Synaptic plasticity of NMDA receptor (NMDAR)-mediated transmission was investigated in the rat dentate gyrus in vitro. Isolated NMDAR EPSCs were recorded from granule cells of the dentate gyrus in response to stimulation of the medial perforant path. Long-term potentiation (LTP) or long-term depression (LTD) of NMDAR EPSCs was observed in response to brief high-frequency stimulation (HFS), with the direction and extent of plasticity dependent on the concentration and type (EGTA vs BAPTA) of the intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) buffer.

LTD was induced in higher concentrations of EGTA and BAPTA than LTP, and BAPTA was ~100-fold more potent than EGTA. Although LTD was induced in a high concentration of EGTA (10 mM), a high concentration of BAPTA (10 mM) blocked both LTP and LTD. LTP of AMPA receptor (AMPA)-EPSCs exhibited a lower dependency on Ca\(^{2+}\) buffering than LTP of NMDAR EPSCs, because LTP of AMPAR EPSCs was induced by HFS in high EGTA (10 mM). We also identified a role for metabotropic glutamate receptor 5 (mGluR5) in NMDAR plasticity. HFS LTD was blocked by the group I/II mGluR antagonist LY341495 ((2S)-2-amino-2-[(1S,2S)-2-carboxycycloprop-1-yl]-3(xanth-9-yl)propanoic acid) and by the mGluR5-selective antagonist 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine hydrochloride (MPEP). Similarly, low-frequency stimulation-induced LTD of NMDAR EPSCs was also blocked by MPEP. These findings suggest that the direction of plasticity of NMDARs is determined by the intracellular free Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration and is dependent on activation of mGluR5.
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### Introduction

NMDA receptors (NMDARs) have a pivotal role in the regulation of synaptic transmission in the CNS. In particular, the long-channel open time and high Ca\(^{2+}\) permeability of the NMDAR result in a prolonged synaptic conductance coupled with a large Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. Activation of the NMDAR triggers extensive signal transduction cascades that regulate plasticity of synaptic transmission as well as the formation and elimination of synapses (Constantin-Paton, 1990; Malenka and Nicoll, 1999; Carroll and Zukin, 2002).

Although plasticity of AMPA receptor (AMPA) synaptic transmission has been studied extensively (Malenka and Bear, 2004), much less is known about plasticity of the NMDAR-mediated transmission. Certain studies have shown that long-term potentiation (LTP) of NMDAR EPSCs is induced by high-frequency stimulation (HFS) in CA1 (Bashir et al., 1991; Berretta et al., 1991; Xie et al., 1992; Anishtein and Ben-Ari, 1995; Clark and Collingridge, 1995; Yi et al., 1995; Grosshans et al., 2002) and the dentate gyrus (O’Connor et al., 1994, 1995), but other studies have found little or no LTP of NMDAR EPSCs (Kauer et al., 1988; Muller et al., 1988; Perkel et al., 1993; Liao et al., 1995; Selig et al., 1995; Heynen et al., 2000).

Induction of NMDAR long-term depression (LTD) after low-frequency stimulation (LFS) has also been observed in CA1 (Selig et al., 1995; Yi et al., 1995; Morishita et al., 2005). Little is known about the mechanisms underlying plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs. A role for activation of metabotropic glutamate receptors (mGluRs) and protein kinase C (PKC) stimulation was established in the induction of LTD of NMDAR EPSCs in the dentate gyrus (O’Connor et al., 1994, 1995). LTD of NMDAR EPSCs was either dependent on (Yi et al., 1995) or independent (Morishita et al., 2005) of activation of mGluRs and was not associated with a change in coefficient of variation (Selig et al., 1995).

In the present study, we describe the induction of LTP and LTD of NMDAR EPSCs in the dentate gyrus and show that the direction of plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs is altered by the level of buffering of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) and is dependent on the activation of mGluRs.

