Persistent Responses to Brief Stimuli: Feedback Excitation among Brainstem Neurons
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The ability of brief stimuli to trigger prolonged neuronal activity is a fundamental requirement in nervous systems, common to motor responses and short-term memory. Bistable membrane properties and network feedback excitation have both been proposed as suitable mechanisms to sustain such persistent responses. There is now good experimental evidence for membrane bistability. In contrast, the long-standing hypotheses based on positive feedback excitation have yet to be supported by direct evidence for mutual excitatory connections between appropriate neurons. In young frog tadpoles (Xenopus), we show that a small region of caudal hindbrain and rostral spinal cord is sufficient to generate prolonged swimming in response to a brief stimulus. We used paired whole-cell patch recordings to identify hindbrain neurons in this region that actively excite spinal neurons to drive sustained swimming. We show directly that some of these hindbrain neurons make reciprocal excitatory connections with each other. We use a population model of the hindbrain network to illustrate how feedback excitation can provide a robust mechanism to generate persistent responses. Our recordings provide direct evidence for feedback excitation among neurons within a network that drives a prolonged response. Its presence in a lower brain region early in development suggests that it is a basic feature of neuronal network design.
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Citation that is suitable to provide positive feedback, and occurs between neurons forming networks known to generate persistent activity.

To make the problem tractable, we examined persistent activity in the relatively simple neuronal networks that allow a hatching frog tadpole (see Fig. 1 A) to respond to touch with prolonged swimming (Roberts, 2000). When a Xenopus tadpole is immobilized by blocking neuromuscular transmission, a brief skin stimulus can still trigger motor nerve activity to the swimming muscles lasting for seconds or minutes. This motor activity alternates between left and right sides within the normal swimming frequency range (10–25 Hz) (see Fig. 1 B) (Kahn and Roberts, 1982). Using paired whole-cell recording (Li et al., 2002), our aim was to investigate how this persistent locomotor response is sustained without reflexes by finding the neurons that produce the glutamatergic synaptic excitation that drives spinal activity during swimming (Zhao et al., 1998). In all vertebrates, this excitatory drive is presumed to come from reticulospinal neurons located in the brainstem (Garcia-Rill and Skinner, 1987; Noga et al., 2003; Matsuyma et al., 2004). In Xenopus embryos, previous intracellular recordings and dye fills have shown that possible reticulospinal neurons were active during swimming (van Mier and ten Donkelaar, 1989). Our aim was to find these neurons and determine directly whether they make the mutual excitatory connections expected from reverberation hypotheses.
(Dale and Roberts, 1985) or whether their persistent activity depends on cellular properties such as membrane bistability.

Materials and Methods

Electrophysiology and anatomy. Xenopus tadpoles at stage 37/38 (see Fig. 1A) (Nieuwkoop and Faber, 1956) were briefly anesthetized with 0.1% MS-222 (3-aminobenzoic acid ester; Sigma, Gillingham, UK), immobilized in 10 μM α-bungarotoxin (Sigma) saline, and then pinned in a bath of saline (in mM: 115 NaCl, 3 KCl, 2 CaCl₂, 2.4 NaHCO₃, 1 MgCl₂, 10 HEPES, adjusted with 5 M NaOH to pH 7.4). During experiments to localize the region of the CNS that supports sustained swimming, extracellular recordings were made with suction electrodes from ventral roots at intermyotome clefs (Soffe, 1989). The nervous system was transected using fine, etched tungsten pins. NMDA was applied by bath perfusion; α-AP-5 was applied locally through a gravity-fed perfusion nozzle (tip opening, 120 μm). The dorsal parts of rostral myotomes were removed, and the roof of the nervous system was opened to the neurocoel to improve access. The methods for whole-cell patch using electrodes containing 0.1% neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA) and Alexa Fluor-488 (Invitrogen, Eugene, OR), extracellular recording, and processing for neuronal anatomy have been described recently (Li et al., 2002, 2004b). Patch pipettes were filled with 0.1% neurobiotin and 0.1% Alexa Fluor 488 (Invitrogen) in intracellular solution (in mM: 100 K-glucuronate, 2 MgCl₂, 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, 3 Na₃ATP, 0.5 NaGTP adjusted to pH 7.3 with KOH) and had resistances of 10–20 MΩ. For paired recordings, 1 mS MgCl₂ was usually replaced by 1 mS CaCl₂ so that the NMDA-mediated components of excitation could be seen. In many experiments to determine synaptic connections of hindbrain neurons, the left side of the CNS was removed from the second to the 11th postotic segment to improve the access and visibility of more ventral neurons, which were exposed by small cuts to the side of the neurocoel. Antagonists were applied close to the recorded neuron using gentle pressure to solution in a pipette with a tip diameter of 10–20 μm or dropped into a 100 μl well upstream to the recording chamber. Drugs used were α-AP-5 and 2,3-dioxo-6-nitro-1,2,3,4-tetrahydrobenzo[f][1,2,4]triazole-7-sulfonamide (NBQX; Tocris Cookson, Bristol, UK), α-bungarotoxin, carbachol (Sigma), and dihydro-β-erythroidine (Research Biochemicals International, Natick, MA). 5-HT (Sigma) was bath applied. Fluorescent imaging was used after recording to check that neuron somata were intact after electrode withdrawal. All figures are quoted as mean ± SD. Statistical analysis was done by paired t test unless stated otherwise, log-transforming data to normalize where necessary, and performed using Minitab software. All experiments comply with UK Home Office regulations and have been approved following local ethical review.

