Contribution of DNMT1 to Neuropathic Pain Genesis Partially through Epigenetically Repressing *Kcna2* in Primary Afferent Neurons

Linlin Sun,^{1*} Xiyao Gu,^{1*} Zhiqiang Pan,¹ Xinying Guo,¹ Jianbin Liu,¹ Fidelis E. Atianjoh,¹ Shaogen Wu,¹ Kai Mo,¹ Bo Xu,¹ Lingli Liang,¹ Alex Bekker,¹ and Yuan-Xiang Tao^{1,2}

¹Department of Anesthesiology, and ²Departments of Cell Biology & Molecular Medicine and Physiology, Pharmacology & Neuroscience, New Jersey Medical School, Rutgers, State University of New Jersey, Newark, New Jersey 07103

Expressional changes of pain-associated genes in primary sensory neurons of DRG are critical for neuropathic pain genesis. DNA methyltransferase (DNMT)-triggered DNA methylation silences gene expression. We show here that DNMT1, a canonical maintenance methyltransferase, acts as the *de novo* DNMT and is required for neuropathic pain genesis likely through repressing at least DRG *Kcna2* gene expression in male mice. Peripheral nerve injury upregulated DNMT1 expression in the injured DRG through the transcription factor cAMP response element binding protein-triggered transcriptional activation of *Dnmt1* gene. Blocking this upregulation prevented nerve injury-induced DNA methylation within the promoter and 5'-untranslated region of *Kcna2* gene, rescued *Kcna2* expression and total Kv current, attenuated hyperexcitability in the injured DRG neurons, and alleviated nerve injury-induced pain hypersensitivities. Given that *Kcna2* is a key player in neuropathic pain, our findings suggest that DRG DNMT1 may be a potential target for neuropathic pain management.

Key words: DNMT1; DRG; epigenetic mechanisms; Kv1.2; neuropathic pain

Significance Statement

In the present study, we reported that DNMT1, a canonical DNA maintenance methyltransferase, is upregulated via the activation of the transcription factor CREB in the injured DRG after peripheral nerve injury. This upregulation was responsible for nerve injury-induced *de novo* DNA methylation within the promoter and 5'-untranslated region of the *Kcna2* gene, reductions in *Kcna2* expression and Kv current and increases in neuronal excitability in the injured DRG. Since pharmacological inhibition or genetic knockdown of DRG DNMT1 alleviated nerve injury-induced pain hypersensitivities, DRG DNMT1 contributes to neuropathic pain genesis partially through repression of DRG *Kcna2* gene expression.

Introduction

Peripheral nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain is a complex and debilitating public health concern. Current successful treatment options for this disorder are limited (Campbell and Meyer, 2006). Although opioids are the last option for pharmacological treatment of neuropathic pain, they cause severe side effects (Coluzzi and Pappagallo, 2005; Jongen et al., 2013). Particularly,

the increase in opioid prescriptions recently in the United States has been accompanied by a huge increase in the incidence of addiction and opioid-related mortality (Meyer et al., 2014). Thus, identifying the mechanisms of neuropathic pain is essential for the discovery of novel treatments and preventative tactics for the disorder. Nerve injury-induced transcriptional changes in gene expression of ion channels, receptors, enzymes, and cytokines/chemokines in the DRG contribute to neuropathic pain genesis (Campbell and Meyer, 2006; Latremoliere and Woolf, 2009; Lutz et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2016). However, the mechanisms underlying these changes remain elusive.

DNA methylation represses gene expression (Poetsch and Plass, 2011; Liang et al., 2015). DNA methylation is catalyzed primarily by a family of DNA methyltransferases (DNMTs), including DNMT1, DNMT3a, and DNMT3b. Conventionally, DNMT3a and DNMT3b function as *de novo* DNMTs to revers-

Received March 27, 2019; revised May 30, 2019; accepted June 3, 2019.

Author contributions: L.S., X. Gu, Z.P., J.L., F.E.A., S.W., and Y.-X.T. designed research; L.S., X. Gu, Z.P., X. Guo, J.L., F.E.A., S.W., K.M., B.X., and L.L. performed research; L.S., X. Gu, Z.P., X. Guo, A.B., and Y.-X.T. analyzed data; L.S. wrote the first draft of the paper; L.S., X. Gu, and Y.-X.T. edited the paper; L.S. and Y.-X.T. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by National Institutes of Health Grants NS094664, NS094224, and DA033390 to Y.-X.T. The authors declare no competing financial interests.

*L.S. and X. Gu contributed equally to this work.

Correspondence should be addressed to Yuan-Xiang Tao at yuanxiang.tao@njms.rutgers.edu.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019>

Copyright © 2019 the authors

ibly methylate unmethylated DNA, whereas DNMT1 is classified as the primary DNMT to maintain DNA methylation that has been established at the genome (Jeltsch, 2006; Siedlecki and Zielenkiewicz, 2006). The contribution of *de novo* DNMT3a-triggered DNA methylation to neuropathic pain genesis was reported (Miao et al., 2017; Shao et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018; Mao et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019). Expression of DNMT3a, but not DNMT3b, is increased in the injured DRG following peripheral nerve injury (Zhao et al., 2017). Blocking this increase via genetic knockdown of DRG DNMT3a ameliorated neuropathic pain, likely through reducing nerve injury-induced increase of DNA methylation in the promoter regions of the *Oprm1* (encoding mu opioid receptor, MOR) and *Kcna2* (encoding Kv1.2) genes and consequently rescuing downregulation of their mRNAs in the injured DRG (Sun et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017). Mimicking nerve injury-induced increase in DRG DNMT3a led to neuropathic pain-like symptoms (Zhao et al., 2017). The evidence also indicates that DNMT1, like DNMT3a, might also have *de novo* methylation activity. Residual DNA methylation was observed in *Dnmt3a/Dnmt3b* double KO embryos (Okano et al., 1999). Unmethylated reporter DNA introduced into *Dnmt3a/Dnmt3b* double KO cells acquired some *de novo* methylation (Lorincz et al., 2002). In addition, overexpression of DNMT1 could create detectable *de novo* DNA methylation activity toward both preexisting methylated DNA substrates (Tollefsbol and Hutchison, 1997; Fatemi et al., 2001) and unmethylated DNA substrates (Pradhan et al., 1999; Vilkaitis et al., 2005). Whether DNMT1, like DNMT3a, in the DRG participates in neuropathic pain remains elusive.

We report here that DRG DNMT1 is required for neuropathic pain genesis, possibly through elevating DNA methylation within the *Kcna2* promoter and 5'-untranslated regions, decreasing *Kcna2* expression and total Kv current, and increasing neuronal excitability in the injured DRG. DNMT1 likely is a potential therapeutic target in the management of neuropathic pain.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All animals were kept in a controlled 12 h light/dark cycle (light 7:00 A.M. to 7:00 P.M., dark 7:00 P.M. to 7:00 A.M.), with free access to water and food pellet. Eight- to 10-week-old male C57BL/6J mice were used for pain behavior tests. All procedures performed were in accordance with the Animal Care & Use Committee at Rutgers, the State University of New Jersey, the National Institutes of Health, and the International Association for the Study of Pain. To address the role of *Dnmt1* specifically within sensory neurons, we generated conditional KO mice. Mice bearing *Dnmt1* with two LoxP sites flanking exon 3, termed as *Dnmt1^{fl/fl}*, were a gift from Dr. Eric J Nestler (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York). Mice bearing targeted knock-in of the Cre allele into the second exon of the *Advillin* gene, termed as *Advillin-Cre* (*Adv^{cre}*), were a gift from Dr. Fan Wang (Duke University Medical Center, Durham, NC). Female *Dnmt1^{fl/fl}* mice were fully crossed with male *Adv^{cre}* mice to generate sensory neuron-specific conditional KO of *Dnmt1* (*Adv^{cre} Dnmt1^{fl/fl}*) mice. Male litters were used in behavior tests.

Neuropathic pain models. Spinal nerve ligation (SNL) (Liang et al., 2016a), chronic constriction injury of sciatic nerve (CCI) (Li et al., 2017), and axotomy models (Fan et al., 2014) were performed as previously reported. Briefly, for the SNL model, the skin on the lower lumbar back region was prepared. A dorsolateral skin incision was then made on the lower back. The fifth lumbar transverse process was identified, freed of its muscle attachment, and then removed. The underlying fourth lumbar spinal nerve (including sensory and motor fibers) was isolated, ligated with nonabsorbable suture, and transected just distal to the ligature. For the CCI model, the skin on the lateral surface of the high thigh level was prepared. A skin incision and blunt dissection were made to expose the sciatic nerve trunk above the femoral joint. Three loose ligations were

made, 1 mm apart with nonabsorbable thread, to the sciatic nerve. For the axotomy model, the exposed sciatic trunk was transected. In all sham-operated controls, the identified surgery was performed without ligation of spinal nerve or sciatic nerve.

Behavioral tests. Mechanical, thermal, and cold behavioral tests were performed in each mouse to monitor its paw withdrawal responses (Liang et al., 2016b). The tests were conducted with 1 h intervals between each test. The experimenter who performed these behavioral tests was blind to the group allocations of the mice.

