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Involvement of the AMPA Receptor GluR-C Subunit in Alcohol-Seeking Behavior and Relapse
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Craving and relapse are core symptoms of drug addiction and alcoholism. It is suggested that, after chronic drug consumption, long-lasting neuroplastic changes within the glutamatergic system are important determinants of addictive behavior. Here, we show that the AMPA type glutamate receptor plays a crucial role in alcohol craving and relapse. We observed, in two animal models of alcohol craving and relapse, that the AMPA antagonist GYKI 52466 [1-(4-aminophenyl)-4-methyl-7,8-methylenedioxy-5H-2,3-benzodiazepine] dose-dependently reduced cue-induced reinstatement of alcohol-seeking behavior and the alcohol deprivation effect. The involvement of the AMPA receptor in these phenomena was further studied using mice deficient for the GluR-C AMPA subunit [GluR-C knock-out (KO)]. GluR-C KOs displayed a blunted, cue-induced reinstatement response and alcohol deprivation effect, when compared with wild-type controls; however, no differences between genotypes could be observed regarding ethanol self-administration under operant or home cage drinking conditions. These results imply a role for GluR-C in alcohol relapse, although this phenotype could also be attributable to a reduction in the total number of AMPA receptors in specific brain areas. In conclusion, AMPA receptors seem to be involved in the neuroplastic changes underlying alcohol seeking behavior and relapse. Thus, AMPA receptors represent a novel therapeutic target in preventing relapse.
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Introduction

Glutamatergic neurotransmission and its neuroadaptive changes have been proposed as important molecular determinants of craving and relapse (Cornish and Kalivas, 2000; Tschenkte and Schmidt, 2003). In particular, it is suggested that a hyperglutamatergic state mediates, at least in part, alcohol relapse behavior (Tsai and Coyle, 1998). Thus, acamprosate, a drug used to prevent relapse in alcoholic patients (Mann, 2004), is thought to act via dampening a hyperglutamatergic state in the alcohol-addicted brain (Littleton, 1995; Dahchour and De Witte, 2000, 2003; Spanagel et al., 2005). In alcohol relapse, the possible involvement of the NMDA (Hölter et al., 2000; Krystal et al., 2003; Bachteler and Spanagel, 2005) and metabotropic glutamate receptors has previously been assessed (Bäckstrom et al., 2004; Oliveira et al., 2005; Schroeder et al., 2005), but little is known about the involvement of AMPA receptors (Cowen et al., 2003). However, reinstatement of cocaine-seeking behavior is attenuated by the administration of an AMPA receptor antagonist (Cornish and Kalivas, 2000), and responding to cocaine-associated cues under a second-order schedule decreased after a pharmacological blockade of AMPA receptors (Di Ciano and Everitt, 2001).

Here, we studied alcohol seeking and relapse behavior in two behavioral models: the "cue-induced reinstatement model" and the "alcohol deprivation effect" (ADE) (Spanagel and Hölter, 1999; Le and Shaham, 2002). Previous studies using these models suggested the involvement of glutamate in relapse behavior (for review, see Bachteler and Spanagel, 2005). In the present study, we show that the AMPA receptor is critically involved in alcohol seeking and relapse, because the AMPA receptor antagonist 1-(4-aminophenyl)-4-methyl-7,8-methylenedioxy-5H-2,3-benzodiazepine (GYKI 52466) blunted reinstatement behavior and ADE. The involvement of AMPA receptors in this behavior was further characterized by a second set of independent experiments using mice deficient in the AMPA receptor subunit GluR-C. We focused our interest on this AMPA receptor subunit, because it was shown recently that, in rats, the GluR-C subunit is upregulated during alcohol abstinence (Brückner et al., 1997). This is also observed in the cerebral cortex of adult guinea pigs after prenatal ethanol exposure (Dettmer et al., 2003). In these studies, the expression of other AMPA receptor subunits (GluR-A and GluR-B) was not consistently affected by alcohol exposure, whereas the GluR-D subunit was not analyzed. The results of this
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second set of experiments confirmed the involvement of AMPA receptors and particularly those containing the GluR-C subunit.