Modeling. GENESIS software (Bower and Beeman, 1997) was used to build a neuronal network with reciprocal inhibitory half-centers and feedback excitation (see Fig. 6B) (see details in Appendix, Modeling methods). This network modeled a 0.4 mm length of CNS where neuron soma were co-localized as single compartments. All neurons had modified Hodgkin–Huxley voltage-dependent Na⁺ and K⁺ channels and a passive leak. Kinetic parameters and channel densities were chosen so that the neuron properties were like neurons in the tadpole hindbrain-spinal cord recorded with patch-clamp electrodes (see Fig. 4A, B, E). They fired once to depolarizing current and also fired a single postinhibitory rebound (anode break) spike when sufficient negative current occurred during depolarization. Axons were represented by conduction delays determined by the longitudinal distance between presynaptic and postsynaptic neurons. At synapses, conductances and currents were modeled. Excitation was of long duration (~200 ms) as in 0 mS Mg²⁺ saline and inhibition was short (~30 ms). The model had symmetrical left and right half-centers. Excitatory axons made synapses on the same side and only inhibitory axons made synapses on the opposite side (see Fig. 6A, B).

Results

Lesions and pharmacology

The first step was to localize a region of the nervous system that supports prolonged swimming. After a 0.5 ms current pulse to the skin, immobilized hatchling tadpoles show swimming motor activity, which alternates on left and right sides and spreads from head to tail (Fig. 1C) (Roberts, 1990). This activity starts at a higher frequency but gradually slows until it stops spontaneously after many seconds. When most of the brain rostral to the seventh rhombomere was removed to leave the spinal cord and only a short part of the hindbrain (Fig. 1B, cut 1), swimming was still prolonged (29.4 ± 26.5 s; n = 21) (Fig. 1D, black line). We then perfused the NMDA receptor (NMDAR) antagonist α-AP-5 (100 μM) locally onto the caudal hindbrain in five of these transected preparations, starting 1–4 s after initiating swimming activity. After the start of this local antagonist application, swimming slowed down rapidly and stopped prematurely (Fig. 1D, gray line). Episode lengths were significantly shortened (Fig. 1E) (two to three applications in each of five tadpoles, p < 0.001).

These experiments suggested that the caudal hindbrain plays a crucial role in allowing persistent swimming. Lesions were then used to confirm the importance of this region for persistent...
swimming. If all of the brain was removed (Fig. 1B; cut 2), spinal tadpoles swam for <1 s after stimulation (0.2 ± 0.1 s; n = 6). As in other vertebrates, these spinal animals could generate prolonged swimming when continuous external excitation was applied (e.g., bath applied 40 μM NMDA for ~30 s) (Fig. 1F). Thus, although the spinal cord contains the basic pattern generator circuitry to organize swimming motor output (Roberts, 1990), spinal neurons cannot provide sufficient excitation to sustain it. In contrast, an isolated 0.4 mm length of caudal hindbrain and rostral spinal cord (between cuts 1 and 2; n = 10) (Fig. 1B, shaded) still produced prolonged swimming activity after a brief (0.5 ms) current pulse given to one side of the brain. This swimming slows down after initiation and eventually stops spontaneously. It was no shorter than that produced in the same animals after only cut 1 (18.3 ± 24.9 and 16.8 ± 12.6 s, respectively, n = 10; p = 0.25). As suggested by our initial results (Fig. 1D,E), prolonged swimming in this 0.4-mm-long reduced preparation depends on NMDAR-mediated synaptic excitation. When the NMDAR antagonist d-AP-5 (100 μM) was applied 1–4 s after initiating activity, swimming again slowed down rapidly and stopped prematurely. Episodes in this very restricted region of the CNS were therefore significantly shortened by d-AP-5 (Fig. 1G) (two to three applications in each of five tadpoles; p < 0.002).

