracellularly, only one had a mechanical threshold below 0.07 mN. The mean threshold of all fibers tested was 0.87 \( _+/_{-0.16} \) mN. For the 12 WT C-fibers recorded using fiber teasing methods, none had thresholds \(<0.3 \) mN, and the mean was 0.90 \( _+/_{-0.19} \) mN. The 28 C-fiber neurons recorded intracellularly from GDNF-OE mice had a mean mechanical threshold of 0.31 \( _+/_{-0.09} \) mN, with 14 having mechanical thresholds \(<0.07 \) mN. Of the 10 recorded using fiber teasing, the mean was 0.34 \( _+/_{-0.09} \) mN, and five had thresholds \( \leq 0.07 \) mN. Thus, results from the two preparations were combined for additional analysis of the peripheral response properties.

The combined analysis indicates that C-fibers recorded in the GDNF-OE preparations had significantly faster conduction velocities \( (0.75 \pm 0.2 \text{ m/s}; n = 38; \text{range}, 0.49–0.97 \text{m/s}) \) than those in wild-type preparations \( (0.62 \pm 0.03 \text{ m/s}; n = 31; \text{range}, 0.35–0.79 \text{ m/s}; p < 0.01, \text{two-tailed t test}) \), likely reflecting a hypertrophy of unmyelinated peripheral axons in response to the excess GDNF level (Zwick et al., 2002). It should also be noted that this increase in unmyelinated fiber velocity was not caused by slowing of myelinated fibers because they also exhibited hypertrophy in these mice (Zwick et al., 2002). In addition, C-fibers in GDNF-OE mice had significantly lower mechanical thresholds \( (0.33 \pm 0.08 \text{ mN}; n = 34) \) than those in WT mice \( (0.88 \pm 0.17 \text{ mN}; n = 31; p < 0.01, \text{two-tailed test}) \) (Fig. 5a). If the comparison was limited to those cells recovered and found to bind IB4, the GDNF-OE mechanical thresholds \( (0.25 \pm 0.06 \text{ mN}; n = 20) \) were also significantly lower than those for WT afferents \( (0.68 \pm 0.25 \text{ mN}; n = 11; p < 0.01, \text{two-tailed test}) \). Although this is a relatively small number of stained cells, it shows that the overall decrease is most likely caused by changes in the GDNF-sensitive afferent population.

As stated above, in WT mice, we found only one C-fiber that had a mechanical threshold of \( \leq 0.07 \) mN, and this fiber also responded to innocuous cooling of the skin and did not bind IB4 or CGRP (Fig. 2f), which is indicative of a CLTMR (Bessou et al., 1971). Therefore, in this mouse back skin preparation, C-fibers with mechanical thresholds \( \geq 0.3 \) mN were considered HTMRs, and those with thresholds \(<0.3 \) mN were LTMRs (Fig. 5).
Many (20 of 38) of the fibers in the GDNF-OE mice were characterized as LT-MRs (≤0.07 mN). All 20 of these LTMRs also responded to noxious heating of the skin (Fig. 3a). These features represent a novel sensory neuron phenotype because they are unlikely to be CLTMRs because CLTMRs typically do not exhibit heat sensitivity (Bessou et al., 1971). It had been suggested previously that CLTMRs have significantly briefer somal action potentials than their HTMR counterparts (Djouhri et al., 1998). We therefore examined the relationship between spike duration and mechanical thresholds across the population of C-fibers from WT and GDNF-OE mice (Fig. 5d). Whereas the one CLTMR from WT mice did have a relatively brief AP (Figs. 2c, 5d), LTMR fibers recorded in GDNF-OE mice had AP potentials durations that were not different from those of HTMR (polymodal nociceptors) in WT mice. In addition, there was no difference in AP duration between LTMRs and HTMRs (polymodal nociceptors) in the GDNF-OE mice.

Whereas we only recorded and recovered one CLTMR in WT littermates, we recovered the somata of five C-fibers classified as CLTMRs in additional studies, with two in the same genetic background as the GDNF-OE mice (B6/C3H) and three from a different mouse strain (Swiss Webster). These afferent fibers were very similar in their characteristics. They responded vigorously to application of a 0.07 mN von Frey filament and also responded to innocuous cooling of the skin (Fig. 2f). In all cases, these cells did not respond to a heat ramp and did not bind IB4 or stain positively for CGRP (zero of five) (Fig. 2d). Although on average these CLTMR fibers from nonlittermate mice had briefer AP durations, they were not significantly different from those characterized as HTMR fibers in WT mice. However, it should be noted that this negative result may be the result of the small number of these CLTMRs recorded and inclusion of data from different mouse strains.

