Effect of A β Oligomers on Neuronal APP Triggers a Vicious Cycle Leading to the Propagation of Synaptic Plasticity Alterations to Healthy Neurons

Marta Rolland, Rebecca Powell, Muriel Jacquier-Sarlin, Sylvie Boisseau, Robin Reynaud-Dulaurier, Jose Martinez-Hernandez, Louise André, Eve Borel, Alain Buisson, and Fabien Lanté

Université Grenoble Alpes, Inserm, U1216, Grenoble Institut Neurosciences, GIN, 38000 Grenoble, France

Alterations of excitatory synaptic function are the strongest correlate to the pathologic disturbance of cognitive ability observed in the early stages of Alzheimer's disease (AD). This pathologic feature is driven by amyloid- β oligomers (A β os) and propagates from neuron to neuron. Here, we investigated the mechanism by which A β os affect the function of synapses and how these alterations propagate to surrounding healthy neurons. We used complementary techniques ranging from electrophysiological recordings and molecular biology to confocal microscopy in primary cortical cultures, and from acute hippocampal and cortical slices from male wild-type and amyloid precursor protein (APP) knock-out (KO) mice to assess the effects of A β os on glutamatergic transmission, synaptic plasticity, and dendritic spine structure. We showed that extracellular application of A β os reduced glutamatergic synaptic transmission and long-term potentiation. These alterations were not observed in APP KO neurons, suggesting that APP expression is required. We demonstrated that A β os/APP interaction increases the amyloidogenic processing of APP leading to intracellular accumulation of newly produced A β os. Intracellular A β os participate in synaptic dysfunctions as shown by pharmacological inhibition of APP processing or by intraneuronal infusion of an antibody raised against A β os. Furthermore, we provide evidence that following APP processing, extracellular release of A β os mediates the propagation of the synaptic pathology characterized by a decreased spine density of neighboring healthy neurons in an APP-dependent manner. Together, our data unveil a complementary role for A β os in AD, while intracellular A β os alter synaptic function, extracellular A β os promote a vicious cycle that propagates synaptic pathology from diseased to healthy neurons.

Key words: β - and γ -secretase inhibition; Alzheimer's disease; APP KO mice; APP processing; NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission; synaptic plasticity

Significance Statement

Here we provide the proof that a vicious cycle between extracellular and intracellular pools of A β oligomers (A β os) is required for the spreading of Alzheimer's disease (AD) pathology. We showed that extracellular A β os propagate excitatory synaptic alterations by promoting amyloid precursor protein (APP) processing. Our results also suggest that subsequent to APP cleavage two pools of A β os are produced. One pool accumulates inside the cytosol, inducing the loss of synaptic plasticity potential. The other pool is released into the extracellular space and contributes to the propagation of the pathology from diseased to healthy neurons. Pharmacological strategies targeting the proteolytic cleavage of APP disrupt the relationship between extracellular and intracellular A β , providing a therapeutic approach for the disease.

Received Oct. 21, 2019; revised Mar. 4, 2020; accepted Apr. 3, 2020.

Author contributions: M.J.-S., A.B., and F.L. designed research; M.R., R.P., M.J.-S., S.B., R.R.-D., J.M.-H., L.A., E.B., and F.L. performed research; F.L. contributed unpublished reagents/analytic tools; M.R., R.P., M.J.-S., S.B., R.R.-D., L.A., A.B., and F.L. analyzed data; M.J.-S., A.B., and F.L. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by Grenoble Alpes University; Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale; Région Auvergne-Rhône-Alpes; Grant ANR-15-IDEX-02 NeuroCoG from Agence Nationale de la Recherche (F.L.), in the framework of the "Investissements d'avenir" program and Grant ANR MALAAD program; GREnoble Excellence in Neurodegeneration (GREEN); R.P. was a fellow of Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale; and Fondation Vaincre Alzheimer. We would like to acknowledge Prof. Remy Sadoul for providing plasmids to quantify gamma secretase activity. We also thank Dr. Mireille Albrieux and Prof. Michel Vignes for critical reading of the manuscript.