**Recordings from hindbrain excitatory interneurons**

The lesion and antagonist experiments show that the caudal hindbrain and rostral spinal cord are sufficient for the CNS to generate prolonged swimming that depends in part on glutamate-mediated excitation. We therefore used whole-cell patch electrodes in this region to find neurons that provide excitatory drive to spinal neurons during swimming. Part of the left side of the hindbrain and spinal cord was removed to improve access to ventral neurons (Fig. 2A), and recordings were initially made in 0 mM Mg²⁺ saline so the NMDA component of glutamate-mediated excitation could be seen easily. Pairs of connected neurons <300 μm apart were found by stimulating possible presynaptic neurons during loose patch recordings while recording whole-cell from another more caudal neuron that was active during swimming. Presynaptic neurons producing excitation (approximately one in every four tested) were then recorded in whole-cell mode.

We made paired recordings from 115 ventral hindbrain neurons (in 92 tadpoles) and 90 additional caudal central pattern generator (CPG) neurons that were active during swimming. From these recordings, we selected 103, which, for simplicity, we will call hindbrain descending interneurons (hdINs) with the following features (Fig. 2): (1) they were reliably active during swimming (Fig. 2E); (2) they had longer duration action potentials than other CPG neurons (Fig. 2D,F) (hdINs, 1.93 ± 0.43 ms, n = 56; CPG neurons, 0.69 ± 0.2 ms, n = 47; measured at 0 mV; p < 0.001; F test); (3) current-induced hdIN action potentials led to direct, short-latency excitation of the spinal neurons (Fig. 2C) (latency, 1.35 ± 0.44 ms; range, 0.60–2.40 ms; amplitude, 8.0 ± 5.8 mV; n = 21 pairs sampled); in the tadpole, with fine unmyelinated axons usually <0.2 μm in diameter, latencies <3 ms in neurons <300 μm apart are likely to be monosynaptic (Li et al., 2004a), (4) they had descending axons revealed by neurobiotin filling (Figs. 2A, 5A,C). We rejected 12 other neurons that produced excitation of more caudal CPG neurons but did not have longer duration action potentials and were not active in swimming (so could not contribute to sustaining it).

In a subset of 34 of the best-filled hdINs (Fig. 2B), many (53%) also had an ascending axon. In these cases, the descending axons were significantly longer (0.80 ± 0.30; maximum, 1.53 mm) than the ascending axons (0.47 ± 0.17; maximum, 0.70 mm; n = 18; p = 0.001). The spinal neurons excited by hdINs were identified as CPG neurons using anatomical features revealed by neurobiotin filling (Roberts, 2000). There were 22 motoneurons with peripheral axons, 35 descending INs, four commissural INs, three ascending INs, and 26 unidentified rhythmic neurons.

The hdINs therefore directly excite spinal neurons that are active during swimming. To observe their “normal” activity during swimming, 26 hdINs (in 31 tadpoles) were recorded with the hindbrain intact and in 1 mM Mg²⁺ saline. They showed activity typical of spinal neurons (Figs. 2E, 3A) (Soffe et al., 1984). On each cycle, they were tonically depolarized, fired one relatively long action potential (Fig. 2F), and received midcycle inhibition. This reciprocal inhibition and also the recurrent inhibition that occurs shortly after firing on some cycles are much clearer as outward currents in voltage-clamp recordings at a holding potential of 0 mV (Fig. 3B,C) (Li et al., 2004c). In recordings from...
12 hdINs during swimming, midcycle IPSCs were very reliable, occurring on virtually all cycles. Smaller recurrent IPSCs were also present in each hdIN but were less reliable (occurring in 62% of the first 20 swimming cycles after the initiation stimulus).

The timing of spikes in hdIN and spinal CPG neurons was measured over ~20 swimming cycles, using the start of the ventral root burst as a reference (Fig. 2E). Mean hdIN firing (n = 16) was 2.7 ms earlier in the cycle than for spinal neurons active during swimming (n = 12; two-sample t test, p = 0.03). This was equivalent to 3.4% of the mean cycle period (80 ms). Because the circuitry of the tadpole is so simple, it is reasonable to suggest that hdINs, with spikes occurring early on each cycle of swimming, are a major source of excitation to spinal neurons during swimming.

