A Highly Conserved Enhancer in the *Dlx5/Dlx6* Intergenic Region Is the Site of Cross-Regulatory Interactions between *Dlx* Genes in the Embryonic Forebrain

Ted Zerucha,^{1,2} Thorsten Stühmer,³ Gary Hatch,¹ Byung K. Park,¹ Qiaoming Long,¹ Guoying Yu,³ Adrianna Gambarotta,¹ Joshua R. Schultz,⁴ John L. R. Rubenstein,³ and Marc Ekker^{1,2}

¹Loeb Health Research Institute at the Ottawa Hospital and Department of Medicine, and ²Department of Cellular and Molecular Medicine, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada, ³Nina Ireland Laboratory of Developmental Neurobiology, Center for Neurobiology and Psychiatry, Department of Psychiatry and Programs in Neuroscience, Developmental Biology, and Biomedical Sciences, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California 94143-0984, and ⁴Tularik Inc., South San Francisco, California 94080

Four *Dlx* homeobox genes, *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, *Dlx5*, and *Dlx6* are expressed in the same primordia of the mouse forebrain with temporally overlapping patterns. The four genes are organized as two tail-to-tail pairs, *Dlx1/Dlx2* and *Dlx5/Dlx6*, a genomic arrangement conserved in distantly related vertebrates like zebrafish. The *Dlx5/Dlx6* intergenic region contains two sequences of a few hundred base pairs, remarkably well conserved between mouse and zebrafish. Reporter transgenes containing these two sequences are expressed in the forebrain of transgenic mice and zebrafish with patterns highly similar to endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression. The activity of the

transgene is drastically reduced in mouse mutants lacking both *Dlx1* and *Dlx2*, consistent with the decrease in endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression. These results suggest that cross-regulation by *Dlx* proteins, mediated by the intergenic sequences, is essential for *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression in the forebrain. This hypothesis is supported by cotransfection and DNA-protein binding experiments. We propose that the *Dlx* genes are part of a highly conserved developmental pathway that regulates forebrain development.

Key words: diencephalon; evolution; homeobox; mouse; striatum; telencephalon; zebrafish

The *Dlx* family of vertebrate homeobox genes comprises six members in mammals and at least eight in the zebrafish (Stock et al., 1996). Four *Dlx* genes, *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, *Dlx5*, and *Dlx6* are involved in development of the ventral telencephalon and diencephalon of mammals (Porteus et al., 1991; Price et al., 1991; Robinson et al., 1991; Simeone et al., 1994; Liu et al., 1997), and the expression patterns of these four genes, although distinct overall, overlap significantly. Mice lacking either *Dlx1* or *Dlx2* function show normal or nearly normal development of the subcortical telencephalon. However, mice lacking both *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* functions show stronger abnormalities in the development of the striatal subventricular zone, in the differentiation of striatal matrix neurons, and in the migration of neocortical interneurons from the subcortical telencephalon (Anderson et al., 1997a,b).

Interestingly, expression of *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* is reduced in the subventricular zone, but not in the mantle of the double mutants, suggesting that *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2* might be required for the maintenance of *Dlx5/Dlx6* expression in subventricular zone cells. Mice lacking *Dlx5* function show defects in the branchial arches and in epithelium derived from the olfactory and otic placodes, but not in the forebrain (Acampora et al., 1999; Depew et al., 1999). Mutants lacking both *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* functions have yet to be reported.

The zebrafish *dlx1*, *dlx2*, *dlx4*, and *dlx6* genes are the orthologs of the mammalian *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, *Dlx5*, and *Dlx6* genes, respectively (Akimenko et al., 1994; Stock et al., 1996). These four zebrafish genes are also expressed in the ventral forebrain with patterns very similar to those of their murine counterparts (Ellies et al., 1997). The similarities between the mouse and zebrafish *Dlx* orthologs also extend to their genomic organization. In both species, the four genes are organized as two pairs of convergently transcribed genes, the *Dlx1/Dlx2* pair and the *Dlx5/Dlx6* pair (*dlx4/dlx6* in zebrafish; Simeone et al., 1994; McGuinness et al., 1996; Ellies et al., 1997). The relatively short distances (2.5–10 kb) that separate the two genes in such pairs and the similarities in the expression patterns of the two genes that constitute a pair (Ellies et al., 1997) suggest the presence, in the intergenic region, of shared *cis*-acting regulatory elements.

In the present study, we have examined the molecular basis for the overlapping expression of *Dlx* genes in the ventral forebrain of vertebrates. We have identified highly conserved sequences in the intergenic region between *Dlx5/Dlx6* (*dlx4/dlx6*). These sequences extend over a few hundred base pairs and are the potential site of action of a vast number of regulatory factors. We

Received June 16, 1999; revised Oct. 27, 1999; accepted Nov. 3, 1999.

This work was supported by grants from the Medical Research Council of Canada, the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada, and in part by Grant 1-FY99-572 from the March of Dimes Birth Defects foundation to M.E. T.S. and B.K.P. were supported by fellowships from the Deutscher Akademischer Austauschdienst and from the Korean Science and Engineering Foundation, respectively. We thank Genny Giroux, Lucille Joly, and Wei Lin for technical assistance, Allison Lewis for help in sequencing the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region, Ward Giffin and Robert Haché for useful discussions, and Marie-Andrée Akimenko and Lucie Jeannotte for critical reading of this manuscript. We thank Robb Krumlauf for kindly providing the p1229 and p1230 plasmids.

T.Z. and T.S. contributed equally to the work.

Correspondence should be addressed to Marc Ekker, Loeb Health Research Institute at the Ottawa Hospital, 725 Parkdale Avenue, Ottawa, Ontario K1Y 4E9, Canada. E-mail: mekker@lri.ca.

Dr. Zerucha's present address: Department of Organismal Biology and Anatomy, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637.

Dr. Yu's present address: Genentech, South San Francisco, CA 94080.

Copyright © 2000 Society for Neuroscience 0270-6474/00/200709-13\$15.00/0

Figure 1. Genomic organization of the zebrafish *dlx4* and *dlx6* genes (top) and of the orthologous murine *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* (bottom), indicating the location of conserved sequences with putative regulatory function. The third exons of zebrafish *dlx4* and *dlx6* and of mouse *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* are represented by boxes. Direction of transcription is indicated by arrows. B, BamHI; E, EcoRI; X, XhoI; S, SacI; Sa, SalI. The constructs for the production of transgenic animals and for transfection experiments are schematized. The position and orientation of the intergenic fragments relative to the reporter genes (*lacZ*, *CAT*, or *GFP*) is shown. β , Minimal β -globin promoter; tk, thymidine kinase promoter. Numbers of primary transgenic embryos or embryos from transgenic lines that show *lacZ* expression in various sites of *Dlx* expression are indicated to the right of each construct.

present evidence that the *Dlx* proteins themselves constitute some of these factors. Taken together, these results suggest that cross-regulatory mechanisms between *Dlx* genes and enhancer-sharing are important aspects of *Dlx* regulation in the forebrain.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Identification of conserved sequences in the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* and mouse *Dlx5/Dlx6* intergenic regions. Restriction fragments of a genomic clone containing the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* locus (Ellies et al., 1997) were radio-labeled and hybridized to a Southern blot of various restriction digests of a mouse genomic clone containing the orthologous *Dlx5/Dlx6* locus (Liu et al., 1997). Of the zebrafish restriction fragments from the *dlx4/dlx6* locus, only a 1.4 kb *XhoI-EcoRI* fragment from the intergenic region hybridized to the mouse genomic fragments (Fig. 1). This zebrafish fragment and the hybridizing mouse fragments were sequenced using the dideoxy procedure. Sequence accession numbers are: for the zebrafish sequence (AF201695) and for the mouse sequences (AF201696 and AF201697). A search of the GenBank database with the zebrafish 1.4 kb *XhoI-EcoRI* fragment enabled us to identify a human BAC clone containing the *DLX5/DLX6* locus (sequence accession number AC004774).

Nucleotide sequence comparisons were done using the GCG software package and the CLUSTAL W version 1.7 multiple sequence alignment program (Thompson, 1984).

