Dopamine Replacement Therapy Does Not Restore the Full Spectrum of Normal Pallidal Activity in the 1-Methyl-4-Phenyl-1,2,3,6-Tetra-Hydropyridine Primate Model of Parkinsonism

Gali Heimer,1,4 Michal Rivlin-Etzion,1,2 Izhar Bar-Gad,3 Joshua A. Goldberg,6 Suzanne N. Haber,7 and Hagai Bergman1,2,3

1Department of Physiology, 2Interdisciplinary Center for Neural Computation, and 3Eric Roland Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, The Hebrew University–Hadassah Medical School, Jerusalem, Israel, 91120, 4Department of Pediatrics, Hadassah–Hebrew University Medical Center, Jerusalem, Israel, 91120, 6Department of Pharmacology and Physiology, University of Rochester, Rochester, New York 14642, and 7Department of Biology, University of Texas at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas 78249.

Current physiological studies emphasize the role of neuronal oscillations and synchronization in the pathophysiology of Parkinson’s disease; however, little is known about their specific roles in the neuronal substrate of dopamine replacement therapy (DRT). We investigated oscillatory activity and correlations throughout the different states of levodopa-naive parkinsonism as well as “Off–On” and dyskinetic states of DRT in the external globus pallidum (GPe) of tremulous (vervet) and rigid-akinetic (macaque) monkeys and in the internal globus pallidum (GPi) of the vervet monkey. We found that, although oscillatory activity of cells and interneuronal correlation in both pallidal segments increases after induction of parkinsonism with 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetra-hydropyridine (MPTP) and decreases in response to DRT, important differences exist between the two pallidal segments. In the GPi, the fraction of oscillatory cells and relative power of oscillations were significantly higher than in the GPe, and the dominant frequency was within the range of 7.5–13.5 Hz compared with a range of 4.5–7.5 Hz within the GPe. The interneuronal correlations were mostly oscillatory in the GPi, whereas at least half are non-oscillatory in the GPe. We demonstrate that the tremor characteristics after exposure to DRT do not resemble those of the normal or the levodopa-naive state. Moreover, although DRT reverses the MPTP-induced neuronal changes (rate, pattern, and pairwise correlations), the balance between GPe and GPi fails to restore. We therefore suggest that this imbalance reflects additional abnormal organization of the basal ganglia networks in response to dopamine replacement and may constitute the physiological substrate of the limitations and side effects of chronic DRT.
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Introduction

Early physiological studies of parkinsonian 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetra-hydropyridine (MPTP)-treated monkeys reported changes in the discharge rate within the external globus pallidum (GPe), internal globus pallidum (GPi) (Miller and DeLong, 1987; Filion and Tremblay, 1991), and the subthalamic nucleus (STN) (Bergman et al., 1994). Subsequent findings showed that inactivation of STN and GPi could improve the motor symptoms in parkinsonian animals (Bergman et al., 1990; Aziz et al., 1991) and human patients (Lang et al., 1997; Kumar et al., 2000; Krack et al., 2003; Walter and Vitek, 2004). Finally, reversed trends of pallidal discharge rates in response to dopamine replacement therapy (DRT) have been reported in both human patients (Hutchinson et al., 1997a; Merello et al., 1999; Levy et al., 2001) and primates (Filion et al., 1991; Papa et al., 1999; Heimer et al., 2002).

These findings contributed to the formulation and the popularity of the rate model of the basal ganglia (Albin et al., 1989; DeLong, 1990). Nevertheless, subsequent studies have challenged the basic tenets of this model. Several studies have failed to find the expected significant changes of firing rates in the pallidum (Boraud et al., 1998; Raz et al., 2000), thalamus (Pessiglione et al., 2005), or motor cortical areas (Doudet et al., 1990; Watts and Mandir, 1992; Goldberg et al., 2002) of MPTP monkeys. Similarly, biochemical and metabolic studies indicate that GPe activity does not change in parkinsonism (Levy et al., 1997). Moreover, the rate model fails to explain the success of inactivation of the STN and GPi in the treatment of dyskinesia (Marsden and Obeso, 1994).

The inconsistencies with the rate model have brought more attention to the potential role of other aspects of neuronal activity.
such as firing patterns (Boraud et al., 2001; Wichmann and Soares, 2006) and neuronal synchronization (Bergman et al., 1998) in the pathophysiology of Parkinson’s disease (PD). Recent studies have reported an increase in both oscillatory activity and correlation of pallidal cells in MPTP primates (Nini et al., 1995; Raz et al., 2000) and parkinsonian patients (Hurtado et al., 1999; Levy et al., 2000). Although abnormal pallidal synchronization has been shown to decrease in response to DRT (Heimer et al., 2002), detailed studies of pattern and synchronization during different DRT stages are still lacking. Moreover, recent human studies (Levy et al., 2002) have only found oscillatory neuronal correlation in tremulous patients, which leads to the question of whether the increased neuronal synchronization is not merely a byproduct of the tremor or of the activity of independent neural oscillators with similar frequencies.

Human studies are limited by constraints of recording duration, selected anatomical targets, and the clinical stage of the patients (e.g., most operated patients have already developed dyskinesia). In this study, we combined multielectrode recordings in the pallidum of control and MPTP-treated monkeys with a newly improved tool for spectral analysis of spike trains (Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2006). Using these tools, we investigated the role of pallidal oscillatory and non-oscillatory correlation throughout the different clinical states of MPTP-induced parkinsonism and DRT (levodopa-naïve parkinsonian state and optimal and dyskinesia-inducing DRT states).

Materials and Methods

Animals and behavioral paradigm. Two monkeys, a vervet (African green monkey, Cercopithecus aethiops aethiops, female, weight of 3.8 kg, monkey Q) and a rhesus (Macaca mulatta, female, weight of 5.7 kg, monkey R) were trained to perform a simple visuomotor task. The monkeys’ health was monitored by a veterinarian, and their fluid consumption, diet, and weight were assessed daily. All procedures were in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals (1996) and with the Hebrew University guidelines for the use and care of laboratory animals in research, approved and supervised by the Institutional Committee for Animal Care and Use.

