Journal Club

Editor's Note: These short reviews of recent *JNeurosci* articles, written exclusively by students or postdoctoral fellows, summarize the important findings of the paper and provide additional insight and commentary. If the authors of the highlighted article have written a response to the Journal Club, the response can be found by viewing the Journal Club at www.jneurosci.org. For more information on the format, review process, and purpose of Journal Club articles, please see <http://jneurosci.org/content/preparing-manuscript#journalclub>.

BMP/SMAD Pathway and the Development of Dopamine Substantia Nigra Neurons

Willemieke M. Kouwenhoven¹ and Hendrikus J. van Heesbeen²

¹Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, GRSNC, Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec H3T 1J4, Canada, and ²Swammerdam Institute for Life Sciences, University of Amsterdam, 1090 GE Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Review of Jovanovic et al.

One of the major hallmarks of Parkinson's disease (PD) is the loss of dopamine release in the striatum resulting from progressive loss of mesodiencephalic dopamine-producing (mdDA) neurons. In PD, the most vulnerable neurons are in the ventral tier of the substantia nigra (SN); mdDA neurons in the adjacent ventral tegmental area (VTA) are mostly spared (Kalia and Lang, 2015). Studies that have characterized the embryonic pathways underlying mdDA neuron specification and differentiation, have shown that numerous signaling molecules, including sonic hedgehog, fibroblast growth factors, and Wnts influence the differentiation of mdDA neuronal subsets (Veenliet and Smidt, 2014; Arenas et al., 2015). This knowledge has guided the design of protocols to differentiate stem cells into DA neurons, for both transplantation and *in vitro* PD models. Still, these protocols typically yield low numbers of fully differentiated DA neurons. Therefore, the search for more efficient protocols based on a greater understanding of mdDA neuron

development *in vivo* continues (Arenas et al., 2015).

A recent paper published in *The Journal of Neuroscience* investigated whether the bone morphogenetic protein (BMP)/SMAD signaling pathway plays a role in the development of mdDA neurons (Jovanovic et al., 2018). BMPs are members of the transforming growth factor β (TGF- β) superfamily and binding to the BMP type I receptor results in phosphorylation of receptor-regulated SMADs, that is, SMAD1/5/8. The phosphorylated (p)-SMAD protein establishes a complex with a partner SMAD protein resulting in nuclear translocation and subsequent regulation of target genes (Katagiri and Watabe, 2016). BMPs play an important role in the development of the CNS, contributing to processes such as neurulation, neurogenesis, and neuronal differentiation (for review, see Bond et al., 2012).

Jovanovic et al. (2018) first demonstrated the presence of p-SMAD1/5/8 proteins together with transcript expression of Bmp5/6/7 and the BMP receptor Ib in the embryonic mesencephalic flexure (their Fig. 1). Next, they used several combinations of single and double BMP knockout (KO) animal strains (Bmp5, Bmp6, Bmp7, Bmp5/6, and Bmp6/7) to investigate the function of BMPs in mdDA development. They found no effect on the expression of the dopamine transporter

suggesting no changes in mdDA neuronal formation in these mutants. In contrast, the Bmp5/7 double mutant, which was investigated at embryonic day (E)10 because these animals do not survive past this age (Solloway and Robertson, 1999), revealed that expression of Nurr1, a transcription factor that is required for the expression of tyrosine hydroxylase (Th), a pivotal marker of the DA phenotype (Zetterström et al., 1997; Smits et al., 2003), was absent (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 1). Therefore, the authors concluded that postmitotic DA neurons failed to develop in the Bmp5/7 KO.

The authors next explored proliferation and neurogenesis, using the transcription factor Lmx1a/b to mark a mdDA progenitor region and phospho-HISTONE H3 to visualize mitotic cells. Fewer mdDA progenitors were present at E10.5 in Bmp5/7 KO embryos than in controls (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 2). Importantly, the reduction of neuronal progenitors was restricted to the Lmx1a-positive subset, suggesting that Bmp5/7 specifically regulates the proliferation of mdDA progenitors. The authors subsequently showed that Msx1/2 and Ngn2, two proneuronal transcription factors that act sequentially to drive normal mdDA progenitor development (Andersson et al., 2006), were downregulated in Bmp5/7 mutants. These data suggest that neurogenesis is reduced in the Bmp5/7 mutant, and that

Received March 28, 2018; revised May 28, 2018; accepted May 31, 2018.
The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dr. Willemieke M. Kouwenhoven, Department of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine, GRSNC, Université de Montréal, 2900 Boulevard Édouard Montpetit, Montreal, QC H3T 1J4, Canada. E-mail: Willemieke.kouwenhoven@umontreal.ca.

