Slit Proteins Regulate Distinct Aspects of Retinal Ganglion Cell Axon Guidance within Dorsal and Ventral Retina
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An early step in the formation of the optic pathway is the directed extension of retinal ganglion cell (RGC) axons into the optic fiber layer (OFL) of the retina in which they project toward the optic disc. Using analysis of knock-out mice and in vitro assays, we found that, in the mammalian retina, Slit1 and Slit2, known chemorepellents for RGC axons, regulate distinct aspects of intraretinal pathfinding in different regions of the retina. In ventral and, to a much lesser extent, dorsal retina, Slits help restrict RGC axons to the OFL. Additionally, within dorsal retina exclusively, Slit2 also regulates the initial polarity of outgrowth from recently differentiated RGCs located in the retinal periphery. This regional specificity occurs despite the fact that Slits are expressed throughout the retina, and both dorsal and ventral RGCs are responsive to Slits. The gross morphology and layering of the retina of the slit-deficient retinas is normal, demonstrating that these distinct guidance defects are not the result of changes in the organization of the tissue. Although displaced or disorganized, the aberrant axons within both dorsal and ventral retina exit the eye. We also have found that the lens, which because of its peripheral location within the developing eye is ideally located to influence the initial direction of RGC axon outgrowth, secretes Slit2, suggesting this is the source of Slit regulating OFL development. These data demonstrate clearly that multiple mechanisms exist in the retina for axon guidance of which Slits are an important component.
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Introduction

After differentiation, retinal ganglion cells (RGCs) extend their axons in a highly directed, radial manner toward the optic disc. As they extend through the retina, the axons are restricted to the optic fiber layer (OFL) at the inner surface of the retina by the balance between the growth-promoting properties of the radial glial end feet localized to this region and inhibitory cues present within the outer retina (Stier and Schlosshauer, 1995, 1998).

The molecular mechanisms regulating intraretinal pathfinding are beginning to be unraveled. In rodents, a central-peripheral wave of chondroitin sulfate proteoglycan (CSPG) expression controls the initial polarity of outgrowth from recently differentiated RGCs, directing the axons away from the retinal periphery (Brittis et al., 1992; Brittis and Silver, 1995). More centrally, EphB2/B3, BMP (bone morphogenic protein) receptor 1B, and netrin1 are required for targeting to and entry into the optic disc (Deiner et al., 1997; Birgbauer et al., 2000; Liu et al., 2003). In chicks, graded expression of Sonic hedgehog across the retina plays a role in directing the central-peripheral growth of the axons (Kolpak et al., 2005). A number of cell adhesion molecules, including NCAM (neural cell adhesion molecule), NrCAM (NgCAM-related cell adhesion molecule), L1, and Neurulin/DM-GRASP, also have been implicated in regulating intraretinal guidance (Brittis et al., 1995; Ott et al., 1998; Monnier et al., 2001; Zelina et al., 2005).

Slits are secreted guidance molecules that, acting through their Roundabout (Robo) receptors, mediate axon pathfinding (Brose et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999; Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al., 1999, 2002; Bagri et al., 2002; Plump et al., 2002; Long et al., 2004; Sabatier et al., 2004). There are three Slits (Slit1–Slit3) in vertebrates (Holmes et al., 1998; Itoh et al., 1998; Brose et al., 1999; Li et al., 1999) and four Robos (Robo1–Robo4) (Kidd et al., 1998; Yuan et al., 1999a; Huminiecki et al., 2002), subsets of which are expressed in the developing retina. In rodents, from the time that the first RGCs are generated, both robo2 and slit1 are expressed in the RGC layer. Slightly later in development, slit2 also is expressed within the RGC and presumptive inner nuclear layers. In vitro, both Slit1 and Slit2 are potent inhibitors of RGC axon outgrowth (Erskine et al., 2000; Niclou et al., 2000; Ringstedt et al., 2000; Plump et al., 2002). Given the critical functions of the Slits in other regions of the optic pathway (Plump et al., 2002), these molecules appeared excellent candidates for factors mediating intraretinal axon guidance. Indeed, in chick retinas, alterations in Irx4 (iroquois homeobox protein 4) activity modulate slit1 expression and affect RGC axon fasciculation (Jin et al., 2003).