For the mechanical test, mice were put in separate plastic chambers on an elevated mesh floor and allowed 30 min for habituation before the test. Two calibrated von Frey filaments (0.07 and 0.4 g) were applied to the plantar surface of each hindpaw 10 times, respectively. A quick withdrawal of the paw was considered as a positive response. The number of positive responses of 10 stimuli was recorded as percentage withdrawal frequency [(number of paw withdrawals/10 trials) $\times$ 100 = percentage response frequency]. For Hargreaves' thermal test, mice were prepared as the same way as for the mechanical test. The plantar surface of each hindpaw was exposed to a beam of radiant heat underneath the glass plate. Intensity was adjusted to obtain baseline paw withdrawal latencies between 8 and 10 s in WT mice. The latencies were averaged over three trials, separated by a 10 min interval. A cutoff time of 20 s was set to prevent potential heat injury. For the cold plate test, an aluminum plate was precooled and maintained at a constant 0°C, which was continuously monitored using a thermometer. Each animal was then placed in a Plexiglas chamber on the cold plate. The time length between initial paw contact with the plate and paw withdrawal from the plate was defined as the paw withdrawal latency. The latencies were averaged over three trials, separated by a 10 min interval. A cutoff time of 20 s was set to prevent potential cold injury.

Functional locomotor activities in mice were assessed through three reflex tests as previously described (Li et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018). (1) Placing reflex: The mice were held with the hind limbs slightly lower than the forelimbs, and the dorsal surfaces of the hindpaws were brought into contact with the edge of a table. The experimenters observed whether the hindpaws were placed on the table surface reflexively. (2) Grasping reflex: The mice were placed on a wire grid, and the experimenters noted whether the hindpaws grasped the wire on contact. (3) Righting reflex: The mice were placed with their back on an even surface, and the experimenters recorded whether they immediately resumed the normal upright position. Each reflex was checked in five consecutive trials. Scores for placing, grasping, and righting reflexes were based on counts of normal reflexes.

DRG microinjection. To specifically knock down DNMT1 only in L4 DRG, adult male *Dnmt1^{fl/fl}* mice received microinjection with adeno-associated virus (AAV) vectors (serotype 5) expressing Cre recombinase, termed as AAV5-Cre (University of North Carolina Vector Core), into unilateral L4 DRG. AAV5-GFP virus served as the controls. DRG microinjection was performed as described previously (Liang et al., 2016b). Briefly, unilateral L4 DRG was exposed, and the viral solution (1 μ l, titer $\geq 1 \times 10^{12}$ /ml) was injected into the DRG over a period of 10 min. The glass micropipette was left in place for 10 min after the injection. The mice revealing signs of paresis or other abnormalities were excluded.

Drug administration. RG108, a novel DNMT1 inhibitor (Asgatay et al., 2014; Dong et al., 2019), with relatively low cytotoxic or genotoxic effects compared with five other DNMT inhibitors (5-aza-CR, 5-azaCdR, zebularine, procaine, and epigallocatechin-3-gallate) were used. RG108 (Tocris Bioscience) was given intraperitoneally at 0.4 mg/kg once a day for 7 d. Mechanical, thermal, and cold behavioral tests with 1 h intervals between each test were performed 1 d before RG108 injection and 30 min after RG108 injection on days 3, 5, and 7 after SNL.

Plasmid construction and viral production. The pcDNA3.1(-) plasmid harboring mouse CCAAT/enhancer-binding protein β (C/EBP β) was a gift from Prof. Xi Li at Fudan University, China. After the plasmid was digested by XbaI/BamHI, full-length C/EBP β cDNA was gel purified and ligated into proviral plasmids (Li et al., 2017). Mouse cAMP response element binding protein (CREB) cDNA was synthesized and amplified from the total RNA of mouse DRG (Liang et al., 2016a). Fragments bearing full-length CREB were ligated into proviral plasmids (University

of North Carolina Vector Core) using the BspEI and NotI restriction sites (New England Biolabs) driven by the cytomegalovirus promoter. Packaging of the rAAV5 viral particles encoding the respective plasmids was performed by the University of North Carolina Vector Core. Construction and packaging of AAV5-myeloid zinc finger 1 (MZF1) (Li et al., 2015) and AAV5-octamer transcription factor 1 (OCT1) 6 (Yuan et al., 2019) were described in our previous studies.

Immunohistochemistry. Mice were anesthetized with isoflurane and perfused with 4% PFA before being analyzed by double-labeled immunohistochemistry. L4 DRGs were dissected, postfixed, dehydrated, and frozen sectioned at 20 μ m. After being blocked for 1 h at room temperature in 0.01 M PBS containing 10% goat serum and 0.3% Triton X-100, the sections were further incubated overnight at 4°C with rabbit anti-DNMT1 (1:600, Abcam) plus mouse anti-neurofilament-200 (NF200, 1:500, Sigma-Aldrich), isolectin B4 (IB4, 1:100, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), mouse anti-calcitonin gene-related peptide (CGRP, 1:50, Abcam), mouse anti-NeuN (1:50, GeneTex), or mouse anti-glutamine synthetase (GS, 1:500, EMD Millipore). The sections were then incubated with goat anti-rabbit antibody conjugated to Cy3 (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), goat anti-mouse antibody conjugated to Cy2 (1:200, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories), or avidin labeled with FITC (1:200, Sigma-Aldrich, A2050) for 2 h with vigorous shaking at room temperature. Control experiments for rabbit anti-DNMT1 included substitution of normal rabbit serum for the primary antiserum, omission of the primary antiserum, and preabsorption of the primary antibody with overdose of the antigen. The specificity of remaining primary antisera has been identified previously (Miao et al., 2017; Shao et al., 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018; Mao et al., 2019; Yuan et al., 2019). The sections finally mounted using VectaMount permanent mounting medium (Vector Laboratories) or Vectashield plus DAPI mounting medium (Vector Laboratories). Immunofluorescence-labeled images were captured using a Leica Microsystems DMI4000 fluorescence microscope with a DFC365FX camera (Leica Microsystems). Double-labeled neurons were quantified by NIH ImageJ Software.

Cell culture and transfection. Primary DRG cultures were prepared from 3- to 4-week old WT C57BL/6J, *Dnmt1^{fl/fl}* or *Adv^{cre} Dnmt1^{fl/fl}* mice. After mice were killed with isoflurane, all DRGs were collected in cold Neurobasal medium (Invitrogen) with 10% FBS (JR Scientific), 100 units/ml penicillin, and 100 μ g/ml streptomycin (Quality Biological). Then, they were treated with enzyme solution [dispase (5 mg/ml), collagenase Type I (1 mg/ml) in Hanks' balanced salt solution without Ca^{2+} and Mg^{2+} (Invitrogen)]. After trituration and centrifugation, dissociated cells were resuspended in mixed neurobasal medium and plated in a six-well plate coated with poly-D-lysine (50 μ g/ml, Sigma-Aldrich). The cells were incubated at 95% O_2 , 5% CO_2 , and 37°C for 24 h before transfection. A volume of 2 μ l of AAV5 virus (titer $\geq 1 \times 10^{12}$ /ml) was added to the culture. Cells were harvested 48–72 h later for Western blot or qRT-PCR assay.

Western blot assay. Two L4 DRGs from two individual mice were pooled together for Western blot assay. Protein samples were prepared and loaded onto a 4%–15% stacking/7.5% separating SDS-polyacrylamide gel (Bio-Rad, 345–0032) as reported previously (Liang et al., 2016a). The proteins on the gel were then electrophoretically transferred onto a PVDF membrane (Bio-Rad, 162–0233). Membranes were blocked with 3% nonfat milk in TBS containing 0.1% Tween 20, pH 7.40, for 1 h at room temperature. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-DNMT1 (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-DNMT3a (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology), rabbit anti-DNMT3b (1:500, Cell Signaling Technology), mouse anti-Kv1.2 (1:200, NeuroMab), mouse anti-Kv1.4 (1:200, NeuroMab), mouse anti-Nav1.7 (1:1000; NeuroMab), rabbit anti-MOR (1:500, Neuromics), rabbit anti- κ opioid receptor (κ opioid receptor [KOR], 1:500, Novus Biologicals), rabbit anti-CREB (1:1000, Abcam), mouse anti-phospho-CREB (Ser133) (1:1000, EMD Millipore), mouse anti-C/EBP β (1:500, Abcam), rabbit anti-MZF1 (1:200, gift from Dr D.Y.H. Tuan, Georgia Regents University), rabbit anti-OCT1 (1:500, Abcam), rabbit anti-GAPDH (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), and rabbit anti-histone H3 (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technology). The specificity of rabbit anti-DNMT1 was

examined in the following control experiments, including substitution of normal rabbit serum for the primary antiserum, omission of the primary antiserum, and preabsorption of the primary antibody with overdose of the antigen. The specificity of remaining primary antisera was reported previously (Lee et al., 2011; Zhao et al., 2013, 2017; Fan et al., 2014; Li et al., 2015, 2017; Sun et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018; Du et al., 2019; Mao et al., 2019) or from vendors' data sheets. Membranes were further incubated with anti-mouse or anti-rabbit HRP-conjugated secondary antibody (1:3000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories) for 2 h at room temperature and visualized by Western peroxide reagent and luminol/enhancer reagent (Clarity Western ECL Substrate, Bio-Rad). Images were generated with the ChemiDoc XRS System with Image Lab software (Bio-Rad). Intensities of protein bands were quantified using the Image Lab software. Values of cytosolic proteins were normalized to those of GAPDH and nucleus proteins to histone H3.

qRT-PCR assay. DRGs from 4 individual mice were pooled together to achieve enough RNA. RNA was precipitated, treated with DNase I, and reverse-transcribed to cDNA using the ThermoScript reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen), random hexamers, oligo (dT) primers or specific RT-primers (Fig. 2-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>); 100 ng of each cDNA was prepared in quadruplicate in 20 μ l reaction solution [0.1 M of each primer, and 1 $\times$ SYBR PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad, 172–5274)] and then amplified by real-time PCR (Applied Biosystems) using the primers listed in Fig. 2-1 (available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>). Ratios of ipsilateral-side mRNA levels to contralateral-side mRNA levels were calculated using the ΔCt method ($2^{-\Delta\text{Ct}}$). All data were normalized to *Gapdh*, which has been demonstrated to be stable even after peripheral nerve injury insult (Zhao et al., 2013).