J. Martinez-Hernandez's present addresses: University of the Basque Country (UPV/EHU), 48940 Leioa, Spain.

Introduction

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is a chronic neurodegenerative disorder characterized by a progressive cognitive impairment and by the presence of the following two characteristic lesions of the cerebral cortex: neurofibrillary tangles of tau and extracellular

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Fabien Lanté at fabien.lante@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr or Alain Buisson at alain.buisson@univ-grenoble-alpes.fr.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2501-19.2020>

Copyright © 2020 the authors

deposits of β -amyloid (A β) peptides as senile plaques (Cipriani et al., 2011). Extracellular senile plaques are formed from A β peptides of different lengths, as follows: the 40-residue peptide A β_{40} represents the most abundant physiological A β isoform in the brain, while the pathogenic 42-residue A β_{42} is elevated in AD brains (Näslund et al., 1994). A β results from the proteolytic processing of the amyloid precursor protein (APP), a transmembrane protein expressed in neuronal and non-neuronal cells in the CNS. In neurons, APPs are distributed in different subcellular compartments and processed by two routes designated as the nonamyloidogenic and amyloidogenic pathways, one precluding and the other promoting the generation of A β peptides (Haass et al., 2012). In the amyloidogenic pathway, APPs are internalized into endocytic compartments and subsequently cleaved by two proteases, β -secretase and γ -secretase, to generate A β (Kamenetz et al., 2003). APP primarily matures through the secretory pathway starting from the endoplasmic reticulum to the Golgi apparatus, where it is post-translationally modified before vesicular transport to the cell surface, though it can also be processed in the endoplasmic reticulum/intermediate compartment. A β produced in the endoplasmic reticulum is almost exclusively A β_{42} and is not destined for secretion (Greenfield et al., 1999), suggesting that several pools of A β are produced by neurons: one deposited extracellularly and the other accumulated intracellularly (LaFerla et al., 2007). Increased production of A β is thought to be the initial causative factor leading to the alteration of cognitive function, yet little is known about the contribution of these different pools of A β to the progression of the disease.

The ability of neurons to modulate excitatory synaptic strength is believed to be a cellular correlate of learning and memory. By studying the impact of A β oligomers (A β os) on fast excitatory synaptic transmission mediated by the activation of postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors AMPA and NMDA, we may improve our understanding of the causative effect of A β os on cognitive processes. Extracellular application of diffusible A β os on rat hippocampal slices blocks NMDA receptor-dependent long-term potentiation (LTP; Walsh et al., 2002). Other studies have confirmed the contribution of extracellular A β os to alterations of learning and memory processes and synaptic failure (Shankar et al., 2007; Li et al., 2009; Wei et al., 2010). In contrast, several studies revealed that memory impairments in various transgenic models of AD are concomitant with intracellular accumulation of A β os that precedes plaque formation (Oddo et al., 2003; Bayer and Wirths, 2010). This intracellular accumulation of A β os is also observable in brains of AD patients with learning deficits (Gouras et al., 2000), suggesting that this specific feature is causal in the alteration of memory processes.

The present study aims at further understanding the impact of these two pools of A β os on the excitatory neurotransmission. We reveal that extracellular A β os promote APP processing and a subsequent accumulation of intracellular A β os that is responsible for the synaptic dysfunctions.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All experiments were conducted in accordance with the European Community Council directives of November 24, 1986 (86/609/EEC) and with the French guidelines on the use of living animals in scientific investigations. Experiments were performed with male Swiss mice from Janvier, male wild-type (WT) C57BL/6 and male Amyloid Precursor Protein Knock-Out (KO) C57BL/6 mice (APP^{tm1Dbo}; The Jackson Laboratory).