Transgenic animals. DNA fragments from either the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* locus or from the mouse *Dlx5/Dlx6* locus were subcloned into the p1229 or p1230 vectors (Yee and Rigby, 1993). For the production of transgenic mice, the transgene was excised from the plasmid construct and injected at a concentration of 5 ng/ μ l in eggs from FVB/n crosses using standard procedures (Hogan et al., 1986). Transgenes were analyzed in either founder embryos or from established transgenic lines. Presence of the transgene was determined by PCR on DNA prepared from extra-embryonic tissues with the following oligonucleotide primers 5'-AGGGCAGAGCCATCTATTGC-3' and 5'-CGCTCATCCGCCA-

CATATCC-3' derived, respectively, from the β -globin promoter and *lacZ* sequences of the p1229/p1230 vectors. Amplification of a fetal hemoglobin gene sequence was used as a positive control (primers x1: 5'-GATCATGACCGCCGTAGG-3' and x2: 5'-CATGAACCTGTCCAG-GCTT-3').

For the production of transgenic zebrafish, a 1.4 kb *XhoI-EcoRI* fragment of the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region was inserted upstream of the β -globin promoter fragment taken from the p1230 vector and of the coding sequence of a variant of the green fluorescent protein (GFP) GM2 that emits ~30-fold higher fluorescence than does the wild-type GFP, under standard FITC conditions (Cormack et al., 1996). Linearized plasmid DNA was injected into single-cell wild-type zebrafish embryos that were examined for GFP expression at various time points thereafter as previously described (Long et al., 1997).

Morphological analysis of transgenic animals. Founder transgenic embryos or embryos from the cross of a transgenic male with normal *FVB* or *CD1* females were harvested at various embryonic stages. Transgene expression was also analyzed in newborn pups, young mice, and adults from established lines. Embryos were fixed in 1% formaldehyde, 0.2% glutaraldehyde, 0.02% NP-40 in PBS for 30 min at 4°C, washed in PBS for 20 min at room temperature, and stained for β -galactosidase activity overnight at 28°C in a solution of 1 mg/ml X-gal, 5 mM $K_3Fe(CN)_6$, 5 mM $K_4Fe(CN)_6$, 2 mM $MgCl_2$, and 0.02% NP-40 in PBS.

Breeding with mouse null mutants. Mice heterozygous for the *zfdlx4/6lacZ*-transgene were mated to partners heterozygous for a deletion of the *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* genes (strain C57 Bl/6; described in Qiu et al., 1997). Offspring were genotyped by PCR, with the primers described above to detect the transgene, and with primers corresponding to the neomycin resistance gene that marks the *Dlx1/2* deletion. Animals that were heterozygous for both modifications (genotype *Dlx1/2+/-*; *zfdlx4/6lacZ+/-*) were mated to generate mice that were homozygous for the deletion of the *Dlx1/2* locus (*Dlx1/2-/-*; *zfdlx4/6lacZ*). Mutant embryos were identified by either diagnosing a cleft palate (E15 and later) or by

the absence of a PCR product, with primers that recognize the deleted portion of *Dlx1*.

Constructs for transient cotransfection experiments. An effector plasmid that expresses the zebrafish *dlx2* gene under control of the SV40 early promoter was constructed by inserting an 845 bp PCR-amplified *EcoRI* fragment of the zebrafish *dlx2* cDNA (Akimenko et al., 1994) encompassing the full coding sequence into the *EcoRI* site of the pTL2 expression vector (M. Petkovich, unpublished observations). Reporter plasmids were constructed by inserting fragments of the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region into the pBLCAT2 vector (Luckow and Schütz, 1987), which contains the *thymidine kinase (tk)* minimal promoter driving expression of the *chloramphenicol acetyltransferase* (CAT) gene. The 1.4 kb *XhoI–EcoRI* fragment from the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region (I4/6; Fig. 1) was subcloned into pBLCAT2 directly upstream of the *tk* promoter. The zI46i and zI46ii fragments and deletions of zI46i were prepared by PCR from a pBluescript clone containing the 1.4 kb *XhoI–EcoRI* I4/6 fragment. The zI46ii fragment was inserted in pBLCAT2 directly upstream of the *tk* promoter, and the zI46i fragment was inserted immediately downstream of the CAT gene (i.e., 4.5 kb upstream of the *tk* promoter in the circular plasmid).

The following oligonucleotides: 1060, 5'-GCTCTAGAATTAGTT-TAACGTCGAA-3'; 473, 5'-GGGGTACCGCTGGGGCATCCAC-GAT-3'; 187, 5'-GGGGTACCATTCTCATAAATGCAG-3'; 204, 5'-GGGGTACCTGCATTATGAGAATG-3'; 305, 5'-GGGGTACC-ATCTTTATTGGATT-3'; and 316, 5'-GGGGTACCAATAAATGATGCCTTT-3' were used to prepare deletion fragments from zI46i using PCR. The numeric name of the oligonucleotide refers to the position, in the conserved intergenic sequence (Fig. 2A), that borders the amplified fragment. Restriction sites (*XbaI* or *KpnI*) were introduced at the 5' end of each oligonucleotide. PCR products: full-length zI46i (positions 1–473 in GenBank sequence AF201695), zI46i 1–204 (positions 1–204 in the same sequence), zI46i 187–316, and zI46i 305–473, were PCR-amplified and subcloned into pBLCAT2.

Mutagenesis. Two putative Dlx-binding sites (Feledy et al., 1999) in zI46i, found at sequence positions 207–214 and 263–270; were mutagenized using the Sculptor *in vitro* mutagenesis system (Amersham, Arlington Heights, IL). The AATTA and AATT sequences in those sites were changed to TCTAG and CTAG, respectively, to generate the Δ 210 and Δ 266 mutations. The double mutant Δ 210/ Δ 266 was obtained by mutagenesis of the zI46i fragment already containing the Δ 266 mutation with the same oligonucleotide used to produce the Δ 210 mutation. The 187–316 fragments of zI46i containing either the Δ 210 mutation, the Δ 266 mutation, or both of them, were inserted into the pBLCAT2 vector for transfection experiments or in the p1230 vector to produce transgenic animals.

Transient cotransfection experiments. Transient cotransfection experiments were performed in the P19 murine embryonic carcinoma (EC) cell line essentially as described previously (Zerucha et al., 1997). Cells were seeded 24 hr before transfection at a density of 10^7 cells per 100 mm dish. Transfections were performed by the calcium phosphate precipitation procedure (Sambrook et al., 1989). A total of 10 μ g of DNA per dish was used in each transfection. This included 2 μ g pRSV- β gal as an internal control for transfection efficiency, 2 μ g of reporter plasmid, 2 μ g of effector plasmid, and sheared calf thymus DNA (Boehringer Mannheim, Indianapolis, IN) to the total of 10 μ g. Precipitates were left on the cells for 16 hr, and the cells were harvested 64 hr after transfection. Cells were collected in PBS, pelleted by centrifugation, and resuspended in freeze/thaw buffer (250 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8, 10 mM DTT, and 15% glycerol). Cell extracts were prepared by repeated cycles of freezing and thawing. β -Galactosidase activity was assayed as described by Sambrook et al. (1989). CAT activity was determined by thin-layer chromatography and measured as the percentage of conversion of monoacetylated and diacetylated chloramphenicol relative to unmodified plus acetylated chloramphenicol using the Bio-Rad (Hercules, CA) GS-525 Molecular Imager system. CAT activity was standardized to β -galactosidase levels to compensate for variations in transfection efficiency. Experiments were performed in duplicate and repeated a minimum of three times. Error bars in the figures represent SEMs of all replications.