Using analyses of knock-out mice and in vitro assays, we found that, within dorsal retina exclusively, Slits control the initial po-
Figure 1. Determination of slit expression in the developing visual system using anti-GFP immunocytochemistry. Horizontal sections of E15.5 retina (A–F) and ventral diencephalon (G–I) stained with a neuron-specific anti-β-tubulin antibody to label the RGC axons (A, D, G) or an anti-GFP antibody (B, C, E, F, H, I). Boxed regions in A–C are shown at higher power in D–F. In both slit1+/− (B, E, H and slit2+/− (C, F, I) embryos, tau–GFP is expressed by RGC axons within the OFL at the inner surface of the retina (B, C, E, F) and in the optic nerve (B, C, E, F), chiasm (H, I), and tract (H, I). Expression is also present in specific regions of the diencephalon surrounding the developing optic chiasm (H, I) and, in slit2+/− embryos, the cornea and lens epithelium (K). NL, Neuroblastic layer; OC, optic chiasm; RGCL, RGC layer; OT, optic tract. Scale bar: A–C, 400 μm; D–F, 100 μm; G–I, 200 μm.

The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-green fluorescent protein (GFP) (1:500; Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), TU1 (anti-neuron-specific-β-tubulin) (1:1000; Cambridge Bioscience, Cambridge, UK), anti-phospho-histone H3 (1:100; Upstate Biotechnology, Lake Placid, NY), 39.4D5 (anti-Isla1: 1:50; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, University of Iowa, Iowa City, IA), and anti-calretinin (1:10,000; Swant, Bellinzona, Switzerland). The sections were washed with PBS, incubated for 2 h with goat anti-rabbit IgG–cy3 (Cys3) (1:500 in 1% NGS/PBS; Jackson Immunoresearch, Soham, UK), goat anti-mouse IgG–Cys3 (1:500; Jackson Immunoresearch), or AlexaFluor® 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200; Invitrogen), followed by additional washes, and mounted with Vectashield (Vector Laboratories, Peterborough, UK). Control sections from wild-type animals or from which the primary antibody was omitted showed no staining (data not shown).

Before removing whole retinas for labeling, a cut was made in the nasal pole to enable orientation, and the lens was removed. Retinas were blocked as above and incubated in either mAb3A10 (anti-neurofilament; 1:200; Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank) or TU1, followed by goat anti-mouse IgG–Cys3 (1:2000) or AlexaFluor® 488 goat anti-mouse IgG (1:200). Labeled retinas were flat mounted, OFL side up, or embedded in 3% agarose, sectioned at 100 μm on a vibratome, and mounted using ProLong Gold (Invitrogen).

For retrograde labeling of RGCs, the diencephalon was dissected free from overlying tissue, and a large crystal of Dil (Invitrogen) was placed into the optic chiasm. The tissue was left in PBS plus 0.1% sodium azide at 37°C for 2 d, and the labeled retinas were removed as above and either flat mounted or sectioned at 100 μm on a vibratome and mounted in Vectashield.

For histological analysis, embryonic and adult retinas were dehydrated and embedded in Technovit 7100 (TAAB Laboratories Equipment, Aldermaston, UK) and sectioned coronally at 5 μm on a microtome. The sections were stained briefly with cresyl violet and dehydrated and mounted in DPX (VWR International).

Labeled sections and retinas were photographed using an Olympus Optical (Tokyo, Japan) BX50 microscope and a Nikon (Tokyo, Japan) DXM1200 digital camera with ACT-1 software or using a Zeiss (Oberkochen, Germany) LSM 510 confocal microscope. Images were prepared using Adobe Photoshop (Adobe Systems, San Jose, CA).