DOI:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0821-18.2018

Copyright © 2018 the authors 0270-6474/18/386244-03\$15.00/0

Bmp5/7 promotes *Msx1/2* expression. As such Bmp5/7 could be included in a previously proposed developmental model (Andersson et al., 2006), in which Bmp5/7 drives *Msx1/2* expression, and consequently *Ngn2* expression in the mdDA progenitor domain.

To test whether receptor-regulated SMAD signaling is required for mdDA neurogenesis, the Nestin-cre driver was used to conditionally delete *Smad1* in neural precursor cells (*Smad1^{Nes}*). Because *Smad1^{Nes}* mutants survived past birth, the authors were able to investigate SMAD function in postmitotic mdDA neurons. This approach revealed a prolonged proliferation phase, which was accompanied by a reduction of postmitotic mdDA neurons (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 5). To test whether the SN and VTA were equally affected by the absence of *Smad1*, the authors examined the expression of subset-specific markers within Th-positive neurons, specifically *Sox6* and *Girk2* to identify SN neurons and *Calb* to visualize VTA neurons (Poulin et al., 2014). The number of Th+/Sox6+ and Th+/Girk2+ double-positive neurons were reduced in *Smad1^{Nes}* mutants, whereas the ratio of Th+/Calb+ double-positive neurons remained unchanged, suggesting that the loss of *Smad1* affects SN neurons more than VTA neurons (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 6).

These results led Jovanovic et al. (2018) to hypothesize that enhancing BMP/SMAD signaling would increase purity when generating DA neurons from induced neural stem cells (iNSCs). Importantly, BMP/SMAD signaling is generally inhibited in early phases to convert stem cells into neural stem cells (Chambers et al., 2009). Consistent with their hypothesis, adding BMP5 and BMP7 to the final maturation phase of a standard protocol for the induction of DA neurons, but without changing the intermediate differentiation phase toward dopamine precursors (Reinhardt et al., 2013), the authors accomplished a 2- to 3-fold increase of Th+ neurons as a portion of total (TuJ+) neurons. Furthermore, they observed a higher percentage of Th+ cells coexpressing SN-marker *Girk2* (~8%) than Th+ neurons that coexpressed VTA-marker *Calb* (~3%; Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 7). Whether this represented a significant difference was not indicated however. Nonetheless, the authors concluded that the BMP/SMAD signaling pathway could be used to program neuronal stem cells into DA neurons more efficiently.

How does the BMP/SMAD pathway promote SN neuronal identity?

Jovanovic et al. (2018) provide compelling data suggesting the importance of BMP/SMAD signaling in mdDA neuronal development. Moreover, they reveal that this signaling pathway might be involved in mdDA subset specification, as they report a significant loss of Th+ neurons that express SN markers (i.e., *Sox6*+, *Girk2*+), when BMP/SMAD signaling is disrupted. In contrast, the percentage of Th+/Calb+ neurons, a VTA marker, remained unchanged in *Smad1^{Nes}* mutants (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 6). What might explain the reduction of SN neurons in *Smad1^{Nes}* mutants?

One clue is the reduced number of Th+ neurons that also express the transcription factor *Pitx3* at E14.5 and P0 in *Smad1^{Nes}* mutants (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Fig. 6). Indeed, several papers have shown that *Pitx3* is essential for the development of SN neurons (Nunes et al., 2003; van den Munckhof et al., 2003; Smidt et al., 2004). Whether the SMAD/BMP pathway regulates *Pitx3* expression directly or indirectly in mdDA progenitors is unclear. However, a candidate to mediate indirect regulation is the transcription factor *En1*, because the *En1*+ fraction of TH-neurons was reduced in the experiments by Jovanovic et al. (2018) (their Fig. 6), and *En1* is a known regulator of *Pitx3* (Veenvliet et al., 2013; Kouwenhoven et al., 2017).