Stable transfectant cell line. A PCR-amplified *EcoRI* fragment encompassing the full-length (845 bp) coding region of zebrafish *dlx2* cDNA (described above) was subcloned into the pTL-MTG vector (Prefontaine et al., 1998) downstream of and in frame with six repeats of a *c-myc* sequence that encodes a polypeptide consisting of an epitope recognized by the 9E-10 monoclonal antibody (*myc*-tag; Santa Cruz Biotechnology,

Santa Cruz, CA). Expression of this fusion protein is under control of the SV40 early promoter. This construct (pTL-MTG-Dlx2) was cotransfected together with pCMVneo into SF7 SCID fibroblastic cells, as described above, using the calcium phosphate procedure with the following modifications: 8 μ g of pTL-MTG-Dlx2 and 2 μ g of pCMVneo made up the total DNA transfected per 100 mm dish; 40 hr after transfection 600 μ g/ml G418 was added to the cells. Cells were maintained in this concentration of G418 until the formation of discernible colonies. Individual colonies of cells were isolated and grown separately. Each clone was screened by PCR for the presence of a zebrafish *dlx2* sequence. MTG-Dlx2 protein was prepared from nuclear extracts of the stable transfectant cell line SF7-MTG-Dlx2 essentially as described by Andrews and Faller (1991). In brief, cells from each confluent 100 mm dish were harvested and resuspended in 1.5 ml PBS on ice. The cell suspension was pelleted and resuspended in 400 μ l of a cold solution of (in mM): 10 HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 1.5 MgCl₂, 10 KCl, 0.5 DTT, and 0.2 PMSF, incubated on ice 10 min, then vortexed 10 sec. Insoluble nuclei were pelleted and the supernatant, containing cytoplasmic contents and outer membrane, was discarded. Nuclei were resuspended in 20–100 μ l of a cold solution of (in mM): 20 HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 1.5 MgCl₂, 420 NaCl, 0.2 EDTA, 0.5 DTT, and 0.2 PMSF and 25% glycerol, and incubated on ice for 20 min. Cellular debris was removed by centrifugation. Protein concentration of the supernatant was determined by the Bio-Rad protein assay, and single use aliquots were stored at –80°C.

The presence of MTG-Dlx2 was determined by immunoblotting with the 9E-10 monoclonal antibody. Four individual clones were positive for MTG-Dlx2 after both rounds of screening, and one was chosen for subsequent experiments. It was thereafter maintained in 400 μ g/ml G418.

Electrophoretic mobility shift assays. DNA fragments corresponding to positions 1–204, 187–316, and 305–473 of zI46i (Fig. 2A), as well as the Δ 210, Δ 266, and Δ 210/ Δ 266 mutagenized versions of the 187–316 fragment were amplified by PCR. The PCR product was inserted into the pCRII vector (Invitrogen, San Diego, CA). The fragment was excised with *EcoRI* before filling of the 5' overhangs with the large fragment of DNA polymerase I (Klenow) in presence of radiolabeled [α -³²P]dATP. Binding reactions were performed in a total volume of 20 μ l in (in mM): 12 HEPES-KOH, pH 7.9, 1 EDTA, 0.4 MgCl₂, 100 NaCl, 0.6 DTT, and 0.6 PMSF, and 13% glycerol. Nuclear extract (10 μ g) from the stable SF7-MTG-Dlx2 cell line or from control SF7 cells was pre-incubated with the 9E-10 anti-myc monoclonal antibody or an equivalent volume of water at room temperature for 30 min. After pre-incubation, 1 μ g of bovine serum albumin, 1 μ g of sheared calf-thymus DNA (Boehringer Mannheim), and 15,000 cpm of radiolabeled probe were added and incubated at room temperature for 20 min. Protein-DNA complexes were resolved on a 4% polyacrylamide (29:1 acrylamide:bis-acrylamide) gel run in 1× Tris-borate-EDTA.

RESULTS

Identification of highly conserved elements in the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* and mouse *Dlx5/Dlx6* intergenic regions

We identified two highly conserved sequences in the region between the zebrafish *dlx4* and *dlx6* genes and their mouse orthologs *Dlx5/Dlx6*. A 1.4 kb *XhoI–EcoRI* fragment from the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region (Fig. 1) was found to hybridize to a pair of restriction fragments in the mouse *Dlx5/Dlx6* intergenic region. Nucleotide sequence analysis revealed two sequences, of ~400 and 300 bp, respectively, that are highly similar between the two species (Fig. 2A,B). The orientation and the relative position of the two sequences relative to *dlx4* (*Dlx5*) and *dlx6* (*Dlx6*) are identical (Fig. 1). The 400 bp sequence, named zI46i, is closer to the *dlx6* gene than is the 300 bp sequence, hereafter named zI46ii. The orthologous mammalian elements are hereafter called mI56i and mI56ii, respectively. We have identified highly similar sequences at the human *DLX5/DLX6* locus by searching the GenBank database (Fig. 2A,B).

Nucleotide sequence comparisons indicate the human and mouse mI56i elements to be identical except for a 3 bp insertion

shares ~85% identity over 275 bp with its mammalian counterparts (Fig. 2B). The zI46ii sequence lacks a stretch of adenines, ~20 nucleotides in length, that is found in the two mammalian sequences.

Figure 3. A DNA fragment encompassing the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region directs expression of *lacZ* in transgenic mouse embryos with patterns that closely recapitulate endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression in the forebrain. *A–C*, *lacZ* expression in the ventral thalamus (VT), basal telencephalon (BT), and olfactory placodes (OP) in E10 (*A*), E11 (*B*), and E12 (*C*) whole-mount mouse embryos. *D*, Coronal section of an E14.5 stage mouse embryo with *lacZ* expression in the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE). Higher β -galactosidase activity is seen in the subventricular zone (SVZ) compared to the mantle (MZ). *E, F*, *In situ* hybridizations with *Dlx5* (*E*) and *Dlx6* (*F*) probes on coronal sections adjacent to that seen in *D*. Note that the relative patterns of *Dlx5* expression in the SVZ and MZ more closely resemble that seen in transgenic animals (*D*) than do the relative patterns of *Dlx6* expression. *G–I*, More caudal sections of the same embryonic brain. *G*, Expression of β -galactosidase in the caudal ganglionic eminence (CGE), preoptic area (POA), and ventral diencephalon. *H, I*, *In situ* hybridizations with *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* probes, respectively, on sections adjacent to those seen in *G*. Embryos in *A* and *B* are from line 7679, and those in *C–I* are from line 1469. *J–L*, A 1.4 kb *XhoI/EcoRI* fragment from the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region directs expression of a transgene that recapitulates endogenous *dlx* expression in the forebrain of zebrafish embryos. *J*, Expression of GFP directed by the 1.4 kb I4/6 zebrafish fragment in a 36 hr embryo. The patterns are similar to the expression of the endogenous *dlx4* (*K*) and *dlx6* (*L*) genes. The domains I and II of *dlx* expression correspond, by analogy, to the diencephalic (I) and telencephalic (II) domains of *Dlx* expression in the mouse. I, Domain I; II, domain II; AEP, anterior entopeduncular area; BT, basal telencephalon; Cx, cortex; Hy, hypothalamus; LV, lateral ventricle; OB, prospective olfactory bulb; Se, septum; SPV, supraoptic paraventricular area; VZ, ventricular zone.

A zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic fragment targets reporter gene expression to the forebrain and olfactory placodes in transgenic mice

A construct containing the *lacZ* reporter gene under the control of a β -globin minimal promoter and the entire zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region (plus a short segment of the transcription unit of *dlx4* and a few base pairs of the transcription unit of *dlx6*;

zfdlx4/6lacZ transgene; Fig. 1) was injected into fertilized mouse eggs to produce transgenic animals. We obtained seven lines of transgenic mice. All seven lines showed *lacZ* expression, beginning at approximately embryonic day 10 (E10), in two groups of forebrain cells, one in the ventral thalamus/hypothalamus and one in the basal telencephalon (Fig. 3*A*; I and II, respectively). Examination of whole-mount embryos stained for β -galactosidase

activity indicated that the patterns of reporter transgene expression are strikingly similar to patterns of endogenous mouse *Dlx* expression in the forebrain (Shimamura et al., 1997). Mouse embryos express *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* in two separate domains within the forebrain. Domain I is a longitudinal alar plate stripe that begins at the zona limitans intrathalamica and extends rostrally through the ventral thalamus (VT) and several hypothalamic areas (Hy) to the rostral midline. Domain II is a longitudinal region in the basal telencephalon that extends rostrally from part of the caudal ganglionic eminence (CGE; amygdala primordium), through the lateral ganglionic eminence (LGE) and medial ganglionic eminence (MGE), and into the septal and preoptic (POA) primordia (Bulfone et al., 1993b; L. Puellas, E. Kuwana, A. Bulfone, K. Shimamura, J. Keleher, S. Smiga, E. Puellas, and J. Rubenstein, unpublished observations).