Surgical procedures. After training, an 18 mm Cilux recording chamber was attached to the skull over a trephine hole to allow access to the pallidum. The recording chamber was tilted 50° laterally in the coronal plane, with its center targeted at the following stereotaxic coordinates (in mm): monkey Q, anterior 13, lateral 7, height 3 (Contreras et al., 1981); monkey R, anterior 12, lateral 7, height 5 (Paxinos et al., 2000). The chamber coordinates were adjusted and then verified using magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) [BioSpec 4.7 tesla animal system (Bruker, Ettlingen, Germany), fast-spin echo sequence; effective echo time, 80 ms; repetition time, 2.5 s; 13 coronal slices, 2 mm wide]. All surgical and MRI procedures were performed under deep general anesthesia.

Neural activity: recording and analysis. During recording sessions, the monkeys’ heads were immobilized, and eight glass-coated tungsten microelectrodes (impedance of 0.3–1.2 MΩ at 1000 Hz), confined within a cylindrical guide (1.65 mm inner diameter), were advanced separately byproduct of the tremor or of the activity of independent neural oscillators with similar frequencies.

Table 1. Order of appearance of clinical symptoms in the MPTP monkeys

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptom</th>
<th>Days after first MPTP injection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monkey Q (vervet)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb dystonia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed posture</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinesia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigidity</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monkey R (macaque)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower limb dystonia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flexed posture</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bradykinesia</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Akinesia</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freezing</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rigidity</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremor</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
or trough was considered significant if it was made up of at least two consecutive bins that crossed the threshold of \( p = 0.01 \) (normalized to the total number of bins) and was within an offset of \( \pm 250 \) ms from 0. The significance of the oscillatory correlations was assessed using the cross-spectral density of the spike trains. As in the analysis of single-cell oscillations, we used the shuffling method (Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2006). The cross-spectrum of the original spike trains was divided by the mean cross-spectral density of the spike trains. As in the analysis of single-cell oscillations, we used the shuffling method (Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2006). The cross-spectrum of the globally shuffled (\( n = 20 \)) spike trains. A confidence level (\( p < 0.01 \), normalized to the total number of bins) for the compensation spectrum was constructed based on the high-frequency range of 270–300 Hz, at which the spectrum was flat. A correlogram was considered to have significant periodic oscillations if its compensated spectrum contained at least two consecutive bins within the range of 4.5–50 Hz that crossed the \( p = 0.01 \) confidence level. A noncorrelated pair was defined as a pair that had neither a significant peak in the cross-spectra nor a significant peak or trough in the cross-correlogram. An oscillatory correlated pair was defined as a neuronal pair that had a significant peak in the cross-spectra. A non-oscillatory correlated pair was defined as a neuronal pair that only had a significant peak or trough in the cross-correlogram but did not have a significant peak in the cross-spectra.

Comparison between the mean neuronal firing rate throughout the different clinical states was done using the Student’s \( t \)-test, with a significance of \( p < 0.01 \) unless otherwise stated. In the analysis of rate changes in the continuously recorded cells, a significant change was defined as a decrease or increase of the firing rate of the cell by more than 10% of the baseline (before treatment) firing rate. The comparison of the fraction of neuronal oscillations and pairwise correlations in the different states was done using a \( \chi^2 \)-test with a significance of \( p < 0.01 \).

Tremor: recording and analysis. We used uni-axial accelerometers (8630C5; Kistler, Amherst, NY) to assess limb tremor. The analog output of the accelerometers was sampled at 712 and 521 Hz in monkeys Q and R, respectively. Monkey R had one accelerometer fastened to its right hand (contralateral to the recorded hemisphere), and monkey Q had four accelerometers fastened to each of its four limbs. The accelerometers were attached distally on either the back of the hand or the foot. In the vervet monkey Q, we encountered many recording artifacts attributable to the strong tremor and collision of the monkey’s limbs against solid surfaces, resulting in saturation of the recording apparatus and truncation of the data. We therefore only included accelerometer records that had a good signal-to-noise ratio and did not include such artifacts.

Visual inspection of the raw traces revealed that the tremor episodes tended to be short; hence, calculating the power spectra over long periods would lead to a misrepresentation of the spectral content of these episodes. We therefore cut the data into 10 s fragments and performed

**Table 2. Accelerometer recording data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monkey</th>
<th>NOR</th>
<th>PNT</th>
<th>POT-on</th>
<th>POT-off</th>
<th>PDT-on</th>
<th>PDT-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monkey Q (vervet)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording days</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometers</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total segments</td>
<td>13,124</td>
<td>15,373</td>
<td>2442</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>3979</td>
<td>1467</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremulous segments (%)</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>41.0%</td>
<td>50.9%</td>
<td>43.2%</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
<td>45%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coherent pairs</td>
<td>19.2% (5 of 26)</td>
<td>69.6% (39 of 56)</td>
<td>10.0% (3 of 30)</td>
<td>15.2% (5 of 33)</td>
<td>16.7% (5 of 30)</td>
<td>17.9% (5 of 28)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Accelerometer recording data**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monkey</th>
<th>NOR</th>
<th>PNT</th>
<th>POT-on</th>
<th>POT-off</th>
<th>PDT-on</th>
<th>PDT-off</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monkey R (macaque)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recording days</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accelerometers</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total segments</td>
<td>2038</td>
<td>1807</td>
<td>977</td>
<td>567</td>
<td>14.8%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tremulous segments (%)</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
<td>4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2. Accelerometer recording data**

**Table 2. Accelerometer recording data**

POD-on, Off periods of parkinsonian monkey undergoing optimal DRT; POD-off, Off periods of parkinsonian monkey undergoing daily DRT after the development of dyskinesia; POT-on, On periods of parkinsonian monkey undergoing daily DRT after the development of dyskinesia.