### Materials and Methods

Transverse hippocampal slices (400 μm) were prepared from the brains of male Sprague Dawley rats (3–4 weeks old; 40–80 g). Rats were decapitated, and the brains were removed quickly and placed in ice-cold artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO\(_3\), 2.5 KCl, 1.25 NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\), 2 CaCl\(_2\), 1 MgCl\(_2\), and 25 d-glucose, bubbled with 95% O\(_2\) and 5% CO\(_2\). Slices were cut using a vibratome.
Whole-cell patch-clamp recordings were made from granule cells of the dentate gyrus, visualized using an upright microscope (BX51 WI; Olympus, Middlesex, UK) with infrared differential interference contrast optics. Patch pipettes were pulled from thick-walled borosilicate glass (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL) and had a resistance of 3–5 MΩ when filled with intracellular solution containing the following (in mM): 140 K-gluconate, 10 HEPES, 20 phosphocreatine, 2 Mg2ATP, 0.3 NaGTP, pH 7.3 and 290–300 mOsm. In addition, the intracellular solutions used contained one of the following calcium buffers (in mM): 0.2 or 10 EGTA; 0.002, 0.02, 0.2 or 10 BAPTA. Slices were maintained at 30–33°C during recordings. Granule cells were voltage clamped at −70 mV, and EPSCs were evoked by stimulation with a bipolar tungsten wire electrode placed in the middle one-third of the dentate gyrus molecular layer to activate the medial perforant pathway. Control EPSCs were evoked by stimulation with a bipolar tungsten wire electrode placed in the middle one-third of the dentate gyrus molecular layer to activate the medial perforant pathway. Control EPSCs were evoked at a test frequency of 0.033 Hz, using the lowest stimulus strength that reliably produced currents (10–30 µA; 100 µs pulses). HFS consisted of eight trains, each of eight stimuli at 200 Hz, intertrain interval of 2 s, repeated three times. LFS consisted of stimulation at 1 Hz for 15 min. Both HFS and LFS were applied under current-clamp conditions. NMDAR EPSCs were recorded in picrotoxin (100 µM), 6-cyano-7-quinolinic acid (CQX) (10 µM), and glycine (20 µM). HFS or LFS induction stimuli were delivered to cells after recording of a stable baseline for 10 min, and this was always within 15 min of attaining breakthrough in the whole-cell recording configuration. The amplitude of LTP/LTD was expressed 35–40 min after stimulation. Recordings were made using an Axoclamp 1D amplifier (Molecular Devices, Union City, CA). Signals were filtered at 5 kHz using a 4-pole Bessel filter and were digitized at 10 kHz using a Digidata 1320A analog-digital interface (Molecular Devices). Data were acquired and analyzed using pClamp 9.0 and Axoclamp software (Molecular Devices).

All salts used were obtained from Sigma (St. Louis, MO). Picrotoxin, CNQX, and N-2-aminophosphonopentanoic acid (AP5) were from Sigma, and (2S)-2-amino-2-[(1S,2S)-2-carboxycycloprop-1-yl]-3-(xanth-9-yl)propanoic acid (LY341495) and 2-methyl-6-(phenylethynyl)pyridine hydrochloride (MPEP) were from Tocris Cookson (Avonmouth, UK). Data are means ± SEM; statistical significance was evaluated using paired t tests (p < 0.05).

Results
Calcium-dependent plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs
We recorded pharmacologically isolated NMDAR EPSCs in granule cells of dentate gyrus at −70 mV, which is close to the resting potential, and in the presence of 1 mM Mg2+ ions, to maintain physiological conditions in which NMDARs are subject to block by Mg2+ ions. In these conditions, NMDAR EPSCs had a mean amplitude of 34 ± 2 pA and a 10–90% rise time of 4.7 ± 0.3 ms, and decayed with a time constant of 40 ± 2 ms (n = 37). The

Figure 1. Induction of LTP or LTD of NMDAR EPSCs by HFS is dependent on intracellular calcium buffering. A, LTD of NMDAR EPSCs is induced by HFS under conditions of high intracellular Ca2+ buffering (10 mM EGTA intracellular solution; n = 6). Traces show averaged EPSCs before and after HFS at the time points (a and b) indicated on the graph. Calibration: 10 pA, 20 ms. B, HFS induces LTD of NMDAR EPSCs under conditions in which intracellular Ca2+ buffering is reduced to 0.2 mM EGTA (n = 5). Calibration: 50 pA, 20 ms. C, LTD of AMPAR EPSCs is induced by HFS with 10 mM EGTA in the pipette solution (n = 5). Calibration: 30 pA, 30 ms. D, LTD was induced by HFS with 0.2 mM BAPTA in the intracellular solution (n = 5). Calibration: 10 pA, 20 ms. E, LTD was induced by HFS with low intracellular BAPTA (0.002 mM; n = 7). Calibration: 20 pA, 20 ms. F, AP5 (10 µM) blocked induction of either LTD or LTP (n = 7). Calibration: 20 pA, 20 ms. Error bars indicate SE.
The plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs and AMPAR EPSCs was briefly compared. For AMPAR plasticity, recordings were performed in the absence of CNQX in the extracellular medium and with 10 mM EGTA in the pipette solution. HFS induced LTP of AMPAR EPSCs (138 ± 7% of control; n = 5; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1C). AMPAR LTP had a similar magnitude and rapid induction as NMDAR LTD. These findings confirmed that we could induce AMPAR LTP with whole-cell recordings, under the same conditions used for NMDAR plasticity experiments.