Single-cell RT-PCR assay. Primary DRG culture from C57BL/6J mice was performed as described above. Four hours after plating, small (<15 μ m in diameter), medium (15–35 μ m in diameter), and large (>35 μ m in diameter) DRG neurons were collected individually with a glass micropipette under an inverted microscope fit with a micromanipulator and microinjector, and placed in individual PCR tubes with 10 μ l of cDNA cell lysis buffer (Signosis). After centrifugation, the supernatants were collected. Real-time RT-PCR procedure was performed as described above using the primers listed in Fig. 2-1 (available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) assay. ChIP assays were conducted using the EZ ChIP Kit (Millipore, 17–371), following the manufacturer's instructions. After DRGs from 5 individual mice were homogenized, the proteins were then crosslinked with DNA by adding formaldehyde (a final concentration of 1%) for 10 min at room temperature. The cross-linking reaction was quenched by adding 0.125 M glycine solution for 5 min at room temperature. The sample was then suspended in SDS lysis buffer containing 1 $\times$ protease inhibitor mixture (Millipore) and sonicated to yield fragments of 500 bp average size. After centrifugation, the supernatant was collected and precleared with protein G agarose beads for 1 h at 4°C with agitation; 5% of the soluble chromatin fraction was applied for input normalization. A total of 2 μ g of rabbit anti-CREB (Abcam), rabbit anti-DNMT1 (Abcam), or rabbit IgG was added into the remaining soluble chromatin fraction and incubated overnight at 4°C with rotation. Immune complexes were pulled down with 60 μ l of protein G agarose beads for 1 h at 4°C. The agarose beads were then washed and eluted. After the cross-linking was completely reversed by overnight incubation at 65°C, the proteins were then digested with proteinase K for 2 h at 45°C. The DNA was recovered, purified, and amplified using real-time PCR with primers listed in Fig. 2-1 (available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>).

DNA methylation analysis. The ipsilateral L4 DRG was collected for DNA extraction. Genomic DNA was bisulfite-converted using EZ DNA Methylation-Lighting Kit (Zymo Research) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Bisulfite primers listed in Fig. 2-1 (available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>) were designed to amplify the specific region of *Kcna2* promoter with differential binding by DNMT1. The PCR program was run at 94°C for 2 min; 6 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 53°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s; then 33 cycles of 94°C for 15 s, 56°C for 20 s, 72°C for 30 s; followed by 72°C for 3 min. PCR products

Figure 1. Expression and distribution of DNMT1 protein in lumbar DRG of naive mice. **a, b**, DNMT1 (red) is coexpressed exclusively in NeuN (green) in cellular nuclei (**a**) and undetected in cellular nuclei (labeled by DAPI) of GS (green)-labeled cells (**b**). **c**, Distribution of DNMT1-positive somata: small, 51.55%; medium, 37.77%; large, 10.68%. **d–f**, DNMT1-positive neurons were labeled by NF200 (**d**, green), CGRP (**e**, green), or IB4 (**f**, green). $n = 5$ mice. Scale bars, 40 μm . Validation of the DNMT1 antibody specificity is shown in Figure 1-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f1-1>.

were purified using the Gel PCR purification Kit (Invitrogen), then cloned using TOPO TA Cloning Kit (450030, Invitrogen). After an overnight bacterial culture, 20 subclones from each PCR assay were subjected to direct sequencing.

Whole-cell patch recording. The acutely dissociated mouse L4 DRG neurons from 6- to 8-week-old mice were prepared as described previously (Liang et al., 2016a) to record potassium currents in DRG neurons. Briefly, whole-cell patch-clamp recording was performed 4–12 h after DRG neuron plating. The electrode resistance of micropipettes ranged from 3 to 5 $\text{M}\Omega$. Cells were voltage-clamped with an Axopatch-700B amplifier (Molecular Devices). The intracellular pipette solution contained the following (in mM): 120 potassium gluconate, 20 KCl, 2 MgCl_2 , 10 EGTA, 10 HEPES, and 4 Mg-ATP , pH 7.3 (with KOH, 310 mOsm). The extracellular solution contained the following (in mM): 150 choline chloride, 5 KCl, 1 CdCl_2 , 2 CaCl_2 , 1 MgCl_2 , 10 HEPES, and 10 glucose, pH 7.4 (with Tris base, 320 mOsm). Series resistance was compensated by 60%–80%. An online P/4 leak subtraction was performed to eliminate leak current contribution. The data were stored on the computer by a DigiData 1550 interface and analyzed by the pCLAMP 10.4 software package (Molecular Devices).

The current-clamp recording was performed to record action potential (AP) at room temperature ($\sim 20^\circ\text{C}$ – 23°C). The extracellular solution contained the following (in mM): 140 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 CaCl_2 , 2 MgCl_2 , 10 HEPES, and 5 glucose, with pH adjusted to 7.38 by NaOH. The intracellular pipette solution contained the following (in mM): 135 KCl, 3 Mg-ATP , 0.5 Na_2ATP , 1.1 CaCl_2 , 2 EGTA, and 5 glucose, pH adjusted to 7.38 with KOH and osmolarity adjusted to 300 mOsm with sucrose. The resting membrane potential was taken 3 min after a stable recording was first obtained. The DRG neurons with resting membrane potentials more hyperpolarized than -40 mV were chosen. The neurons were excluded from the analysis if leak currents were >150 pA at -80 mV, access resistance $>5\%$ of the input resistance, input resistance <200 $\text{M}\Omega$, or if any of these parameters changed by $>20\%$ during the recording. The membrane potential was held at the existing resting membrane potential during the current injection. The depolarizing currents from 100 to 1400 pA (100 pA increment, 200 ms duration) were delivered to evoke AP. The AP threshold was defined as the first point on the rapid rising phase of the spike at which the change in voltage exceeded 50 mV/ms. The AP amplitude was measured between the peak and the baseline. The AP overshoot

was measured between the AP peak and 0 mV. The membrane input resistance was measured by delivering a series of hyperpolarizing currents from 200 pA to -2000 pA (200 ms duration, 100 pA step) and obtained from the slope of a steady-state I – V plot. The afterhyperpolarization amplitude was measured between the maximum hyperpolarization and the final plateau voltage. The neurons were excluded for AP analysis if the injected current to evoke a spike was >1000 pA. The data were stored on computer by a DigiData 1500 interface and analyzed by the pCLAMP 10.4 software package (Molecular Devices). All experiments were performed at room temperature.

Statistical analysis. All of the results are given as mean $\pm$ SEM. The data were statistically analyzed with two-tailed, paired or unpaired Student's t test and one-way or two-way ANOVA. When ANOVA showed a significant difference, pairwise comparisons between means were tested by the *post hoc* Turkey method (Sigma-Aldrich, Plot 12.5). Significance was set at $p < 0.05$.

Results

Distribution of DNMT1 in the DRG

We first analyzed the expression and distribution pattern of DNMT1 in the DRG. Using double labeling for DNMT1 and NeuN (a marker for neurons) or triple labeling for DNMT1, GS (a marker for satellite glial cells), and DAPI (a marker for cellular nuclei), we reported that DNMT1 was colocalized with NeuN in cellular nuclei (Fig. 1a) and was not seen in the cellular nuclei of the GS-positive cells (Fig. 1b). No staining was detected after substitution of normal rabbit serum or omission/preabsorption of the primary antibody (Fig. 1-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f1-1>). Approximately 48.5% of the NeuN-labeled neurons (706 of 1455) were positive for DNMT1. A cross-sectional analysis of cell-size distribution showed that $\sim 51.55\%$ of the DNMT1-labeled neurons were small (<600 μm^2), 37.77% were medium (600–1200 μm^2), and 10.68% were large (>1200 μm^2 ; Fig. 1c). Subpopulation analysis showed that $\sim 33.45\%$ of the DNMT1-labeled neurons were positive for NF200 (a marker for medium/large neurons and myelinated A β -fibers; Fig. 1d), 42.16% for CGRP (a marker for small

Figure 2. Peripheral nerve injury upregulates *Dnmt1* mRNA and protein in the injured DRG of mice. **a**, DNMT1 protein expression in the ipsilateral L4 DRGs after SNL or sham surgery. $n = 4$ mice/group/time point. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{time}}(3,24) = 10.46$; $F_{\text{model}}(1,24) = 41.44$. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding control group (0 d). **b**, Representative immunostaining images (left) of the neurons labeled by DNMT1 (red) and NeuN (green) and a summary of analysis on the number of DNMT1-labeled neurons (right) in the ipsilateral L4 DRG on days 3 and 7 after SNL and sham surgery. $n = 2$ mice/group/time point. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,44) = 1.112$. $^{**}p < 0.01$ SNL versus sham. **c**, *Dnmt1* mRNA expression in the ipsilateral L4 DRG on days 3 and 7 after SNL or sham surgery. $n = 6$ mice/group/time point. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{time}}(2,17) = 79.56$. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding control group (0 d). **d**, DNMT1 protein expression in the ipsilateral (ipsi) L3 DRG and L4 spinal cord dorsal horn (DH) on days 3 and 7 after SNL. $n = 4$ mice/group/time point. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{time}}(3,12) = 0.21$ for ipsi L3 DRGs; $F_{\text{time}}(3,12) = 0.16$ for ipsi L4 DH. **e**, **f**, Expressions of *Dnmt1* mRNA (**e**) and protein (**f**) in the ipsilateral L3/4 DRGs on day 7 after axotomy or sham surgery. $n = 6$ mice/group/time point. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{time}}(1,12) = 17.5$ in **e**; $F_{\text{time}}(1,12) = 42.72$ in **f**. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding control group (0 d). **g**, **h**, Expressions of *Dnmt1* mRNA (**g**) and protein (**h**) in the ipsilateral L3/4 DRGs on day 7 after CCI or sham surgery. $n = 3$ mice/group. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding control group (0 d) (two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test). Primer sequences are provided in Figure 2-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f2-1>.