Collagen gel cultures. Retinal explants taken from the peripheral region of E15.5 wild-type embryos were cultured in collagen gels either alone or with lenses from E15.5 wild-type, slit1+/−, slit2+/−, or slit2+/− embryos essentially as described previously (Erickine et al., 2000). In a separate series of experiments, explants taken from each quadrant of E14.5 WT retinas were cocultured with clusters of Slit1-expressing cells (Plump et al., 2002). After 18–24 h, the cultures were fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS and stained with an anti-β-tubulin antibody (1:500; Sigma-Aldrich, Gillingham, UK), followed by a goat anti-mouse IgG–Cys3 secondary antibody (1:2000) to reveal the extent of RGC axon outgrowth. Labeled cultures were photographed using a Nikon SMZ 1500 fluorescent microscope and DVM1200 digital camera, and the extent of axon outgrowth was quantified as described previously (Erickine et al., 2000) by using the public domain NIH Image program to measure the area covered by the RGC axons. This measurement takes into account both the length and the number of the axons. The cultures were set up and analyzed blind to the genotype of the lenses, and the results are the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>β-tubulin</th>
<th>slit1 +/−</th>
<th>slit2 +/−</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Material and Methods

Embryos. All conditions and experimental procedures were in accordance with the United Kingdom Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 and associated guidelines. Experiments were performed using wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 or slit-deficient mice (Plump et al., 2002) maintained in timed-pregnancy breeding colonies. Noon on the day on which a plug was found was considered embryonic day 0.5 (E0.5). Pregnant mothers were killed using a rising gradient of CO2, and the embryos were removed by cesarean section. Embryos were genotyped by PCR as described previously (Plump et al., 2002) and fixed overnight in 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS or, for histological analysis, perfused with 4% paraformaldehyde/2% glutaraldehyde in PBS, followed by overnight fixation in the same solution. Adult animals were killed and perfusion fixed in the same way.

Immunostaining. Dil labeling, and histology. For immunocytochemistry on tissue sections, embryonic heads were cryoprotected with 25% sucrose, embedded in OCT (RA Lamb, Eastbourne, UK), and sectioned horizontally at 14 μm on a cryostat. Sections were collected on SuperFrost Plus slides (VWR International, Lutterworth, UK) and blocked with 10% goat serum (NGS)/0.2% Triton X-100/PBS, followed by overnight incubation in primary antibody diluted in the blocking solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DIL</th>
<th>GFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Larability of RGC axon outgrowth and prevent a subset of RGC axons located predominately in ventral retina from straying away from the OFL. Although disorganized or displaced, the aberrant axons within both dorsal and ventral regions exit the eye. These data add Slits to the growing list of factors that regulate intraretinal pathfinding and demonstrate a surprising degree of regional specificity in their mode of action.
mean ± SEM of four independent experiments. Statistical comparisons were performed using student's unpaired t test.

Results
slit1 and slit2 are expressed by RGCs
Using in situ hybridization both slit1 and slit2 have been demonstrated previously to be expressed in the inner region of the developing rodent retina, although the precise identity of the expressing cells remains controversial (Yuan et al., 1999b; Erskine et al., 2000; Niclou et al., 2000; Ringstedt et al., 2000; Jin et al., 2003). To clarify the identity of the slit-expressing cells, we made use of the fact that the slit-deficient mice express tau–GFP under the control of the endogenous slit1 or slit2 promoters (Plump et al., 2002). Consequently, any cells that normally express slit1 or slit2 mRNA now express GFP in their cell bodies and processes.

In both slit1+/− and slit2+/− embryos, tau–GFP is expressed in the inner region of the retina, including the OFL and optic nerve (Fig. 1B,C,E,F), in a pattern that correlates with the location of the RGCs and their axons (Fig. 1A,D). In slit2+/− embryos tau–GFP also is expressed in the cornea and lens epithelium (Fig. 1C). In the ventral diencephalon of both genotypes, tau–GFP is expressed in the optic chiasm and tracts and in specific domains bordering the optic pathway (Fig. 1G–I). In addition to RGC axons (Fig. 1G), the only other cell types present at the chiasmatic midline are radial glial cells and an early born population of diencephalic neurons, both of which have distinct morphologies and arrangements from the RGC axons (Marcus et al., 1995; Erskine et al., 2000). These data confirm the expression patterns obtained using in situ hybridization (Yuan et al., 1999b; Erskine et al., 2000; Niclou et al., 2000; Ringstedt et al., 2000) and demonstrate clearly that, in the mammalian retina, both slit1 and slit2 mRNA are expressed by RGCs.