**Cellular properties of hindbrain excitatory interneurons**

To investigate membrane bistability hypotheses, we examined the cellular properties of 35 hdINs. When depolarizing current was injected, while recording in 1 mM Mg$^{2+}$ saline, individual hdINs generally fired a single action potential, even at currents well above threshold (Fig. 4A), like some other Xenopus spinal neurons (Aiken et al., 2003) and some mammal dorsal horn neurons (Prescott and De Koninck, 2002). At the end of current pulses, the membrane potential returned quickly to near rest with no evidence of any depolarizing plateau potential that outlasted the injected current (Kiehn, 1991). This was also true when repeated firing was induced by repeated current pulses (20 Hz, 30 spikes, equivalent to 30 swimming cycles) (Fig. 4C), even when tested with applied 10 μM 5-HT (n = 8) or the muscarinic agonist carbachol (10–50 μM; n = 7), which can induce plateau responses (Hounsgaard and Kiehn, 1989; Fraser and MacVicar, 1996; Svirstkis and Hounsgaard, 1998).

In 0 mM Mg$^{2+}$, responses to current injection were similar, except that small depolarizing potentials were seen in some hdINs immediately after short 10 ms current pulses that evoked action potentials (Fig. 4D) (n = 24 of 90). However, these depolarizations were blocked by applied glutamate receptor and ACh receptor (AChR) antagonists (1.6–2.5 μM NBQX, 16–25 μM D-AP-5 plus 20 μM-D-tubocurarine; n = 8), suggesting that they were glutamatergic and cholinergic EPSPs rather than plateau potentials (Li et al., 2004a).

Because postinhibitory rebound has been proposed as a part of the mechanism for rhythm generation in the tadpole central pattern generator, leading to neuron firing after midcycle recip-
rocal inhibition (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990), we examined hdIN responses to negative current pulses. When given at the resting membrane potential, such pulses did not induce rebound firing in any neurons tested (n = 18) (Fig. 4B), but if sufficient negative current was injected during depolarizing current, hdINs fired single rebound action potentials (n = 15) (Fig. 4E).

Excitatory connections between hindbrain interneurons
If hdINs drive swimming activity but show no evidence of membrane bistability or postinhibitory rebound from rest, do they make the mutual excitatory synaptic connections expected from reverberation hypotheses? Of crucial significance for a feedback mechanism to sustain swimming activity was the finding that many hdINs had both descending and ascending axons (Figs. 2A, B, 5A, C). Although descending axons permit the feedforward excitation of more caudal neurons, as we described above, the ascending axons provide an anatomical pathway for feedback excitation that could allow hdINs to make mutual synaptic connections.

Our first evidence confirmed that individual hdINs do make synaptic contacts from both their ascending and descending axons. By making sequential paired recordings, we showed that the same, individual hdINs (six hdINs in six tadpoles) excited postsynaptic neurons both rostral and caudal to themselves. However, the most compelling and direct evidence came from simultaneous recordings from pairs of hdINs. We found 47 pairs (in 23 tadpoles) with the long-duration action potentials characteristic of hdINs, where a current induced action potential in one neuron led to direct, short-latency excitation of the other. In 28% of these pairs (13 pairs in 13 tadpoles), in which the more caudal neuron also had an ascending axon, we found reciprocal, excitatory connections between the neurons. A current induced action potential in either neuron led to a short latency (1.65 ± 0.51 ms) (Li et al., 2002) EPSP in the other (Fig. 5). This demonstration of direct, reciprocal excitatory connections between hindbrain neurons that are active during swimming establishes a clear basis for feedback excitation.

Pharmacology of excitation from hdINs
The pharmacology of excitation from neurons with a descending axonal projection has been defined (Li et al., 2004a). The excitatory neurons that we studied previously lay in both the hindbrain and spinal cord and were all called descending interneurons. Those lying in the hindbrain we are now calling hdINs. The excitation from these neurons is unusual, because both hdINs and other spinal descending INs corelease glutamate and acetylcholine to induce fast AMPA receptor and nicotinic AChR-mediated currents and long NMDAR-mediated currents. Consequently, excitation from hdINs is only blocked by joint application of glutamate and acetylcholine receptor antagonists. The excitation between pairs of hdINs showed a similar pattern of corelease to that described previously; EPSPs were again blocked only by joint application of glutamate and acetylcholine receptor antagonists (n = 6 of 6 pairs tested) (Fig. 6).