DRG peptidergic neurons; Fig. 1e), and 34.98% for IB4 (a marker for small nonpeptidergic neurons; Fig. 1f).

DNMT1 is upregulated in the injured DRG following peripheral nerve injury

We further asked whether DNMT1 expression was altered in DRG after peripheral nerve injury. DNMT1 was time-dependently and significantly upregulated in the ipsilateral (injured) L4 DRG after the unilateral L4 SNL, but not after sham surgery (Fig. 2a). The levels of DNMT1 protein were increased by 2.8-fold on day 3 ($p < 0.01$), 3.3-fold on day 7 ($p < 0.01$), and 1.5-fold on day 14 ($p > 0.05$) after SNL compared with naive mice (Fig. 2a). The number of DNMT1-labeled neurons in the injured DRG on days 3 and 7 after SNL also increased by 21% ($p < 0.01$) and 23% ($p < 0.01$), respectively, compared with the corresponding sham group (Fig. 2b). Furthermore, compared with naive mice, SNL (but not sham surgery) led to a significant increase in the amount of *Dnmt1* mRNA in the injured DRG on days 3 and 7 after surgery ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 2c). SNL did not alter basal expression of DNMT1 protein in the ipsilateral (intact) L3 DRG and ipsilateral L4 spinal cord dorsal horn (Fig. 2d). Similar results were found after axotomy and CCI to the unilateral sciatic nerve. The levels of DNMT1 mRNA and protein were increased by 1.6-fold ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 2e) and 2.4-fold ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 2f), respectively, on day 7 after axotomy compared with the corresponding naive mice and by 4.7-fold ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 2g) and 1.7-fold ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 2h), respectively, on day 7 after CCI compared with the corresponding sham group. Collectively, our data revealed that DNMT1 was dramatically upregulated during the early period after peripheral nerve injury, which suggests that DNMT1 contributes to the development of nerve injury-induced neuropathic pain.

CREB transcriptionally activates DRG *Dnmt1* gene after SNL

How is DRG *Dnmt1* gene transcriptionally activated after peripheral nerve injury? Transcription factors regulate gene expression. We used the online software TFSEARCH and found that potential binding motifs for at least four transcription factors (MZF1, OCT1, CEBP β and CREB) were predicted within the promoter and 5'-untranslated region of *Dnmt1* gene. To screen which transcriptional factor affected DRG DNMT1 expression, we transduced AAV5 respectively expressing full-length of each of these four transcriptional factors into cultured DRG neurons. Overexpression of CREB, but not MZF1, OCT1, and CEBP β , markedly upregulated DNMT1 protein expression in DRG neurons (Fig. 3a; Fig. 3-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f3-1>). A ChIP assay revealed that a fragment within the *Dnmt1* gene promoter including a consensus CREB binding motif (−366TGACGTCA−359) could be amplified from the complex immunoprecipitated with CREB antibody, but not normal serum, in nuclear fractions from the DRGs of sham mice (Fig. 3b), suggesting the existence of specific binding of CREB to the *Dnmt1* gene in DRG. Moreover, SNL produced a significant increase in the binding activity between CREB and *Dnmt1* gene promoter, as evidenced by a 2.7-fold increase in band density, in the injured DRG on day 7 after SNL ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 3b). This increase may be attributed to the time-dependent increases in total CREB and its active form phosphorylated CREB (pCREB) in the injured DRG after SNL (Fig. 3c). Compared with the corresponding naive mice, SNL, but not sham surgery, elevated the level of pCREB by 1.8-fold ($p < 0.05$) and 2.3-fold ($p < 0.05$) and the amount of total CREB by 1.5-fold ($p > 0.05$) and 3.8-fold ($p < 0.05$), respectively, on days 3 and 7 after surgery (Fig. 3c). As expected, neither SNL nor sham surgery changed basal expression of total CREB and pCREB in the contralateral L4 DRG, ipsilateral L3

Figure 3. CREB transcriptionally activates *Dnmt1* mRNA expression in the injured DRG after peripheral nerve injury. **a**, CREB overexpression (OE) through AAV5-CREB (but not AAV5-GFP) transfection elevated DNMT1 expression in primary DRG-cultured neurons from mice. Left, Representative Western blots. Right, Summary of densitometric analysis. $n = 3$ biological repeats/group. Two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test: $**p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding AAV5-GFP-treated group. **b**, Binding activity between CREB and *Dnmt1* promoter region in the ipsilateral L4 DRG on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. Left, Representative ChIP assay result. Right, Summary of quantitative PCR quantification analysis. $n = 6$ mice/group. Two-tailed unpaired Student's *t* test: $**p < 0.01$ versus sham group. **c**, Expression of CREB and its active form pCREB on days 3 and 7 after SNL or sham surgery. $n = 6$ mice/group. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{time}}(2,17) = 10.13$ for pCREB; $F_{\text{time}}(2,17) = 9.85$ for CREB. $*p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding control (0 d). **d**, *Dnmt1* gene promoter activity in HEK293T-cells transfected with the vectors as indicated. $n = 3$ biological repeats/group. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(2,8) = 57.32$. $**p < 0.01$ versus the GFP plus control group. **e**, Coexpression of *Creb* mRNA with *Dnmt1* mRNA and *Kcna2* mRNA in individual small, medium, and large DRG neurons demonstrated by single-cell RT-PCR assay. $n = 3$ biological repeats. Effect of DRG MZF1, OCT1 and C/EBP β overexpression on DNMT1 is shown in Figure 3-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f3-1>.

DRG, and ipsilateral L4 spinal cord dorsal horn (data not shown). To further confirm that CREB could directly regulate *Dnmt1* gene transcriptional activity, we performed luciferase assay on the transfected HEK293T cells. Cotransfection of CREB vector (encoding full-length CREB), but not control GFP vector, significantly increased the transcriptional activity of the *Dnmt1* gene promoter including the CREB-binding motif (Fig. 3d). Single-cell RT-PCR analysis revealed coexpression of *Creb* mRNA and *Dnmt1* mRNA in individual small, medium, and large DRG neurons (Fig. 3e). Together, our findings suggest that CREB participates in the nerve injury-induced upregulation of DNMT1 in the injured DRG.

DRG DNMT1 contributes to neuropathic pain development

To examine whether the increased DRG DNMT1 contributes to neuropathic pain genesis, we first observed the effect of systemic administration of RG108, a specific DNMT inhibitor, on SNL-induced pain hypersensitivity. Consistent with previous studies (Li et al., 2017; Mo et al., 2018), SNL produced long-term mechanical allodynia, heat hyperalgesia, and cold allodynia on the ipsilateral side in the vehicle-treated mice (Fig. 4a,b). Intraperitoneal injection of RG108 (daily for 7 d) did not alter basal paw responses to mechanical, heat, or cold stimuli on the ipsilateral side of sham mice (Fig. 4a,b) but significantly attenuated SNL-induced mechanical allodynia, heat hyperalgesia, and cold allodynia ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$; Fig. 4a,b). As expected, systemic injection of neither RG108 nor vehicle changed basal paw withdrawal responses on the contralateral side (Fig. 4-1a, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>; Fig. 4-1b, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>) or locomotor functions (Fig. 4-2, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-2>) during the observation period.

Intraperitoneal injection of RG108 may mimic systemic administration of the drugs in clinic, but an important limitation of this strategy is the lack of anatomical specificity. To determine the role of DRG DNMT1 in neuropathic pain, we microinjected AAV5-Cre to the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and allowed 5 weeks for Cre expression before SNL or sham surgery to obtain L4 DRG-specific DNMT1 knockdown. AAV5-GFP was used as a control. As expected, SNL increased the level of DNMT1 by 1.9-fold on day 7 after SNL in the injured L4 DRG of the AAV5-GFP-injected *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice compared with the AAV5-GFP-injected sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5a). DRG microinjection of AAV5-Cre blocked this increase as demonstrated by 44% reduction in the amount of DNMT1 in the injured L4 DRG of the AAV5-Cre-injected SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice compared with the AAV5-GFP-injected SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5a). This microinjection partially reversed SNL-induced increased withdrawal frequencies to mechanical stimuli ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 4c) and decreased withdrawal latencies to heat and cold stimuli ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 4d) on the ipsilateral side from day 3 to 7 after SNL. DRG microinjection of AAV5-Cre slightly reduced basal expression of DNMT1 protein in the ipsilateral L4 DRG of sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 5a). DRG microinjection of neither AAV5-Cre (Fig. 4c,d; Fig. 4-1c, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>; Fig. 4-1d, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>) nor AAV5-GFP (data not shown) significantly altered basal mechanical, heat, and cold responses on both ipsilateral and contralateral sides of sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. As expected, both *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and virus-microinjected mice displayed normal locomotor functions (Fig. 4-2, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-2>).