Slits help restrict RGC axons to the OFL
To establish whether slits are critical for axon guidance in the mammalian retina, we stained E16.5 wild-type or slit1-deficient retinas with a neuron specific anti-β-tubulin or anti-neurofilament antibody to label all of the RGC axons and viewed them using confocal microscopy in flat mounts or coronal sections (Fig. 2).

In wild-type retinas, RGC axons are restricted to the OFL at the inner surface of the retina, and in only 1 of 20 retinas was a single bundle of axons seen within the outer (RGC and neuroblastic) layers (Figs. 2B,F, 3A,C). In mice lacking slit1 alone, RGC axons remained restricted to the OFL (Figs. 2C,G, 3C). However, in slit2-deficient and, more frequently, slit1/2-deficient retinas, a subset of RGC axons extended away from the OFL into the outer retina. These axons originated from cells located within the RGC layer and formed highly fasciculated bundles that, although less directed than axons within the OFL, extended in the overall direction of the optic disc (Figs. 2D,E,H,I, 3B). An average of five bundles of RGC axons were located within the outer layers of slit2-deficient retinas increasing to over 30 bundles in the double mutants (Fig. 3C). There also was a dorsoventral polarity in the location of the ectopic axons. In both slit2- and slit1/2-deficient retinas, more than twice as many RGC axon bundles extended through the outer layers of ventral than dorsal retina (Fig. 3B,D).

Slits regulate the organization of the OFL
Slits also are involved in regulating the directed growth of RGC axons within the OFL itself (Fig. 2J–Q). In wild-type retinas, RGC axons originating throughout the retina extended within the OFL

Figure 2. Slits regulate intraretinal axon guidance. A, Schematic diagram illustrating the regions of the retina imaged and the normal organization of the retina. B–E, Ventral; NL, neuroblastic layer; B–F, J–Q, Confocal images taken at the level of the ventral neuroblastic layer (B–E) or dorsal OFL (J–Q) of flat-mounted E16.5 retinas stained with an anti-β-tubulin antibody. The optic disc is located toward the bottom of each picture. F–I, Coronal sections through the ventral region of the endogenous anti-β-tubulin-stained E16.5 retinas. In each image, the optic disc is located toward the right. In wild-type (B, F, J, N) and slit1-deficient (C, G, K, Q) retinas, RGC axons extend directly toward the optic disc (J, K, N, Q) and are restricted to the OFL (B, C, F, G). In slit2-deficient (D, H) and slit1/2-deficient (E, I) retinas, large bundles of axons are located ectopically within the outer retina (arrows). The organization of the dorsal OFL also is perturbed, with many axons within the peripheral (L, M) but not central (P, Q) regions adopting abnormal looped trajectories. Scale bar: B–E, J–Q, 100 μm; F–I, 125 μm.
in a straight, directed manner toward the optic disc. Polarity in the direction of growth was evident from the outset with the axons originating from the side of each cell closest to the optic disc and extending directly toward this region (Fig. 2J, N). Surprisingly, in slit1-deficient retinas, we found no obvious changes in the extent of RGC axon fasciculation or the organization of the OFL (Fig. 2K, O). However, in mice lacking slit2 or slit1/2, the organization of the OFL was perturbed, with many RGC axons originating in the periphery of the retina deviating from their normal peripheral-central orientation and forming abnormal looped and curved trajectories (Fig. 2L, M). This was primarily attributable to the axons initially growing toward the retinal periphery before correcting their direction of growth and extending toward the optic disc. Excessive crossing of axons between different fascicles also occurred (Fig. 2L, M). Surprisingly, these pathfinding errors were found exclusively within dorsal retina in which they were restricted to the peripheral part of the retina (Fig. 2L, M, P, Q). Because of the fact that we labeled all RGC axons, we were unable to quantify the precise number of axons with aberrant trajectories. However, in both slit2 and slit1/2-deficient mice, the guidance of most, if not all, RGC axons originating in the most peripheral 25% of dorsal retina (Fig. 4M) was affected, whereas more centrally the orderly projection of RGC axons toward the optic disc occurred normally (Fig. 2P, Q). The organization of the OFL within the entire ventral half of the retina also was normal (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Within the dorsal retina, there was no difference in the severity of the OFL defects between the slit2- and slit1/2-deficient retinas, demonstrating that Slit2 alone regulates this aspect of intraretinal guidance.