*Accelerometers refer to the number of accelerometer recordings within each state. In monkey Q, this number is higher than the number of recording days because of the multiple limb recordings and is lower than four times the number of recording days because of the discarding of sessions with artifacts.*
power spectra analysis for every segment with a 1024 bin fast Fourier transform, yielding a spectral resolution of 0.7 and 0.5 Hz in monkeys Q and R, respectively. The SD of the spectrum noise was calculated from the tail of the spectrum (55–200 Hz). We considered all peaks that were over 7 SD above a $p = 0.01$ threshold to be significant (this combination of thresholds yielded the most similar results to visual judgment). Because of recording artifacts in low ($<3$ Hz) frequencies (presumed to reflect limb movements) and $\sim 30$ Hz (presumed to result from the resonance frequency of the specific accelerometers used), we only included the peaks that were between the frequencies of 3.5 and 28 Hz. If a segment had at least one significant peak within that range, it was considered tremulous. We calculated for each limb and each state the percentage of all the tremulous segments and the frequency distribution of the tremor frequencies of all the identified peaks.

In monkey Q, the coherence between limb movements was calculated on the data of the simultaneously recorded accelerometers. For each pair of simultaneously recorded accelerometers, a coherence function was calculated for the same frequency range applied in the tremor analysis, and significant peaks were searched for in the range of 3.5–28 Hz. We checked the mean of the coherence function between 25 and 30 Hz as an indicator of the noise level of the coherence function and excluded the functions with mean noise $>0.055$ (a threshold chosen according to visual inspection of the data). In addition, we excluded the coherence function if the two accelerometers had an unequivocal noise artifact in the same frequency. We first used a standard significance criterion for the coherence function (Bloomfield, 1976; Brillinger, 1981):

$$1 - \left(1 - a\right)^{1/L},$$

where $a$ is the level of confidence (here $a = 0.999$), and $L$ is the number of windows used in the calculation (length of the data divided by the window size, which in our case was 4096). We then applied a second threshold and considered as significant only those functions in which the integral between the two points in which the function crossed the significance line exceeded 0.04 (a threshold chosen according to visual inspection of the data). The overall coherence for each state was calculated as the percentage of accelerometer pairs that had at least one significant peak in their coherence function of the total number of possible pairs.

**MPTP and dopamine replacement therapy.** Parkinsonism was induced by five intramuscular injections of 0.4 mg/kg of the MPTP-HCl neurotoxin (Aldrich, Milwaukee, WI) over a period of 4 d (two injections on the first day). Both monkeys were clinically assessed on a regular basis using a modified primate clinical staging scale (Hoehn and Yahr, 1967; Imbert et al., 2000). In both monkeys, severe parkinsonism developed within 5 d from initiation of the four day MPTP treatment, and recordings were resumed 4 d after the last injection.

After 14 d of recordings in the parkinsonian state and 18 d after the last MPTP injections (in both monkeys), we initiated dopamine replacement therapy on a daily basis. Starting doses for monkey Q were 0.5 × 25/250 mg of Dopica [1-3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine and carbidopa; Merck Sharp and Dohme, Haarlem, The Netherlands] in the morning and 5 mg of Parlodel (Bromocryptine; Sandoz, Basel, Switzerland) divided equally.
between morning and evening. Starting doses for monkey R were 0.5 × 25/250 mg of Doparic and 5 mg of Parlodel twice daily, in the morning and in the evening. The drugs were administered orally as crushed powder dissolved in liquid. The doses were slowly increased and adjusted to achieve optimal clinical response, and then the recordings were resumed. After a period of recording in the optimal treatment state, we gradually increased the doses until the development of dyskinesia and resumed the recordings once more. Maximal doses attained in monkey Q were 1.5 × 25/250 mg of Doparic with 2.5 mg of Parlodel in the morning and 1 × 25/250 mg of Doparic with 2.5 mg Parlodel in the evening. Maximal doses attained in monkey R were 1.75 × 25/250 mg of Doparic with 5 mg of Parlodel in the morning and 1.25 × 25/250 mg of Doparic with 5 mg of Parlodel in the evening.

The clinical state was assessed daily by human observation. During the recordings, "Off" periods were defined either as the periods before the morning dose or periods of over 5 h from the last dose providing there were clear symptoms of severe parkinsonism. The clinical definition of the "Off–On" transition was based on observation of limbs and tail movements, resumption of task performance, or appearance of involuntary dyskinetic movements (at the stage these had already developed). "On" periods were defined as the periods after the Off–On transition and up to 3 h from the administration of drugs. We use the following abbreviations for the clinical states: NOR refers to the normal state, PNT refers to the parkinsonian levodopa-naive ("no treatment") state, POT refers to the state of optimal treatment in the parkinsonian monkey, and PDT refers to the state of dyskinesia-inducing treatment in the parkinsonian monkey. When using the phrase "all parkinsonian states," we refer to the levodopa-naive state along with the Off states of both the optimal treatment (POT) and the dyskinetic treatment (PDT) periods. When referring to Off or On states without mentioning POT or PDT, we mean the Off or On states of both optimal and dyskinetic treatment. When referring to "all treatment states," we mean both the optimal and dyskinetic treatment states in monkey Q and the dyskinetic state in monkey R.

In the recordings during the DRT state, we recorded each day for 10–30 min in the Off period before the morning dose. We then administered the medications while keeping the electrodes in position and subsequently resumed the recordings. In many cases, this protocol enabled recording of a given unit before, during, and after administration of DRT. In other cases, when units were lost during the oral administration of the drugs, it still enabled us to record the activity of other cells in the immediate vicinity of the cells studied before DRT. The protocol also made it possible in some instances to record the ongoing discharge changes of cells in response to the medication. In the data analysis, however, we only included the stable segments before and after these transitions. Periods of complete cessation of GPi discharge after DRT were excluded from the analysis, because it was impossible to characterize the firing patterns and neuronal synchronization of cells that were virtually inactive. Because in monkey R we started using the above protocol only after the appearance of dyskinesia, the recordings of the optimal treatment state in this monkey did not match our Off/On criteria and were omitted from the study.