Similarly to endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6*, expression of the reporter transgene decreased after E14.5, and *lacZ* transcripts were virtually undetectable at postnatal day 0 (P0). Yet, β -galactosidase activity persisted much longer and, in some areas, remained strong even in the adult (P120) brain (data not shown). A higher sensitivity of the enzymatic assay for β -galactosidase activity compared to *in situ* hybridization or the sheltering of the β -galactosidase protein from metabolism could explain the apparent persistence of β -galactosidase compared to the *lacZ* transcripts. In addition to the developing forebrain, the reporter transgene under the control of zebrafish sequences was expressed in the olfactory placodes in five of seven mouse lines (Figs. 1, 3*A,B*). There were very few additional sites of transgene expression: one line had a few labeled eye cells; one line had expression in the developing shoulder area, and one showed expression in the trunk somites (data not shown). Additional sites of endogenous mouse *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression were negative, including the branchial arches, the otic vesicle, and the limb apical ectodermal ridge (AER).

To assess the degree to which expression of the *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene matches endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression in the forebrain, we have compared their expression patterns, using X-gal staining and radioactive *in situ* hybridization, respectively, on transverse brain sections. We analyzed sequential sections from E10.5, E12.5, E14.5, E17.5, and P0 mice.

Dlx5 and 6 are expressed in domains I and II in slightly different, but overlapping patterns: *Dlx5* is expressed strongest in the subventricular zone (SVZ), whereas *Dlx6* is expressed strongest in the mantle zone (MZ) (Fig. 3*E,F*). Neither gene is expressed appreciably in the ventricular zone (VZ) (Liu et al., 1997).

Remarkably, the *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene is expressed in a pattern extremely similar to that of the mouse *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* genes. It is apparent, however, that despite the degree of overlap between the *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene and *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* genes, there is a greater similarity between the *zfdlx4/6*-enhancer-driven *lacZ* and *Dlx5* expression patterns. β -Galactosidase activity and *Dlx5* transcripts can first be detected in the forebrain at ~E10, as a thin layer of cells overlying parts of the ventricular zones in the basal telencephalon and diencephalon, respectively. On E10.5, E12.5, and E14.5, zebrafish *dlx4/6*-enhancer driven β -galactosidase expression in the mouse telencephalon matches mouse *Dlx5* expression more closely than that of *Dlx6* (Fig. 3*D–I*; data not shown). In domain I, the *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene has a pattern that also appears to be more similar to that of *Dlx5* than *Dlx6* (Fig. 3*G–I*).

The zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic enhancer is active in the forebrain of zebrafish embryos

To determine if the intergenic sequences that target reporter gene expression to the forebrain of transgenic mice can reproduce *dlx* expression in zebrafish embryos, we microinjected, into one-cell stage embryos, a construct that contained the 1.4 kb zebrafish *EcoRI–XhoI* intergenic fragment from *dlx4/dlx6* (Fig. 1), the same β -globin minimal promoter fragment as for the transgenic mouse experiments, and the gene coding for the GFP as a reporter. Primary transgenic zebrafish embryos carrying this construct expressed GFP specifically in forebrain cells forming two domains (Fig. 3*J*) with patterns strikingly similar to endogenous *dlx4/dlx6* expression (Fig. 3*K,L*). Of the 750 embryos that survived microinjection until the second day of embryonic development, four had very high levels of GFP expression in the forebrain, 25 had 5–10 GFP-positive forebrain cells, and 30 had one or two positive forebrain cells. Fifteen embryos showed one or a few GFP-positive cells in ectopic locations. The onset of GFP expression in the forebrain was ~17–19 hr after fertilization (hpf), shortly after the onset of *dlx4* expression as detected by *in situ* hybridization. GFP expression persisted in the forebrain until at least 36 hpf.

The two domains of *dlx* expression in the forebrain of zebrafish embryos (Akimenko et al., 1994) are reminiscent of the two domains observed in the mouse embryonic forebrain. To compare expression patterns of *dlx* genes in the zebrafish forebrain, we made sections of 48-hr-old embryos hybridized with *dlx* probes. Interestingly, the patterns of expression of *dlx1* and *dlx2* in both the telencephalon and the diencephalon indicate that the two genes are expressed in more immature cells, as reflected by their position closer to the ventricular walls than the cells that express *dlx4* and *dlx6* (Fig. 4). A similar observation had been made previously for the mouse orthologs of these four genes (Liu et al., 1997).

Most of the forebrain activity of the *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic enhancer is located in zI46i

The two conserved sequences located in the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region (zI46i and zI46ii) were inserted separately into reporter constructs and used to produce transgenic mouse embryos. At E11, forebrain *lacZ* expression targeted by the zI46i enhancer construct was indistinguishable from that targeted by the full-length I4/6 enhancer (compare Figs. 5*A, 3B*), although none of the embryos showed expression in the olfactory epithelium ($n = 12$; Fig. 1).

We also generated transgenic mice with a reporter construct that contained the second conserved sequence from the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region zI46ii. Two lines of transgenic mice and 10 primary transgenic mouse embryos were produced. Embryos from one transgenic line showed *lacZ* expression in the olfactory epithelium (data not shown) resembling that obtained with the full-length I4/6. This was also observed in one primary transgenic embryo, which, in addition, had expression in the AER of the limb buds, where *Dlx* genes are expressed (Dollé et al., 1992; Bulfone et al., 1993a). Finally, one primary zI46ii transgenic embryo showed correct *lacZ* expression in the forebrain (data not shown). Thus, zI46ii was much less efficient at targeting *lacZ* to the forebrain (0 of 2 lines; 1 of 10 primary transgenic embryos) compared to full-length I4/6 (seven of seven lines) or to zI46i (12 of 12 primary transgenic embryos; Fig. 1).

We next tested whether the orthologous mouse mI56i could regulate correct *Dlx* expression in the forebrain. Four stable lines

Figure 4. The *dlx1* and *dlx2* genes are expressed in more immature cells of the zebrafish forebrain than their *dlx4* and *dlx6* paralogs. Transverse sections of 48-hr-old zebrafish embryos at the level of the telencephalon (*A*, *C*, *E*, *G*) and of the diencephalon (*B*, *D*, *F*, *H*) are shown with dorsal at the top. Cells that express *dlx1* and *dlx2* are closer to the ventricle compared to those expressing *dlx4* or *dlx6*. The expression of *dlx2* closer to the ventricle compared to *dlx4* confirms our previous observation (Akimenko et al., 1994).

and four primary transgenic embryos were produced with the mI56i construct, and nearly all showed forebrain expression (Fig. 5*B*); one transgenic line did not express *lacZ* anywhere, possibly because of an integration effect. Reversing the orientation of mI56i had no effect on its expression (nine of nine primary transgenic embryos; Fig. 1; data not shown). Thus, both the orthologous mI56i and the zI46i fragments are enhancers that efficiently replicate the correct pattern of *Dlx* expression in the forebrain.

Unlike the zI46i enhancer, mI56i in either orientation frequently reproduced correct *Dlx* expression in the branchial arches (two of four stable lines and seven of 13 primary embryos; sum of both orientations, Figs. 1, 5*B*; data not shown), olfactory placode (one line and one primary embryo) and AER (one line; Fig. 1). No expression in the otic vesicle was observed in any embryos, but some expression was detected in the middle ear, which is consistent with the branchial arch expression.

To begin to identify the essential sequences within these enhancers, we used a deletion fragment of the zI46i enhancer, corresponding to positions 187–316 (Fig. 2*A*) and examined its activity in transgenic mouse embryos at E11. Of five primary transgenic embryos, all appeared to have correct expression in

domain II in the forebrain (Fig. 5*C*). However, β -galactosidase expression in domain I (ventral thalamus and hypothalamus) was occasionally weaker or not detectable (data not shown). A similar construct also targeted expression of GFP principally to the forebrain of zebrafish embryos (data not shown).