Materials and Methods

Animals. For the pharmacological studies, two-month-old male Wistar rats from our own breeding colony at the Central Institute for Mental Health (Mannheim, Germany) were used. Homozygous GluR-C knock-out (GluR-C KO) and wild-type (WT) male mice were generated as described below and bred at the Max Planck Institute of Medical Research in Heidelberg. For the behavioral experiments, male GluR-C knock-out mice with their wild-type littermates from at least six consecutive backcrosses with C57BL/6N were used.

All animals were singly housed in standard hanging cages at 21 ± 1°C and 50 ± 5% relative humidity on a 12 h light/dark cycle, with lights on at 7:00 A.M. Animals were provided with standard rodent food and tap water ad libitum. Animals were handled on a daily basis before starting the experiments. All experimental procedures were approved by the Committee on Animal Care and Use and performed in accordance with the local Animal Welfare Act and the European Communities Council Directive 86/609/EEC of November 24, 1986.

Generation of GluR-C knock-out mice. A rat cDNA probe with exons 11–13 was used to screen a 129/SV mouse-phage genomic library (G) to amplify a 260 bp GluR-C1lox fragment, primers P2 (CCA ATG TTG AGC ATA GCT ATG C) and P4 (GGT ATA TCT TCC CAG CCC CAA AC) to amplify a 240 bp WT fragment, and TGC TTT AGC CTT TGC) and P4 to amplify a 240 bp WT fragment, and 1loxP151 sites (Lee and Saito, 1998). In addition, 900 bp upstream of exon 11 a 24 bp BglII–Avrl fragment was replaced by a 46 bp fragment encoding an Ncol restriction site and one loxP151 element. Four silent mutations were introduced into exon 11. For electroporation of R1–embryonic stem (ES) cells, the targeting vector was linearized at a unique Pac I restriction enzyme recognition site at the 3′ end of the long arm. Neomycin-resistant ES clones were screened for homologous recombination events by nested PCR with outside-inside primer pairs. Positive clones were confirmed by Southern blotting with a 450 bp probe after digestion with Nco I.

Expression of AMPA receptor subunits in WT and GluR-C KO mice. Expression of the AMPA receptor subunits GluR-A, GluR-B, GluR-C, and GluR-D in hippocampi and cerebelli of WT and GluR-C KO was assessed by Western blot. These brain areas were selected because they express differential (low vs high levels) proportions of GluR-C-subunit-containing AMPA receptors (Tsuzuki et al., 2001; Sans et al., 2003), a factor that could determine the presence or absence of adaptive changes after the genetic deletion of this subunit. For similar reasons, this assessment was performed at different development times from P2 to P90. Total protein of the hippocampi and the cerebelli was isolated, and for each sample, 10 μg of protein was separated in a 7.5% SDS-PAGE and transferred on nitrocellulose. The glutamate receptor subunits were recognized by selective antibodies and monitored by autoradiography. The α-subunit of the α2A-MKII (Ca2+-/calmodulin-dependent protein kinase II) and βIII-tubulin was used as a positive control for developmental controlled gene expression in the hippocampus and cerebellum, respectively. Loading was controlled by β-actin. The experiment was repeated with at least three sets of mice.

Drugs. Alcohol drinking solutions were prepared from 96% ethanol [Merck (Darmstadt, Germany) KgaA] and then diluted with tap water. 4-(8-Methyl-9H-1,3-dioxolo[4,5-h][2,3]benzodiazepin-3-yl)]benzamine hydrochloride (GYKI 52466 hydrochloride; Tocris, Ellisville, MO) was dissolved in aqua ad inj ectabilia (B Braun Melsungen, Melsungen, Germany), slightly acidified with HCl. The solutions were freshly prepared before each treatment and injected in a volume of 3 ml/kg intraperitoneally. Control groups were injected with an equivalent volume of the vehicle.