Overall thermal testing revealed that, in WT mice, 81% (21 of 26) of the C-fibers innervating back skin responded to noxious heating (48–52°C) (Fig. 2e). A significantly higher percentage (97%; 35 of 36) of C-fibers were heat sensitive in GDNF-OE mice (Fig. 3a) (χ² test, p < 0.01) (Fig. 5b). However, both heat threshold and heat sensitivity were unchanged (threshold, GDNF-OE, 42.3 ± 0.6°C, n = 21; WT, 41.5 ± 7.9°C, n = 35; firing frequency at 48°C, GDNF-OE, 1.84 ± 0.3 spikes/s, n = 21; WT, 1.84 ± 0.48 spikes/s; n = 35). Additional analysis revealed that GDNF-OE C-fibers with very low mechanical thresholds (≤0.07 mN) had heat-evoked responses that were indistinguishable from those with higher mechanical thresholds in WT or GDNF-OE mice (Fig. 5c).

The majority of C-fibers (97%) recorded in GDNF-OE mice responded to both mechanical and thermal stimulation and were therefore most likely polymodal nociceptors. To test this possibility, we evaluated the response of five of these cells, including three that had mechanical thresholds ≤0.07 mN (LTMRs), to dilute acid (0.2 m HCl) applied to the receptive field. All five responded to the acid and stained positively for IB4 and P2X₃, supporting the classification of these as polymodal nociceptors.

**Ion channel expression in DRG of GDNF-OE transgenic mice**

The enhanced mechanical sensitivity exhibited by C-fibers of GDNF-OE animals raised the possibility that expression of ion channel proteins that are thought to underlie thermal and mechanical sensitivity was modulated in neurons responsive to GDNF. To test this possibility, we used RT-PCR assays to measure the relative expression level of transcripts encoding proteins in the ASICs and TRPs families. Comparative analysis of transcripts encoding ASIC1, ASIC2a, ASIC2b, and ASIC3 from lumbar level (L3, L4, and L5) DRG showed no difference in ASIC1 or ASIC3 mRNA level between wild-type and GDNF-OE ganglia (Table 2). However, ASIC2a mRNA was 3.28-fold higher (p < 0.005) than the wild-type level, whereas ASIC2b levels were increased 1.38-fold (p < 0.05).

To determine whether the increased mRNA expression resulted in increased levels of ASIC2 protein, thoracic DRG sections from four WT and four GDNF-OE mice were immunolabeled using an antibody that detects both ASIC2a and ASIC2b. Examination of these ganglia using confocal microscopy showed an apparent increase in the intensity of labeling of ASIC2 in the small neuron population (Fig. 6a,d). Although small cells ap-
RNA extracted from lumbar DRG from four WT and five GDNF-OE animals was used to measure relative expression of ASIC, TRPA1, and TRPM8 in PCR reactions spiked with $^{32}$P-CTP and quantified using a Phosphorimager. Values are relative intensity measures $\pm$ SEM. To determine relative expression of TRPV channels in GDNF-OE lumbar DRG, RNA was extracted from ganglia isolated from three WT and three GDNF-OE animals and analyzed in triplicate using SYBR green-based real-time PCR assays. Shown are normalized CT values relative to GAPDH, calculated relative fold change ($2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$; see Materials and Methods). $^{*}p < 0.05$, $^{**}p \leq 0.005$, significant change in transcript abundance.

