Recent data from animal studies raise the possibility that dopaminergic neuromodulation promotes the encoding of novel stimuli. We investigated a possible role for the dopaminergic midbrain in human episodic memory by measuring how polymorphisms in dopamine clearance pathways affect encoding-related brain activity (functional magnetic resonance imaging) in an episodic memory task. In 51 young, healthy adults, successful episodic encoding was associated with activation of the substantia nigra. This midbrain activation was modulated by a functional variable number of tandem repeat (VNTR) polymorphism in the dopamine transporter (DAT1) gene. Despite no differences in memory performance between genotype groups, carriers of the (low expressing) 9-repeat allele of the DAT1 VNTR showed relatively higher midbrain activation when compared with subjects homozygous for the 10-repeat allele, who express DAT1 at higher levels. The catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) Val108/158Met polymorphism, which is known to modulate enzyme activity, affected encoding-related activity in the right prefrontal cortex (PFC) and in occipital brain regions but not in the midbrain. Moreover, subjects homozygous for the (low activity) Met allele showed stronger functional coupling between the PFC and the hippocampus during encoding. Our finding that genetic variations in the dopamine clearance pathways affect encoding-related activation patterns in midbrain and PFC provides strong support for a role of dopaminergic neuromodulation in human episodic memory formation. It also supports the hypothesis of anatomically and functionally distinct roles for DAT1 and COMT in dopamine metabolism, with DAT1 modulating rapid, phasic midbrain activity and COMT being particularly involved in prefrontal dopamine clearance.
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3’ region of the DAT1 gene has been shown to affect DAT1 expression in vitro (Fuke et al., 2001; Mill et al., 2002) and in vivo (Heinz et al., 2000), with the 9-repeat allele showing lower DAT1 expression than the more frequent 10-repeat allele.

Catechol-O-methyl transferase (COMT) is important for dopamine degradation in the PFC, in which DAT1 expression is sparse (Karoum et al., 1994; Matsumoto et al., 2003). A single-nucleotide polymorphism leads to a valine to methionine substitution in the COMT protein (soluble form, position 108; membrane-bound form, position 158; Val108/158Met), resulting in threefold to fourfold lower enzymatic activity (Lotta et al., 1995). COMT Val108/158Met has been associated with frontal lobe function and risk for schizophrenia, with the Met allele conferring an advantage in several cognitive tasks (Egan et al., 2001). The Met allele has also been associated with superior performance in recall-based episodic memory tasks (de Frias et al., 2004).

Here we investigated the effects of DAT1 VNTR and COMT Val108/158Met on brain activity during episodic encoding using event-related fMRI. Given the anatomically dissociable roles of DAT1 and COMT in dopamine clearance, we hypothesized that DAT1 and COMT polymorphism might exert regionally specific influences on midbrain and prefrontal activations related to episodic encoding.

Materials and Methods

Participants. Fifty-one young (age range, 18–31 years), right-handed native speakers of German (35 female) were recruited for paid participation in the study. All were checked for MRI contraindications and gave written informed consent to participate. The study was approved by the local ethics committee. Subjects underwent routine clinical interview for history of neurological or psychiatric illnesses. Any present or past neurological or psychiatric disorders or the use of any centrally acting drugs was a contraindication for participation. All subjects had normal T1-weighted anatomical MRIs.

Genotyping. Genomic DNA was extracted from venous blood samples of 49 participants, using the GenElute DNA extraction kit (Sigma, St. Louis, MO). Genotyping of DNA fragments containing the DAT1 VNTR (gene locus SLC6A3 on human chromosome 5p15) and the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism (human chromosome 22q1; GenBank accession number Z26491) was performed using standard PCR methods (for detailed protocols, see supplemental data, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Genotyping was also performed for a variety of additional polymorphisms (see below), to control for confounding effects of other polymorphisms and to reduce the risk of population stratification.

Paradigm. To investigate the correlates of successful memory formation, brain activity patterns during encoding of visually presented words were compared as a function of later remembering and forgetting (Wagner et al., 1998; Otten et al., 2002; Schott et al., 2004). The paradigm used in the present study has been shown to reliably elicit encoding-related activations of dopaminergic midbrain structures (Schott et al., 2004).