Cue-induced reinstatement in rats. Rat training and testing procedures took place in eight operant chambers (MED Associates, St. Albans, VT), enclosed in ventilated sound-attenuating cubicles. The chambers were equipped with a response lever on each side panel of the chamber. Responses at the lever activated a syringe pump that delivered a 30 μl drop of fluid into a liquid receptacle, situated next to the levers (delivery time, 1 s). A stimulus light was available above the right response lever, with a loudspeaker at the top left-hand side of the self-administration chamber to provide the contingent presentation of conditioned stimuli accompanying each reinforcer delivery (water or ethanol, respectively; see below).

Twenty-four Wistar rats were trained in a fixed-ratio [fixed ratio 1 (FR1)] oral ethanol (10% v/v) self-administration protocol using a saccharin fading procedure, as described by Bachteler et al. (2005). Each session lasted 30 min, with five daily sessions per week. Once the self-administration behavior became stable, the conditioning phase was commenced. This phase involved a total of 20 sessions. In 10 of these sessions (signaled by an orange odor, S′), ethanol was available, and each press of the appropriate lever triggered an ethanol delivery accompanied by a 5 s light stimulus (CS′). The alternate novel water lever received the same odor (S′) and pressing the corresponding lever resulted in water delivery accompanied by a 5 s auditory stimulus (“beep,” CS′). During the first 3 d of this conditioning phase, the rats experienced ethanol sessions only. Subsequently, ethanol and water sessions were randomly conducted for a total of 20 sessions. After completion of this conditioning phase, rats were subjected to 12 consecutive extinction sessions (no odors were presented, and levers were available, but no consequences were programmed), which were sufficient to attain our a priori extinction criterion (<10 lever responses per session). According to values observed at the 12th extinction session, the rats were assigned to three different matched groups. In the next 2 d, reinstatement of the operant response was assessed.

For the reinstatement tests, rats were injected with vehicle or GYKI 52466 (4 or 10 mg/kg) 30 min before they were placed into the operant chambers. The sessions were initiated by extension of both levers and presentation of either the ethanol- or water-associated smells. Responses at the corresponding lever were followed by activation of the syringe pump and presentation of the CS′ (stimulus light) in the S′ condition or the CS′ (beep) in the S′ condition, but liquids (alcohol or water) were not made available. One-half of the animals in each group were tested under the S′/CS′ condition on day 1 and under the S′/CS− condition on day 2. For the second half of each group, conditions were reversed.
ADE in rats. Ethanol consumption in a nonoperant free-choice paradigm was evaluated in accordance with the procedure described by Vengeiene et al. (2005). After >40 weeks of access to alcohol, a 3 d stable baseline was established. Then, the alcohol bottles were removed from the cages, leaving the animals with ad libitum access to food and water. Twenty days after ethanol removal, rats were assigned to three matched groups according to their baseline (before deprivation) ethanol consumption, with every rat receiving the first injection of GYKI 52466 (4 or 10 mg/kg) at 7:00 P.M. At day 21, the alcohol bottles were reintroduced, and ethanol and water consumption was measured for 3 additional days, during which all animals received two daily injections (at 7:00 A.M. and at 7:00 P.M.). To assess any possible toxic effect of this treatment, each rat’s body weight was recorded 24 h before the first injection and 12 h after the last injection.

Behavioral characterization of GluR-C knock-out mice. Because this is the first study involving these knock-out mice, locomotion and motor performance, exploration, novelty reactivity, anxiety, and learning/memory abilities were assessed in 30 WT and 34 GluR-C KO mice. More specifically, locomotion was assessed in a square-shaped, white open field (50 × 50 cm and illuminated from above by 25 lux). Mice were placed individually into the arena and monitored for 15 min by a video camera. The resulting data were analyzed using the image-processing system EthoVision 1.96 (Noldus Information Technology, Wageningen, The Netherlands). Additional information about motor abilities was obtained by evaluating mice in the rotorod and the beam balance tests [for details of the protocol used, see Scherbel et al. (1999)] using, in both evaluations, the latency to fall as index of performance. Exploration/novelty reaction was assessed in the novel cage test (counting the number of rearings within the first 5 min after placing the animal into a new standard type II Macrolon cage with a thin layer of bedding material). Anxiety-related behaviors were assessed in the zero maze and the light/dark box test. The zero maze consisted of a gray plastic annular runway (width, 6 cm; outer diameter, 46 cm; 50 cm above ground level). Two opposing 90° sectors were protected by inner and outer walls of gray polyvinyl chloride (height, 10 cm). Animals were placed in one of the protected sectors and observed for 5 min. The maze was illuminated by an intensity of 25 lux. The light/dark box consisted of two plastic chambers, connected by a small tunnel. One of the chambers, measuring 20 × 15 cm, was dark because it was covered by a lid. The other chamber, measuring 30 × 15 cm, was white and illuminated from the top with an intensity of 600 lux. Mice were placed into the dark compartment, and latency to first exit, total number of exits, and time in the light compartment were recorded for 5 min. Finally, memory/learning abilities were evaluated by means of the Morris water maze test. For additional details of the protocols used in this behavioral test battery, see Chourbaji et al. (2004).