DRG microinjection may cause cell damage, although the injected DRG retained its structural integrity and displayed no sig-

Figure 4. DRG DNMT1 contributes to SNL-induced neuropathic pain. **a, b**, Effect of intraperitoneal administration of RG108 (0.4 mg/kg) once daily for 7 d starting 30 min before surgery on SNL-induced mechanical allodynia (**a**) and heat hyperalgesia and cold allodynia (**b**) on the ipsilateral side. $n = 5$ mice/group. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(3,64) = 202.3$ and $F_{\text{time}}(3,64) = 74.84$ for 0.07 g; $F_{\text{group}}(3,64) = 178.9$ and $F_{\text{time}}(3,64) = 54.58$ for 0.4 g; $F_{\text{group}}(3,64) = 611.5$ and $F_{\text{time}}(3,64) = 124.6$ for heat; $F_{\text{group}}(3,64) = 1823$ and $F_{\text{time}}(3,64) = 637.4$ for cold. $*p < 0.05$ versus the vehicle-treated SNL mice at the corresponding time point. $***p < 0.01$ versus the vehicle-treated SNL mice at the corresponding time point. **c, d**, Effect of microinjection of AAV5-Cre or AAV5-GFP into the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on SNL-induced mechanical allodynia (**c**) and heat hyperalgesia and cold allodynia (**d**) on the ipsilateral side. $n = 6$ mice/group. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(2,75) = 39.56$ and $F_{\text{time}}(4,75) = 28.35$ for 0.07 g; $F_{\text{group}}(2,75) = 57.21$, $F_{\text{time}}(4,75) = 31.22$ for 0.4 g; $F_{\text{group}}(2,75) = 136.6$ and $F_{\text{time}}(4,75) = 85.46$ for heat; $F_{\text{group}}(2,75) = 303.8$ and $F_{\text{time}}(4,75) = 173.9$ for cold. $*p < 0.05$ versus the AAV5-GFP-microinjected SNL mice at the corresponding time point. $***p < 0.01$ versus the AAV5-GFP-microinjected SNL mice at the corresponding time point. **e, f**, Effect of DRG DNMT1 KO in *AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on SNL-induced mechanical allodynia (**e**) and heat hyperalgesia and cold allodynia (**f**) on the ipsilateral side. $n = 6$ mice/group. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(3,80) = 46.06$, $F_{\text{time}}(3,80) = 12.78$ for 0.07 g; $F_{\text{group}}(3,80) = 154.3$, $F_{\text{time}}(3,80) = 52.28$ for 0.4 g; $F_{\text{group}}(3,80) = 227.1$, $F_{\text{time}}(3,80) = 55.17$ for heat; $F_{\text{group}}(3,80) = 374.7$, $F_{\text{time}}(3,80) = 139.8$ for cold. $*p < 0.05$ versus the *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} SNL mice at the corresponding time point. $***p < 0.01$ versus the *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} SNL mice at the corresponding time point. Paw withdrawal frequencies in response to mechanical stimuli and paw withdrawal latencies in response to heat stimulation on the contralateral side are shown in Figure 4-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>. Locomotor functions are shown in Figure 4-2, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-2>.

nificant changes in the number of cells (data not shown). In addition, the limited volume of DRG microinjection may affect the efficacy of DRG DNMT1 knockdown and its downstream gene expression. To further confirm the role of DRG DNMT1 in neuropathic pain, we crossbred *Advillin-Cre* mice with *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice to generate sensory neuron-specific *Dnmt1* KO (*AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl}) mice. DNMT1 protein was almost absent in DRGs and trigeminal ganglions, and its levels remained unchanged in the spinal cord dorsal horn and brain from *AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice compared with *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 5-1a, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f5-1>). Expression of neither DNMT3a nor DNMT3b was affected in DRGs from *AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice compared with

Dnmt1^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 5-1b, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f5-1>). Like AAV5-Cre-injected *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice displayed reduced paw withdrawal frequencies to mechanical stimuli and increased paw withdrawal latencies to heat or cold stimulation compared with *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on the ipsilateral side from day 3 to day 7 after SNL. Similarly, mechanical, heat, or cold stimuli-induced responses did not change on the ipsilateral side of both *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and *AdvCre Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice after sham surgery (Fig. 4e,f) and on the contralateral side of both mice after SNL or sham surgery (Fig. 4-1e, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1>; Fig. 4-1f, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-2>).

Figure 5. DNMT1 deficiency rescued SNL-induced Kv1.2 expression in the injured DRG. **a**, Protein expression of DNMT1, Kv1.2, MOR, and KOR in the ipsilateral L4 DRG microinjected with AAV5-Cre or AAV5-GFP from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. *n* = 3 repeats (12 mice)/group. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 37.1$, $F_{\text{injection}}(1,8) = 26.1$ for Kv1.2; $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 192.0$, $F_{\text{injection}}(1,8) = 4.2$ for MOR; $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 41.6$, $F_{\text{injection}}(1,8) = 0.32$ for KOR. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding AAV5-GFP-microinjected sham mice. ** $p < 0.01$ SNL versus the corresponding AAV5-GFP-microinjected sham mice. ## $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding AAV5-GFP-microinjected SNL mice. **b**, Protein expression of DNMT1, Kv1.2, Kv1.4, Nav1.7, MOR, and KOR in naive L4 DRG from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and *Adv^{Cre}Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. *n* = 3 repeats (12 mice)/group. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). ** $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). **c**, Protein expression DNMT1, Kv1.2, MOR, KOR, and Kv1.4 in cultured DRG neurons from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice transfected with AAV5-Cre or AAV5-GFP. * $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding AAV5-GFP-treated group (two-tailed Student's *t* test). **d**, Three regions (R2, -459/-218; R3, -237/-20; R4, -71/180), but not other two regions (R1, -626/-440; R5, 161/396) from the *Kcna2* gene were immunoprecipitated by rabbit DNMT1 antibody, but not by rabbit normal serum (NS), in mouse DRGs. Input: total purified fragments. M, Ladder marker. **e**, DNMT1 binding to R3 and R4 regions within *Kcna2* gene in the ipsilateral L4 DRG of mice on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. *n* = 3 repeats (10 mice)/group. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). ** $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). **f**, Relative binding of DNMT1 to R3 and R4 regions within *Kcna2* gene in the ipsilateral L4 DRG of mice on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. *n* = 3 repeats (10 mice)/group. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). ** $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). **g**, The increases in the levels of DNA methylation at -64, -26, and 43 CpG sites by bisulfite clone-sequencing assay in the ipsilateral L4 DRGs on day 7 after SNL. *n* = 3 repeats (30 mice)/group. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). ** $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding sham mice (two-tailed Student's *t* test). **h**, DNA methylation levels at -64, -26, and 43 CpG sites in the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv^{Cre}Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. *n* = 3 repeats (30 mice)/group. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 21.77$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,8) = 27.58$ for site -64; $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 20.73$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,8) = 16.21$ for site -26; $F_{\text{model}}(1,8) = 17.57$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,8) = 18.84$ for site 43. * $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. ** $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. # $p < 0.05$ versus the corresponding SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. ## $p < 0.01$ versus the corresponding SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. DNMT1 protein expression in cortex, spinal cord dorsal horn (DH), DRG and trigeminal ganglion (TG) from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv^{Cre}Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice is shown in Figure 5-1a, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f5-1>. No changes in DNMT3a and DNMT3b expression in DRGs from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv^{Cre}Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice is shown in Figure 5-1b, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f5-1>.

JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-1). Both *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and *Adv^{Cre}Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice displayed normal locomotor functions (Fig. 4-2, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f4-2>).

Together, our findings indicate that DNMT1 in the injured DRG may be required for neuropathic pain genesis.

Upregulated DNMT1 participates in SNL-induced Kv1.2 downregulation in DRG

Consistent with previous observations (Zhao et al., 2013, 2017; Fan et al., 2014; Liang et al., 2016a,b), SNL dramatically downregulated the expression of Kv1.2, MOR, and KOR on day 7 after SNL in the injured DRG of the AAV5-GFP-injected mice com-

pared with the AAV5-GFP-injected sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5a). Blocking the increased DRG DNMT1 through microinjection of AAV5-Cre into the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice reversed the SNL-induced downregulation of Kv1.2, but not MOR and KOR, in the injured DRG on day 7 after SNL ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 5a). Although DRG microinjection of AAV5-Cre did not significantly increase basal expression of Kv1.2 in the injured L4 DRG of sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 5a), *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice exhibited a marked increase in the level of Kv1.2 and no changes in the amounts of MOR, KOR, Kv1.4, and Nav1.7 in the DRG compared with *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 5b). Consistently, in cultured DRG neurons from the *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, knockdown of DNMT1 through addition of AAV5-Cre significantly increased the level of Kv1.2 without affecting the expression of MOR, KOR, and Kv1.4 compared with the AAV5-GFP-treated cells (Fig. 5c). These findings indicate that DNMT1 epigenetically silences *Kcna2* gene expression in the injured DRG after SNL.