**Development of intraretinal pathfinding defects in slit-deficient mice**

These two defects in intraretinal pathfinding, the projection of RGC axons into the outer layers of the retina and the disorganization of the OFL, develop over different time courses. At E13.5, the organization of the OFL in the slit1/2-deficient mice was normal, whereas significant numbers of axons projected away from the OFL into the outer retina (Fig. 4A–D). From E14.5 onward, both the organization of the OFL and the restriction of RGC axons to the OFL was perturbed (Fig. 4E–L). Furthermore, at all ages, the regional specificity of Slits function in the retina was maintained, with the disorganization of the OFL occurring exclusively in dorsal retina and approximately twice as many axon bundles projecting into the outer layers of ventral than dorsal retina (Fig. 4C–L) (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This demonstrates clearly that the differential requirement for Slits within ventral and dorsal retina is not the result of a ventrodorsal gradient in retinal maturity (Halfter et al., 1985).

As the retina develops, new cells are added at progressively more peripheral locations, resulting in a central-to-peripheral expansion of the neuroepithelium. As a result, what was peripheral retina at E14.5 is now located more centrally at later stages of development (Fig. 4M). Despite this, at all ages, the disorganization of the OFL in the slit1/2-deficient mice occurred exclusively within the peripheral 22–25% of the dorsal retina (Fig. 4M). More centrally and within ventral retina, axon organization was indistinguishable from that seen in age-matched wild-type retinas (Fig. 2N–Q) (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). This suggests that, in dorsal but not ventral retina, Slits act to control the initial outgrowth of newly
differentiated RGCs, and, as development proceeds, the aberrant trajectory of these axons is corrected.

In slit-deficient retinas, displaced and disorganized RGC axons exit the optic disc
To establish whether the RGC axons displaced from the OFL or with aberrant initial trajectories subsequently exit the retina, we placed a crystal of DiI at the optic chiasm to retrogradely label only those axons that have extended out of the eye (Fig. 5A). At both E16.5 and E18.5, guidance errors similar to those seen in the antibody-stained retinas were found (Fig. 5 and data not shown). After retrograde labeling, in slit1/2-deficient retinas, but not their slit1-deficient littermates, RGC axons with aberrant initial trajectories were found exclusively within the peripheral 25% of the dorsal OFL (Fig. 5B,C) and extending through the outer layers of the retina (Fig. 5D,E). This demonstrates that, although the location or initial trajectory of some RGC axons is perturbed, they are still able to navigate to the optic disc and exit the retina.

Retinal morphology is normal in slit-deficient mice
One explanation for these pathfinding defects is that they occur secondarily to changes in the overall structure of the retina. We therefore examined the gross morphology and lamination of the slit-deficient retinas. We found that, in slit1- and/or slit2-deficient embryos, the overall size and shape of the retina was normal and that the optic fissure closed completely (data not shown). In thin sections stained with cresyl violet to reveal the arrangement and relative size of the various retinal layers, we also found no defects in retinal organization (Fig. 6A–F). At E18.5, wild-type retinas are composed of three layers: the OFL at the inner surface of the retina, an inner differentiated region, and an outer neuroblastic region (Fig. 6A). In both slit1- and slit1/2-deficient retinas, we found no differences in the organization or thickness of these layers (Fig. 6B,C). The number of mitotic and pyknotic cells also was not significantly different from that found in wild-type retinas (data not shown).

Mice lacking slit2 die at birth, and therefore we were unable to assess retinal development in these mice or the double knockouts at later stages of development. However, in adult slit1-deficient retinas, we found that all of the different retinal layers were present and were of similar organization and thickness to wild-type or slit1/2- retinas (Fig. 6D–F).