HFS-induced plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs requires activation of mGluR5
We investigated whether activation of mGluRs had a role in LTD of NMDAR EPSCs, because mGluR-dependent LTD of AMPARs induced by LFS has been described in CA1 (Oliet et al., 1997) and dentate gyrus (Camodeca et al., 1999). In the presence of the mGluR antagonist LY341495 (100 μM), at a concentration that blocks all mGluRs, and using an intracellular solution containing 10 mM EGTA, HFS induced only a short-term depression, and the EPSC amplitude returned to baseline values within 5 min of the stimulus (98 ± 5% of control at 20–25 min post-HFS; n = 6; p > 0.05) (Fig. 3A). To determine the mGluR subgroup required for HFS LTD, we tested the effect of the mGluR5-selective antagonist MPEP (1 μM; higher concentrations of MPEP were not used because of a frequency-dependent block of NMDAR EPSCs after HFS). MPEP (1 μM) blocked HFS-induced LTD (EPSC amplitude, 101 ± 6% of control; n = 5; p > 0.05) (Fig. 3B). This demonstrates that activation of mGluR5 is necessary for HFS LTD.

mGluR5 is also required for LFS LTD of NMDAR EPSCs
LTD induction is more commonly associated with stimulation at low frequencies. In experiments using standard 10 mM EGTA intracellular solution, LFS induced LTD of NMDAR EPSCs similar to that induced by HFS (75 ± 5% of control; n = 5; p < 0.05) (Fig. 3C). LFS LTD was also blocked by MPEP (1 μM) (Fig. 3D). Three of four cells tested displayed short-term depression in response to LFS in the presence of MPEP, and in the remaining cell, EPSCs were slightly potentiated after LFS. The averaged EPSC amplitude measured 104 ± 11% of control at 30–35 min post-LFS (n = 4; p > 0.05) (Fig. 3D). These findings show that mGluR5 activation either during LFS or HFS in conditions of strong postsynaptic calcium buffering results in depression of NMDAR-mediated synaptic transmission.

Discussion
Here, we have shown that the same stimulus, brief HFS, induced LTD or LTD of NMDAR EPSCs, with the extent and direction of the plasticity dependent on the level and nature of the intracellular Ca2+ buffer. Intracellular Ca2+ buffering was manipulated using different concentrations of EGTA and BAPTA. LTD was induced with strong exogenous intracellular Ca2+ buffering, and conversely, LTD was induced when intracellular Ca2+ buffering was relatively weak. As illustrated in Figure 2, in which the magnitude of plasticity is plotted as a function of buffer concentration, the curve for BAPTA was displaced ~100-fold to the left of that for EGTA. From this graph, we estimated the half-effective buffer concentration (EC50, the buffer concentration that we estimate would permit half-maximal LTD or LTP) for EGTA and BAPTA. For LTD, the EC50 values were 3 mM and >10 mM for BAPTA and EGTA, respectively, and 5 μM and 0.7 mM for BAPTA and EGTA for LTD, respectively.

Figure 2. Bidirectional plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs as a function of intracellular calcium buffering. Similar LTD was induced with 0.2 mM EGTA and 0.002 mM BAPTA, whereas LTD was induced with 10 mM EGTA and 0.2 mM BAPTA. A high concentration of BAPTA (10 mM) prevented either LTD or LTD. Error bars indicate SE.

recorded EPSCs displayed a characteristic current–voltage relationship with strong outward rectification (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and were abolished by perfusion of AP5 (EPSC amplitude, 4 ± 2 pA after 10 min in 50 μM AP5; n = 5) (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material), confirming that they were evoked purely by activation of NMDARs.

In initial experiments, plasticity was induced by a brief HFS, and the effect of two intracellular Ca2+ buffers, EGTA and BAPTA, was investigated on the extent and direction of plasticity. EGTA and BAPTA have a similar high affinity for Ca2+ (Kd, ~160 nM) but differ in their Ca2+ binding kinetics. EGTA is a relatively slow buffer with an on-rate for Ca2+ binding of 106 M⁻¹·s⁻¹ compared with 10⁸ M⁻¹·s⁻¹ for BAPTA, ~100-fold different. No significant differences in the amplitude or kinetics of the test EPSCs were recorded in the different concentrations of buffers. For example, in 10 mM EGTA (amplitude, 34 ± 2 pA; decay time constant, 40 ± 2 ms; n = 37) or 10 mM BAPTA (amplitude, 27 ± 3 pA; decay time constant, 37 ± 5 ms; n = 7; p > 0.05). Figure 1 illustrates the effect of different intracellular concentrations of EGTA and BAPTA on the induction of LTD and LTD. LTD (71 ± 8%; n = 6; p < 0.01) was induced by HFS in 10 mM EGTA (Fig. 1A) and 0.2 mM BAPTA (71 ± 3%; n = 5; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1D). Weak LTD was observed in two cells with 0.02 mM BAPTA (92 ± 7%) (data not shown but included in Fig. 2). LTD was induced in 0.2 mM EGTA (135 ± 6%; n = 5; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1B) and 0.002 mM BAPTA (127 ± 4%; n = 7; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1E). Both LTD and LTD occurred rapidly, with a rise to a maximum level induced immediately after HFS. A high concentration of BAPTA (10 mM) inhibited both LTD and LTD (n = 99 ± 6%; n = 7; p > 0.05) (Fig. 1F).