Participants studied words at two levels of processing (LOP) (deep vs shallow study processing). The experiment consisted of three sessions, each comprising three study phases with a deep study task and three study phases with a shallow study task. In the deep study task, participants were instructed to judge whether a word was pleasant or unpleasant and to respond with the index finger of one hand for pleasant and with the index finger of the other hand for unpleasant words. In the shallow study task, they were instructed to judge whether a word had exactly two syllables and to respond with one index finger for two syllables and with the other index finger for any other number of syllables. Response hands were counterbalanced across participants.

Twenty German words were presented per study list. Trials consisted of a central fixation cross for 500 ms, a word presented for 1000 ms, and an additional fixation cross for 1250 ms. Study lists were followed by a distracter task (four moderately difficult arithmetic operations; subjects were asked to judge whether the result was correct and respond via button press). After the distracter task, a cue (“Please speak”) prompted participants to a 90-s free-recall phase, in which they orally recalled all studied words they could remember. Oral responses were recorded by a microphone placed at the bottom of the head coil and scored off-line. The session structure and trial timings are displayed in supplemental Figure 1 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

Functional MRI scanning. All MR images were acquired on a GE 1.5 T Signa Neurovascular system (General Electric Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI) using a standard quadrature head coil. Three sessions of 544 echo-planar T2*-weighted MR images [repetition time (TR), 2.0 s; echo time (TE), 35 ms; 23 axial slices (64 × 64); voxel size, 3.13 × 3.13 × 6 mm (5 mm slice thickness, 1 mm gap)] were acquired in an interleaved manner (1–23 steps in steps of 2, 2–22 in steps of 2, from bottom to top). The first four volumes of each session were discarded.

Data processing and analysis. Data analysis was performed using Statistical Parametric Mapping (SPM2; Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK). Echo planar images were acquired corrected, realigned, normalized (voxel size, 3 × 3 × 3 mm) and smoothed (Gaussian kernel, 8 × 8 × 8 mm). A high-pass filter of 128 s was applied to the data. Statistical analysis was performed in a two-stage mixed-effects model. In the first stage, neural activity was modeled by a delta function at stimulus onset. The blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) response was modeled by convolving these delta functions with a canonical hemodynamic response function (HRF). The resulting time courses were deconvolved for each scan to form covariates in a general linear model. Covariates were modeled for the conditions of interest (deep hits, deep misses, shallow hits, and shallow misses), plus one for the speech events (overt responses in free recall), one for each of the six rigid-body movement parameters determined from realignment, one for the distracter task (20 s epoch), and a single constant representing the mean over scans. Parameters for each covariate were estimated by an ordinary least-squares fit. Second-level random effects analyses were computed over the single subjects’ contrasts. To specifically investigate mechanisms related to level of processing and successful encoding, respectively, difference contrasts (t statistics for deep vs shallow processing and remembered vs forgotten, respectively) were used for all second-level comparisons. To assess overall effects of LOP and subsequent memory performance, contrast images [LOP contrast: (deep hits + deep misses) vs (shallow hits + shallow misses); subsequent memory contrast: (deep hits + shallow hits) vs (deep misses + shallow misses)] were entered into one-sample t tests. The significance level for the overall group analyses was set to 0.05 (whole-volume corrected), with an extent threshold of 10 adjacent voxels.

To investigate memory-related brain activity differences as a function of DAT1 genotype, carriers of the 9-repeat allele (n = 17; seven 9/9, ten 9/10) were matched for age, sex, and years of education with 10-repeat homozygous subjects (n = 20) (for demographic data, see Table 1, left). To investigate between-group effects of COMT genotype, subsequent memory contrasts of Val/Val (n = 17) subjects and Met/Met subjects (n = 15) (Table 1, right) were compared.

Because of our a priori hypothesis that DAT1 and COMT genotype would affect encoding-related activations in the midbrain, separate region of interest (ROI) analyses were performed for the left and right midbrain, respectively. The left and right substantia nigra was segmented manually from the normalized and averaged magnetization transfer (MT) (see below) image (see Fig. 2A), using the MRicro image analysis software tool (http://www.sph.sc.edu/comd/roden/micro.html). Using the Marsbar ROI analysis tool (Brett et al., 2002), two-sample t tests (DAT1, 9-repeat carriers vs 10-homozygous subjects; COMT, Val/Val vs Met/Met) were computed over the mean midbrain contrast values in the subsequent memory contrast (subsequently remembered vs subsequently forgotten items). The significance threshold was set to p < 0.05 (partial volume corrected).