Consequences of feedback excitation
The significance of mutual excitation between hdINs was emphasized by observations made in 0 mM Mg2+ saline, in which a lack of the normal Mg2+ block of NMDAR makes preparations more excitable. Brief current pulses evoking one action potential in the stimulated neuron led to a feedback EPSP in 24 of 90 hdINs (Fig. 4D). The probable basis for this is illustrated by one paired recording from two hdINs in which reciprocal excitation existed (Fig. 7A). Here, the excitation in one direction was sometimes strong enough to make the other hdIN fire an action potential. When this occurred, a feedback EPSP appeared in the stimulated neuron. Some hdINs can therefore excite other hdINs sufficiently...
strongly to make them fire, and as a result they receive feedback excitation through reciprocal connections. Indeed, in the 47 pairs of hdINs recorded in which excitatory connections were found, a single action potential was evoked by the monosynaptic EPSP in six cases. In the other cases, the excitation from a single hdIN cannot be strong enough to evoke a postsynaptic action potential.

In some cases, in 0 mM Mg$^{2+}$ saline, intracellular current injection ($n = 10$ neurons) or extracellular stimulation of single hdINs with a loose-patch electrode applied to the soma under visual control ($n = 29$) could trigger rhythmic activity in the whole swim circuit (Fig. 7). In 1 mM Mg$^{2+}$ saline, this was only seen in 2 of 35 hdINs, suggesting that summation of excitation from several hdINs is normally needed for postsynaptic neurons to reach firing threshold. We assume that this is the case when sensory stimuli initiate swimming and the whole neuronal network becomes active.

**Modeling networks with feedback excitation**

Our evidence establishes that hdINs make reciprocal excitatory synapses but how might they function to produce persistent reverberatory activity? A long-standing hypothesis provides one possible explanation for rhythm generation by left and right half-centers in the caudal hindbrain and spinal cord of the tadpole (Fig. 8A) (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990). When swimming is initiated by sensory excitation, the neurons in the left half-center fire once and drive the muscles to contract. The excitatory neurons provide long-duration NMDAR-dependent feedback excitation that eventually sums cycle-by-cycle to produce a steady depolarization (Dale and Roberts, 1985). However, it does not immediately cause additional firing, because the neurons, like typical hdINs, are difficult to excite when depolarized (Fig. 4A). They therefore remain depolarized while the right half-center fires, producing contraction and also reciprocal inhibition of the opposite side. This inhibition hyperpolarizes the neurons in the left half-center, which then recover their excitability and fire again on rebound. They can do this only because the long, NMDAR-mediated excitation has outlasted the reciprocal inhibition. The depolarization produced by the feedback excitation is therefore crucial because, unlike some rhythm generators (Satterlie, 1985), rebound firing after inhibition is not seen from rest in tadpole spinal neurons (Fig. 4B). If model neurons have properties like hdINs, then simulated networks of six neurons forming a single “segment” (connected as in Fig. 8A) (see details in Appendix, Modeling methods) can generate robust alternating “swimming” activity after a brief synaptic excitation to both half-centers (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990).

Starting with this excitatory feedback and postinhibitory rebound hypothesis, we built a physiologically realistic, 180-neuron population model of the 0.4-mm-long isolated caudal hindbrain–rostral spinal cord region that we have shown can produce prolonged swimming (Fig. 1B, G, cut 1 + 2). This model was then used to evaluate the importance of the axonal projection patterns of hdINs in providing feedback as well as feedforward excitation to drive swimming. The basic connections were an extension of the six-neuron, half-center model (Fig. 8A), but now the model had length: each type of neuron formed a longitudinal column, and interneurons made connections from their longitudinal axons onto neurons they pass (Fig. 8B). We first tested a network with only feedforward descending excitation in which the excitatory hdINs had only descending axons and connections. Swimming activity occurred in the model but failed to persist, first rostrally and then totally (Fig. 8C,E). We then added feedback excitation by giving the hdINs the additional ascending axons and excitatory connections that we discovered. When feedback excitation was present, swimming activity was reliable and persistent (Fig. 8D,E).