We stained sections of E16.5 retinas with cell-type-specific markers to determine whether in the slit-deficient mice the major classes of retinal cells are present and in their proper places. In both WT and slit1/2- retinas, mitotic cells, labeled with an antibody against phospho-histone H3, were located exclusively adjacent to the retinal pigment epithelium (Fig. 6G,J). In contrast, in both genotypes, Isl1- and calretinin-positive differentiated RGCs and amacrine cells were localized to the inner region of the retina (Fig. 6H,I,K,L). Together, this strongly suggests that the intraretinal guidance defects are attributable to pathfinding errors rather than changes in the gross structure of the retina or the misdifferentiation or misplacement of retinal components.

In vitro Slits are secreted by the lens and inhibit outgrowth of dorsal RGC axons
Although slit2 is expressed throughout the entire central-peripheral axis of the retina (Fig. 1) (Erskine et al., 2000), it is required only within the most peripheral 25% of dorsal retina for normal OFL formation (Figs. 2, 4). Our working model is that this reflects release of Slit2 from nonretinal ocular tissues. An excellent candidate for this source of Slit is the developing lens.

The lens is ideally situated within the developing eye to preferentially influence the outgrowth of axons located within the retinal periphery (Figs. 1, 5F). Furthermore, the lens expresses Slit2 (Fig. 1) (Yuan et al., 1999b; Erskine et al., 2000; Niclou et al., 2000), and, in vitro, diffusible factors secreted by the lens inhibit outgrowth of chick RGC axons (Ohta et al., 1999).
or slit-deficient embryos (Fig. 7A–E). Lenses from WT, slit1−/−, and slit1−/−; slit2+/− embryos had a potent inhibitory effect on outgrowth from dorsal retinal explants, inducing an ~40% decrease compared with that from explants cultured alone (Fig. 7A,B,D). However, in cultures containing slit1/2-deficient lenses, significantly more outgrowth occurred than in cultures containing lenses of the other genotypes (Fig. 7A–D). Some inhibition of outgrowth still occurred, however, with the slit1/2-deficient lenses inducing an ~20% decrease in the extent of outgrowth seen in the absence of the lens (Fig. 7D). This strongly suggests that Slit2 is a component of the inhibitory activity secreted by the developing lens. In contrast, both WT and slit-deficient lenses had only a weak inhibitory effect on outgrowth from ventral retinal explants, inducing only an ~20% decrease in the extent of axon outgrowth. Furthermore, no difference was seen in the potency of the tissues tested (Fig. 7E).

**In vitro, Slit1 inhibits outgrowth of both dorsal and ventral RGC axons**

We have shown previously that RGC outgrowth from explants taken from both dorsal and ventral regions of the retina is inhibited by Slit2 (Erskine et al., 2000). To test whether this is also true for Slit1, we cocultured explants taken from each quadrant of WT retinas with clusters of either mock- or Slit1-transfected cells. Slit1 had a potent inhibitory effect on outgrowth from explants taken from each quadrant of the retina (Fig. 7F) and induced a similar percentage decrease in the extent of axon outgrowth from both ventral and dorsal regions (Fig. 7G). This demonstrates that the regional specificity of Slit function in the retina is unlikely to be attributable to intrinsic differences in the responsiveness of dorsal and ventral RGC axons to Slits.

**Discussion**

Surprisingly, we found that Slits regulate distinct aspects of RGC axon guidance within different regions of the retina. In ventral retina, Slits act specifically to help restrict RGC axons to the OFL, whereas in dorsal retina, they function predominately to regulate the ordered growth of RGC axons within the OFL layer itself (Fig. 5F). This is despite the expression of Slits throughout the retina and the ability of dorsal and ventral RGCs to mediate Slit-signaling (Erskine et al., 2000). We also identified the lens as a potential source of Slit regulating the initial polarity of outgrowth within the dorsal OFL. However, in the absence of Slits, axons that are displaced from the OFL or have initially disorganized trajectories can still exit the eye. These data demonstrate clearly that multiple mechanisms exist in the retina for axon guidance, of which Slits are an important component.