To investigate the effects of DAT1 genotype on brain activations outside the midbrain, the subsequent memory contrast was also submitted to voxelwise two-sample t tests, with 9-repeat allele carriage as group-defining factor. Similarly, voxelwise two-sample t tests were used to com-
pare brain activations outside the midbrain between COMT Val/Val homozygous subjects and COMT Met/Met homozygous subjects. The significance level for voxelwise between-group statistics was set to 0.005 (uncorrected), with a minimum of 10 adjacent voxels. Because we hypothesized a gene–dose effect of the COMT Val10/158Met polymorphism on memory-related brain activations, we also performed a regression analysis on the subsequent memory contrasts, with the number of Met alleles (0, 1, or 2) as independent variable.

To verify the reliability of the between-group effects for the DAT1 VNTR and the COMT Val10/158Met polymorphism, the SPM betas of the local maxima (recalled vs forgotten) were submitted to bootstrap resampling (Efron and Tibshirani, 1993). Specifically, the 95% confidence intervals of the subsequent memory contrasts were estimated for both groups (DAT1, 10/10 vs 9-carriers and COMT, Val/Val vs Met/Met, respectively) using the percentile t method (10,000 iterations for interval estimation; 200 iterations for variance estimation). Local maxima of activation with nonoverlapping 95% confidence intervals in at least one study condition (deep or shallow) were considered reliable.

Functional connectivity analysis. To investigate the effects of the DAT1 VNTR and the COMT Val10/158Met polymorphism on the functional coupling between hippocampus and the prefrontal cortex, we used a modified version of the psychophysiological interactions (PPI) approach (Friston et al., 1997; Gritelman et al., 2003; Das et al., 2005) for a similar use of PPI in genetic imaging, see Heinz et al., 2005). PPI analysis captures the functional coupling between different brain regions in relation to a psychological variable (in our study, successful vs unsuccessful encoding of study items). Because of its predominant role in the episodic memory system (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, IL).

### Table. 1. Demographic information and behavioral data of DAT1 and COMT genotype groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DAT1 VNTR</th>
<th>COMT Val10/158Met</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10/10</td>
<td>9/9; 9/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>22.1 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M/F</td>
<td>5/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Years of education</td>
<td>15.9 ± 2.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep hits</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT (deep hits)</td>
<td>1409 ± 262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep misses</td>
<td>0.62 ± 0.102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT (deep misses)</td>
<td>1431 ± 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow hits</td>
<td>0.27 ± 0.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT (shallow hits)</td>
<td>1386 ± 271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shallow misses</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RT (shallow misses)</td>
<td>1364 ± 269</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relative proportions of event types are given; differences to 1.0 result from unscorable items (e.g., ambiguous overt responses).

### Protein preparation and Western blotting

Protein preparation and Western blotting were essentially performed as described previously (Seidenbecher et al., 2002), with minor modifications. Briefly, human brain tissue from the hippocampus (including dentate gyrus and CA1 and CA3 regions) and from the striatum was homogenized in TBS containing 1% Triton X-100 and a protease inhibitor cocktail (Complete, Boehringer Mannheim, Mannheim, Germany). Homogenized protein probes were treated with a solubilizer containing SDS and mercaptoethanol. Proteins were separated by SDS-PAGE on 5–20% gels under fully reducing conditions, and transferred onto nitrocellulose was performed according to standard protocols. Western blots were immunodeveloped by overnight incubation with the primary antibody also used for histochemistry and processed using the enhanced chemiluminescense detection system (Amersham Biosciences, Arlington Heights, IL).
Results
Genotyping
Blood samples for genotyping were available for 49 of our 51 participants. Within this group, 17 carriers of the DAT1 9-repeat allele were identified (seven 9/9, ten 9/10), which is within the expected range in a Caucasian population with allele frequencies of 0.72 for the 10-repeat allele and 0.27 for the 9-repeat allele (Doucette-Stamm et al., 1995) (χ² = 4.232; p = 0.121). The 9-repeat carriers were matched for age, gender, and years of education with 20 subjects homozygous for the 10-repeat allele. For the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism, 17 subjects were Val homozygous, 15 subjects were Met homozygous, and the remaining 17 subjects were heterozygous. This distribution is within the expected range for a Caucasian population with approximately equal distribution of Val and Met alleles (DeMille et al., 2002) (χ² = 2.404; p = 0.301). Detailed demographic data are displayed in Table 1. There was no significant difference in the distribution of COMT Val108/158Met genotypes between the DAT1 genotype groups (χ² = 2.817; p = 0.244).