Cue-induced reinstatement in GluR-C knock-out mice. Mice were trained and tested in eight operant chambers (TSE Systems, Bad Homburg, Germany), operated with operant behavior system (TSE Systems). Each chamber had two ultrasonic sensors (required force, ≤1 g). When the programmed ratio requirements were met on the active lever, 20 μl of the ethanol solution were delivered into a microreservoir. The delivery was accomplished within a second, and a yellow light located above the microreservoir was turned on during the delivery time.

One week after arrival at the colony room, 13 WT and 13 GluR-C KO mice were trained to self-administer ethanol by using a standard sucrose fading procedure with a schedule of continuous (FR1) reinforcement. In the subsequent 3 weeks, animals were exposed to five weekly self-administration sessions, in which pressing the lever (FR1) resulted in the delivery of 20 μl of a 10% (v/v) ethanol solution and the presentation of a discriminative stimulus (light) during the delivery time (1 s), with every session lasting 30 min, as did all sessions throughout the study. Fifteen extinction sessions were conducted over 3 weeks, which were sufficient in reaching our a priori extinction criterion (≤30% responding of previous baseline responding). Extinction sessions were identical to those of the self-administration phase, except that both levers had no programmed consequences. Two days after the last extinction session, reinstatement was assessed in a single session, initiated by a noncontingent presentation of the conditioned stimulus (light), in which lever presses were not followed by ethanol delivery or by light presentation. In the absence of previous studies assessing cue-induced reinstatement for ethanol in mice (Spanagel and Sanchis-Segura, 2003), the details of this protocol were chosen based on our own pilot studies. ADE in GluR-C knock-out mice. An additional cohort of 26 WT and 32 GluR-C KO mice were trained to drink ethanol in a nonrestricted two-bottle free-choice paradigm in which animals could choose between water and increasing concentrations of ethanol (2, 4, 8, 12, and 16% v/v) (Spanagel et al., 2005). Water (in milliliters per day) and ethanol intake (in grams per kilograms per day) as well as ethanol preference (ethanol consumption/total fluid intake) were calculated. Before assessing ADE, ethanol (16%) consumption was allowed to continue for 14 weeks. After acquiring a stable baseline, baseline to ethanol was withdrawn for 3 weeks. At the end of the 3 week period, access to a 16% ethanol solution was reintroduced, and ethanol intake was measured daily for another 3 d.

Statistics. All data are presented as means ± SEM, and a significance level of p ≤ 0.05 was used throughout this study. Mean comparisons were performed using a Student’s t test for independent samples, one- or two-way ANOVA with a repeated-measures factor, when necessary. Post hoc comparisons were performed using Newman–Keuls test. To prevent a possible effect of baseline differences between group reinstatement, data were transformed to percentages over these baseline values, when necessary.