### Discussion

In this study, we examined the effects of enhanced levels of target-derived GDNF on the peripheral response properties of cutaneous C-fibers and expression of ASIC and TRP channel proteins in sensory neurons. Afferents from wild-type and GDNF-OE transgenic animals were characterized using an ex vivo preparation containing the skin, nerve, ganglia, and spinal cord. GDNF was found to significantly increase the mechanical sensitivity of cutaneous C-fibers and the percentage of fibers that were responsive to noxious heat. After physiological characterization, afferents were labeled with Neurobiotin to determine their chemical phenotype and central projection pattern. The results show the appearance of a large population of IB4-positive C-fibers that exhibit a novel comprehensive phenotype in GDNF-OE mice, i.e., polymodal nociceptors (respond to noxious heat, are IB4 and P2X$_{3}$ positive, and project to dorsal horn lamina I and II) that respond briskly to innocuous touch. There are two plausible explanations for these findings. (1) Target overexpression of GDNF during development increases the number of non-nociceptive CLTMRs that lose sensitivity to cooling and develop heat and acid sensitivity. (2) The increased level of GDNF results in reduction of mechanical thresholds of C-polymodal nociceptors.

The first hypothesis is supported by a previous study showing that CLTMRs innervating the ear skin of rabbits exhibit weak heat responses (Shea and Perl, 1985). However, all of the CLTMRs examined in WT and other control mouse strains responded to cooling but not to heat. Importantly, they did not bind IB4, suggesting that they lack sensitivity to GDNF (Bennett et al., 1998). Strong support for the second hypothesis comes from analysis of somal spike parameters, chemical phenotype, and central projection patterns. In WT mice and guinea pigs (Djouhri et al., 1998), CLTMRs have relatively narrow action potentials and do not immunolabel for nociceptive markers (e.g., IB4 and CGRP) (Fig. 2) and, unlike the central projections seen here, project extensively to deeper parts of lamina II as seen in guinea pig (Sugiuira, 1986). The central anatomy and the unchanged somal action potential properties of the IB4, P2X$_{3}$-positive C-fibers in GDNF-OE mice strongly suggest that the second hypothesis, that proposes increased levels of cutaneous GDNF lowers mechanical thresholds of GDNF-sensitive polymodal nociceptors, is most consistent with the data.

A previous report on these animals showed that they did not exhibit increased sensitivity to mechanical stimuli (Zwick et al., 2002). However, the pronounced increase in sensitivity of the polymodal nociceptors seen here suggests that alterations in the mechanical sensitivity of the CLTMRs can be explained by the modulation of peripheral nociception and finally, by the modulation of central sensitization to input from this class of fibers.
function of central networks may have compensated for this increased afferent activity.

To determine possible mechanisms that underlie the functional changes in C-fiber phenotype in GDNF-OE mice, we tested the hypothesis that enhanced GDNF signaling through the Ret and GFRα1 receptor complex may have altered expression of ion channels associated with transmission of mechanical and/or thermal stimuli. The expression of several members of the TRP and ASIC family of ion channels were examined because recent evidence supports a role for these proteins in thermal and/or mechanical transduction (Caterina et al., 2000; Caterina and Julius, 2001; Welsh et al., 2002; Jordt et al., 2003). Interestingly, although there was an increase in the percentage of heat responsive C-fibers, TRPV1 channel expression in GDNF-OE ganglia decreased (1.47-fold). TRPV1 responds to noxious heat in heterologous expression systems and is required for inflammation-induced heat hypersensitivity (Caterina et al., 1997; Davis et al., 2000; Moriyama et al., 2003). However, GDNF-OE mice exhibit an increase in the percentage of IB4-positive neurons that, in mouse, are typically TRPV1 negative (Zwick et al., 2002). This increase in IB4-positive/TRPV1-negative neurons in GDNF-OE mice would decrease the prevalence of afferents expressing TRPV1 and thereby decrease the amount of TRPV1/ganglion. An increase in TRPA1 expression also occurred in GDNF-OE ganglia. This channel is postulated to have an important role in signaling noxious cold in sensory neurons (Story et al., 2003). However, recent evidence suggests that TRPA1 may also have a role in mechanosensation (Corey et al., 2004). Thus, it could contribute to the increased mechanical sensitivity of C-fibers found in GDNF-OE afferents. Also of interest was the decrease in TRPML8 mRNA in the DRG of GDNF-OE mice. TRPML8 responds to menthol and cooling (McKemy et al., 2002; Peier et al., 2002). Its reduction provides some support for the above hypothesis 1 that predicts that CLMTR fibers lose sensitivity to cooling of the skin. However, the significant but modest increase in TRPA1 and reduction in TRPV1 and TRPML8 could simply reflect a shift in cell populations such that the 50% increase in neurons binding IB4, e.g., polymodal nociceptors (Zwick et al., 2002), was at the expense of other cell types such as the CLTMK population.