To further control for effects of population stratification, genotyping was also performed for the dopamine receptor D₂ TaqIA restriction fragment length polymorphism (human chromosome 11q23) and for the dopamine receptor D₃ Ser9Gly polymorphism on human chromosome 3q13 in 49 subjects, as well as for the monoamine oxidase A promoter VNTR (human chromosome Xp11), the monoamine oxidase B intron 13 polymorphism (human chromosome Xp11), the brain-derived neurotrophic factor Val66Met polymorphism (human chromosome 11p13), the serotonin transporter (SLC6A4) fragment length polymorphism (human chromosome 17q11), and the endothelial nitric oxide synthase Glu298Asp polymorphism (human chromosome 7q36) in 45 subjects (details available on request). Allelic distributions for these polymorphisms did not differ significantly for either DAT1 10-homozygous subjects and 9-repeat carriers or for COMT Val/Val and Met/Met subjects, thus making genetic inhomogeneity of the tested population unlikely.

Behavioral results
The average percentages of recalled items in the deep and shallow study condition were similar for DAT1 10-repeat homozygous subjects and 9-repeat carriers (Table 1, left) and for COMT Val/ Val, Val/Met, and Met/Met carriers (Table 1, right). Regardless of DAT1 or COMT genotype, LOP (deep vs shallow study task) had a strong effect on subsequent remembering of study items (interaction deep vs shallow processing × subsequent remembering vs forgetting, F(1,46) = 83.6; p < 0.001, two-way ANOVA for repeated measures with COMT genotype as between-subjects factor). The DAT1 genotype did not affect either subsequent recall or genotypes (all p > 0.167) (Table 1).

Functional MRI results
Across the entire study cohort, characteristic brain activity patterns were observed for both LOP and subsequent memory contrasts. In the between-group comparisons, these brain activity patterns showed specific differences as a function of DAT1 and COMT genotypes, which will be described in detail below.

LOP effects on brain activity
Regardless of subsequent recall, areas activated more strongly in the deep than the shallow study condition were the bilateral med-
dial frontal cortex [Brodmann area (BA) 6], the left inferior frontal gyrus (BA 45), the left amygdala, the left angular gyrus (BA 39), the left inferior and middle temporal gyrus (BA 21), and the bilateral superior temporal gyrus (BA 38) (Fig. 1A).

Brain activity correlates of subsequent recall
In our sample of 51 healthy adults, we observed that, during successful episodic memory formation, midbrain activations accompanied a fronto-parieto-occipital and limbic network activation, in line with previous observations in a smaller sample (Schott et al., 2004). Regardless of LOP, areas activated more strongly by subsequently recalled than forgotten words included the left dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (BA 45), the bilateral hippocampus and perirhinal cortices, bilateral caudate and nucleus accumbens, and parieto-occipital cortex, including primary and secondary visual areas (BA 17 and BA 18), as well as the ventral midbrain encompassing the medial substantia nigra and the ventral tegmental area (Fig. 1B). An ROI analysis of the segmented substantia nigra revealed a robust encoding-related activation of both the left and right midbrain (left, \( T = 5.26, p < 0.0001 \); right, \( T = 4.88, p < 0.0001 \); small-volume corrections applied).

Effects of DAT1 VNTR genotype on memory-related brain activity
For the investigation of genotype effects on level of processing (deep vs shallow study), we conducted a between-group comparison of the LOP contrasts for DAT1 10-homozygous subjects and 9-repeat carriers. Voxelwise \( t \) test statistics revealed no reliable effects of DAT1 genotype on LOP for either 9-repeat carriers or 10-repeat homozygous subjects.

To investigate the effects of DAT1 genotype on brain activation patterns related to successful memory formation, we compared fMRI contrasts of 10-repeat homozygous subjects of the DAT1 VNTR with those of subjects carrying at least one 9-repeat allele. Compared with 10-repeat homozygous subjects, 9-repeat carriers showed relatively higher activation of the dopaminergic midbrain. The ROI analysis revealed that, compared with 10/10 subjects, 9-repeat carriers exhibited higher activation of the right midbrain/substantia nigra as a function of subsequent recall (subsequently recalled vs forgotten words) (Fig. 2B, left). This effect was significant after partial volume correction for multiple comparisons (\( T = 2.53; p = 0.016 \)). The voxelwise \( t \) statistic revealed that the difference in midbrain activation was most prominent over the anterior medial midbrain (Fig. 3A). Additionally, 9-repeat carriers showed relatively higher memory-related activation of the anterior cingulate (Fig. 3B, left) and parts of the basal forebrain, including the ventral striatum, the inferior medial prefrontal cortex, and the subgenual portion of the anterior cingulate (Fig. 3B, right), regions that can exert a net excitatory effect on midbrain dopaminergic neurons (Lisman and Otamakhova, 2001). These findings were reliable, as estimated by bootstrap resampling, with nonoverlapping 95% confidence intervals of the SPM betas in at least one study condition. Table 2 summarizes the clusters of activation with significantly higher activations in carriers of the 9-repeat allele.