Activity of the zebrafish intergenic enhancer is reduced in mice lacking *Dlx1* and *Dlx2*

Mutant mice that lack both *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* function have a time-dependent block in basal telencephalon differentiation (Anderson et al., 1997*b*). Although early neurogenesis appears to be normal, later neurogenesis is not. This phenotype seems to be caused by a defect in the production and/or function of the subventricular zone. Accordingly, in *Dlx1/2* mutants *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression is not detectable in the subventricular zone of the LGE and MGE, but is maintained in early born mantle cells at E12.5 (Anderson et al., 1997*b*). As described above, the zebrafish and mouse intergenic enhancers are highly active in the SVZ of the basal telencephalon. Therefore, it is possible that the *Dlx1* or *Dlx2* proteins might be, at least in part, responsible for the activity of this enhancer. To test this hypothesis, we bred mice containing the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* full intergenic reporter con-

Figure 5. Specific intergenic sequences from either mouse or zebrafish target gene expression to the forebrain of E11 mouse embryos with highly similar patterns. *A*, Zebrafish zI46i. *B*, Mouse mI56i. In addition to the forebrain, β -galactosidase activity was observed in the first two branchial arches in two of three lines and two of four primary transgenic embryos. *C*, The 187–316 fragment of zebrafish zI46i. In addition to the forebrain, β -galactosidase was also expressed in the apical ectodermal ridge (AER) of the limb buds in one of five primary transgenic embryos carrying this construct. Note that the forebrain expression patterns in *A–C* are highly similar to those of Figure 3*B* (full zebrafish intergenic fragment). *Mx*, Maxillary component of the first branchial arch; *Md*, mandibular component of the first branchial arch; *Hy*, hyoid arch. Other abbreviations as in Figure 3.

struct with mice heterozygous for a mutation that inactivates both *Dlx1* and *Dlx2*. We then inbred mice that are heterozygous for both the mutation and the transgene to generate *Dlx1/2*^{−/−} homozygotes that also had at least one *zfdlx4/dlx6lacZ* allele.

In embryos that are homozygous for the *Dlx1/Dlx2* mutation, β -galactosidase activity is strikingly reduced in the subventricular zone of the developing striatum (Fig. 6*A–D*). These results parallel the changes in endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression in the *Dlx1/2* mutant mice (Fig. 6*E–L*). Based on these results, we propose that *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2* function is required, directly or indirectly, to regulate *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression via their intergenic enhancer.

To determine whether the loss of *Dlx5*, *Dlx6*, and *zfdlx4/6lacZ* expression in the SVZ of the *Dlx1/2* mutants is attributable to a loss of those cells or to a change in gene regulation in SVZ cells, we studied the expression of *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* mRNAs. In the *Dlx1/2* mutants, the 5' end of these genes was not deleted (Qiu et al., 1997). Thus, if the *Dlx1/2* cis-acting regulatory sequences responsible for *Dlx1/2* expression are intact, and the truncated *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2* transcripts are stable, we should be able to detect the cells that normally express *Dlx1/2* in these mutants. In fact, *in situ* hybridization demonstrates that both truncated genes are still expressed in the proliferative zones of the *Dlx1/2* mutants (Fig. 6*M–P*; data not shown). This strongly supports the model that cells expressing *Dlx1/2* are maintained in the mutants and that there is molecular dysregulation within these cells leading to the loss of *Dlx5*, *Dlx6*, and *zfdlx4/6lacZ* expression.

Dlx proteins can upregulate transcription from conserved intergenic sequences

Dlx proteins bind DNA and can regulate transcription (Liu et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1997). Because analysis of *Dlx1/2* mutant mice suggests that *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2* function is necessary for proper expression of *Dlx5/Dlx6*, one possibility is that this interaction is directly mediated by transcriptional activation of the intergenic enhancer(s) by *Dlx1* or *Dlx2*. To test this model, we performed transient cotransfection assays in cultured cells. Reporter plasmids were constructed to contain either the zebrafish 1.4 kb I4/6

fragment, which contains both zI46i and zI46ii, or to contain only one of these elements. Effector plasmids were constructed to express full-length zebrafish *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, *Dlx3*, *Dlx4*, or *Dlx6* proteins under the control of the SV40 early promoter, or full-length mouse *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, or *Dlx5* proteins under the control of the cytomegalovirus promoter.

Cotransfection, into mouse P19 murine embryonic carcinoma cells, of a construct expressing the zebrafish *Dlx2* protein resulted in a 20-fold increase in the activity of the CAT reporter gene placed under the control of the 1.4 kb *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic fragment (Fig. 7*A*). All of the zebrafish *Dlx* expression vectors were able to activate expression of the reporter construct to a similar extent (data not shown). The mouse *Dlx1*, *Dlx2*, and *Dlx5* expression vectors were also able to activate transcription of the same reporter construct in a neuroepithelial cell line (MNS-71; G. Yu, T. Zerucha, M. Ekker, and J. L. R. Rubenstein, unpublished observations). This indicates that Dlx proteins from either zebrafish or mouse are able to recognize similarly the zebrafish intergenic enhancer sequences in at least two different cell types. Not all homeodomain proteins could activate transcription from the 1.4 kb *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic fragment; the products of several *sine oculis*-related genes (*six* genes) were unable to activate transcription from this sequence (data not shown).

To determine if both zI46i and zI46ii contain targets for Dlx proteins, the same *Dlx* expression constructs were cotransfected with reporter constructs containing either zI46i or zI46ii. All Dlx proteins examined activated expression through the zI46i element (Fig. 7*A*; data not shown) but none activated transcription from a reporter containing zI46ii (Fig. 7*A*; data not shown), except perhaps for a weak (less than twofold activation) by the mouse *Dlx5* protein. Furthermore, the degrees of activation produced by Dlx proteins on zI46i reporter constructs were comparable to those obtained with the 1.4 kb I4/6 fragment.

In an attempt to narrow down the region of zI46i required for activation by Dlx proteins, a series of deletion fragments of zI46i were prepared and subcloned into the reporter plasmid. The orientation of each of the deletion fragments was maintained

Figure 6. Forebrain expression of a reporter gene driven by I4/6 is drastically reduced in mice with a targeted null mutation of the *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* genes. *A, B*, Coronal sections through the telencephalon of wild-type (*A*) and *Dlx1/Dlx2* mutant (*B*) E12.5 embryos that both contain the *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene. *C, D*, Coronal sections through the telencephalon of wild-type (*C*) and mutant (*D*) E14.5 embryos. *LacZ* expression is virtually absent from the lateral (*LGE*) and medial (*MGE*) ganglionic eminences of the mutants, but is preserved in the rostral mantle (*B*, asterisk). *E–P*, *In situ* hybridization on sections adjacent to the ones shown in *A–D* with probes for *Dlx5* (*E–H*), *Dlx6* (*I–L*), and *Dlx1* (*M–P*). The *Dlx1* probe recognizes a sequence in the 5' end of the *Dlx1* mRNA that is retained in the mutant (see Results). The asterisk in *D*, *H*, and *L* denotes an area in the septal/preoptic region of *Dlx1/Dlx2* mutants where *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression at late embryonic stages appears not to be matched by *lacZ* expression. Other abbreviations as in Figure 3.

relative to the orientation of the full-length zI46i. In transient cotransfection experiments, *Dlx2* activated transcription of constructs containing the 187–316 deletion fragment to an extent similar to that observed with the full-length zI46i (Fig. 7*A*). However, *Dlx2* did not activate transcription of constructs containing either the 1–204 or 305–473 fragments (Fig. 7*A*).

Recently a consensus DNA-binding site was identified for the *Xenopus* *Dlx3* ortholog, *Xdl12* using a binding site selection procedure from a random oligonucleotide pool (Feledy et al., 1999). The consensus site identified is (A/C/G)TAATT(G/A)(C/G). Because of the similarity of the homeodomains of the *Dlx* family, it is likely that *Dlx* proteins other than those of the *Dlx3* paralogous group will recognize a similar sequence. The 187–316 fragment of zI46i that is activated by *Dlx2* contains two sites consistent with this consensus sequence. These two sites correspond to positions 207–214 and 263–270 of the zI46i sequence (Fig. 2). We mutagenized these two sites, individually or in combination. Mutagenesis of either site or of both sites almost entirely abol-

ishes the activation of transcription by *Dlx2* (Fig. 7*B*). When tested in transgenic mice, a construct that contained mutations in both putative binding sites had little if any activity in the forebrain. Of seven primary transgenic mouse embryos, three had no detectable *lacZ* expression in the forebrain, and two had a few weakly positive cells at the anterior end of domain II (data not shown). These positive cells represented only a very small fraction of the endogenous pattern. Combined with our observation that activity of the forebrain enhancer is dramatically decreased in mice lacking *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* function (Fig. 6), these results indicate that activation by *Dlx* proteins, presumably by *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2*, is essential for the activity of the I46 enhancer in the forebrain.