As shown in Figure 3e, *Dnmt1* mRNA coexpressed with *Kcna2* mRNA in the individual small, medium, and large DRG neurons, suggesting direct DNMT1 regulation of *Kcna2* in the DRG neurons. We performed ChIP assay and revealed that DNMT1 preferentially binds to three regions (R2: −459/−218 bp; R3: −237/−20 bp; R4: −71/180 bp) of *Kcna2* gene, as demonstrated by the amplification of only these three regions (of 5 regions from −626 to 396 bp) from the complexes immunoprecipitated with DNMT1 antibody in nuclear fractions from naive mouse DRG (Fig. 5d). Basal binding density in the R2 is weaker than those in the R3 and R4 (Fig. 5d). Furthermore, the binding activities in the R3 and R4 from the injured DRG on day 7 after SNL increased by 2.2-fold ($p < 0.01$) and 2.1-fold ($p < 0.05$), respectively, compared with those after sham surgery (Fig. 5e,f). These increased binding activities might alter the DNA methylation pattern and level within the R3 and R4 of the *Dnmt1* gene after SNL. Indeed, we used the bisulfite clone-sequencing assay and showed significant increases in the level of DNA methylation at −64, −26, and 43 CpG sites from −133 to 132 bp of *Kcna2* gene containing high density of CpG islands (Fig. 5g). These sites are located within DNMT1 binding regions (i.e., R3 and R4) described above. The increased DNA methylation at these three sites was DNMT1-dependent, as *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice failed to display SNL-induced increases in the methylation levels at −64, −26, and 43 CpG sites (Fig. 5h).

Upregulated DNMT1 participates in SNL-induced neuronal hyperexcitability in DRG

Given that DRG Kv1.2 is a key player in neuronal excitability (Zhao et al., 2013, 2017; Liang et al., 2016b), we finally examined whether blocking the SNL-induced increase of DRG DNMT1 affected Kv1.2-gated neuronal hyperexcitability in the injured DRG. Whole-cell voltage-clamp recording was performed in acute dissociated neurons from the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice 7–10 d after SNL or sham surgery. Compared with sham surgery, total Kv current densities were markedly reduced in large, medium, and small DRG neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6a,b,d,e,g,h). These reductions were almost fully reversed in DRG neurons from SNL *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 6a,b,d,e,g,h). No significant differences were observed in total Kv current from large, medium, and small neurons of the ipsilateral L4 DRG between sham *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice and sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice (Fig. 6a,b,d,e,g,h). Bath application of 100 nM Maurotoxin (MTX), a selective *Kcna2* current inhibitor, produced less reduc-

tions in Kv current from large and medium DRG neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice than that from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or sham *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 6c,f), indicating that Kv1.2 channel expression in large and medium neurons was reduced in the injured SNL DRG. Nevertheless, the large and medium DRG neurons from SNL *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice exhibited marked reduction in Kv current similar to those from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice upon MTX treatment ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 6c,f), suggesting that blocking SNL-induced DNMT1 increase rescues Kv1.2 downregulation in the injured DRG. In small DRG neurons from either *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, MTX did not lead to significant current reductions or marked differences between SNL or sham surgery (Fig. 6i), which is consistent with the evidence that a majority of small DRG neurons lack Kv1.2 expression (Rasband et al., 2001; Fan et al., 2014).

Whole-cell current-clamp recording was also performed to examine neuronal excitability from the ipsilateral L4 DRG of *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice 7–10 d after SNL or sham surgery. Compared with sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, large, medium, and small DRG neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice showed increases by 8.2, 8.2, and 11.6 mV, respectively, in the resting membrane potentials ($p < 0.01$; Fig. 7a) and decreases by 22.8%, 55.3%, and 47.4%, respectively, in the current thresholds for AP generation ($p < 0.05$; Fig. 7b). As expected, large, medium, and small DRG neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice also displayed increases in the number of APs compared with those in sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$; Fig. 7c–f). However, neither SNL nor sham surgery produced significant changes in the resting membrane potentials, the current thresholds, and the number of APs in the large, medium, and small DRG neurons from *Adv*^{Cre}*Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice ($p < 0.05$ or $p < 0.01$; Fig. 7a–f). No significant changes in membrane input resistance and other AP parameters, such as threshold, amplitude, overshoot, or afterhyperpolarization amplitude, were observed in any of the treated groups (Fig. 7–1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f7-1>). Together, these data indicated that blocking SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 could prevent neuronal hyperexcitability in the injured DRG.

Discussion

Neuropathic pain has been fully studied for several decades, but how pain hypersensitivities are caused under this disorder is still not completely understood. In the present study, we reported that peripheral nerve injury upregulated DNMT1 expression through activation of the transcription factor CREB in the sensory neurons of the injured DRGs. This upregulation correlates with an elevation in the level of DNA methylation at some CpG sites within the *Kcna2* promoter and 5'-untranslated regions and is associated with nerve injury-induced *Kcna2* downregulation and neuronal hyperexcitability in the injured DRG. Blocking the upregulated DRG DNMT1 alleviated the development of nerve injury-induced pain hypersensitivities. Given that DRG Kv1.2 is a key player in neuropathic pain genesis, DRG DNMT1 likely contributes to the mechanisms that underlie neuropathic pain development.

DNMT1 is expressed widely throughout the central and peripheral nervous system (Kadriu et al., 2012; Pollema-Mays et al., 2014; Noguchi et al., 2015). A previous study showed that immunostaining of DNMT1 was detected ubiquitously in the nuclei of rat DRG neurons and Schwann cells and not detectable in the satellite cells (Pollema-Mays et al., 2014). However, this conclusion remains to be further confirmed because no specific neuronal and glial markers were used (Pollema-Mays et al., 2014). The

Figure 6. DRG DNMT1 KO rescued SNL-induced decrease of total Kv current in the injured DRG neurons from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. **a, b**, Representative traces of total Kv currents (**a**) and *I*–*V* curve (**b**) before or after bath perfusion of 100 nM MTX in large DRG neurons. *n* = 5–7 mice/group (*n* = 16 neurons from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 18 neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 19 neurons from sham *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 19 neurons from SNL *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice). Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(3,952) = 17.2$, $*p < 0.05$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $**p < 0.01$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $##p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. **c**, MTX-induced reductions in total Kv currents at 40 mV in large neurons from four groups as indicated above. *n* = 5–7 mice/group. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,68) = 1.44$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,68) = 12.1$. $**p < 0.01$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. $##p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. **d, e**, Representative traces of total Kv currents (**d**) and *I*–*V* curve (**e**) before or after bath perfusion of 100 nM MTX in medium DRG neurons. *n* = 5–7 mice/group (*n* = 19 neurons from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 25 neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 18 neurons from sham *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 21 neurons from SNL *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice). Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(3,1106) = 4.5$, $*p < 0.05$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $**p < 0.01$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $#p < 0.05$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $##p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. **f**, MTX-induced reductions in total Kv currents at 40 mV in medium neurons from four groups as indicated above. *n* = 5–7 mice/group. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,79) = 0.788$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,79) = 4.94$. $*p < 0.05$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. $#p < 0.05$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. **g, h**, Representative traces of total Kv currents (**g**) and *I*–*V* curve (**h**) before or after bath perfusion of 100 nM MTX in small DRG neurons. *n* = 5–7 mice/group (*n* = 17 neurons from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 19 neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 19 neurons from sham *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice, *n* = 22 neurons from SNL *AdvcreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice). Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{group}}(3,1022) = 8.4$, $*p < 0.05$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $**p < 0.01$ versus the sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $#p < 0.05$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. $##p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding voltage. **i**, MTX-induced reductions in total Kv currents at 40 mV in small neurons from four groups as indicated above. *n* = 5–7 mice/group. One-way ANOVA by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,73) = 2.37$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,73) = 6.14$.

present study demonstrated that DNMT1 was coexpressed exclusively with NeuN in the nuclei of mouse DRG sensory neurons and was undetected in the GS-labeled satellite cells. A majority of DNMT1-labeled neurons are medium in size. Our observations further identified a neuronal location for DNMT1 in the DRG and suggest the participation of DRG DNMT1 in neuropathic pain.

Peripheral nerve injury can transcriptionally activate the *Dnmt1* gene in the DRG. SNL, CCI, or sciatic nerve axotomy increased the expression of *Dnmt1* mRNA and its protein in the injured DRG, but not in intact DRG and ipsilateral spinal cord. It seems likely that *Dnmt1* gene activation is tissue-specific in response to peripheral nerve injury. Our quantitative Western blot analysis showed that the level of DNMT1 was increased on days 3 and 7 after SNL and returned to basal level on day 14 after SNL. Given that SNL-induced pain hypersensitivities occurred on day 3 after SNL and reach a peak on day 5–7 after SNL (Zhao et al.,

2013, 2017; Liang et al., 2016b; Li et al., 2017) and that pharmacological preinhibition or genetic knockdown/KO of DRG DNMT1 attenuated SNL-induced pain hypersensitivity on days 3–7 after SNL, we concluded that DNMT1 contributed to neuropathic pain genesis. Although we did not have the evidence to identify the role of DNMT1 in neuropathic pain maintenance, our findings suggest that DNMT1 is a potential target at the early stage of neuropathic pain.