Histology. After the last recording session (98 d from last MPTP injection in monkey Q and 151 d in monkey R), the monkeys were deeply anesthetized with a lethal dose of pentobarbital and perfused through the heart with saline, followed by a 4% paraformaldehyde fixative solution. Brains were removed and cryoprotected in increasing gradients of sucrose (10, 20, and finally 30%). Adjacent serial sections of 50 μm, from both control animals and MPTP-treated animals, were processed for either a Nissl stain or immunocytochemistry for tyrosine hydroxylase (TH). Sections were incubated with antisera to TH (mouse anti-TH, 1:20,000; Eugene Tech, Allendale, NJ) in 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 0.3% Triton X-100 and 10% normal goat serum (Incstar, Stillwater, MN) for 4 nights at 4°C and further processed using the avidin–biotin method (rabbit Elite Vectastain ABC kit; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA). Nissl histology was used to verify the recording location. However, the use of multiple-electrode recording, with a guide of outer diameter 2.2 mm, does not enable exact reconstruction of all penetration tracks, and therefore we only verified the recording boundaries to be inside the
pallidum. To estimate the dopaminergic fiber loss, the striatum was divided into three regions based on cortical inputs (limbic, associative, and motor) and analyzed for optical density of TH-positive fibers (NIH Image version 1.63). Mean density measurements were corrected for background staining by subtracting the average density sampled from white matter areas in each section. To standardize intensity across scans, an autoradiographic [14C] microscale, multilevel reference strip (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, IL) was used to calibrate NIH Image.

**Results**

**Clinical states**

The first signs of parkinsonism appeared on the third day of the MPTP injections in both monkeys and continued to evolve over the following 2–7 d (Table 1). Many prolonged episodes of low-frequency distal tremor were observed in monkey Q (vervet), whereas the short-lived tremor episodes of monkey R (macaque) appeared mostly in response to agitation and involved mainly the axial and proximal muscles. Both monkeys remained in a stable condition of severe parkinsonism during all recording days in the parkinsonian levodopa-naive state.

Dopamine replacement therapy commenced 18 d after the last MPTP injection in both monkeys. The first response to therapy was seen after the third dose in monkey Q and the fourth dose in monkey R (~24 h after initiation of treatment). The clinical effects of DRT included regaining of ability to self-feed, an increase in amount and velocity of movements, straightening of posture, and also a resumption (although suboptimal) of performance of the behavioral paradigm. The effects of DRT did not include a reduction of clinically observed tremor (for similar observations in human patients, see Vidalhlet et al., 1999). In the macaque monkey R, which was relatively nontremulous in the parkinsonian levodopa-naive state, the On state was accompanied by the appearance of tremor episodes. In the tremulous vervet monkey, there was an increase in apparent amplitude of the tremor rather than a change in its incidence. The first signs of peak-dose dyskinesia appeared after 6 and 7 weeks of daily DRT in monkeys Q and R, respectively. The dyskinesia manifested as overall hyperactivity, involuntary jerks of the limbs, torticolis, and episodes of circling.

| Table 3. Pallidal firing rates, oscillatory activity, and interneuronal correlations |
|---------------------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| **A. Neuronal firing rates**    |                 |               |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| (mean ± SEM)                    |                 |               |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| GPe monkey R                    | 64.8 ± 5.7 (n = 17) | 61.5 ± 4.2 (n = 47) | 80.8 ± 4.2 (n = 32) | 45.7 ± 2.8 (n = 26) | 75.0 ± 5.7 (n = 23) | 47.4 ± 2.9 (n = 15) |
| GPe monkey Q                    | 63.6 ± 1.7 (n = 173) | 48.8 ± 1.3 (n = 181) | 43.5 ± 10.3 (n = 12) | 79.8 ± 4.5 (n = 35) | 32.6 ± 5.8 (n = 28) | 55.3 ± 4.5 (n = 17) |
| GPi monkey Q                    | 67.3 ± 4.2 (n = 34) | 71.5 ± 3.9 (n = 50) | 80.5 ± 3.6 (n = 85) | 30.3 ± 1.8 (n = 96) |                 |                 |
| GPe monkey R                    | 3.5% (6 of 173) | 33.7% (61 of 181) | 63.3% (2 of 32) | 12.5% (7 of 56) | 7.7% (4 of 23) | 13.3% (2 of 15) |
| GPe monkey Q                    | 2.9% (1 of 34) | 82.0% (41 of 50) | 25.0% (3 of 12) | 71.4% (25 of 35) | 10.7% (8 of 17) | 14.6% (14 of 96) |
| GPe-GPe monkey R                | 8.3% (1 of 12) | 32.3% (20 of 62) | 17.5% (7 of 40) | 54.8% (57 of 104) | 21.3% (29 of 136) | 67.9% (161 of 237) |
| GPe-GPe monkey Q                | 16.2% (53 of 327) | 38.3% (164 of 428) | 17.5% (7 of 40) | 54.8% (57 of 104) | 21.3% (29 of 136) | 67.9% (161 of 237) |
| GPI-GPe monkey Q                | 16.3% (7 of 43) | 91.8% (56 of 61) | 55.5% (5 of 9) | 82.6% (19 of 23) | 22.7% (5 of 22) | 85.0% (17 of 20) |
| **B. Percentage of oscillatory cells** |                 |               |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| GPe monkey R                    | 0% (0 of 17) | 44.7% (21 of 47) | 17.4% (4 of 23) | 13.3% (2 of 15) |                 |                 |
| GPe monkey Q                    | 3.5% (6 of 173) | 33.7% (61 of 181) | 12.5% (7 of 56) | 3.5% (3 of 85) | 14.6% (14 of 96) |                 |
| GPi monkey Q                    | 2.9% (1 of 34) | 82.0% (41 of 50) | 71.4% (25 of 35) | 10.7% (8 of 17) | 64.7% (11 of 17) |                 |
| GPe-GPe monkey R                | 8.3% (1 of 12) | 32.3% (20 of 62) | 17.5% (7 of 40) | 54.8% (57 of 104) | 21.3% (29 of 136) | 67.9% (161 of 237) |
| GPe-GPe monkey Q                | 16.2% (53 of 327) | 38.3% (164 of 428) | 17.5% (7 of 40) | 54.8% (57 of 104) | 21.3% (29 of 136) | 67.9% (161 of 237) |
| GPI-GPe monkey Q                | 16.3% (7 of 43) | 91.8% (56 of 61) | 55.5% (5 of 9) | 82.6% (19 of 23) | 22.7% (5 of 22) | 85.0% (17 of 20) |
| **C. Percentage of correlated pairs** |                 |               |                 |                 |                 |                 |
| GPe-GPe monkey R                | 0% (0 of 1) | 5.0% (1 of 20) | 0% (0 of 8) | 4.8% (1 of 21) |                 |                 |
| GPe-GPe monkey Q                | 3.8% (2 of 53) | 43.3% (71 of 164) | 0% (0 of 7) | 14.0% (0 of 7) | 0% (0 of 29) | 5.6% (9 of 161) |
| GPI-GPe monkey Q                | 0% (0 of 7) | 100.0% (56 of 56) | 100.0% (5 of 5) | 89.5% (17 of 19) | 0% (0 of 5) | 82.4% (14 of 17) |