Effects of COMT Val108/158Met genotype on memory-related brain activity
To assess the effects of COMT Val108/158Met genotype on the level of study processing, voxelwise \( t \) statistics were computed for the LOP contrasts for Val/Val and Met/Met subjects. Compared with Met homozygous subjects, Val homozygous subjects showed increased activation of the right prefrontal cortex and of the left fusiform gyrus during deep versus shallow processing. Met homozygous subjects showed increased activation of the posterior cingulate relative to Val/Val carriers during deep versus shallow processing. Supplemental Figure 2 (available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) displays typical activation differences for the LOP contrast.

To investigate effects of the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism on brain activity during successful episodic encoding, the subsequent memory contrasts of COMT Val homozygous and Met homozygous participants were compared. In contrast to the DAT1 VNTR, the COMT Val108/158Met genotype was not associated with encoding-related brain activity differences in the midbrain/substantia nigra. The ROI analyses revealed no significant activation differences in either left or right midbrain/substantia nigra between COMT108/158Val and Met homozygous subjects as a function of subsequent recall performance (Fig. 2B, right). However, cortical activation patterns showed robust differences between the two groups (Fig. 4). Compared with Met/ Met subjects, Val/Val subjects showed increased memory-related activations of the right prefrontal cortex (BA 8 and BA 9) (Fig. 4A) and the left fusiform gyrus (BA 19), comparable with the LOP-related activation difference in these regions and similar to right prefrontal activation differences observed in a working memory task (Egan et al., 2001). COMT Val homozygous subjects also showed relatively higher activations in portions of the medial occipital cortex that extended into the primary visual cortex (cuneus; BA 17 and BA 18) (Fig. 4B). The prefrontal and medial occipital activation differences were reliable, as estimated by bootstrap resampling (see above). In the medial occipital cortex, however, 95% confidence intervals of the mean activations showed considerable overlap in the deep study condition, suggesting that the latter difference might primarily be confined to shallow (i.e., perceptual) encoding. A complete list of memory-
related activation differences between Val/Val and Met/Met subjects is displayed in Table 3.

To exclude a possible confound attributable to the unequal gender distribution in the Val/Val and Met/Met groups, subgroups were selected for age match (eight females and four males from each group; average age, 22.7 years in the Val/Val and 22.4 years in the Met/Met subgroup), and the SPM contrasts (hits–misses) of these subgroups were submitted to a voxelwise two-sample t test (p < 0.005, uncorrected; minimum of 10 adjacent voxels). Like in the entire cohort, the subgroup of Val/Val subjects showed higher activations of the right prefrontal ([x, y, z] = [33, 18, 21]) and medial occipital ([x, y, z] = [9, –87, 12]) cortices compared with the Met/Met subgroup.

Voxelwise regression analysis revealed that COMT Val108/158Met heterozygous subjects (n = 17) showed intermediate activation levels in right prefrontal cortex ([x, y, z] = [30, 18, 21]; T = 3.69; p < 0.001) and in the bilateral medial occipital cortex ([x, y, z] = [9, –87, 12]; T = 4.94; p < 0.001).

Effects of DAT1 and COMT genotypes on functional coupling of the hippocampus and prefrontal cortex

As reported in previous studies, genetic polymorphisms can affect not only differences in overall brain activation but also the functional connectivity between different brain regions (Heinz et al., 2005; Pezawas et al., 2005). Here we used a psychophysiological interaction approach (Friston et al., 1997; Gitelman et al., 2003) to investigate a possible influence of DAT1 and COMT polymorphisms on memory-related functional coupling between the hippocampus and the prefrontal cortex. Because of our a priori knowledge of the involvement of prefrontal cortex in successful verbal episodic memory encoding (Fig. 1B) and guided by the results of our t statistic-based comparisons of genotype groups (Figs. 3, 4), we restricted our PPI analysis to the functional coupling of the left hippocampus and structures within the frontal lobes.