Another clue is the relationship between the temporal expression of Bmp5/7 in the mesencephalon and the different time points at which SN and VTA neurons are born. Previous work suggests that the majority of SN neurons are born at E10, whereas VTA neurons are predominantly born between E10 and E12 (Bye et al., 2012). From the Jovanovic et al. (2018) study, it is clear that Bmp7 transcript, BMP5, and p-SMAD1/5/8 proteins are already present within or very close to the mdDA progenitor domain at E10 (their Fig. 1). This means that at the peak of SN neurogenesis, several components of the BMP/SMAD pathway are present and could thus shape the specific developmental profile of these cells. In contrast, a large percentage of VTA neurons are born after E10 and might therefore be exposed to a lesser degree to BMP/SMAD signaling. More detailed information on the temporal expression of the BMP/SMAD components in the mesencephalon could provide insight regarding this hypothesis.

Improved iNSC protocol by incorporating BMP/SMAD pathway

In the second part of their paper, Jovanovic et al. (2018) focus on optimizing the *in vitro* generation of DA neurons by adding BMP5/7 to the maturation stage of a typical protocol. Notably, this altered protocol seems to generate a larger number of Th+ neurons (as a portion of TuJ1+ cells). The reason for this improvement is unfortunately not easily explained. The *in vivo* data (Jovanovic et al., 2018, their Figs. 1–5) strongly support a role for BMPs in developmental regulation of early mdDA progenitors. However, the supplementation of BMPs to the *in vitro* protocol occurs during the (later) maturation phase. It is possible that the increased Th+ yield results from a neurotrophic effect of BMPs, because it has been shown that BMP7 can protect DA neurons against toxins *in vivo* (Harvey et al., 2004) and can be neuroprotective for primary neurons as well (Cox et al., 2004; Chou et al., 2008).

In addition to increasing Th+ yield, the supplementation of BMP5 and BMP7 could possibly form a new lead to a protocol that also produces Th+ cells that more often coexpress the SN-specific marker *Girk2* than the VTA-specific marker *Calb*. Two recent studies described another effective method to promote the production of differentiated DA neurons: the use of the cell surface marker *Corin* (Doi et al., 2014; Kikuchi et al., 2017). These are all exciting results in terms of the search for a protocol that generates *in vitro* DA neurons with a SN character that could replace the SN neurons that have been lost in PD. Notably however, are the results of another recent paper (Kirkeby et al., 2017), showing that striatal transplantation of DA progenitors with a caudal-mesencephalic phenotype, i.e., expressing markers generally more associated with a VTA phenotype, represented more successful grafts, than DA progenitors with a diencephalic phenotype. Combined, these studies suggest that it is essential to carefully choose appropriate DA neuron progenitors for effective transplantation. In light of this, the conclusion that BMP/SMAD signaling influences mdDA progenitors *in vivo* and may potentially regulate SN and VTA subsets through transcription factors such as *En1* or *Pitx3*, is valuable for the optimization of protocols that reprogram neuronal stem cells.

References

Andersson E, Tryggvason U, Deng Q, Friling S, Alekseenko Z, Robert B, Perlmann T, Ericson