Definitions are as in Table 1.
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Histology
In the control animals, there was dense TH immunoreactivity throughout the striatum (Fig. 1A). In contrast, both MPTP-treated animals had optical density measures close to 0 throughout the striatum, with the exception of the limbic region (Fig. 1B, C). At the microscopic level, few fibers remained in the dorsal striatum. The two MPTP animals did not differ with respect to TH fiber loss. Cell loss in the midbrain was almost complete in the ventral tier of the substantia nigra pars compacta. However, there were a few remaining cells in both animals. As expected (Song and Haber, 2000), the cells in the ventral tegmental area of the midbrain dopamine system remained relatively spared.

Accelerometers and tremor
Table 2 summarizes the total number of accelerometer recording days and the final number of accelerometers and segments used in the analysis. In the vervet monkey Q, the final number of accelerometry recordings used for the analysis was relatively low compared with the number of recording days attributable to the discarding of sessions with artifacts. Figure 2 presents an example of raw data and spectrograms of simultaneous recordings of four accelerometers in the vervet monkey Q during the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state (Fig. 2A, C) and the On state of optimal treatment (Fig. 2B).

In the normal state, the percentage of tremulous segments was relatively low in both monkeys (Fig. 3A, B). There was only a mild increase in the fraction of tremulous segments after MPTP in the parkinsonian macaque monkey R, whereas in the vervet monkey Q, it increased drastically to ~40% of the segments. Throughout the optimal and dyskinetic treatment states in monkey Q, the percentage of tremulous segments remained high during both the Off and On periods. In monkey R, there was a clear increase in percentage of tremor in response to DRT in the dyskinetic state, reaching an even higher level than in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state.

The level of coherence between pairs of simultaneously recorded accelerometers in monkey Q is shown in Figure 3C. Along with the increase in the fraction of tremor segments, the interlimb coherence level also increased dramatically in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state. Nevertheless, after introduction of DRT, the coherence level decreased to the same level as in the normal state despite the sustained high percentage of tremor episodes. The high interlimb coherence in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian monkey and its absence in the treated monkey are illustrated in Figure 2, A and B, respectively, both between discrete tremor episodes and in the tremor waveforms within the episodes. Moreover, in the treated state, there was irregularity not only between accelerometers but also within the trace of each limb itself. These differences in the characteristics of the tremor were also reflected in the incidence of the different tremor frequencies in the six clinical states (Fig. 3D). Although in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state of the vervet monkey Q there were two distinct tremor peaks at ~6 and 11 Hz (Raz et al., 2000), the tremor frequencies in all treatment states were widely distributed.

Neuronal firing rates
An example of a continuous recording of five GPe units during the Off–On transition in the dyskinetic state is shown in Figure 4. The continuous recording displays the rate changes as well as modifications of discharge patterns in response to DRT. Consistent with previous reports, the GPe cells increased their firing rate drastically in response to the medication. In addition, whereas in the Off period the GPe cells discharged in a synchronized burst-
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Table 4. Changes in firing rates, oscillatory firing patterns, and synchronization in pallidal neurons continuously recorded over the Off–On transition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Optimal treatment state</th>
<th>Dyskinetic treatment state</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Net change*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>↑ 1.6 (+36 spk/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPi</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>↓ 1.2 (−10 spk/s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Oscillatory firing pattern†</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Osc</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPi</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Pairwise neuronal synchronization‡</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>Cor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GPi</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 summarizes the mean firing rates of all GPe and GPi
cells recorded in each clinical state. After MPTP treatment, GPe
firing rates decreased only slightly in the macaque monkey R but
significantly in the vervet monkey Q (Fig. 5A). During treatment,
the GPe rates in the Off states continued to decrease in both
monkeys so that, in the PDT-Off state, the GPe firing rates were
significantly lower than those in the normal state in monkey R as
well. In response to DRT (On states), the GPe rates increased
significantly compared with the Off state in both monkeys and
exceeded the normal state rates. The increase in GPe rates after
MPTP was not significant; however, the GPe rates in the POT-Off
state increased further and were marginally (p < 0.05) signifi-
cantly higher than in the normal state (Fig. 5A). In response to
DRT, in both optimal and dyskinetic states, neuronal rates in the
GPe decreased significantly and were also significantly lower than
in the normal state. While in the normal state, the ratio of GPe to
GPi mean neuronal firing rates approached 1; in all parkinsonian
states, it decreased by nearly twofold (Fig. 5D). Conversely, in
the optimal treatment On state, the mean GPe rates were almost
twice the mean GPi rates, and this disparity was even larger in the
dyskinetic On state. The results of the continuously (before and
after the clinical influence of DRT) recorded neurons verify the
population averages given above (Table 4A).