Nerve injury-induced *Dnmt1* gene expression was regulated by the transcription factor CREB in the injured DRG. Although other potential binding motifs for three transcription factors (MZF1, OCT1, and CEBP β) were also identified within the promoter and 5'-untranslated region of the *Dnmt1* gene, these three transcription factors did not affect DNMT1 protein expression in the injured DRG. Whether additional transcription factors are involved in *Dnmt1* gene activation following nerve injury remains to be investigated. In addition, we cannot rule out other

Figure 7. DRG DNMT1 KO resumed SNL-induced hyperexcitability in injured DRG neurons from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice or *AdvCreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice on day 7 after SNL or sham surgery. **a**, Resting membrane potentials from large, medium, and small DRG neurons. *n* = 5–7 mice/group (*n* = 16 large, 17 medium, and 20 small neurons from sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice; *n* = 20 large, 19 medium, and 19 small neurons from SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice; *n* = 19 large, 21 medium, and 19 small neurons from sham *AdvCreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice; and *n* = 17 large, 20 medium, and 19 small neurons from SNL *AdvCreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice). One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,68) = 22.3$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,68) = 13.7$ for large; $F_{\text{model}}(1,73) = 20.6$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,73) = 14.7$ for medium; $F_{\text{model}}(1,73) = 29.0$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,73) = 30.9$ for small. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. $^{##}p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. **b**, Current thresholds from large, medium, and small DRG neurons. Numbers of the recorded cells and mice used are the same as in **a**. One-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(1,68) = 4.96$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,68) = 1.52$ for large; $F_{\text{model}}(1,73) = 5.47$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,73) = 2.77$ for medium; $F_{\text{model}}(1,73) = 3.62$, $F_{\text{genotype}}(1,73) = 5.54$ for small. $^{*}p < 0.05$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. $^{#}p < 0.05$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. **c**, Representative traces of evoked APs in DRG neurons. **d–f**, Numbers of evoked APs after application of different currents as indicated. Number of neurons recorded are the same as in **a**. Numbers of the recorded cells and mice used are the same as in **a**. Two-way ANOVA followed by *post hoc* Tukey test: $F_{\text{model}}(3,952) = 51.4$ for large, $F_{\text{model}}(3,1106) = 68.1$ for medium, $F_{\text{model}}(3,1022) = 67.4$ for small. $^{*}p < 0.05$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding stimulation intensity. $^{**}p < 0.01$ versus sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding stimulation intensity. $^{#}p < 0.05$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding stimulation intensity. $^{##}p < 0.01$ versus SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice at the corresponding stimulation intensity. Membrane input resistance and other action potential parameters in DRG neurons are shown in Figure 7-1, available at <https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0695-19.2019.f7-1>.

potential possibilities (e.g., epigenetic modifications and/or increased mRNA stability) that may participate in the nerve injury-induced increase of DNMT1 in the injured DRG.

The upregulated DNMT1 contributes to nerve injury-induced *Kcna2* downregulation in the injured DRG. *Dnmt1* mRNA, *Creb* mRNA, and *Kcna2* mRNA coexpress in individual DRG neurons of naive mice. Blocking the SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 through microinjection of AAV5-Cre into the ipsilateral L4 DRG of SNL *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice rescued the expression of Kv1.2, but not MOR and KOR, in the injured DRG. Interestingly, microinjection of AAV5-Cre into the ipsilateral L4 DRG of sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice did not affect basal DRG Kv1.2 expression, although this microinjection moderately reduced basal expression of DRG DNMT1. In contrast, naive *AdvCreDnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice displayed a marked reduction in basal amount of DNMT1 and a significant increase in basal level of Kv1.2 without altering basal expression of MOR, KOR, Kv1.4, and Nav1.7 in DRG. No effect of DRG AAV5-Cre microinjection on basal Kv1.2 expression in the injected DRG of sham *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice may be related to lower efficacy of the injected AAV5-Cre due to the limited volume of DRG microinjection. This conclusion is supported by our *in vitro* experiment, which revealed that addition of enough AAV5-Cre markedly decreased basal expression of DNMT1 and increased basal expression of Kv1.2 in the cultured DRG neurons from *Dnmt1*^{fl/fl} mice. Although how DNMT1 specifically targets *Kcna2* is unclear, the contribution of DNMT1 to nerve injury-induced DRG *Kcna2* gene silencing may be associated with an increase in binding of DNMT1 to the *Kcna2* promoter and 5'-untranslated regions (−459/180) as well as an elevation in DNA methylation

levels within these regions after peripheral nerve injury. Indeed, there were the increases in the levels of DNA methylation at −64, −26, and 43 CpG sites within the *Kcna2* gene in the injured DRG on day 7 after SNL. These increases are DNMT1-dependent as blocking the SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 abolished the SNL-induced elevation in *Kcna2* DNA methylation level within these three CpG sites. Our findings, consistent with previous reports (Hong et al., 2015), demonstrate that DNMT1 may be inducible and mediate *de novo* methylation of the *Kcna2* gene under neuropathic pain conditions. Although the SNL-induced increases in DRG DNA methylation were identified within the higher density of CpG islands (−133/132 bp) of the *Kcna2* gene, whether the SNL-induced DNA methylation increases at additional CpG sites of the *Kcna2* gene and/or at other genes remains to be identified.

Multiple mechanisms participate in nerve injury-induced DRG *Kcna2* downregulation. In addition to DNMT1, DNMT3a-triggered DRG *de novo* DNA methylation and G9a-triggered histone methylation contribute to nerve injury-induced *Kcna2* silencing in the injured DRG (Liang et al., 2016b; Zhao et al., 2017). Nerve injury-induced upregulation of endogenous long noncoding *Kcna2* antisense RNA was also responsible for *Kcna2* downregulation in the injured DRG (Zhao et al., 2013). We recently reported that methyl-CpG-binding domain protein 1 (MDB1) repressed *Kcna2* gene expression through recruitment of DNMT3a into the *Kcna2* gene promoter (Mo et al., 2018), but detailed mechanisms of how these epigenetic repressors work together to regulate *Kcna2* gene expression and whether they interact

and/or affect each other under neuropathic pain conditions are still unclear and remain to be addressed in future studies.

It is generally believed that nerve injury-induced increase in spontaneous ectopic firing and hyperexcitability in DRG neurons play a critical role in neuropathic pain development (Tal et al., 1999; Liu et al., 2000). Kv1.2 reduction in DRG neurons decreased the total voltage-gated potassium current, depolarized the resting membrane potential, decreased the current threshold for activation of APs, increased the number of APs in DRG neurons, and produced neuropathic pain-like symptoms (Zhao et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014). Blocking nerve injury-induced reduction of Kv1.2 expression in the injured DRG alleviated neuropathic pain during the development period (Zhao et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014). These findings suggest that DRG Kv1.2 is a key player in neuropathic pain genesis. The present study showed that blocking the SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 rescued total Kv current, attenuated the SNL-induced increases in the resting membrane potential and number of AP, and reversed the SNL-induced decrease in current threshold for AP in the injured medium and large DRG neurons. Interestingly, these phenomena were also seen in the injured small DRG neurons, although Kv1.2-mediated current was not altered due to poor Kv1.2 expression in small DRG neurons (Zhao et al., 2013; Fan et al., 2014). Kv1.4 is expressed highly in small DRG neurons (Rasband et al., 2001), but its expression could not be regulated by DNMT1 in the DRG. Given that blocking the SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 rescued total Kv current and attenuated the SNL-induced increase of neuronal excitability in small DRG neurons, DNMT1 likely participates in the nerve injury-induced downregulation of other Kv channels expressed in small DRG neurons. Moreover, the involvement of DNMT1 in nerve injury-induced silencing of non-Kv channel genes cannot be excluded at present. Previous studies revealed that substance P and CGRP in the injured myelinated fibers and in large and medium DRG are significantly increased as early as 2 d after nerve injury (Weissner et al., 2006). Our behavioral observations indicate that blocking the SNL-induced increase in DRG DNMT1 significantly reduced mechanical, heat, and cold hypersensitivities during the development period. Thus, nerve injury-induced upregulation of DRG DNMT1 contributes to neuropathic pain genesis likely by repressing at least *Kcna2* gene expression in the injured DRG.

In conclusion, our study showed the DNMT1-triggered epigenetic silencing of *Kcna2* gene in the injured DRG under neuropathic pain conditions. Given that blocking the upregulated DRG DNMT1 alleviated neuropathic pain genesis without affecting acute pain and locomotor function, DNMT1 may be a potential target for neuropathic pain management.