Regardless of genotype, successful encoding of words was associated with increased functional coupling between the left hippocampus and the unilateral dorsal prefrontal cortex and the left orbitofrontal cortex (data not shown). A voxelwise two-sample t test comparison of the PPI contrasts of DAT1 9-repeat carriers and 10-homozygous subjects revealed that the DAT1 VNTR affected coupling of the left hippocampus with inferior portions of the right and left orbitofrontal cortex and the left basal forebrain ([x, y, z] = [–150, 0, –9]; T = 3.31), with 9-repeat carriers showing relatively higher functional coupling of the hippocampus with these brain regions.

A t test-based comparison of the PPI contrasts for COMT Val/Val and Met/Met subjects showed that COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism was strongly associated with functional coupling of the hippocampus and the bilateral prefrontal cortex during successful encoding (Fig. 5). Notably, when compared with Val/Val individuals, Met/Met homozygous subjects showed relatively increased functional coupling of the hippocampus and the left and right PFC, albeit exhibiting relatively lower encoding-related activity in the right PFC. This association of the Met allele with higher functional coupling between the hippocampus and the PFC remained significant in the comparison of the gender-matched subgroups ([x, y, z] = [–39, 39, 9]; T = 3.85). Regression analysis showed that heterozygous subjects showed an inter-

### Table 2. Regions showing activation differences as a function of DAT1 VNTR genotype

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>T</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9 repeat &gt; 10 repeat</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right anterior cingulate, BA 32*</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right basal forebrain*</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>–9</td>
<td>4.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left anterior cingulate, BA 32*</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>–6</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left subcallosal gyrus, BA 11*</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>3.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right midbrain, substantia nigra</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>–15</td>
<td>–15</td>
<td>3.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left caudate*</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left insula, BA 13*</td>
<td>–27</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>4.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right postcentral gyrus, BA 2</td>
<td>–36</td>
<td>–33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parahippocampal gyrus, BA 36</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>–42</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parahippocampal gyrus, BA 19</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>–54</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>3.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left fusiform gyrus, BA 37</td>
<td>–33</td>
<td>–51</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>3.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left parietal lobe*</td>
<td>–33</td>
<td>–57</td>
<td>–12</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right parietal lobe*</td>
<td>–21</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right cerebellum*</td>
<td>–27</td>
<td>–54</td>
<td>–18</td>
<td>3.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No voxels survive extent threshold, k = 10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local maxima are given in MNI coordinates. Regions marked with an asterisk show reliable activation differences, as estimated by bootstrap resampling of the SPM betas.
Although expression of the human dopamine transporter has been reported at high levels in the striatum and nucleus accum-bens and at low levels in the prefrontal cortex (Ciliax et al., 1999), no data have, thus far, been available on hippocampal dopamine transporter expression in humans. To better understand the selective distribution of the encoding-related hemodynamic effects of the DAT1 polymorphisms, we therefore investigated the expression of DAT1 in the human hippocampus using immunohistochemistry and Western blotting. Histochemical results showed that DAT1 immunoreactivity was widely, but unevenly, distributed in the human CNS. In the hippocampus, a fine network of DAT1-immunoreactive fibers was found in the CA3 and CA4 regions (Fig. 6A). The dentate gyrus showed a more punctate localization of dopamine transporter immunoreactivity. A small number of neurons located in the subiculum were surrounded by DAT1-immunoreactive material (Fig. 6B). No detectable levels of DAT1 immunoreactivity, however, were observed in the CA1 region of the hippocampus. To verify the validity of these findings, DAT1 immunoreactivity was also assessed in the putamen and caudate nucleus, revealing dense patterns of DAT1-immunoreactive fibers (supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). DAT1 immunoreactivity was also observed within the substantia nigra, replicating previous observations (Ciliax et al., 1999). Control sections with antibody-free rabbit serum replacing the primary antibody lacked any immunostaining (supplemental Fig. 3, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). Western blotting using the same primary antibody as in the histochemical investigations revealed the expression of a DAT1-immunoreactive protein of ~85 kDa in the human striatum and, to a lesser extent, also in the human hippocampus (Fig. 6C).

**Discussion**

Our findings that genetic variations in the dopamine clearance pathways affect encoding-related activation patterns in midbrain and prefrontal cortex provide support for our hypothesis that dopaminergic transmission is involved in episodic memory encoding.