Mechanisms responsible for the observed reduction in mechanical thresholds cannot be easily explained by changes in the relative numbers of specific subsets of sensory neurons. The increase in the ASIC2 splice variants ASIC2a and ASIC2b does, however, provide a possible mechanism. Previous studies have suggested that these channels have a role in mechanosensation. For example, Price et al. (2000) reported that rapidly adapting myelinated low-threshold mechanoreceptors had diminished mechanical sensitivity in mice lacking ASIC2. In addition, Garcia-Anoveros et al. (2001) demonstrated that ASIC2a was localized to afferent fibers innervating cutaneous structures associated with innocuous myelinated low-threshold mechanoreceptors, such as Merkel cell complexes and hair follicles. Thus, it is plausible that an increase in ASIC channel expression by elevated GDNF levels underlies the enhanced mechanosensitivity of normally nociceptive afferents. A recent study has also suggested that growth factors affect ASIC channel expression. McIlwrath et al. (2005) showed that expression of ASIC2 in cultured neurons is regulated by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF). They postulated that depletion of BDNF in BDNF−/− animals caused decreased expression of ASIC2 and subsequent loss in sensitivity of medium-to-large diameter slowly adapting mechanoreceptors.

It has also been suggested that individual ASIC channels (i.e., ASIC1, ASIC2, and ASIC3) are coexpressed and are capable of forming heteromeric ion channels, and that different ratios of specific channel subunits (e.g., ASIC1/ASIC2 or ASIC2/ASIC3) influence channel kinetics in response to protons (Benson et al., 2002; Xie et al., 2002). A similar scheme for mechanotransduction also has been proposed and supported by studies of ASIC3 knock-out mice that showed that Aβ rapidly adapting low-threshold mechanoreceptors exhibited increased mechanical sensitivity (Price et al., 2001; Lewin and Moshourab, 2004). One
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interpretation of these findings would be that loss of ASIC3 would increase the contribution of ASIC2 in heteromeric ion channels, and that this alteration increases mechanosensitivity. Here we found a pronounced increase in ASIC2 expression in IB4-positive afferents of GDNF-OE mice. Although this increase was not specific to the IB4 population, it does suggest these GDNF-sensitive C-fibers have increased levels of ASIC2a and/or ASIC2b arranged in heteromeric channel complexes. Thus, increased mechanosensitivity exhibited by GDNF-responsive C-fibers could be attributable, at least in part, to enhanced expression of ASIC2. It is not clear whether this increased expression is de novo or whether these cells normally contain low levels of this channel that become increased by enhanced GDNF levels. However, given that increased ASIC2 staining was not specific to the IB4 population, the increased expression could be an indirect effect.

Although these data are compelling, it should be noted that a recent study of mechanosensory transduction in cultured DRG neurons showed that neurons lacking ASIC2 and/or ASIC3 exhibited a normal response to mechanical stimulation when applied to the cell body (Drew et al., 2004). These studies suggest that another channel important for mechanosensory function exists in DRG neurons. However, the functional relevance of the ASIC family of channels may only be realized in the context of the appropriate environment in which the skin, nerve, and spinal cord connections are intact.

In summary, it was shown previously that constitutive overexpression of GDNF in the skin results in a significant increase in the number of IB4-positive cells in the DRG. Here we show that this excess GDNF causes a dramatic decrease in the mechanical thresholds of polymodal nociceptors with no change in their response to heat. This reduced mechanical sensitivity may be attributable to increased expression of the ASIC2 ion channel. Thus, sustained treatment with GDNF could be problematic and lead to sensory abnormalities. Interestingly, cutaneous sensory abnormalities (e.g., paresthesias) were common in patients receiving intracerebroventricular GDNF as a treatment for Parkinson’s disease (Nutt et al., 2003). However, it should be noted that a 15 d intrathecal application of high concentrations (12 µg/d) of GDNF caused a reduction in neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve injury (Boucher et al., 2000). Conversely, injection of an antibody to GDNF decreased hyperalgesia after complete Freund’s adjuvant injection (Fang et al., 2003). Clearly, the route of administration and experimental model are critical factors in the evaluation of GDNF action in the sensory system.

References