Preparation of human amyloid- β solution

The peptide used in these experiments was obtained from human recombinant A β_{1-42} peptide (Bachem) resuspended in 1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoro-2-propanol (HFIP) to 1 mM until complete resuspension (Stine et al., 2003). A β os were prepared by diluting A β_{1-42} peptide to 1 mM in DMSO then to 100 μ M in ice-cold HEPES and bicarbonate-buffered saline solution or in artificial CSF (ACSF; in mM: NaCl 119, KCl 2.5, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, MgSO₄ 1.3, CaCl₂ 2.5, NaHCO₃ 26, and glucose 11) with immediate vortexing and bath sonication and then incubated at 4°C for 24 h with mild agitation. Final solutions of A β os were prepared by diluting the solution at 100 μ M in ACSF, DMEM, or intracellular solution.

Purification of A β monomer

The A β monomer is purified on a C18 column (200 μ l, 5 mg; SPE-Chromabond-HRX C18 ec, Macherey-Nagel). The column was equilibrated with 0.1% trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) in water. Immediately after dilution in DMSO, the A β sample was loaded and the column was washed three times with 0.1% TFA. Then, a gradient of acetonitrile from 30% to 60% was applied. Fractions (0.1 ml) were collected. The elution profile was determined by measuring the absorbance at 275 nm. The peak fraction was collected, and the concentration of peptide was determined by absorbance at 275 nm using $\epsilon_{275 \text{ nm}} = 1400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The peptide is then stored at -80°C .

Production of histidine-tagged proteins

To make the plasmids for the fusion protein [A β -His (histidine)] of murine amyloid protein and the secreted soluble form of APP (sAPP-His), the cDNA containing the sequence for murine A β_{1-42} and human sAPP695 α were obtained from synthetic oligonucleotides (containing a NdeI restriction site as forward primers and a PspXI restriction site as reverse primers; Sigma-Aldrich) using overlapping PCR. PCR products were then cloned into a pet28a-vector (Novagen, Merck-Millipore) and subsequently constructed as HIS-murine-A β -expressing plasmid (pet28a-murine-A β_{1-42}) and HIS-sAPP α -expressing plasmid (pet28a-sAPP α). The resulting plasmids were verified by sequencing *Escherichia coli* BL21 (DE3) was transformed with the fusion protein plasmids (for either murine-A β_{1-42} or sAPP α) and a single colony chosen to grow a 250 ml starter culture in Luria broth (LB medium) overnight at 37°C. The next day, the 10 ml of culture was diluted in 1 L of LB culture medium. When the culture reached an OD₆₀₀ of 0.8, isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside was added to 1 mM concentration for induction. The culture was grown for an additional 4 h, and the cells harvested by centrifugation at 4000 $\times g$ for 20 min. The cell was resuspended in 10 ml of ice-cold PBS and lysed by sonication at ice-cold temperature. The cell extract was then centrifuged at 20,000 $\times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. For sAPP α purification, the supernatant was kept, whereas it was discarded for murine-A β_{1-42} . In this case, the pellet was resuspended in 10 ml of 8 M urea in PBS and sonicated as previously described before centrifugation at 20,000 $\times g$ for 15 min at 4°C. The supernatant (5 ml) was diluted with 15 ml of binding buffer (PBS with 10 mM imidazole at pH 8.0). Before affinity purification using nickel-nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA) column purification, samples were filtered on 0.45 μ m. The Ni-NTA column (3 ml of Protino Ni-NTA Agarose; Macherey-Nagel) was equilibrated with binding buffer before loading the sample on the column. Then the column was washed with the washing buffer (PBS with 30 mM imidazole at pH 8.0) with 5–10 column volumes. The protein was then eluted with the elution buffer (PBS with 500 mM imidazole at pH 7.4). The absorbance at 280 nm was used to monitor the elution, but the concentration of the fusion proteins was estimated by comparing the intensity of the band of the protein on SDS-PAGE with that of a known quantity of BSA. A final concentration of 100 μ M was obtained, and aliquots were stored at -80°C . Aliquots from all subsequent purification steps were analyzed by SDS-PAGE, and the identities of sAPP α and murine A β_{1-42} were verified by Western blot using monoclonal antibodies against the N-terminal domain of APP (22C11) or A β sequence (4G8), respectively.