**Slits regulate distinct aspects of intraretinal guidance in the mammalian and chick retina**

Although Slit1 is expressed in both the murine and chick retina, its localization and function are not conserved. In chicks, slit1 is expressed by amacrine cells and plays an important role in controlling the fasciculation of RGC axons as they extend toward the optic disc (Jin et al., 2003). In contrast, we found that, in mouse, both slit1 and slit2 are expressed predominately, if not exclusively, by RGCs and that loss of slit1 alone has no effect on intraretinal pathfinding. Other guidance cues, for example, CSPGs, also have different patterns of expression in rodent and chick retinas. In rats, CSPGs are dynamically expressed peripheral to recently differentiated RGCs and, by acting as an inhibitory guidance cue, help prevent RGC axons from extending into the retinal periphery (Brittis et al., 1992). In contrast, in chicks, CSPGs are

---

**Figure 5.** The aberrantly organized RGC axons exit the optic disc. A, Schematic diagram illustrating the labeling method. A crystal of DiI was applied to the optic chiasm and retrogradely labeled only those axons that have extended into this region. B–E, E18.5 dorsal (B, C) and E16.5 ventral (D, E) control (slit1−/−); B, D) and slit1/2−/− (C, E) DiI-labeled retinas viewed as flat mounts (B, C) or after sectioning coronally (D, E). In each image, the direction of the optic disc is toward the bottom of the picture. In control retinas (B, D), all RGC axons are restricted to the OFL in which they extend directly toward the optic disc. In slit1/2−/− retinas, abnormally organized axons within the peripheral dorsal retina (arrowheads in C) are labeled, as are a significant number of axons within the outer layers of ventral retina (arrows in E). Scale bar: B, C, 125 μm; D, E, 250 μm. F, Summary of intraretinal pathfinding defects in slit-deficient mice. RGC axons extend normally into the OFL at the inner surface of the retina in which they grow in a highly directed manner toward the optic disc. In slit1-deficient retinas, the initial direction of growth from recently differentiated RGCs located exclusively within dorsal retina is perturbed, and a subset of axons originating predominately in ventral retina stray away from the OFL into the outer layers of the retina. These displaced or disorganized axons ultimately exit the optic disc. D, Dorsal; V, ventral.
located in regions in which RGCs extend actively (McAdams and McLoon, 1995; Ring et al., 1995). Together, this suggests that fundamentally distinct mechanisms may regulate intraretinal axon guidance in the mammalian and chick retina.

Slits help prevent RGC axons from extending into the outer layers of the retina
In the absence of Slits, a subset of RGC axons project aberrantly through the outer layers of the retina. Although we cannot exclude that Slits act normally to attract RGC axons toward the OFL (Jin et al., 2003), our preferred model is one in which they function in an inhibitory manner to prevent RGC axon extension away from this region. In vitro, both Slit1 and Slit2 are potent inhibitors of RGC axon outgrowth, and their expression within the RGC and presumptive inner nuclear layers of the retina place them in an ideal location to act as a barrier to RGC axon extension (Erskine et al., 2000; Niclou et al., 2000; Ringstedt et al., 2000; Plump et al., 2002). Furthermore, because removal of preexisting axons from the OFL is not sufficient to induce ectopic projection of RGC axons into the outer layers of the retina (Stier and Schlosshauer, 1995), loss of Slit from the OFL alone is unlikely to be responsible for the observed guidance defects.

Although displaced from the OFL, the mislocalized axons project through the outer retina in a highly directed manner toward the optic disc. This demonstrates that the cues that direct axons toward the optic disc are not localized exclusively within the OFL (Goldberg, 1977), and signaling molecules other than Slits, for example, Sonic hedgehog and downstream targets of Zic3 (Zic family member 3 heteroax 1) (Zhang et al., 2004; Kolpak et al., 2005), are key regulators of this aspect of intraretinal guidance. Furthermore, as they extend through the outer retina, the displaced axons form tightly fasciculated bundles, demonstrating that the outer retina is still partially inhibitory to RGC axon outgrowth. This suggests that other guidance cues function cooperatively with Slits to prevent extension into the outer retina, and redundancy between these cues is the simplest explanation for why in the absence of Slits the majority of axons remain restricted to the OFL.