Results

Cue-induced reinstatement and ADE in rats are reduced by GYKI 52466

After the training procedure, rats exhibited 193.2 ± 15.0 and 38.8 ± 1.9 reinforced and nonreinforced lever presses, respectively, during the last 10 operant ethanol self-administration sessions. The number of operant responses progressively faded across 12 extinction sessions. Thus, lever presses dropped in the 12th extinction session to 3.5 ± 0.6 and 2.7 ± 0.5 for the previously reinforced and nonreinforced lever, respectively. From these data, three comparable groups corresponding to vehicle or two GYKI 52466 doses were formed and, for the subsequent 2 d, the differential ability of the S+/CS+ and S−/CS− to restate operant responding in the previously ethanol- and water-reinforced lever was assessed (Fig. 1A, B, respectively). Thus, the effects of a two-way ANOVA comparing the number of responses of these three groups on the previously ethanol-reinforced lever in each experimental condition (extinction, S+/CS+ and S−/CS−) yielded a main effect of the experimental condition factor (F1,42) = 20.00; p < 0.001) as well as of its interaction with the GYKI 52466 dose (F1,42, 2) = 2.37; p < 0.05). Post hoc comparisons revealed that during the S+/CS+ session, rats treated with both vehicle and the low GYKI 52466 dose exhibited a significantly

Figure 1. Effects of the AMPA receptor antagonist GYKI 52466 on cue-induced reinstatement of ethanol-seeking behavior in Wistar rats (n = 8 per group). Data are shown as mean ± SEM of the reinforced lever presses after the presentation of a composed stimulus, paired previously with ethanol (S+/CS+; A) or water (S−/CS−; B) availability (for details, see Materials and Methods). (*p < 0.01 compared with the last extinction session; †p < 0.01 compared with GYKI 52466 10 mg/kg).
higher number of lever presses on the previously ethanol-paired lever than that observed during both the extinction and S−/CS− sessions. The number of lever presses during the S−/CS− reinstatement test was not different from that observed during the last extinction session, and there were not significant differences among groups. However, when data of the previously water-reinforced lever were analyzed, a two-way ANOVA (GYKI 52466 dose × experimental condition) only showed a significant effect for the experimental condition factor ($F_{(2,42)} = 20.27; p < 0.001$). Mean comparisons based on this effect revealed that, in all groups and during both reinstatement tests (S+/CS+ and S−/CS−), the number of lever presses on the previously water-reinforced lever was significantly higher than that observed during the last extinction session ($p < 0.01$).

Figure 2 depicts the effect of different doses of GYKI 52466 on ethanol consumption after a deprivation period (ADE) in separate groups of rats. A two-way ANOVA (group × day) yielded a significant effect of the group ($F_{(2,21)} = 18.11; p < 0.001$) and the day ($F_{(5,105)} = 32.83; p < 0.001$) factors as well as a significant interaction between them ($F_{(10,105)} = 11.50; p < 0.001$). Newman–Keuls post hoc comparisons revealed that rats treated with the highest dose of GYKI 52466 did not show an increase in ethanol consumption after a deprivation period. Moreover, during the first 2 d after the reintroduction of alcohol, a lower dose of this compound produced a decrease in ethanol drinking that, compared with the effects of a saline injection, reached marginal statistical significance ($p = 0.06$ and $p = 0.08$, respectively). These effects were observed in the absence of any variation in body weight or total fluid consumption.

**Figure 2.** Effects of the AMPA antagonist GYKI 54266 on ADE in Wistar rats ($n = 8$ per group). Data are shown as mean ± SEM ethanol consumption (grams per kilogram per day). Vehicle or GYKI 54266 injections are indicated by arrows (for details, see Materials and Methods) ($^{*}p < 0.01$ compared with corresponding baseline values; $^{*}p < 0.01$ compared with saline control group). BL1, Baseline 1; BL2, baseline 2; BL3, baseline 3.

**General behavioral characterization of GluR-C KO mice**

Figure 3 represents the constructs used in the generation of GluR-C knock-outs and the proof of GluR-C protein absence in the brains of GluR-C knock-out mice by Western blot analysis. GluR-C KO mice did not display any major behavioral abnormalities and they were almost indistinguishable from their corresponding WT controls over a wide range of behavioral indexes assessing exploration, novelty reactivity, anxiety, and learning/memory abilities. However, GluR-C KOs showed a slight reduction in locomotor activity ($t_{(62)} = 8.03; p < 0.01$) and a poorer motor coordination ($t_{(62)} = 2.98, p < 0.05$ and $t_{(62)} = 4.32, p < 0.01$), for rotarod and beam balance test, respectively. A summary of these findings can be found in table 1.