The DAT1 VNTR affected memory-related activation of the dopaminergic midbrain itself, whereas no comparable effect in the midbrain was observed for the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism. However, cortical activation patterns and fronto-hippocampal coupling varied as a function of COMT genotype. Although our approach did not allow us to directly measure dopamine release, our findings are compatible with animal research and human postmortem studies, which show that DAT1 is abundantly expressed in midbrain and striatum but sparsely in the prefrontal cortex (Sesack et al., 1998), whereas COMT is particularly involved in prefrontal dopamine degradation.

**DAT1 VNTR genotype and memory-related midbrain activation**

The 9-repeat allele of the DAT1 VNTR has been shown previously to be associated with reduced DAT1 gene expression in vitro (Fuke et al., 2001; Mill et al., 2002). In humans, striatal dopamine transporter availability, as measured by single photon emission tomography, was reduced in 9-repeat carriers (Heinz et al., 2000), although the evidence is not entirely conclusive, and one study showed a reverse pattern (Jacobsen et al., 2000).

In our study, the 9-repeat allele was associated with a relatively increased midbrain response during episodic encoding. This observation is somewhat counterintuitive under the assumption that DAT1 9-repeat carriers have a lower striatal dopamine transporter density and presumably higher extracellular dopamine.
levels. Studies in dopamine transporter-deficient mice, however, have shown that lack of dopamine transporters leads to extensive adaptive changes in the dopaminergic system (Jones et al., 1998), including reduced tyrosine hydroxylase levels, higher expression of autoinhibitory presynaptic D2 receptors, and decreased activity-dependent dopamine release. We hypothesize that, in DAT1 9-repeat carriers, lower DAT1 levels might lead to similar adaptation processes. The relatively higher midbrain activation in DAT1 9-repeat carriers versus 10-homozygous subjects was specific for the successful encoding of items, a short, phasic process. We speculate that the average levels of activity-dependent dopamine release might be lower in 9-repeat carriers relative to 10-homozygous individuals. During hippocampal processing of novel items, stimulation of midbrain dopaminergic neurons by cell populations in the basal forebrain or nucleus accumbens, areas that showed higher memory-related activation in 9-repeat carriers, might compensate for this lower average midbrain activity by increased driving of midbrain dopaminergic neurons, thus occasionally leading to similar levels of midbrain activity as in 10-homozygous subjects (see our model in supplemental Fig. 4, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material). If dopamine release indeed promoted hippocampus-dependent encoding processes (Lisman and Grace, 2005), events accompanied by this increased dopaminergic activity might be particularly likely to be encoded into hippocampus-dependent memory. Compatible with this possibility, the hippocampus showed relatively stronger functional coupling with basal forebrain regions in 9-repeat carriers.

DAT1 availability has been positively previously correlated with memory performance (Mozley et al., 2001). In our study, no behavioral effect of DAT1 genotype was observed in our study. It is possible that, in our young, healthy study population, differences in DAT1 availability were primarily compensated on the level of midbrain, maybe by relatively increased stimulation of midbrain neurons by basal forebrain regions. Compatible with our hypothesis on the compensation on the level of midbrain and its afferences, DAT1 genotype did not affect memory-related hippocampal activation, despite the observed DAT1 expression in the human hippocampus (Fig. 6). An effect of the DAT1 VNTR on memory performance might, however, become apparent when testing populations with alterations in prefrontal and hippocampal memory systems, such as elderly subjects or patients with neurological or psychiatric disorders.

Alternatively, the DAT1 VNTR might modulate memory-related midbrain activation by affecting firing of GABAergic neurons of the substantia nigra, pars reticulata. In our view, however, the exclusively presynaptic distribution of DAT1 (Piccini, 2003) makes this possibility less likely.

**Effects of COMT Val108/158Met genotype on memory-related brain activity**

The quantitative contribution of COMT to dopamine degradation is under debate, with several studies suggesting that its role is secondary to that of dopamine reuptake and oxidation by monoamine oxidase A and B (Budygin et al., 1999; Huotari et al., 2002, 2004). These studies have focused on dopamine metabolism in the striatum in which DAT1 expression is abundant. However, in the prefrontal cortex, in which DAT1 is expressed sparsely, COMT appears to play a more important role in the clearance of released dopamine (Karoum et al., 1994; Matsumoto et al., 2003; Tunbridge et al., 2004). Moreover, the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism, which leads to lower enzyme activity in Met carriers, affects midbrain tyrosine hydroxylase levels and dopamine synthesis (Akil et al., 2003) and modulates interactions between the dopaminergic midbrain and the prefrontal cortex (Meyer-Lindenberg et al., 2005). A role for COMT in prefrontal dopamine degradation is compatible with our observation that the COMT Val108/158Met polymorphism, which leads to lower enzyme activity in Met homozygous subjects, did not affect memory-related midbrain activations in our study but modulated prefrontal activation patterns. It should be noted that, in our study, midbrain activity was measured in an event-related manner, possibly representing a correlate of brief, phasic fluctuations in dopamine release, whereas Meyer-Lindenberg et al. (2005) observed a more tonic effect of COMT Val108/158Met on midbrain dopamine metabolism. Such a tonic effect is not ex-
cluded by the lack of influence of COMT genotype on phasic midbrain activations.