References

- Asgatay S, Champion C, Marloie G, Drujon T, Senamaud-Beaufort C, Ceccaldi A, Erdmann A, Rajavelu A, Schambel P, Jeltsch A, Lequin O, Karoyan P, Arimondo PB, Guianvarc'h D (2014) Synthesis and evaluation of analogues of N-phthaloyl-L-tryptophan (RG108) as inhibitors of DNA methyltransferase 1. *J Med Chem* 57:421–434.
- Campbell JN, Meyer RA (2006) Mechanisms of neuropathic pain. *Neuron* 52:77–92.
- Coluzzi F, Pappagallo M (2005) Opioid therapy for chronic noncancer pain: practice guidelines for initiation and maintenance of therapy. *Minerva Anestesiol* 71:425–433.
- Dong E, Locci V, Gatta E, Grayson DR, Guidotti A (2019) N-phthalyl-L-tryptophan (RG108), like clozapine (CLO), induces chromatin remodeling in brains of prenatally stressed mice. *Mol Pharmacol* 95:62–69.
- Du H, Le Y, Sun F, Li K, Xu Y (2019) ILF2 directly binds and stabilizes CREB to stimulate malignant phenotypes of liver cancer cells. *Anal Cell Pathol (Amst)* 2019:1575031.
- Fan L, Guan X, Wang W, Zhao JY, Zhang H, Tiwari V, Hoffman PN, Li M, Tao YX (2014) Impaired neuropathic pain and preserved acute pain in rats overexpressing voltage-gated potassium channel subunit Kv1.2 in primary afferent neurons. *Mol Pain* 10:8.
- Fatemi M, Hermann A, Pradhan S, Jeltsch A (2001) The activity of the murine DNA methyltransferase Dnmt1 is controlled by interaction of the catalytic domain with the N-terminal part of the enzyme leading to an allosteric activation of the enzyme after binding to methylated DNA. *J Mol Biol* 309:1189–1199.
- Hong S, Zheng G, Wiley JW (2015) Epigenetic regulation of genes that modulate chronic stress-induced visceral pain in the peripheral nervous system. *Gastroenterology* 148:148–157.e7.
- Jeltsch A (2006) Molecular enzymology of mammalian DNA methyltransferases. *Curr Top Microbiol Immunol* 301:203–225.
- Jongen JL, Huijsman ML, Jessurun J, Ogenio K, Schipper D, Verkouteren DR, Moorman PW, van der Rijt CC, Vissers KC (2013) The evidence for pharmacologic treatment of neuropathic cancer pain: beneficial and adverse effects. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 46:581–590.e1.
- Kadriu B, Guidotti A, Chen Y, Grayson DR (2012) DNA methyltransferases1 (DNMT1) and 3a (DNMT3a) colocalize with GAD67-positive neurons in the GAD67-GFP mouse brain. *J Comp Neurol* 520:1951–1964.
- Latremoliere A, Woolf CJ (2009) Central sensitization: a generator of pain hypersensitivity by central neural plasticity. *J Pain* 10:895–926.
- Lee CY, Perez FM, Wang W, Guan X, Zhao X, Fisher JL, Guan Y, Sweitzer SM, Raja SN, Tao YX (2011) Dynamic temporal and spatial regulation of mu opioid receptor expression in primary afferent neurons following spinal nerve injury. *Eur J Pain* 15:669–675.
- Liang L, Lutz BM, Bekker A, Tao YX (2015) Epigenetic regulation of chronic pain. *Epigenomics* 7:235–245.
- Liang L, Zhao JY, Gu X, Wu S, Mo K, Xiong M, Marie Lutz B, Bekker A, Tao YX (2016a) G9a inhibits CREB-triggered expression of mu opioid receptor in primary sensory neurons following peripheral nerve injury. *Mol Pain* 12:1744806916682242.
- Liang L, Gu X, Zhao JY, Wu S, Miao X, Xiao J, Mo K, Zhang J, Lutz BM, Bekker A, Tao YX (2016b) G9a participates in nerve injury-induced Kcna2 downregulation in primary sensory neurons. *Sci Rep* 6:37704.
- Li Z, Gu X, Sun L, Wu S, Liang L, Cao J, Lutz BM, Bekker A, Zhang W, Tao YX (2015) Dorsal root ganglion myeloid zinc finger protein 1 contributes to neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve trauma. *Pain* 156:711–721.
- Li Z, Mao Y, Liang L, Wu S, Yuan J, Mo K, Cai W, Mao Q, Cao J, Bekker A, Zhang W, Tao YX (2017) The transcription factor C/EBP beta in the dorsal root ganglion contributes to peripheral nerve trauma-induced nociceptive hypersensitivity. *Sci Signal* 10:eam5345.
- Liu CN, Wall PD, Ben-Dor E, Michaelis M, Amir R, Devor M (2000) Tactile allodynia in the absence of C-fiber activation: altered firing properties of DRG neurons following spinal nerve injury. *Pain* 85:503–521.
- Lorincz MC, Schübeler D, Hutchinson SR, Dickerson DR, Groudine M (2002) DNA methylation density influences the stability of an epigenetic imprint and Dnmt3a/b-independent de novo methylation. *Mol Cell Biol* 22:7572–7580.
- Lutz BM, Bekker A, Tao YX (2014) Noncoding RNAs: new players in chronic pain. *Anesthesiology* 121:409–417.
- Mao Q, Wu S, Gu X, Du S, Mo K, Sun L, Cao J, Bekker A, Chen L, Tao YX (2019) DNMT3a-triggered downregulation of K2p 1.1 gene in primary sensory neurons contributes to paclitaxel-induced neuropathic pain. *Int J Cancer*. Advance online publication. Retrieved January 26, 2019. doi:10.1002/ijc.32155.
- Meyer R, Patel AM, Rattana SK, Quock TP, Mody SH (2014) Prescription opioid abuse: a literature review of the clinical and economic burden in the united states. *Popul Health Manag* 17:372–387.
- Miao XR, Fan LC, Wu S, Mao Q, Li Z, Lutz B, Xu JT, Lu Z, Tao YX (2017) DNMT3a contributes to the development and maintenance of bone cancer pain by silencing Kv1.2 expression in spinal cord dorsal horn. *Mol Pain* 13:1744806917740681.
- Mo K, Wu S, Gu X, Xiong M, Cai W, Atianjoh FE, Jobe EE, Zhao X, Tu WF, Tao YX (2018) MBD1 contributes to the genesis of acute pain and neuropathic pain by epigenetic silencing of Oprm1 and Kcna2 genes in primary sensory neurons. *J Neurosci* 38:9883–9899.
- Noguchi H, Kimura A, Murao N, Matsuda T, Namihira M, Nakashima K (2015) Expression of DNMT1 in neural stem/precursor cells is critical for

- survival of newly generated neurons in the adult hippocampus. *Neurosci Res* 95:1–11.
- Okano M, Bell DW, Haber DA, Li E (1999) DNA methyltransferases Dnmt3a and Dnmt3b are essential for de novo methylation and mammalian development. *Cell* 99:247–257.
- Poetsch AR, Plass C (2011) Transcriptional regulation by DNA methylation. *Cancer Treat Rev* 37 [Suppl 1]:S8–S12.
- Pollema-Mays SL, Centeno MV, Apkarian AV, Martina M (2014) Expression of DNA methyltransferases in adult dorsal root ganglia is cell-type specific and up regulated in a rodent model of neuropathic pain. *Front Cell Neurosci* 8:217.
- Pradhan S, Bacolla A, Wells RD, Roberts RJ (1999) Recombinant human DNA (cytosine-5) methyltransferase: I. Expression, purification, and comparison of de novo and maintenance methylation. *J Biol Chem* 274:33002–33010.
- Rasband MN, Park EW, Vanderah TW, Lai J, Porreca F, Trimmer JS (2001) Distinct potassium channels on pain-sensing neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 98:13373–13378.
- Shao C, Gao Y, Jin D, Xu X, Tan S, Yu H, Zhao Q, Zhao L, Wang W, Wang D (2017) DNMT3a methylation in neuropathic pain. *J Pain Res* 10:2253–2262.
- Siedlecki P, Zielenkiewicz P (2006) Mammalian DNA methyltransferases. *Acta Biochim Pol* 53:245–256.
- Sun L, Zhao JY, Gu X, Liang L, Wu S, Mo K, Feng J, Guo W, Zhang J, Bekker A, Zhao X, Nestler EJ, Tao YX (2017) Nerve injury-induced epigenetic silencing of opioid receptors controlled by DNMT3a in primary afferent neurons. *Pain* 158:1153–1165.
- Tal M, Wall PD, Devor M (1999) Myelinated afferent fiber types that become spontaneously active and mechanosensitive following nerve transection in the rat. *Brain Res* 824:218–223.
- Tollefsbol TO, Hutchison CA 3rd (1997) Control of methylation spreading in synthetic DNA sequences by the murine DNA methyltransferase. *J Mol Biol* 269:494–504.
- Vilkaitis G, Suetake I, Klimasauskas S, Tajima S (2005) Processive methylation of hemimethylated CpG sites by mouse Dnmt1 DNA methyltransferase. *J Biol Chem* 280:64–72.
- Weissner W, Winterson BJ, Stuart-Tilley A, Devor M, Bove GM (2006) Time course of substance P expression in dorsal root ganglia following complete spinal nerve transection. *J Comp Neurol* 497:78–87.
- Wu S, Marie Lutz B, Miao X, Liang L, Mo K, Chang YJ, Du P, Soteropoulos P, Tian B, Kaufman AG, Bekker A, Hu Y, Tao YX (2016) Dorsal root ganglion transcriptome analysis following peripheral nerve injury in mice. *Mol Pain* 12:1744806916629048.
- Xu B, Cao J, Zhang J, Jia S, Wu S, Mo K, Wei G, Liang L, Miao X, Bekker A, Tao YX (2017) Role of MicroRNA-143 in nerve injury-induced upregulation of Dnmt3a expression in primary sensory neurons. *Front Mol Neurosci* 10:350.
- Yuan J, Wen J, Wu S, Mao Y, Mo K, Li Z, Su S, Gu H, Ai Y, Bekker A, Zhang W, Tao YX (2019) Contribution of dorsal root ganglion octamer transcription factor 1 to neuropathic pain after peripheral nerve injury. *Pain* 160:375–384.
- Zhao JY, Liang L, Gu X, Li Z, Wu S, Sun L, Atianjoh FE, Feng J, Mo K, Jia S, Lutz BM, Bekker A, Nestler EJ, Tao YX (2017) DNA methyltransferase DNMT3a contributes to neuropathic pain by repressing Kcna2 in primary afferent neurons. *Nat Commun* 8:14712.
- Zhao X, Tang Z, Zhang H, Atianjoh FE, Zhao JY, Liang L, Wang W, Guan X, Kao SC, Tiwari V, Gao YJ, Hoffman PN, Cui H, Li M, Dong X, Tao YX (2013) A long noncoding RNA contributes to neuropathic pain by silencing Kcna2 in primary afferent neurons. *Nat Neurosci* 16:1024–1031.