**Figure 3.** Generation of GluR-C knock-out mice. A, Schematic representation of the X-chromosomally localized WT GluR-C (WT), the targeted GluR-Clox (3lox), and the GluR-Clox (1lox) allele after Cre-mediated recombination. Boxes represent exon 11 and the pgk-neo selection cassette. LoxP elements are indicated by black triangles. Filled circles mark the 5′ and 3′ borders of the targeting construct. B, Diagnostic BglII restriction sites are indicated. The position of the 3′ outside probe for genomic Southern blot is indicated by a black bar. Primers routinely used for mouse PCR genotyping are given as P1–P4. B, Southern blot analysis of BglII-digested ES cell DNA. Lanes represent, from left to right, WT ES cell DNA, positive GluR-Clox clone P59K1, a 1:1 mixture of WT and P59K1 DNA, and GluR-Clox ES cell clone 284, obtained after transient transfection of clone P59K1 with Cre recombinase. The probe detected fragments with the expected sizes of 4.95 kb (WT), 2.32 kb (3lox), and 2.24 kb (1lox), as indicated on the left. Note: R1 ES cells are of male origin. Because Gria3 is located on the X-chromosome, there is only one copy of the GluR-C gene present. C, Western blot analysis of WT, GluR-Clox, and GluR-Clox mice. Total brain lysates of 15-d-old male mice were checked for protein expression by a monoclonal antibody directed against the N-terminal portion of GluR-C. The antibody detected a prominent protein band at the expected size of ~105 kDa. In GluR-Clox animals, no full-length or truncated forms of GluR-C could be detected. Size markers are indicated on the left in kilodaltons.

**Possible neuroadaptive changes in GluR-C KO mice**

Hippocampal expression (Fig. 4A) of GluR-A, GluR-B, and GluR-D subunits was similar in GluR-C KO and their respective littermate control WT mice at all developmental stages tested. However, in cerebellum, genetic deletion of GluR-C was accompanied with a reduced expression of all other AMPA receptor subunits, especially in adult animals (Fig. 4B). Immunohistochemical studies revealed no appreciable alterations in the distribution of any AMPA receptor subunit in the hippocampus (supplemental Fig. 1, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) or in the cerebellum (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

**GluR-C KO mice exhibit reduced cue-induced reinstatement and ADE**

A two-way ANOVA (genotype × day) revealed that, across the last 10 sessions of operant ethanol self-administration, WT and GluR-C knock-out mice displayed a similar number of reinforced lever presses. Thus, a significant effect of the day factor was observed ($F_{(9,234)} = 2.78; p < 0.01$), whereas the group factor or its interaction with the days did not reach statistical significance.
In the present study, we show that the administration of the AMPA receptor antagonist GYKI 52466 dose-dependently reduces ethanol-seeking behavior as assessed in the reinstatement paradigm and suppresses the occurrence of an ADE. Furthermore, our second set of data revealed that genetic deletion of GluR-C resulted in an identical phenotype. Importantly, in addition to a slight hypolocomotor response in the open field in GluR-C KO mice, these results were observed in the absence of any apparent major physiological or behavioral (including baseline ethanol consumption) abnormality. Therefore, our results suggest that AMPA receptors containing the GluR-C subunit display a prominent role in ethanol-seeking and relapse behavior and that the effects of GYKI 52466 might be related to its inhibitory effects on AMPA receptors containing the GluR-C subunit.