Cell lines

Mouse neuroblastoma N2a were cultured in DMEM (Sigma-Aldrich) supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Millipore Sigma), as previously described (Gouras et al., 2010).

Primary culture of cortical neurons

Primary cortical neurons were prepared from Swiss embryonic mice [embryonic day 14 (E14) to E16], as previously described (Léveillé et al., 2008). Cerebral cortices were dissected, dissociated, and cultured in DMEM containing 5% fetal bovine serum, 5% horse serum, and 2 mM glutamine (all from Millipore Sigma) on 24-well plates (Falcon Becton Dickinson Labware Europe) for biochemical experiments. Neurons were seeded on 12 mm coverslips (Dominique Dutscher). Dishes and coverslips were coated with 0.1 mg/ml poly-D-lysine and 0.02 mg/ml laminin (Sigma-Aldrich). Cultures were maintained at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere containing 5% CO₂-95% air (Frändemichie et al., 2014) for 13–15 d *in vitro* (DIV) before use.

Brain slices preparation

Brain slices were prepared from 20- to 30-d-old mice for patch-clamp recordings and from 3-month-old mice for extracellular recordings. The brains of wild-type Swiss, wild-type C57BL/6 and APP KO mice were removed quickly, and 300- μ m-thick sagittal slices containing both cortex and hippocampus were cut in the following ice-cold cutting solution (in mM): KCl 2.5, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, MgSO₄ 10, CaCl₂ 0.5, NaHCO₃ 26, sucrose 234, and glucose 11, saturated with 95% O₂ and 5% CO₂ with a Leica VT1200 blade microtome (Leica Microsystems). After the dissection, slices were kept in oxygenated ACSF at 37 $\pm$ 1°C for 30 min and then kept at room temperature for at least 1 h before recordings.

Electrophysiological recordings

For patch-clamp experiments, cortical neurons from cultures or somatosensory layer 5 pyramidal neurons were visualized in a chamber on an upright microscope with transmitted illumination and continuously perfused at 2 ml/min with an oxygenated Mg²⁺-free ACSF as follows (in mM): NaCl 119, KCl 2.5, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, CaCl₂ 2.5, NaHCO₃ 26, and glucose 11, at room temperature. Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSC) were recorded at a membrane potential of -60 mV with borosilicate glass pipettes of 4–5 M Ω resistance filled with ~ 30 μ l of an intracellular solution, as follows (in mM): 117.5 CsMeSO₄, 15.5 CsCl, 10 TEACl, 8 NaCl, 10 HEPES, 0.25 EGTA, 4 MgATP, and 0.3 NaGTP, at pH 7.3. Signals were acquired using a double EPC 10 Amplifier (HEKA Elektronik) filtered at 2 kHz, sampled at 10 kHz, and analyzed with Patchmaster software (HEKA Elektronik). Recordings were considered stable when the input and access resistances did not change $>20\%$ during the experiment. To isolate either NMDA or AMPA/kainite sEPSCs, we used Mg²⁺-free ACSF containing 2,3-dihydroxy-6-nitro-7-sulfonyl-benzofl[quinoxaline] (NBQX; 10 μ M), a potent inhibitor of non-NMDA glutamate receptor channels exhibiting IC₅₀ values of 0.1–0.4 μ M for AMPA and 1.7–8 μ M for kainate receptors (Traynelis et al., 2010) that does not present any cross-reactivity for NMDA receptors (Goldstein and Litwin, 1993) or 2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate (D-APV; 100 μ M) an NMDA receptor antagonist with an IC₅₀ values of 0.28 μ M (NR2A), 0.46 μ M (NR2B), and 1.6 μ M (NR2C), respectively. In control condition, we recorded sEPSCs in Mg²⁺-free ACSF 5 min after whole-cell configuration had been achieved (referred as T₀) and 20 min after T₀ (referred as T₂₀). In the extracellular A β os (eA β os) condition, we recorded sEPSCs in Mg²⁺-free ACSF at T₀ and T₂₀, a perfusion with Mg²⁺-free ACSF containing A β os (300 nM). Protocol was similar for D-APT (5 μ M) and β -secretase inhibitor (1 μ M). In the intracellular A β os (iA β os) condition, the intracellular solution contained 300 nM A β os. In this condition, we recorded sEPSCs in Mg²⁺-free ACSF at T₀ and T₂₀. The protocol was similar for 4G8 antibody diluted into the whole-cell recording intracellular solution (1:100 dilution). The final concentration of 4G8 antibody inside the patch pipette was 10 μ g/ml. sEPSC and miniature EPSCs (mEPSC) analyses were performed on recordings of 180 s at -60 mV. The amplitude threshold was set at 8 pA, and all the detected events were accepted or rejected on the basis of visual examination. The average frequencies and amplitudes of these events were expressed in picoamperes for sEPSC amplitudes and in hertz for sEPSC frequencies and as percentage of the ratio between values measured at T₂₀ over values at T₀.