These findings are summarized in Figure 2, which illustrates the relationship between NMDAR plasticity and intracellular exogenous calcium buffer concentration. Low concentrations of either buffer allowed induction of LTD after HFS, whereas at higher concentrations, LTD was induced by the same stimulus. The curve for BAPTA lay ~100-fold to the left of that for EGTA. From this graph, we estimated the half-effective buffer concentration (EC50, the buffer concentration that we estimate would permit half-maximal LTD or LTP) for EGTA and BAPTA. For LTD, the EC50 values were 3 mM and >10 mM for BAPTA and EGTA, respectively, and 5 μM and 0.7 mM for BAPTA and EGTA for LTD, respectively.

The plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs and AMPAR EPSCs was briefly compared. For AMPAR plasticity, recordings were performed in the absence of CNQX in the extracellular medium and with 10 mM EGTA in the pipette solution. HFS induced LTP of AMPAR EPSCs (138 ± 7% of control; n = 5; p < 0.01) (Fig. 1C). AMPAR LTP had a similar magnitude and rapid induction as NMDAR LTD. These findings confirmed that we could induce AMPAR LTP with whole-cell recordings, under the same conditions used for NMDAR plasticity experiments.
EGTA and BAPTA have been used in previous studies to distinguish Ca\(^{2+}\) signals with different temporal and spatial characteristics, with particular emphasis on transmitter release. Because BAPTA and EGTA have a similar affinity for Ca\(^{2+}\), they will buffer steady-state Ca\(^{2+}\) to the same extent at relatively long distances from the site of Ca\(^{2+}\) influx. However, EGTA is effective only at modulating a relatively slow or diffuse calcium signal, whereas the faster binding kinetics of BAPTA allow it to modulate a fast signal and to buffer Ca\(^{2+}\) very close to the site of influx. At the squid giant synapse, it was concluded that the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx channel and the target site were very close, within a few nm, because EGTA did not suppress transmitter release even at very high concentrations (up 80 mM), whereas BAPTA suppressed release with an EC\(_{50}\) of \(~0.5\) mM (Adler et al., 1991; Neher, 1998). Such EC\(_{50}\) values are in the same range as those for BAPTA and EGTA on LTD of NMDAR EPSCs in the present studies (EC\(_{50}\) values for BAPTA and EGTA for the suppression of NMDAR LTD were \(~3\) and \(>10\) ms, respectively). Thus, our findings clearly indicate that there are spatiotemporal differences in the Ca\(^{2+}\) signals required for the induction of NMDAR LTP and LTD.

The role of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) in determining the direction of plasticity of NMDAR EPSCs described here is in agreement with the “calcium hypothesis” of LTP and LTD. This hypothesis states that the direction of plasticity is controlled by the magnitude of the rise in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\), with a large elevation in Ca\(^{2+}\) (evoked by strong depolarization and strong Ca\(^{2+}\) influx) inducing LTP, and smaller Ca\(^{2+}\) elevations (evoked by weak depolarization and weak Ca\(^{2+}\) influx) inducing LTD (Lisman, 1989; Artola and Singer, 1993). The hypothesis was formulated for AMPAR plasticity and has been supported by studies showing that, first, stimuli that normally induced LTP elicited LTD if Ca\(^{2+}\) influx was partially suppressed (Cummings et al., 1996); second, the amplitude and duration of elevating Ca\(^{2+}\) from a caged compound determined the induction of either LTP or LTD (Yang et al., 1999); and third, direct measurements of intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) showed that LTP induction is associated with a higher Ca\(^{2+}\) rise than LTD (Cormier et al., 2001). The present studies are the first to provide evidence that the calcium hypothesis also applies to NMDAR plasticity.