To determine if the *Dlx* proteins are able to directly interact with the zI46i element, electrophoretic mobility shift assays were performed. Nuclear extracts from SF7 *SCID* fibroblasts expressing a fusion of a *c-myc* fragment with full-length zebrafish *Dlx2* (MTG-*Dlx2*) produced a lower mobility complex with the 187–

Figure 7. *A*, The zebrafish *Dlx2* protein can activate transcription through intergenic regulatory sequences in transient transfection assays. Cotransfected *Dlx2* activates transcription through the 1.4 kb *XhoI/EcoRI* fragment from the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic region (I4/6), and specifically through zI46i, but not zI46ii. The 187–316 fragment from zI46i, but neither the 1–204 nor the 305–473 fragments (Fig. 2*A*), is a target for *Dlx2*. All values shown represent fold activation in the presence of *Dlx2* relative to the same construct in the absence of cotransfected *Dlx2*. *B*, Mutagenesis of either one of the two putative binding sites for *Dlx2* in zI46i 187–316 impairs activation in transient cotransfection assays. Values shown represent the percentage of the CAT activity obtained with the wild-type zI46i 187–316 fragment. All values represent three independent experiments $\pm$ SEM.

316 deletion fragment of zI46i (Fig. 8*A*). Migration of the lower mobility complex obtained with MTG-*Dlx2* was further retarded in the presence of the 9E-10 anti-c-myc antibody, indicating the lower mobility complex contains MTG-*Dlx2*. No complexes of lower mobility were obtained with a control SF7 nuclear extract (Fig. 8*A*). Furthermore, neither of the other two deletion fragments of zI46i (1–204 and 305–473) produced a complex of lower mobility in the presence of MTG-*Dlx2*-containing SF7 extract (Fig. 8*A*), a result consistent with the absence of activation, by *Dlx2*, of reporter constructs containing these intergenic fragments in cotransfection experiments.

We next examined the effects of mutagenesis of the putative binding sites. As seen in Figure 8*B*, the $\Delta 210$ and $\Delta 266$ mutagenized fragments both produced a shift of lower mobility that migrated to the same place as that obtained with the wild-type 187–316 fragment. These shifts could be supershifted with the 9E-10 antibody. In contrast, we observed no supershift when the double mutant fragment was incubated with the MTG-*Dlx2* and 9E-10 (Fig. 8*B*). Mutation at the 210 site seems to increase background binding, which is also observed in the control Sf7

extract and overlaps with the expected position for the *Dlx2*-DNA complex. This is especially evident for the double mutant but can also be seen in the $\Delta 210$ lanes.

The similar migrations of the lower mobility complexes obtained with the wild-type and single mutant fragments suggest that we can only observe the wild-type fragment bound by one *Dlx2* molecule. This is consistent with the observation that only a small proportion of the labeled fragment is bound and suggests that *Dlx2* binds to the two sites independently instead of cooperatively, which is not surprising considering the relatively large distance that separates the two binding sites (~ 56 bp). The fragment bound by two *Dlx2* molecules would be proportionally too weak to be observed in this assay.

Taken together with the results of our transient expression assays (Fig. 7), our observations suggest that two *Dlx2* protein molecules bind to the zI46i enhancer independently but that optimal function of the enhancer requires occupancy of the two binding sites.

DISCUSSION

One intergenic enhancer is sufficient to recapitulate forebrain *Dlx* expression

A zebrafish sequence from the intergenic region between the *dlx4* and *dlx6* genes is sufficient, once combined to a minimal promoter, to direct expression in cells of the telencephalon and diencephalon, of either zebrafish or mice, that normally express *Dlx* genes (Fig. 3). This strongly suggests that the regulatory mechanisms controlling *Dlx* expression in the forebrain have been conserved during vertebrate evolution, and it lends support to the idea that *Dlx* function during forebrain development has also been conserved. Additional evidence for conserved function of *Dlx* genes in forebrain development comes from the differential expression of *Dlx* genes in the telencephalon and diencephalon where more immature cells express *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* compared to *Dlx5* and *Dlx6*, as seen both for the mouse genes (Liu et al., 1997) and for their zebrafish orthologs (Fig. 4; Akimenko et al., 1994).

Functional conservation of enhancer sequences between mammals and teleost fish has been previously observed for the *Otx2* (Kimura et al., 1997), *hoxb1* (Marshall et al., 1994), and the *Hoxd-11* genes (Beckers et al., 1996; Gerard et al., 1997), although in the latter case, temporal, spatial, and mechanistic differences could be observed between the fish enhancer and its mammalian counterpart.

Comparisons of the enhancer activities of zI46i (mI56i) and zI46ii suggest the former plays a more important role in forebrain expression. zI46ii may still be necessary for optimal *Dlx* expression in the ventral forebrain, but this enhancer may require, to function efficiently, the presence of other regulatory sites, either from zI46i or from the promoters of one or both flanking genes.

Detailed analysis of reporter transgene activity in the mouse forebrain suggests that both the full *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic construct and the zI46i (mI56i) sequences reproduce the endogenous *Dlx5* expression pattern more faithfully than the *Dlx6* expression pattern. This was observed principally by comparison of β -galactosidase expression and endogenous transcript levels in the LGE and MGE of the telencephalon (Fig. 3). Identical results were obtained with constructs from either zebrafish or mouse origin. Therefore, the observed differences cannot be attributed solely to the inability of a zebrafish enhancer to precisely recapitulate *Dlx* expression in a mouse embryo. One possible explanation for this result is that sequences, necessary for maximal expression in cells of the mantle, are absent from our constructs,

A **B**

Figure 8. *A*, The zebrafish *Dlx2* protein binds the 187–316 fragment of zI46i in a gel mobility shift assay. Three fragments from zI46i: 1–204, 187–316, and 305–473 were radiolabeled and incubated with a nuclear extract from an SF7-derived cell line that expresses MTG-Dlx2 or with a control SF7 nuclear extract. A lower mobility complex is indicated by the solid arrow. In the presence of the 9E-10 antibody directed against the MTG epitope of MTG-Dlx2, this mobility complex is supershifted (open arrow). *B*, Mutagenesis of the two putative binding sites in the zI46i 187–316 fragment impairs binding by the *Dlx2* protein. Only those lower mobility complexes obtained after incubation of MTG-Dlx2 with the wild-type 187–316 or with fragments containing one mutagenized site ($\Delta 210$ or $\Delta 266$) can be supershifted by the 9E-10 antibody. A smear around the same mobility as this retarded complex can be obtained with the fragment containing the two mutations ($\Delta 210/266$), but is also seen with the control SF7 extract and is not supershifted by the 9E-10 antibody.

and, therefore, located outside the intergenic region. It is also possible that, although the intergenic enhancer is sufficient to direct expression to the ventral forebrain, its activity is modulated by specific interactions with other *cis*-acting regulatory elements, such as the promoters of each of the two flanking genes, *Dlx5* and *Dlx6*. An overall distinct set of transcriptional activators binding to upstream and intergenic regulatory sequences would be responsible for the differences in *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression patterns. Experiments in zebrafish designed to examine the interactions between the intergenic forebrain enhancer and the *dlx4* and *dlx6* promoters are presently under way to address this issue. In summary, although it is possible that the intergenic forebrain enhancer is shared between *Dlx5* and *Dlx6*, which would explain their partially overlapping patterns of expression, additional mechanisms must account for the overall distinct expression of the two genes in the forebrain.

Transgenic animals carrying constructs containing both zI46i and zI46ii always exhibit expression of the reporter gene in the ventral forebrain. Reporter expression is often seen in the olfactory placodes, but never in regions of the embryo, such as the branchial arches, the inner ear, and the AER of the limb buds where *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* or their zebrafish orthologs are also ex-

pressed. These results suggest that elements necessary for proper expression in the latter areas are located outside the conserved *Dlx5/Dlx6* intergenic region. On the other hand, several transgenic animals with the zI46i or mI56i constructs showed expression in the ectomesenchyme of the branchial arches reminiscent of endogenous *Dlx* expression. The mechanisms that underlie such results are, at present, unclear but may involve integration effects. It is also possible that intergenic sequences, outside zI46i (mI56i) are necessary to restrict the activity of this enhancer to the ventral forebrain.