Oscillatory activity of single neurons

Figure 6 presents examples of raw analog traces, autocorrelation
functions, power spectra, and spectrograms of oscillatory GPe
(Fig. 6A, B) and GPe (Fig. 6C, D) cells during the levodopa-naive
parkinsonian state (Fig. 6A, C) and Off state of optimal treatment
(Fig. 6B, D). Table 3B summarizes the percentage of all 4.5–30 Hz
oscillatory GPe and GPi cells in each clinical state. Figure 7 de-
picts two examples of the complex and dynamic relationships
between the pallidal neuronal oscillations and the tremor. It
should be noted that, although this analysis was conducted in the
levodopa-naive period when the tremor of different body seg-
ments is highly coherent (Fig. 3C), there is only a partial overlap
in the times of oscillatory neuronal activity and tremor. In line
with previous reports (Lemstra et al., 1999; Raz et al., 2000; Hur-
tado et al., 2005), these results support the hypothesis of dynam-
ical functional connection between the basal ganglia networks
involved in tremor generation and the skeleton–motor periph-
ery. We therefore limited this paper to population level analysis
of the tremor and the pallidal oscillations (see below).

After induction of parkinsonism, there was a significant in-
crease in the percentage of oscillatory GPe cells in both monkeys
(Fig. 5B). During Off states of the treatment phases, however, the
fraction of oscillatory GPe cells was significantly lower than in the
levodopa-naive parkinsonian state (p < 0.01 for monkey Q and
p < 0.05 for monkey R). Although there was a small decrease in
the fraction of oscillatory cells in response to DRT in the GPe of
the vervet monkey Q, it was not significant in the optimal treat-
ment and significant only at p < 0.05 in the dyskinetic treatment. In
the GPe of monkey R, there was no significant change in the
fraction of oscillatory cells in response to DRT. The fraction of
oscillatory GPe cells in the On states of treatment in both mon-
keys was not significantly different than in the normal state.

In the GPi of monkey Q, there was a vast increase in oscil-
latory activity after induction of parkinsonism (Fig. 5B). Nonethetso, in contrast to the GPe, the fraction of oscillatory
GPi cells remained high in the Off periods of the treatment
states and was not significantly different than in the levodopa-
aive parkinsonian state. Moreover, again in contrast to the
GPe, in the GPi, there was a significant decrease in the fraction
of oscillatory cells in response to DRT in both the optimal and
dyskinetic treatment. The fact that rate changes in the two
pallidal segments went in opposite directions, whereas the
changes in oscillatory activity were in the same direction, rules
out the possibility that detection of oscillations was merely an
artifact of the rate changes.

In all parkinsonian states, the fraction of oscillatory cells in the
GPi was more than twice that of the GPe (Fig. 5D). In the normal state, conversely, there was no significant difference between the oscillatory fractions within the GPe compared with the GPi. Examining the Off/On ratio of the fraction of oscillatory cells shows that the extent of the Off–On changes in oscillations was consistently larger in the GPi compared with the GPe. In addition, the magnitude of decrease in oscillatory percentage in response to DRT was larger in the dyskinetic treatment than in the optimal treatment in both nuclei.

The results of the cells recorded continuously over the Off–On transition are summarized in Table 4B. Most cells that were oscillatory in the Off state became non-oscillatory in the On state. Among all of the continuously recorded cells that were not oscillatory in the Off state, only one (a GPe cell in the dyskinetic state) became oscillatory in the On state.

The frequencies at which the pallidal cells oscillate are illustrated in Figure 8. The different frequency groups (Fig. 8B) were defined according to the clusters that are visible in Figure 8A. Whereas the dominant frequency of oscillations of GPe cells in both monkeys was between 4.5 and 7.5 Hz, in GPe cells the 7.5–13.5 Hz oscillations were more than twice as common as the 4.5–7.5 Hz group (see also Fig. 6). The tendency of GPe and GPi cells toward 6 and 10 Hz oscillations, respectively, was maintained throughout all of the parkinsonian states. Of all the oscillatory (4.5–30 Hz) GPe cells in monkeys Q and R, 63.4 and 70.4%, respectively, only oscillated at 4.5–7.5 Hz, 22.6 and 11.1% only oscillated at 7.5–13.5 Hz, and 11.8 and 14.8% oscillated at both frequency domains. The mean frequency of all GPe cells that oscillated between 4.5 and 7.5 Hz was 5.8 and 6.1 Hz for monkeys Q and R, respectively. Of all oscillatory (4.5–30 Hz) GPe cells in monkey Q, 69.5% only oscillated at 7.5–13.5 Hz, 13.4% only oscillated at 4.5–7.5 Hz, and 13.4% oscillated at both 4.5–7.5 and 7.5–13.5 Hz. The mean frequency of all GPe cells that oscillated between 4.5 and 7.5 Hz was 5.8 and 6.1 Hz for monkeys Q and R, respectively. Of all oscillatory (4.5–30 Hz) GPe cells in monkey Q, 69.5% only oscillated at 7.5–13.5 Hz, 13.4% only oscillated at 4.5–7.5 Hz, and 13.4% oscillated at both 4.5–7.5 and 7.5–13.5 Hz. The mean frequency of all 7.5–13.5 oscillations in the GPe of monkey Q was 10.4 Hz. The only notable difference in the frequency distribution between the different clinical states was a relatively higher fraction of 13.5–20 Hz oscillations in the GPi during On compared with Off states. Another qualitative difference between the neuronal oscillations of the GPe and GPi was the significantly ($p < 0.01$, Student’s $t$ test) higher relative power of all GPi oscillations in general and particularly the 7.5–13.5 Hz group (Fig. 6, compare A, B with C, D and Fig. 8). The high relative power peaks at ~10 Hz in the GPi (Fig. 8A) originated exclusively from the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state and the Off state of optimal treatment.