**Discussion**

Animal motor systems produce many different types of long-lasting or even continuous activity. Where activity is the normal state, as in the heartbeat or in respiration, individual muscle cells or neurons often have pacemaker properties (Cymbalyuk et al., 2002; Pena et al., 2004; Del Negro et al., 2005). In cases in which such activity is driven by neuronal circuits, the neurons may still act as pacemakers but also have other properties that lead to continuous activity, like the ability to fire on rebound from reciprocal inhibition when at rest (Satterlie, 1985). These normally active systems contrast with many locomotor circuits that are only active after stimulation and where the normal state is inactive. Most vertebrate spinal central pattern generators fall into this group (Stein et al., 1997). They can organize alternating rhythmic motor output only while they receive continuous “tonic” excitation and are not active without it. In experiments, this excitation typically comes from repetitive electrical stimulation of brainstem locomotor regions (Noga et al., 2003) or applied chemical excitants (like NMDA and 5-HT (Butt et al., 2005)). In life, this excitation is assumed to come from reticulospinal neurons in the brainstem (Orlovsky, 1970a, 1970b), but the neurons and mechanisms generating tonic drive are only beginning to be understood (Viana Di Prisco et al., 1997). We have now investigated the very simple nervous system of the hatching frog tadpole, in which persistent swimming is initiated by a brief sensory stimulus (Roberts, 1990). As a result, we succeeded in locating appropriate excitatory neurons in the tadpole hindbrain that provide direct excitation to spinal neurons during swimming, allowing us to explore how they may enable sustained activity.

Our whole-cell patch recordings from pairs of neurons in the frog tadpole provide direct evidence that hindbrain and rostral spinal cord neurons, with descending axons on the same side, supply feedforward excitation to spinal neurons including motoneurons during swimming, confirming previous suggestions (Dale and Roberts, 1985; Roberts and Alford, 1986). Surprisingly, this excitation is mediated by the corelease of glutamate and acetylcholine (Li et al., 2004a), and since this discovery, similar corelease has been found in mammalian motoneurons (Mentis et al., 2005; Nishimaru et al., 2005). We found that more than half of...
these excitatory neurons located in the hindbrain also have ascending axons. These neurons are therefore able to produce feedback (ascending) as well as feed-forward (descending) excitation. Such connections are not rare, because they were present in 28% of cases in which excitatory synapses were found.

In the frog tadpole, we have shown that the spinal cord can only produce persistent activity to brief stimuli when the caudal hindbrain is attached. Local NMDA antagonist application to the caudal hindbrain blocks persistent activity in preparations transected in the mid-hindbrain. The importance of the caudal hindbrain is endorsed by our finding that when this short region is isolated surgically with the most rostral segment of spinal cord, prolonged swimming responses are still produced and depend on the activation of NMDAR. Within this restricted region of the simple tadpole CNS, we recorded 103 excitatory hindbrain neurons that fire reliably during swimming, have long action potentials, and directly excite spinal neurons active in swimming. These hindbrain neurons have surprisingly consistent anatomy, properties and activities suggesting that they form a distinct class.

If, as we propose, these neurons play a crucial role in driving prolonged swimming responses that can last for many seconds or even minutes after sensory stimulation, how can they sustain their own activity? We found no evidence for plateau potentials or any other long-lasting cellular bistability that could explain their persistent activity during swimming (Hounsgaard and Kiehn, 1989; Kiehn, 1991; Fraser and MacVicar, 1996; Marder and Calabrese, 1996; Lee and Heckman, 1998; Perrier and Tresch, 2005). Plateau responses generated by calcium activated non-specific (CAN) currents have been widely implicated in the generation of prolonged responses, including those in lamprey reticulospinal neurons (Viana Di Prisco et al., 1997; Viana Di Prisco et al., 2000; Brocard and Dubuc, 2003) and excite spinal motoneurons (Ohta and Calabrese, 1996; Lee and Heckman, 1998; Perrier and Tresch, 2005).

The number of model neurons active after stimulation (at arrowhead) drops to zero without ascending axons but persists when they are present.

Figure 8. Modeling the networks that drive swimming. A. Basic connections in the six-neuron half-center model: hdINs produce feedback, long-duration excitation on the same side (red triangles), reciprocal iINs produce short-duration inhibition on the opposite side (blue circles), and MNs excite swimming muscles. Activity is initiated by a sensory EPSP to all neurons. B. Population model of swimming network in reduced caudal hindbrain–rostral spinal cord preparation. This is based on connections in the six-neuron model but with length; thus, each neuron class forms a longitudinal column, and axons make connections onto neurons they pass. C. When excitatory axons of hdINs only descend, swimming activity in the network falls quickly (shown in motoneurons on the left and right). D. When hdINs also have ascending excitatory axons: swimming activity persists indefinitely. E. The number of model neurons active after stimulation (at arrowhead) drops to zero without ascending axons but persists when they are present.