***Dlx* proteins interact with the forebrain-specific regulatory sequences**

Expression of *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* is affected in the ventral forebrain of *Dlx1/2* null mutants (Anderson et al., 1997b). Thus, *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* transcripts are not detectable in the SVZ of the LGE at E12.5 and E14.5. Like endogenous *Dlx5* and *Dlx6*, the activity of the zebrafish *dlx4/dlx6* intergenic transgene (I4/6) is drastically reduced in the *Dlx1/2* null mutants (Fig. 6). The mostly normal expression of the truncated *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* transcripts in forebrain cells of the mutant (Fig. 6; data not shown) suggests that the SVZ cells that normally express *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* are still present. There-

fore, the reductions in *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression and in transgene activity strongly suggest that *Dlx1* and/or *Dlx2* are required for the induction and/or maintenance of *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression and that this is mediated by the intergenic enhancer sequences. This might be achieved by direct regulation of *Dlx5/Dlx6* expression by the Dlx1 or Dlx2 proteins which can function as transcriptional activators (Liu et al., 1997; Zhang et al., 1997). Such cross-regulatory interactions involving homeobox genes have been described, for example, for members of the *Hox* clusters (Gould et al., 1997; Nonchev et al., 1997; Studer et al., 1998) and for the zebrafish *dlx* genes (Zerucha et al., 1997). Alternatively, Dlx1 or Dlx2 may activate a yet unknown factor that is an essential regulator of *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression. The above two mechanisms are not mutually exclusive. Our finding that the Dlx2 (Fig. 7A) and Dlx1 (data not shown) proteins, of either zebrafish or mouse origin are able to upregulate transcription of reporter constructs containing the conserved I4/6 intergenic sequences in cotransfection experiments supports the view that these sequences are the site of cross-regulatory interactions *in vivo*. Up-regulation by Dlx2 was almost completely abolished when the putative binding sites were mutagenized (Fig. 7B). Furthermore, we were able to demonstrate binding of Dlx2 to the 187–316 fragment of zI46i in gel mobility shift assays (Fig. 8A). Mutagenesis of both sites in 187–316 abolished binding by the Dlx2 protein (Fig. 8B). The 187–316 fragment of zI46i is responsible for most if not all of the activation by Dlx proteins in transfection experiments and is able to target transgene expression to the ventral forebrain in mice and zebrafish.

The loss of *Dlx1* and *Dlx2* expression only eliminates *Dlx5*, *Dlx6*, and *zfdlx4/6lacZ* transgene expression in the SVZ, whereas their expression is maintained in the early-born mantle cells of the rostral telencephalon (Fig. 6). This observation indicates that other transcription factors regulate *Dlx5*, *Dlx6*, and *I4/6lacZ* expression in a subset of early forebrain cells. Furthermore, the loss of *Dlx5* and *Dlx6* expression in the SVZ of the *Dlx1/Dlx2* null mutants also raises the possibility that the mutant phenotype may be attributable to the loss of function of all four genes, implying some functional redundancy between them. This is also supported by the recent observation that loss of *Dlx5* function alone does not produce any obvious forebrain phenotype (Acampora et al., 1999; Depew et al., 1999). A better understanding of any differences in biochemical activities of Dlx proteins, such as involvement in specific protein–protein interactions, would help elucidate the functional consequences of the partially overlapping expression of these genes during development.

Intergenic region and Dlx gene evolution

The high degree of sequence similarity that we observed in the intergenic region between a pair of *Dlx* genes of mouse, human, and teleost fish (Fig. 2) is remarkable, considering that these sequences are outside the coding regions of either genes. High degrees of sequence similarity outside gene coding regions have been observed previously between human and mouse sequences (for example, see Becker et al., 1996; Williams et al., 1998), and some sequence conservation has also been found with sequences of distantly related vertebrates such as the pufferfish, *Fugu rubripes*, and zebrafish (Marshall et al., 1994; Morrison et al., 1995; Beckers et al., 1996; Kimura et al., 1997). However, none of the above examples compare in length and/or in percentage identity with the elements we report in the present study.

The convergently transcribed configuration of pairs of *distal-less*-related genes is ancient because it has been reported for the

ascidian *Ciona intestinalis* (Di Gregorio et al., 1995). The distance that separates the two genes is relatively small (2–10 kb) for all cases reported thus far. It is likely that the paired organization arose after the divergence of arthropods from the lineage that would give rise to vertebrates because insects are thought to have only one *distal-less* gene. It is possible that one or a few regulatory sequences found downstream of the *distal-less* gene in the common ancestor to modern day invertebrates and vertebrates were preserved after the first duplication and inversion event that produced the first pair of *distal-less/Dlx* genes. Enhancer sequences have been described downstream of the *Drosophila distal-less* gene (Vachon et al., 1992; O'Hara et al., 1993) and it will be interesting to determine if there is any degree of functional conservation in these enhancers and those described in the current study. A potential evolutionary advantage of enhancer-sharing by the two linked genes would be consistent with the conservation of the paired, convergently transcribed configuration and in particular the maintenance of a relatively short intergenic distance. Enhancer sharing has been previously demonstrated for some of the clustered *Hox* genes (van der Hoeven et al., 1996; Gould et al., 1997; Sharpe et al., 1998).

Vertebrates have at least three pairs of linked *Dlx* genes (Simeone et al., 1994; McGuinness et al., 1996; Ellies et al., 1997; Liu et al., 1997), the *Dlx1/Dlx2*, *Dlx5/Dlx6* (*dlx4/dlx6* in zebrafish), and *Dlx3/Dlx7* pairs. The presence of conserved regulatory sequences may not be unique to the *Dlx5/Dlx6* gene pair because the *Dlx1/Dlx2* intergenic region also contains highly conserved sequences (T. Zerucha, M. Qiu, J. K. Liu, J. L. R. Rubenstein, and M. Ekker, unpublished observations), although the roles of such sequences in *Dlx* gene regulation are, at present, unclear. The function and evolution of intergenic enhancer sequences, combined with studies of the functional specificity of *Dlx* genes, will enable us to understand the mechanistic basis for the concerted action of Dlx proteins in embryonic cells and the position of the *Dlx* genes in regulatory cascades during development.

REFERENCES

- Acampora D, Merlo GR, Paleari L, Zeraga B, Postiglione MP, Mantero S, Bober E, Barbieri O, Simeone A, Levi G (1999) Craniofacial, vestibular and bone defects in mice lacking the *Distal-less*-related gene *Dlx5*. *Development* 126:3795–3809.
- Akimenko M-A, Ekker M, Wegner J, Lin W, Westerfield M (1994) Combinatorial expression of three zebrafish genes related to *distal-less*: part of a homeobox gene code for the head. *J Neurosci* 14:3475–3486.
- Anderson SA, Eisenstat DD, Shi L, Rubenstein JLR (1997a) Interneuron migration from basal forebrain to neocortex: dependence on *Dlx* genes. *Science* 278:474–476.
- Anderson SA, Qiu M, Bulfone A, Eisenstat DD, Meneses J, Pedersen R, Rubenstein JLR (1997b) Mutations of the homeobox genes *Dlx-1* and *Dlx-2* disrupt the striatal subventricular zone and differentiation of late-born striatal neurons. *Neuron* 19:27–37.
- Andrews NC, Faller DV (1991) A rapid micropreparation technique for extraction of DNA-binding proteins from limiting numbers of mammalian cells. *Nucleic Acids Res* 19:2499.
- Becker D, Jiang Z, Knodler P, Deinard AS, Eid R, Kidd KK, Shashikant CS, Ruddle FH, Schughart K (1996) Conserved regulatory element involved in the early onset of *Hoxb6* gene expression. *Dev Dyn* 205:73–81.
- Beckers J, Gérard M, Duboule D (1996) Transgenic analysis of a potential *Hoxd-11* limb regulatory element present in tetrapods and fish. *Dev Biol* 180:543–553.
- Bulfone A, Kim HJ, Puelles L, Porteus MH, Grippo JF, Rubenstein JLR (1993a) The mouse *Dlx-2* (*Tes-1*) gene is expressed in spatially restricted domains of the forebrain, face and limbs in midgestation mouse embryos. *Mech Dev* 40:129–140.
- Bulfone A, Puelles L, Porteus MH, Frohman MA, Martin GR, Rubenstein JLR (1993b) Spatially restricted expression of *Dlx-1*, *Dlx-2* (*Tes-*