We failed to find neuronal oscillations at 20–30 Hz in the autocorrelograms of either monkey. No gamma frequency oscillations (30–100 Hz) were seen in monkey R. In monkey Q, a small fraction of cells oscillated at this range in the normal state (1.2 and 5.9% of the GPe and GPi, respectively). Gamma oscillations were not detected in our spike trains in any other state, except in 2% of the GPi cells in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state.
Neuronal correlation

Figure 9, A and C, illustrates oscillatory and non-oscillatory, respectively, peaked cross-correlogram and cross-spectra matrices, indicating that both types of interneuronal correlation exist in the pallidum of the parkinsonian monkey. Figure 9, B and D, which shows the flattening of the cross-correlogram and cross-spectra matrices of the same cells after administration of medication, is an example of the decreased level of neuronal oscillatory and non-oscillatory correlation in response to DRT.

Table 3, C and D, summarizes the percentage of the correlated neuronal pairs within the GPe and GPi during each clinical state. Whereas in the normal state most neuronal pairs within the GPe of both monkeys were uncorrelated, after induction of parkinsonism, there was more than a twofold increase in the fraction of correlated pairs (Fig. 5C). Throughout the Off states of treatment, the percentage of correlated GPe pairs continued to increase, and, in the Off state of dyskinetic treatment, it was significantly higher than in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state in both monkeys. In both monkeys, this increase in GPe correlation was attributable solely to a rise in non-oscillatory correlations. In response to DRT, the fraction of correlated GPe pairs decreased significantly in both monkeys and was not significantly different than in the normal state. Although overall the level of correlation in the GPe during the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state was similar for both monkeys, its characterization varied. In the tremulous vervet monkey Q, nearly half of all significant correlations were oscillatory, whereas in the nontremulous macaque monkey R, the oscillatory cross-correlations amounted to merely 5% of all significant correlations.

The correlation level in the normal GPi was low and non-oscillatory in nature, similar to that of the normal GPe (Fig. 5C). After induction of parkinsonism, the percentage of correlated GPi pairs increased dramatically by more than fivefold and consisted of oscillatory correlations alone. In contrast to the GPe, in the GPi there was no significant difference in the overall level of correlation between the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state and the Off states of treatment. However, like the GPe, there was a significant decrease in the relative fraction of oscillatory cross-correlations during the treatment Off states and emergence of non-oscillatory correlations that were nonexistent in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state. Whereas in the GPe the response to DRT was similar in both optimal and dyskinetic treatment, in the GPi there was a clear difference. The decrease in correlations in the optimal On state was minimal and the correlation level remained significantly higher than in the normal state. Furthermore, all correlated pairs in this state exhibited oscillatory correlations. Conversely, in the dyskinetic On state, the GPi correlation level decreased to a near normal level and comprised non-oscillatory correlation exclusively.

Stable continuous recordings before and after the clinical influence of DRT revealed similar effects to the population results. As with the population results, most pairs that were correlated in the Off state became uncorrelated in the On state. Only a few neuronal pairs that were not correlated in the Off state synchronized their activity after the Off–On transition (Table 4C).

The frequencies of the oscillatory cross-correlations are shown in Figure 8. In the GPi, consistent with single-cell oscillations, the main frequency was between 7.5 and 13.5 Hz, and oscillatory cross-correlations exceeded those of the GPe in both their incidence and strength. In the GPe, conversely, the main frequency of oscillatory cross-correlations was also between 7.5 and 13.5 Hz, in contrast to the 4.5–7.5 Hz oscillation that dominated the single-cell autocorrelations. Moreover, unlike the single-cell oscillations, 20–30 Hz oscillatory cross-correlations were identified. Higher-frequency (>30 Hz) oscillations were not found in our data.

Discussion

In this study, we explored the role of oscillatory and non-oscillatory pallidal activity at the level of both single-cell and interneuronal correlation, throughout the clinical states of parkinsonism and DRT. We recorded the extracellular spiking activity from the GPe (of macaque and vervet monkeys) and GPi (of the vervet monkey only). We combined tremor accelerometer, multiple-electrode recordings, population correlation, and spectral analysis (Rivlin-Etzion et al., 2006) with continuous recording of the same cells through the Off–On transition. We produced and recorded from multiple clinical states similar to those observed in parkinsonian patients (akineti-rigid vs tremor-dominant PD and optimal vs dyskinetic DRT) and compared these with normal control and levodopa-naive parkinsonian
states, which are lacking in human studies. Our fast-induction MPTP model might not exactly reflect the slow and progressive anatomical/biochemical compensatory changes in the basal ganglia that occur in idiopathic PD or in the slow-progressive MPTP models (Russ et al., 1991; Perez et al., 1994). However, it enabled prolonged recording over the multiple PD states in the same animal, including the state of levodopa-induced dyskinesia. Using these methods, we demonstrate that the tremor characteristics after exposure to DRT do not resemble those of the normal or the levodopa-naive state. Moreover, the balance between the neural activity (rate, pattern, and pairwise correlations) between the GPe and GPi is disrupted after MPTP treatment. These results therefore call for reappraisal of our current models of basal ganglia and Parkinson’s disease pathophysiology (see below).

Tremor analysis

The tremor analysis confirms previous reports of an abundance of tremor episodes in the MPTP-treated vervet monkey compared with the relatively nontremulous macaque (Redmond et al., 1985; Bergman et al., 1994). In both monkeys, there was either no change or even an increase in the extent of the tremor in response to DRT. This phenomenon is occasionally observed in human patients (Vidalhiet et al., 1999) and may be attributed to tremor masking by severe akinesia and rigidity. As in human studies (Hurtado et al., 2000; Raethjen et al., 2000; Ben-Pazi et al., 2001), the DRT states were characterized by a low coherence level between the tremor of the limbs even in Off states; however, in the levodopa-naive state, limb tremor was highly coherent. These results indicate (in line with the electrophysiological studies discussed below) that DRT causes major changes in the functional organization of the basal ganglia. The Off state of human parkinsonian patients, after many years of DRT, therefore may not represent a pure dopamine-depleted state; rather, it may be the result of complex interactions between natural compensatory processes for dopamine depletion, as well as the neuronal responses to chronic DRT.