The ability of GYKI 52466 to reduce ethanol-seeking behavior seems to be in agreement with previous studies concerning cocaine- (Cornish and Kalivas, 2000) as well as ethanol-seeking behavior, using the nonspecific AMPA/kainate antagonist CNQX (Bäckstrom and Hyytiä, 2004). Thus, the findings of the present study provide additional support regarding the proposed involvement of this glutamate receptor subtype in drug craving and relapse. Interestingly, this seems to be a quite specific effect, because it is known that similar doses of GYKI 52466 do not affect operant ethanol self-administration (Stephens and Brown, 1999), therefore arguing against a major involvement of AMPA receptors in the primary reinforcing effects of alcohol. At present, it is unclear how AMPA receptor antagonism blocks alcohol seeking and relapse, because this mechanism seems to be independent of the reinforcing value of ethanol. Moreover, the fact that the effects of GYKI 52466 were observed, when administered just before and during the relapse episode, suggests that AMPA receptor antagonism is involved in the expression rather than in the induction of the putative neuroadaptive relapse-inducing changes produced by alcohol and/or alcohol cues exposure. This proposal seems to be in agreement with previous reports that showed GYKI 52466 administration blocks the expression of the neuroadaptive changes underlying ethanol-induced locomotor sensitization (Broadbent et al., 2003).

In recent years, it has been suggested that a specific AMPA receptor subunit composition provides a fine-tuning in neuroadaptive processes derived from chronic drug exposure, including those that presumably control craving and relapse behavior (Lu et al., 2003; Tang et al., 2004; Yulferov et al., 2005). However, the contribution of different AMPA receptor subunits in this respect is less clear. Mead and Stephens (2003a,b) observed that GluR-A and GluR-B knock-out mice display very specific deficits in stimulus-reward learning and advanced the hypothesis that altered expression of GluR-A or GluR-B subunits should influence specific aspects of cue-induced drug responses. More specifically, these authors proposed that genetic GluR-A or GluR-B deletions should influence cue-induced behavior in a way similar to that of lateral and central amygdala lesions, respectively. Unexpectedly, this proposal has not been confirmed, and the few published studies involving GluR-A or GluR-B knock-out mice have not been able to establish a clear role for these AMPA receptor subunits. Thus, GluR-A overexpression in the shell of the nucleus accumbens was found not to influence cue-induced cocaine relapsing behavior (Sutton et al., 2003), and it is also known that the

---

**Table 1. Behavioral characterization of GluR-C KO and their respective littermate control mice**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Wild type</th>
<th>GluR-C KO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Novel cage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rears/5 min</td>
<td>48.08 ± 2.17</td>
<td>46.76 ± 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open field behavior</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Locomotion (cm/15 min)</td>
<td>7013.32 ± 311.73</td>
<td>5198.30 ± 266.15*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in center (%)</td>
<td>8.10 ± 1.11</td>
<td>6.83 ± 1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance to wall (cm)</td>
<td>4.60 ± 0.17</td>
<td>4.50 ± 0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotarod performance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency-to-fall first trial block (s)</td>
<td>126.72 ± 18.22</td>
<td>72.51 ± 12.55**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency-to-fall 10th trial block (s)</td>
<td>255.30 ± 21.68</td>
<td>234.50 ± 32.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balance beam test</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency-to-fall (s)</td>
<td>25.67 ± 3.29</td>
<td>16.01 ± 3.52*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Light/dark box</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency first exit (s)</td>
<td>181.50 ± 35.44</td>
<td>150.58 ± 35.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total exits</td>
<td>3.50 ± 0.91</td>
<td>3.82 ± 1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time light compartment (s)</td>
<td>191.00 ± 35.94</td>
<td>187.41 ± 35.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>O-maze</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latency first exit (s)</td>
<td>119.67 ± 29.41</td>
<td>151.93 ± 22.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total exits</td>
<td>5.16 ± 1.04</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time in open arms (s)</td>
<td>29.41 ± 3.74</td>
<td>21.56 ± 4.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crosses</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.85</td>
<td>1.88 ± 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morris Water maze</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First acquisition trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (s)</td>
<td>95.37 ± 10.7</td>
<td>90.875 ± 11.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (cm)</td>
<td>1456.17 ± 183.7</td>
<td>1238.14 ± 134.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last acquisition trial</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration (s)</td>
<td>27.22 ± 3.3</td>
<td>38.71 ± 9.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance (cm)</td>
<td>497.41 ± 63.55</td>
<td>557.36 ± 167.69</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as means ± SEM. For details, see Materials and Methods. Genotype-based comparisons were performed using Student’s t test for independent samples (*p < 0.05; **p < 0.01).
alcohol deprivation effect in GluR-A knock-out mice is not different from that of wild-type controls (Cowen et al., 2003). Moreover, conflicting findings regarding cocaine-induced place preference in GluR-A knock-out mice have been reported previously (Dong et al., 2004; Mead et al., 2005). The role of the GluR-B subunit in this regard has been less studied thus far, in part because of the multiple behavioral abnormalities displayed by GluR-B knock-out mice (Gerlai et al., 1998; Mead and Stephens, 2003b); however, they also show normal cocaine-induced, but not food-induced, place preference (Mead et al., 2005). Therefore, although there is an increasing interest in the possible role of AMPA receptor subunit composition in drug-seeking behavior (Self and Choi, 2004), this genotype-phenotype correlation remains elusive and, indeed, similar consequences in relapsing behavior have been hypothesized after the genetic deletion of different AMPA receptor subunits (Mead and Stephens, 2003a,b).