- 1), *Gbx-2*, and *Wnt-3* in the embryonic day 12.5 mouse forebrain defines potential transverse and longitudinal segmental boundaries. *J Neurosci* 13:3155–3172.
- Cormack BP, Valdivia RH, Falkow S (1996) FACS-optimized mutants of the green fluorescent protein (GFP). *Gene* 173:33–38.
- Depew MJ, Liu JK, Long JE, Presley R, Meneses JJ, Pedersen RA, Rubenstein JLR (1999) *Dlx5* regulates regional development of the branchial arches and sensory capsules. *Development* 126:3831–3846.
- Di Gregorio A, Spagnuolo A, Ristoratore F, Pischetola M, Aniello F, Branno M, Cariello L, Di Lauro R (1995) Cloning of ascidian homeobox genes provides evidence for a primordial chordate cluster. *Gene* 156:253–257.
- Dollé P, Price M, Duboule D (1992) Expression of the murine *Dlx-1* homeobox gene during facial, ocular and limb development. *Differentiation* 49:93–99.
- Ellies DL, Stock DW, Hatch G, Giroux G, Weiss KM, Ekker M (1997) Relationship between the genomic organization and the overlapping embryonic expression patterns of the zebrafish *dlx* genes. *Genomics* 45:580–590.
- Felady JA, Morasso MI, Jang S-I, Sargent TD (1999) Transcriptional activation by the homeodomain protein Distal-less 3. *Nucleic Acids Res* 27:764–770.
- Gerard M, Zakany J, Duboule D (1997) Interspecies exchange of a *Hoxd* enhancer *in vivo* induces premature transcription and anterior shift of the sacrum. *Dev Biol* 190:32–40.
- Gould A, Morrison A, Sproat G, White RAH, Krumlauf R (1997) Positive cross-regulation and enhancer sharing: two mechanisms for specifying overlapping *Hox* expression patterns. *Genes Dev* 11:900–913.
- Hogan B, Constantini F, Lacy E (1986) Manipulating the mouse embryo: a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Kimura C, Takeda N, Suzuki M, Oshimura M, Aizawa S, Matsuo I (1997) *Cis*-acting elements conserved between mouse and pufferfish *Otx2* genes govern the expression in mesencephalic neural crest cells. *Development* 124:3929–3941.
- Liu JK, Ghattas I, Liu S, Chen S, Rubenstein JLR (1997) *Dlx* genes encode DNA-binding proteins that are expressed in an overlapping and sequential pattern during basal ganglia differentiation. *Dev Dyn* 210:498–512.
- Long Q, Meng A, Wang H, Jessen JR, Farrell MJ, Lin S (1997) *GATA-1* expression pattern can be recapitulated in living transgenic zebrafish using GFP reporter gene. *Development* 124:4105–4111.
- Luckow B, Schütz G (1987) CAT constructions with multiple unique restriction sites for the functional analysis of eukaryotic promoters and regulatory elements. *Nucleic Acids Res* 15:5490.
- Marshall H, Studer M, Pöpperl H, Aparicio S, Kuroiwa A, Brenner S, Krumlauf R (1994) A conserved retinoic acid response element required for early expression of the homeobox gene *Hoxb-1*. *Nature* 370:567–571.
- McGuinness T, Porteus MH, Smiga S, Bulfone A, Kingsley C, Qiu M, Liu JK, Long JE, Xu D, Rubenstein JLR (1996) Sequence, organization, and transcription of the *Dlx-1* and *Dlx-2* locus. *Genomics* 35:473–485.
- Morrison A, Chaudhuri C, Ariza-McNaughton L, Muchamore I, Kuroiwa A, Krumlauf R (1995) Comparative analysis of chicken *Hoxb-4* regulation in transgenic mice. *Mech Dev* 53:47–59.
- Nonchev S, Maconocie M, Gould A, Morrison A, Krumlauf R (1997) Cross-regulatory interactions between *Hox* genes and the control of segmental expression in the vertebrate central nervous system. *Cold Spring Harb Symp Quant Biol* 62:313–323.
- O'Hara E, Cohen B, Cohen SM, McGinnis W (1993) *Distal-less* is a downstream gene of *Deformed* required for ventral maxillary identity. *Development* 117:847–856.
- Porteus MH, Bulfone A, Ciaranello RD, Rubenstein JLR (1991) Isolation and characterization of a novel cDNA clone encoding a homeodomain that is developmentally regulated in the ventral forebrain. *Neuron* 7:221–229.
- Prefontaine GG, Lemieux ME, Giffin W, Schild-Poulter C, Pope L, LaCasse E, Walker P, Hache RJG (1998) Recruitment of octamer transcription factors to DNA by glucocorticoid receptor. *Mol Cell Biol* 18:3416–3430.
- Price M, Lemaistre M, Pischetola M, Di Lauro R, Duboule D (1991) A mouse gene related to *distal-less* shows a restricted expression in the developing forebrain. *Nature* 351:748–751.
- Qiu M, Bulfone A, Ghattas I, Meneses JJ, Christensen L, Sharpe PT, Presley R, Pedersen RA, Rubenstein JLR (1997) Role of the *Dlx* homeobox genes in proximodistal patterning of the branchial arches: mutations of *Dlx-1*, *Dlx-2*, and *Dlx-1* and *-2* alter morphogenesis of proximal skeletal and soft tissue structures derived from the first and second arches. *Dev Biol* 185:165–184.
- Robinson GW, Wray S, Mahon KA (1991) Spatially restricted expression of a member of a new family of murine *distal-less* homeobox genes in the developing forebrain. *New Biol* 3:1183–1194.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch EF, Maniatis T (1989) Molecular cloning, a laboratory manual, Ed 2. Cold Spring Harbor, NY: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory.
- Sharpe J, Nonchev S, Gould A, Whiting J, Krumlauf R (1998) Selectivity, sharing and competitive interactions in the regulation of *Hoxb* genes. *EMBO J* 17:1788–1798.
- Shimamura K, Martinez S, Puellas L, Rubenstein JLR (1997) Patterns of gene expression in the neural plate and neural tube subdivide the embryonic forebrain into transverse and longitudinal domains. *Dev Neurosci* 19:88–96.
- Simeone A, Acampora D, Pannese M, Desposito M, Stornaiuolo A, Gulisano M, Mallamaci A, Kastury K, Druck T, Huebner K, Boncinelli E (1994) Cloning and characterization of two members of the vertebrate *Dlx* gene family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 91:2250–2254.
- Stock DW, Ellies DL, Zhao Z, Ekker M, Ruddle FH, Weiss KM (1996) The evolution of the vertebrate *Dlx* gene family. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 93:10858–10863.
- Studer M, Gavalas A, Marshall H, Ariza-McNaughton L, Rijli FM, Chambon P, Krumlauf R (1998) Genetic interactions between *Hoxa1* and *Hoxb1* reveal new roles in regulation of early hindbrain patterning. *Development* 125:1025–1036.
- Thompson EA (1984) Information gain in joint linkage analysis. *IMA J Math Appl Med Biol* 1:31–49.
- Vachon G, Cohen B, Pfeifle C, McGuffin ME, Botas J, Cohen SM (1992) Homeotic genes of the *Bithorax* complex repress limb development in the abdomen of the *Drosophila* embryo through the target gene *distal-less*. *Cell* 71:437–450.
- van der Hoeven F, Zakany J, Duboule D (1996) Gene transposition in the *HoxD* complex reveal a hierarchy of regulatory controls. *Cell* 85:1025–1035.
- Williams SC, Altman CR, Chow RL, Hemmati-Brivanlou A, Lang RA (1998) A highly conserved lens transcriptional control element from the *Pax-6* gene. *Mech Dev* 73:225–229.
- Yee S-P, Rigby PWJ (1993) The regulation of myogenin gene expression during the embryonic development of the mouse. *Genes Dev* 7:1277–1289.
- Zerucha T, Muller J-P, Chartrand N, Ekker M (1997) Cross-interactions between two members of the *Dlx* family of homeobox-containing genes during zebrafish development. *Biochem Cell Biol* 75:613–622.
- Zhang H, Hu G, Wang H, Scialvolino P, Iler N, Shen MM, Abate-Shen C (1997) Heterodimerization of *Msx* and *Dlx* homeoproteins results in functional antagonism. *Mol Cell Biol* 17:2920–2932.