Neuronal firing rates

In the analysis of neuronal firing rates in the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state, we only found a significant change in the discharge rate of GPe cells in the vervet monkey. These inconclusive results are in line with other primate studies (Boraud et al., 2002). Nevertheless, as in primates (Filion et al., 1991; Papa et al., 1999; Boraud et al., 2001) and human (Levy et al., 2001; Stefani et al., 2002) studies, the increase in GPe and decrease in GPi rates in response to DRT were robust. As a result, during the Off periods, GPi rates were significantly higher than the GPe rates, whereas the opposite occurred in On periods.

Single-cell oscillations

The low fraction of oscillatory cells in both nuclei significantly increased after induction of parkinsonism, and different degrees of decrease were observed in response to DRT. Nevertheless, notable differences were found between the neuronal oscillations of the two pallidal nuclei. In the GPi, the high oscillatory level was maintained throughout the DRT-Off states, whereas in the GPe...
of both monkeys, it was significantly lower during the DRT-Off states compared with the levodopa-naive parkinsonian state. Throughout all MPTP and DRT states, the fraction and relative power of oscillatory cells within the GPi was higher than that of the GPe, and the dominant frequency of single-cell oscillations in the GPi was 7.5–13.5 Hz compared with 4.5–7.5 Hz in the GPe. The small fraction of cells oscillating in more than one frequency and the uneven distribution of the two main frequencies between the GPe and GPi may indicate that each frequency results from genuine physiological characteristics of the cells or the network rather than from a harmonic artifact of the spectral analysis.

Human studies have reported a high fraction of GPi cells oscillating at the tremor frequency (Hutchinson et al., 1997b); however, recent primate (Raz et al., 2000) and human (Hurtado et al., 1999, 2005; Lemstra et al., 1999) studies show that these oscillations are not fully coherent with the simultaneous recorded tremor. Our results also reveal several discrepancies between the pallidal oscillations and the tremor. Moreover, comparison of the GPe activity in the tremulous and nontremulous animals implies that the formation of oscillatory correlations rather than single-cell oscillations plays a major role in tremor generation. We demonstrate that, in contrast to similar fractions of oscillatory cells and correlated pairs in the GPe of both animals in the levodopa-naive state, most pairwise correlations in the nontremulous macaque are non-oscillatory, whereas in the tremulous vervet, nearly half are oscillatory. However, these findings are population based and circumstantial; therefore, additional studies are needed to evaluate the specific temporal relationships between single or assembly neuronal oscillations and the tremor phenomenon.

**Interneuronal correlations**

In line with previous MPTP studies (Nini et al., 1995; Raz et al., 2000), we found an increased level of pairwise neuronal correlations in both pallidal segments after MPTP treatment. This abnormal synchronization decreased by a variable extent in response to DRT. The disparity between the two pallidal nuclei was also present in terms of interneuronal correlations. In contrast to the similarly low interneuronal synchronization in both GPe and GPi in the normal state, after MPTP, the level of neuronal synchronization in the GPi significantly exceeded that of the GPe. Moreover, whereas neuronal correlations in the GPe were mostly non-oscillatory, the vast majority of correlated GPi pairs exhibited 10 Hz oscillatory correlations.

A recent study in human patients only found oscillatory single-cell activity and interneuronal synchronization in tremulous patients and failed to find any non-oscillatory correlations (Levy et al., 2002). These results may imply that the oscillatory correlation is merely a byproduct of the tremor or independent oscillators with similar frequencies. We found a significant fraction of non-oscillatory synchronization in our recordings. Additional findings presented here indicating different frequency regimens for the autocorrelation and cross-correlation functions, as well as distinct modulation of the tremor, single-cell, and interneuronal oscillations by the DRT further suggest that the increased neuronal synchronization within the pallidum is not simply a reflection of tremor or single-cell oscillations.

**Neuronal substrates of levodopa-induced dyskinesia**

A major aim of our study was to characterize the physiological differences in neuronal activity during optimal and dyskinetic treatment. Our results are in line with a previous study that found lower neuronal rates in the GPi during dyskinetic On compared with optimal On (Papa et al., 1999). In addition, we show that the imbalance of GPe/GPi firing rates in response to DRT is further increased in the dyskinetic On state. We found a more pronounced Off–On decrease in oscillatory activity in the dyskinetic state in both pallidal nuclei. Similarly, the relative proportion of oscillatory correlations was lower in the dyskinetic On state. After
introduction of DRT, prolonged synchronous bursts of GPe neurons appeared in the OFF states and were considerably more prominent after the development of dyskinesia. We propose that the weakening of network oscillations within the GPi in the dyskinetic state enables the emerging of bursting activity in the GPe or other structures such as the thalamus to manifest in the form of dyskinesia. The occurrence of dyskinesia during ON rather than OFF periods can be attributed to the concurrent decrease of GPI rates, which further reduces its inhibitory effect.

We conclude that dopamine depletion and replacement therapy result in changes in many aspects of neural activity (e.g., rate, pattern, and synchronization) over the whole basal ganglia cortical networks; consequently, the GPe/GPi balance that exists in the normal state (in these three aspects of neuronal activity) is disturbed and fails to restate thereafter. Recent studies (Bolam et al., 2000; Lee et al., 2004; Levesque and Parent, 2005) have indicated that the GPe should not be considered as a simple relay structure in the indirect striatopallidal pathway. Rather, the GPe plays a major role in the control of the entire basal ganglia circuitry. Nevertheless, despite the strong inhibitory projections from the GPe to the GPi (Harratzi et al., 1990; Bolam et al., 2000), the GPe activity does not impose mirror changes in the GPI neuronal activity. Our results, demonstrating disruption of the GPe/GPi balance after MPTP and DRT, suggest that this imbalance is a hallmark of the pathophysiology of Parkinsonism and DRT. They further indicate that chronic DRT may cause additional abnormal organization of the basal ganglia networks beyond the effects of dopamine depletion. Future attempts at improving invasive therapies of human patients with advanced PD and DRT side effects should therefore aim at restoring the full spectrum of normal GPe and GPI activity.
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