Positive feedback resulting from the mutual excitatory connections that we found between hdINs will have to be constrained, and this will happen through both cellular and circuit factors. Most importantly: the strongly adapting firing properties of hdINs (Fig. 4A) make it difficult for them to fire more than once if simply depolarized by excitation. The possibility of repetitive firing would also be limited by feedback (recurrent) synaptic inhibition from the swimming circuit on the same side (Li et al., 2004c). Finally, there is delayed, reciprocal inhibition from the opposite side. Paradoxically, this will first inhibit firing but then provide cycle-by-cycle excitation through postinhibitory rebound during the long feedback excitation. Together, these mechanisms permit persistent swimming activity to reverberate between left and right sides after a brief stimulus as we have demonstrated in our model network (Fig. 8). Because rhythm generation can occur in a single side of the tadpole CNS (Soffe, 1989), there are likely to be additional mechanisms underlying rhythmic activity; however, whatever these mechanisms are, they need to be sustained by synaptic feedback excitation.

The hdINs we studied are probably homologues of adult brainstem reticulospinal neurons that activate locomotion in mammals (Noga et al., 2003). They lie in a ventral position in the caudal hindbrain and have short dendrites and descending ipsilateral axonal projections to the spinal cord. Some also have ascending axons. Similar neurons have been described in developing Xenopus and zebrafish, where they are considered to be reticulospinal neurons (Nordlander et al., 1985; Metcalfe et al., 1986; van Mier and ten Donkelaar, 1989). In adult lamprey, intracellular recordings have also shown that such neurons are active during swimming (Viana Di Prisco et al., 1997; Viana Di Prisco et al., 2000; Brocard and Dubuc, 2003) and excite spinal neurons directly by activating glutamate receptors (Ohta and
Grillner, 1989). What is surprising is that the clearest evidence for discrete groups of reticulospinal neurons controlling motor activity comes from the very specialized brainstem nuclei controlling vocal organs and electric organs in teleost fish (Dye and Meyer, 1986; Bass and Baker, 1990; Kawasaki, 1994). In general, and in diverse vertebrate groups from fish to mammals, reticulospinal neurons play an important role in activating locomotion, but it is still unclear in most cases whether they are driven or sustain their own activity. It is interesting to note that the hdINs directly excite spinal motoneurons as well as interneurons. Although direct, monosynaptic connections from reticulospinal neurons to spinal motoneurons are clearly established in mammals (Peterson et al., 1979), their significance during locomotion compared with indirect connections via propriospinal relays remains unclear.

**Conclusion**

Feedback excitation may not be the only brain mechanism for sustained motor responses. However, our modeling of the tadpole hindbrain and spinal cord neuronal networks (based on the ability of hdINs to fire on rebound from reciprocal inhibition when they are depolarized by long-duration feedback excitation) supports it as one mechanism contributing to prolonged activity. Similar feedback excitation mechanisms have been modeled extensively and are proposed to be of general importance in other persistent neuronal responses (Durstewitz et al., 2000; Seung et al., 2000; Wang, 2001; Koulakov et al., 2002), unless membrane-based mechanisms like plateau potentials are present (Kiehn, 1991; Loewenstein et al., 2005). Our findings now supply what has been crucially lacking in these proposals: direct experimental evidence for mutually excitatory connections between appropriate brain neurons.

**Appendix**

**Modeling methods**

GENESIS software (Bower and Beeman, 1997), version 2.1 with an integration time step of 0.1 ms, was used and run under Red Hat 7.0 or Debian 3.0 Linux on Pentium IV personal computers to build the neuronal network model of the central pattern generator network spanning the hindbrain–spinal cord border of the Xenopus tadpole.

**Properties of neurons and their distributions**

The small and electrotonically compact neurons of the tadpole (Wolf et al., 1998) were modeled as single compartments and had the following properties: resting membrane potential, −55 mV; Nernst potential for leakage, −43 mV; resistance, 120 MΩ; capacitance, 0.12 nF; Na⁺ equilibrium potential, +50 mV; K⁺ equilibrium potential, −80 mV; peak conductance of Na⁺ channels, 0.165 μS; and peak conductance of K⁺ channels, 0.055 μS.

All neurons had modified Hodgkin–Huxley voltage-dependent Na⁺ and K⁺ channels and a passive leak. We used the original formalism of Hodgkin and Huxley (1952) to describe the rate constants for the voltage-dependent Na⁺ and K⁺ channels. The rate constants α and β were described by the following:

\[ \alpha, \beta = (A + BE)/(C + e^{E + D/F}), \]  

where E is the membrane potential in millivolts. We modified the constants for A, B, C, D, and F used in our previous model for the Xenopus tadpole’s spinal central pattern generator (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990) to produce the less negative resting membrane potentials found in whole-cell patch recordings (Li et al., 2004b).