Considering the abovementioned conflicting evidence and the fact that ethanol administration produces long-lasting adaptive changes in GluR-C expression (Brückner et al., 1997; Dettmer et al., 2003), we focused on the role of this AMPA receptor subunit with regard to ethanol-seeking and relapse behavior. By the use of two separate cohorts of mice and by means of two different animal models, namely the cue-induced reinstatement and ADE paradigms, we could show that the GluR-C subunit is involved in alcohol seeking and relapse. Thus, although ethanol consumption in those animals was not different from that observed in littermate controls, alcohol-seeking behavior after active extinction of the self-administration response was markedly blunted in GluR-C knock-out mice, and resumption of alcohol self-administration after a deprivation period was reduced in these animals. Therefore, our results seem to point out an important role for GluR-C in alcohol seeking and relapse-like behavior.

However, as in the case of GluR-A and GluR-B knock-out mice (Mead and Stephens, 2003a,b), the observation of adaptive changes in the expression of other AMPA receptor subunits provides an alternative interpretation to our findings. Thus, at least in areas with a constitutively high proportion of GluR-C-containing AMPA receptors (i.e., cerebellum), the deletion of this subunit leads to reduced expression of GluR-A, GluR-B, and GluR-D. Such a general downregulation should thus result in a reduction in the total number of available AMPA receptors and might lead to potential phenotypic consequences. Indeed, we observed that the general decrease in the expression of all AMPA subunits in the cerebellum was accompanied with an altered motor performance on the rotorod and on the beam balance tests. Conversely, in the hippocampus (with low levels of constitutive GluR-C expression), GluR-A, GluR-B, and GluR-D subunit expression was normal, and performance in a hippocampus-dependent task (Morris water maze) was unaltered. Therefore, the striking parallelism between the phenotype of GluR-C knock-out mice and the effects of GYKI 54266 in ethanol relapse-like behavior could arise from a specific role of this protein or could be secondary to a general reduction in the number of available AMPA receptors in some brain areas. Unfortunately, in the absence of specific inhibitors for GluR-C containing AMPA receptors or a precise knowledge of the neuroanatomical bases for phenomena such as cue-induced reinstatement or ADE, this question cannot yet be solved.

In summary, the results of the present study highlight an important role for the AMPA receptor in alcohol-seeking and relapse-like behavior. The specific involvement of GluR-C regarding those effects cannot be fully delineated at present. In addition, the fact that its deletion results in adaptive changes in the expression of other AMPA subunits, at least in some brain regions, allows for an alternative mechanism based on a site-specific reduction in the number of available AMPA receptors.
Thus, at least from a therapeutic perspective, the data obtained in this investigation points in the direction with respect to the suitability of addressing pharmacological interventions at AMPA receptors in reducing craving and relapse in alcoholic and probably other drug-addicted patients. Additional studies ought to be undertaken to determine, if the therapeutic use of AMPA antagonists can be further refined, by targeting specific AMPA receptor subunits, defined according to their particular subunit composition.
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