Relatively higher prefrontal activity in COMT108/158 Val/Val subjects has been suggested to be a correlate of decreased prefrontal processing efficiency in cognitive tasks such as working memory (Egan et al., 2001). Here we observed increased right prefrontal activation in Val/Val subjects for both higher level of processing (supplemental Fig. 2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material) and more successful encoding (Fig. 4), suggesting that higher prefrontal activations in Val/Val subjects might be a phenomenon common to several cognitive tasks. It might indicate a compensatory mechanism for relatively lower prefrontal dopamine. In line with this notion, Parkinson’s patients show relatively higher right prefrontal activation in working memory tasks compared with controls, and this activation decreases after the patients have received 1-dopa (Cools et al., 2002; Mattay et al., 2002). Unlike in a previous study (de Frias et al., 2004) in a larger population, COMT Val108/158Met did not affect memory performance in our study, possibly attributable to our relatively small sample size or because our young, healthy subjects could recruit additional cognitive resources to compensate for relative dopamine deficiency. Notably, whereas overall activity in right PPC was stronger in Val homozygous subjects, Met homozygous subjects showed stronger functional coupling of the PPC and the hippocampus during successful encoding. This supports the possibility that COMT Val108/158Met might affect prefrontal processing efficiency and thereby facilitate the transfer of information between the PPC and the hippocampus. Such relatively stronger functional coupling between the PPC and the hippocampus might be a neural basis for higher episodic memory performance in Met/Met subjects observed previously (de Frias et al., 2004).

Activations in early visual areas

Compared with subsequently forgotten items, subsequently recalled items elicited higher activity in early visual areas (Fig. 1B). Although a role for the cuneus in memory processes has been reported (Tulving et al., 1999; Addis et al., 2004), the observation that activations in these regions were affected by COMT genotype was surprising. Val/Val subjects exhibited higher medial occipital activations (Fig. 4B) than Met/Met subjects, particularly in the shallow study condition, when subjects were likely to rely more on perceptual features of the study item. Because primary visual cortex has relatively few dopaminergic afferences (Berger et al., 2000), a local dopaminergic effect is unlikely. Therefore, the effect might be indirectly related to altered prefrontal processing (Barcelo et al., 2000; Chudasama and Robbins, 2004), or, alternatively, it might be related to noradrenaline, which is also metabolized by COMT. There is abundant noradrenergic input to early visual areas (Morrison et al., 1982), which has been shown to increase visuocortical signal-to-noise ratio (Si-ciliano et al., 1999). Higher visuocortical activity in Val/Val subjects might thus reflect a compensatory mechanism for a lower signal-to-noise ratio attributable to faster noradrenaline degradation.

Activation differences in absence of performance differences

Similar to previous fMRI studies of genetic polymorphisms (Bookheimer et al., 2000; Egan et al., 2001; Haririi et al., 2002), between-group differences in brain activity were not associated with performance differences between genotype groups, suggesting the presence of compensatory mechanisms in the brain that allow healthy humans to achieve similar levels of performance despite interindividual differences in metabolic processes. Studying these mechanisms might help to further elucidate the interaction of normal genetic variations with physiological (e.g., aging) or pathological (e.g., neuropsychiatric disorders) alterations of brain function, thereby broadening our understanding of the pathogenesis of neuropsychiatric diseases with polygenic etiology.

Conclusions

Our data provide evidence for a functional role of dopaminergic midbrain regions in episodic memory encoding. Additionally, the observation that a genetic variation leading to differences in dopamine transporter expression levels appears to influence memory-related midbrain activity, whereas a genetic polymorphism that affects COMT activity appears primarily affect prefrontal brain processes in episodic memory formation is in line with previous evidence for regionally specific roles of DAT1 and COMT in dopamine clearance.

References