To keep the kinetic properties of the membrane unchanged with the resting membrane potential shifted, we used the method described previously (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990) (new values in Table 1). The Na⁺ and K⁺ channel densities were chosen to allow single overshooting spikes up to +30 mV in response to a broad range of current intensities and postinhibitory rebound (anode break) firing during depolarization. These are features of neurons at the hindbrain–spinal cord border (Fig. 4).

The number of MNs and excitatory (hdIN) and reciprocal iINs per 100 μm CNS length (Table 2) and axon lengths were set to mimic the distributions found anatomically (Yoshida et al., 1998; Roberts et al., 1999; Li et al., 2001). They were the same on both sides of the model. However, positions of neurons within each 100 μm length were assigned stochastically and independently on the two sides.

**Network and synapses**

Our model of the hindbrain–spinal cord border network (Fig. 8) had a length dimension along the body axis (z) and a left and right side. The ipsilateral axons of excitatory neurons and the contralateral axons of inhibitory neurons had ascending and descending branches, which were long enough to reach all neurons on the same or opposite side, respectively. In this model, motoneurons did not make central synapses. Excitatory neurons made synapses onto neurons on the same side, and inhibitory neurons synapsed with neurons on the opposite side. These rules made direct feedback excitation possible among excitatory neurons. Synapses between neurons and passing axons were created in a stochastic manner with certain probabilities (Table 3). Exci-

---

**Table 1. Values used to define rate constants for the voltage-dependent Na⁺ and K⁺ channels**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constant</th>
<th>α_m</th>
<th>β_m</th>
<th>α_h</th>
<th>β_h</th>
<th>α_e</th>
<th>β_e</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>−3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>−0.1125</td>
<td>0.03125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>−0.1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>−1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>−10</td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Number of excitatory (hdIN), inhibitory (iIN), and motoneurons (MNs) in the model at each longitudinal position**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position (μm)</th>
<th>hdIN</th>
<th>iIN</th>
<th>MN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–400</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position (μm)</th>
<th>Left</th>
<th>Right</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100–200</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200–300</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300–400</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3. Properties of central and sensory synapses**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Glutamate</th>
<th>Glycine</th>
<th>Sensory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reversal potential (mV)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>−80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak conductance (nS)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opening time constant (ms)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Closing time constant (ms)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability of synapse</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When an axon reached or passed a neuron, synapses are made with probabilities given.
tatory and inhibitory neurons use glutamate and glycine in the tadpole, and thus we used these neurotransmitters to refer to the individual properties of these synapses in Table 3.

We modeled three different types of chemical synapses (Table 3): excitatory glutamate synapses and inhibitory glycine synapses. The third type of synapse modeled sensory EPSPs that initiate swimming activity. The conductance changes at synapses were described by a dual exponential function of the following form:

\[ g_{\text{syn}}(t) = A g_{\text{max}}(e^{-t/\tau_1} - e^{-t/\tau_2})/\tau_3, \]

where \( A \) is a normalization constant, chosen so that \( g_{\text{syn}} \) reaches a maximum value of \( g_{\text{max}} \), and \( \tau_1 \) and \( \tau_2 \) are the opening and closing time constants, respectively. For simplicity, we did not model the following: (1) separate AMPA and NMDA conductances, and the normal voltage dependency of the NMDA channels (Tunstall et al., 2002); and (2) the corelease of glutamate with acetylcholine that we described recently from spinal excitatory neurons (Li et al., 2004a).

Long-duration tonic excitation and timed inhibition during this depolarization are essential for sustained rhythmic activity in spinal neurons of young frog tadpoles (Roberts and Tunstall, 1990). Our report presents experimental evidence that tonic depolarization and midcycle inhibition are also present in hindbrain interneurons (Fig. 2E). Therefore, synaptic conductances and probabilities of synapse formation by passing axons in the model were chosen to produce sufficient inhibition to allow neurons to fire rebound action potentials during long-lasting depolarization (Fig. 4E), following inhibition from the opposite side.

Once the potential in the presynaptic neuron exceeds the spike threshold (−50 mV), there is an axonal conduction delay (3.64 ms/mm) plus synaptic delay (0.5 ms) (Dale and Roberts, 1985), and then the synaptic conductance in the postsynaptic neuron starts to open. The absolute refractory period for spikes was 1 ms.

Activity was initiated by a single pair of sensory pathway EPSPs given to each neuron with a 20 ms delay between the two sides. Sensory synapses had 15 ms peak conductance; otherwise, they were the same as the glutamate central synapses (Table 3).
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