Recent studies have suggested a role for different NMDAR subtypes in the induction of AMPAR LTP and LTD, with NR2A subunit-containing receptors required for LTP and NR2B receptor subtypes required for LTD (Liu et al., 2004; Massey et al., 2004) (but see also Berberich et al., 2005). The NMDAR EPSCs described here were mediated by both NR2A- and NR2B-containing receptors, because EPSC amplitude was reduced by 25% in ifenprodil (10 \(\mu\)M; \(n = 5\)). Also, in the presence of ifenprodil (10 \(\mu\)M), LTD of NMDAR EPSCs (\(n = 2\)) was induced by HFS and this was similar to control recordings (S. C. Harney, unpublished observations).

We compared the effect of HFS on NMDAR EPSCs with LTD of AMPAR EPSCs. Using an intracellular solution containing 10 mM EGTA, HFS induced LTD of NMDAR EPSCs, but LTP of AMPAR EPSCs. In comparison, the same amplitude of LTD of NMDAR EPSCs was induced in 0.2 mM EGTA. Thus, EGTA was much more effective at inhibiting LTP of NMDAR EPSCs than AMPAR EPSCs, demonstrating a closer distance between the Ca\(^{2+}\) influx channel and the target site for NMDAR EPSCs than for AMPAR EPSCs. Previous studies investigating the effect of the PKC–calcium-activated kinase \(\beta\) (CAK\(\beta\))–Src cascade on LTD of AMPARs and NMDARs have shown that the Ca\(^{2+}\) signal responsible for activation of the PKC–CAK\(\beta\)–Src cascade is also more spatially restricted to the vicinity of NMDARs than AMPARs (Kotecha et al., 2003).

We have shown that HFS- and LFS-induced LTD were dependent on activation of mGlur5, and specifically mGlur5, because the LTD was prevented by the nonselective mGlur antagonist LY341495 and the mGlur5 antagonist MPEP. This is the first study to demonstrate a group I mGlur-dependent LTD of NMDARs, although the group I mGlur agonist DHPG [(RS)-3,5-dihydroxyphenylglycine] has been shown previously to in-

Figure 3. HFS- and LFS-induced LTD is mGlur5 receptor dependent. A, HFS LTD of NMDAR EPSCs (recorded with 10 mM EGTA intracellular solution) is inhibited by the group I mGlur antagonist LY341495 (100 \(\mu\)M; \(n = 6\)). Traces show averaged EPSCs before and after HFS, at the time points (a and b) indicated on the graph. Calibration: 10 pA, 20 ms. B, The mGlur5-specific antagonist MPEP (1 \(\mu\)M; \(n = 5\)) blocks HFS LTD (\(n = 5\)). Calibration: 20 pA, 20 ms. C, Control LFS LTD of NMDAR EPSCs (\(n = 5\)). Calibration: 20 pA, 20 ms. D, LFS LTD was blocked by the mGlur5-specific antagonist MPEP (1 \(\mu\)M; \(n = 4\)). Calibration: 20 pA, 20 ms. Error bars indicate SE.
duce LTD of NMDAR in CA1 (Snyder et al., 2001), and a group 1 mGluR-dependent LTD of AMPARs has been shown previously in many areas of the brain (Anwyl, 2006), including CA1 (Oliet et al., 1997; Palmer et al., 1997; Fitzjohn et al., 1999) and dentate gyrus (Camodeca et al., 1999). In the present study, we induced LTD of NMDAR EPSCs using two protocols (HFS with high Ca$^{2+}$ buffering or LFS), and our results suggest that both forms of LTD share a common pathway requiring mGluR5. The group I mGluR dependency of LTD of NMDAR EPSCs in the present study is in contrast to the study by Morishita et al. (2005), who demonstrated an mGluR-independent LTD of NMDAR LTD in CA1. The difference may be a regional one between the dentate gyrus and CA1, or alternatively, may be attributable to different solutions in the recording pipette in the two studies. Most notably, the recording pipette used in the study of Morishita et al. (2005) contained QX-314 (lidocaine N-ethyl bromide), an agent known to block a variety of ion channels.

Bidirectional synaptic plasticity of AMPAR-mediated transmission at excitatory synapses in hippocampus has been described in detail and is an important feature of computational models of hippocampal function. In this study, we demonstrated bidirectional modification of NMDAR EPSCs, and we identified the level of intracellular Ca$^{2+}$ as a critical modulator of such NMDAR plasticity. It remains to be tested whether, in agreement with theoretical models of AMPAR plasticity (Shouval et al., 2002), activity-dependent changes in NMDAR Ca$^{2+}$ influx via NMDARs or changes in NMDAR properties influence the outcome of plasticity at perforant path–granule cell synapses.
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