- J (2006) Identification of intrinsic determinants of midbrain dopamine neurons. *Cell* 124:393–405. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Arenas E, Denham M, Villaescusa JC (2015) How to make a midbrain dopaminergic neuron. *Development* 142:1918–1936. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Bond AM, Bhalala OG, Kessler JA (2012) The dynamic role of bone morphogenetic proteins in neural stem cell fate and maturation. *Dev Neurobiol* 72:1068–1084. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Bye CR, Thompson LH, Parish CL (2012) Birth dating of midbrain dopamine neurons identifies A9 enriched tissue for transplantation into parkinsonian mice. *Exp Neurol* 236:58–68. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Chambers SM, Fasano CA, Papapetrou EP, Tomishima M, Sadelain M, Studer L (2009) Highly efficient neural conversion of human ES and iPS cells by dual inhibition of SMAD signaling. *Nat Biotechnol* 27:275–280. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Chou J, Luo Y, Kuo CC, Powers K, Shen H, Harvey BK, Hoffer BJ, Wang Y (2008) Bone morphogenetic protein-7 reduces toxicity induced by high doses of methamphetamine in rodents. *Neuroscience* 151:92–103. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Cox S, Harvey BK, Sanchez JF, Wang JY, Wang Y (2004) Mediation of BMP7 neuroprotection by MAPK and PKC in rat primary cortical cultures. *Brain Res* 1010:55–61. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Doi D, Samata B, Katsukawa M, Kikuchi T, Morizane A, Ono Y, Sekiguchi K, Nakagawa M, Parmar M, Takahashi J (2014) Isolation of human induced pluripotent stem cell-derived dopaminergic progenitors by cell sorting for successful transplantation. *Stem Cell Reports* 2:337–350. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Harvey BK, Mark A, Chou J, Chen GJ, Hoffer BJ, Wang Y (2004) Neurotrophic effects of bone morphogenetic protein-7 in a rat model of Parkinson's disease. *Brain Res* 1022:88–95. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Jovanovic VM, Salti A, Tillemann H, Zega K, Jukic MM, Zou H, Friedel RH, Prakash N, Blaess S, Edenhofer F, Brodski C (2018) BMP/SMAD pathway promotes neurogenesis of midbrain dopaminergic neurons *in vivo* and in human induced pluripotent and neural stem cells. *J Neurosci* 38:1662–1676. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kalia LV, Lang AE (2015) Parkinson's disease. *Lancet* 386:896–912. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Katagiri T, Watabe T (2016) Bone morphogenetic proteins. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 8:a021899. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kikuchi T, Morizane A, Doi D, Magotani H, Onoe H, Hayashi T, Mizuma H, Takara S, Takahashi R, Inoue H, Morita S, Yamamoto M, Okita K, Nakagawa M, Parmar M, Takahashi J (2017) Human iPS cell-derived dopaminergic neurons function in a primate Parkinson's disease model. *Nature* 548:592–596. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kirkeby A, Nolbrant S, Tiklova K, Heuer A, Kee N, Cardoso T, Ottosson DR, Lelos MJ, Rifes P, Dunnett SB, Grealish S, Perlmann T, Parmar M (2017) Predictive markers guide differentiation to improve graft outcome in clinical translation of hESC-based therapy for Parkinson's disease. *Cell Stem Cell* 20:135–148. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Kouwenhoven WM, von Oertel L, Smidt MP (2017) Pitx3 and En1 determine the size and molecular programming of the dopaminergic neuronal pool. *PLoS One*, 12: e0182421. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Nunes I, Tovmasyan LT, Silva RM, Burke RE, Goff SP (2003) Pitx3 is required for development of substantia nigra dopaminergic neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 100:4245–4250. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Poulin J-F, Zou J, Drouin-Ouellet J, Kim K-YA, Cicchetti F, Awatramani RB (2014) Defining midbrain dopaminergic neuron diversity by single-cell gene expression profiling. *Cell Rep* 9:930–943. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Reinhardt P, Glatza M, Hemmer K, Tsytysura Y, Thiel CS, Höing S, Moritz S, Parga JA, Wagner L, Bruder JM, Wu G, Schmid B, Röpké A, Klingauf J, Schwamborn JC, Gasser T, Schöler HR, Sternecker J (2013) Derivation and expansion using only small molecules of human neural progenitors for neurodegenerative disease modeling. *PLoS One* 8:e59252. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Smidt MP, Smits SM, Bouwmeester H, Hamers FPT, van der Linden AJA, Hellemons AJCGM, Graw J, Burbach JPH (2004) Early developmental failure of substantia nigra dopamine neurons in mice lacking the homeodomain gene Pitx3. *Dev Camb Engl* 131:1145–1155. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Smits SM, Ponnio T, Conneely OM, Burbach JPH, Smidt MP (2003) Involvement of Nurr1 in specifying the neurotransmitter identity of ventral midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *Eur J Neurosci* 18:1731–1738. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Solloway MJ, Robertson EJ (1999) Early embryonic lethality in Bmp5/Bmp7 double mutant mice suggests functional redundancy within the 60A subgroup. *Dev Camb Engl* 126:1753–1768. [Medline](#)
- van den Munckhof P, Luk KC, Ste-Marie L, Montgomery J, Blanchet PJ, Sadikot AF, Drouin J (2003) Pitx3 is required for motor activity and for survival of a subset of midbrain dopaminergic neurons. *Development* 130:2535–2542. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Veenvliet JV, Smidt MP (2014) Molecular mechanisms of dopaminergic subset specification: fundamental aspects and clinical perspectives. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 71:4703–4727. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Veenvliet JV, Alves dos Santos MT, Kouwenhoven WM, von Oertel L, Lim JL, van der Linden AJ, Groot Koerkamp MJ, Holstege FC, Smidt MP (2013) Specification of dopaminergic subsets involves interplay of En1 and Pitx3. *Development* 140:3373–3384. [CrossRef Medline](#)
- Zetterström RH, Solomin L, Jansson L, Hoffer BJ, Olson L, Perlmann T (1997) Dopamine neuron agenesis in Nurr1-deficient mice. *Science* 276:248–250. [CrossRef Medline](#)