Slit2 controls the initial direction of RGC axon outgrowth
Newly differentiated RGCs extend transient processes in random directions until ultimately a process originating from the side of the cell closest to the optic disc becomes stabilized and forms the mature axon (Brittis and Silver, 1995). We identified Slit2 as a key factor regulating the initial polarity of outgrowth from dorsal but not ventral retina. Furthermore, we found that the lens, a tissue known to be inhibitory to RGC axon outgrowth (Ohta et al., 1999), secretes Slit2. Because of its position in the eye, signals from the lens will influence preferentially RGCs located in the retinal periphery (Fig. 5F). Thus, we propose that it is this extrinsic source of Slit that regulates the initial polarity of RGC axon outgrowth within dorsal retina.

Slit2 alone, however, is not a critical factor in determining the overall growth of RGC axons toward the optic disc, and other factors ultimately compensate for its loss. Signals from the lens in combination with inhibitory guidance molecules, such as CSPGs within the extreme periphery of the retina (Brittis et al., 1992; Brittis and Silver, 1995), and attractive cues emanating from more central regions (Kolpak et al., 2005) may underlie this corrected growth.

There are striking similarities in the functions of Slit2 and CSPGs in directing intraretinal pathfinding, with both factors acting to control the initial polarity of RGC axon outgrowth and prevent extension into the retinal periphery (Brittis et al., 1992; Brittis and Silver, 1995). Proteoglycans are key regulators of guid-
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Slits regulate distinct aspects of intraretinal guidance within different regions of the retina

There are many known developmental differences between dorsal and ventral retina. These regions are defined by differential expression of transcription factors, including Tbx5 (T-box 5) and Vax2 (ventral anterior homeobox 5) (Barbieri et al., 1999; Sowden et al., 2001). Retinoid-metabolizing enzymes also are expressed differentially between dorsal and ventral retina (Li et al., 2000; Wagner et al., 2000). The eye does not grow symmetrically around the optic disc, and the first RGCs are generated in dorsal retina, followed by differential expansion of the OFL along the dorsoventral axis (Halfeter et al., 1985). Many guidance cues also are expressed differentially within dorsal and ventral retina, and this is related to both the topographic mapping of the axons within their targets and regulation of intraretinal pathfinding within specific regions of the retina (Liu et al., 2003; McLaughlin and O’Leary, 2005). Furthermore, several cues that are uniformly expressed throughout the retina are required specifically for guidance within either dorsal or ventral regions (Ott et al., 1998; Birgauer et al., 2000). After exiting the eye, axons from dorsal and ventral retina are initially segregated but become intermingled at the chiasm before reorganizing and becoming segregated again within the optic tract (Chan and Guillery, 1994; Chan and Chung, 1999). Whether Slits are involved in regulating this aspect of RGC axon guidance has not been established (Thompson et al., 2006). Dorsoventral asymmetries in the distribution of cone classes also occur, suggesting that these regions of the retina serve different visual functions (Szel et al., 1996).

Unexpectedly, we found that Slits are required within both dorsal and ventral retina but mediate distinct aspects of intraretinal guidance. The reason for this regional specificity is not known. Whereas slit1 is expressed in a high ventral-low dorsal gradient, slit2, robo1, and robo2 are distributed uniformly (Erskine et al., 2000). Furthermore, both dorsal and ventral RGCs mediate Slit signaling. The function of individual guidance cues is dependent critically on the context in which they are encountered. The composition of the extracellular environment (Höpker et al., 1999; Nguyen-Ba-Charvet et al., 2001; Kantor et al., 2004) as well as intrinsic factors such as the age of the axons (Shewan et al., 2002) can modulate guidance responses. HSPGs with distinct heparan sulfate structures are important modulators of Slit localization and function (Hu, 2001; Inatani et al., 2003; Bülow and Hobert, 2004; Johnson et al., 2004; Steigemann et al., 2004), and specific heparin-sulfate-modifying enzymes are expressed in distinct region of the optic pathway (Irie et al., 2002). Thus, one possibility is that the localization of individual heparan sulfate structures may modulate the response of dorsal and ventral RGCs to Slits. Other guidance cues that function redundantly with Slits may also compensate differentially for loss of Slits within dorsal and ventral retina. This suggests that the regional specificity of Slits is likely to be determined by the other factors present in the local environment and highlights the complexity of the mechanisms regulating axon guidance.
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