For LTP experiments, hippocampus was extracted from the slice and transferred in the microscope chamber. Oxygenated ACSF (in mM: NaCl 119, KCl 2.5, NaH₂PO₄ 1.25, MgSO₄ 1.3, CaCl₂ 2.5, NaHCO₃ 26, and glucose 11) was continuously perfused into the chamber (2 ml/min) at

28°C. A borosilicate glass pipette filled with ACSF was attached to the measuring electrode, and a stimulation electrode was also mounted. To induce field EPSP (fEPSP) in the hippocampal CA1 region, the stimulating electrode was placed on the Schaffer collaterals, and the recording electrode was positioned in the striatum radiatum. Test stimuli were delivered once every 15 s, and the stimulus intensity was adjusted to produce 40–50% of the maximal response. A stable baseline was recorded for at least 15 min. LTP was induced by theta burst stimulation (involving five trains with 10 bursts of four pulses delivered at 100 Hz, an interburst interval of 200 ms, and a 20 s interval between each train). Signals were amplified with a double EPC 10 Amplifier (HEKA Elektronik). eA β os and β -secretase inhibitor were added to the perfusion ACSF 20 min before theta burst stimulation. Data in millivolts are normalized and expressed as a percentage of baseline.

Immunoblotting for neuronal lysates

At 13–15 DIV, cortical neurons in culture were treated with A β os and β -secretase inhibitor intravenously (at 1 μ M; Calbiochem) for 30 min. After treatment, neurons were rapidly transferred on ice and rinsed twice with ice-cold PBS then washed with PBS containing 0.1% saponin and rinsed again with ice-cold PBS. Neurons were then lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4; 150 mM NaCl; 1% Triton X-100; 1% sodium deoxycholate; 0.1% SDS; 1 mM EDTA) containing a cocktail of protease and phosphatase inhibitors 1% (v/v). Lysates were dosed for proteins using the BCA method. Samples in loading buffer were boiled for 5 min, and equal amounts of protein (20 μ g) were resolved on 4–20% gradient Bis-Tris polyacrylamide precast gels (Bio-Rad) in denaturing conditions. Proteins were transferred to a polyvinylidene difluoride 0.2 μ m membranes (Millipore) for 2 h at 4°C. Membranes were blocked with Tris-buffered saline (10 mM Tris and 150 mM NaCl, pH 7.4) containing 0.01% Tween 20 and 5% nonfat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. Membranes were then incubated overnight at 4°C with the following primary antibodies: APP full-length (1:2000 dilution; catalog #22C11, Millipore); APP C-terminal fragments (CTFs; 1:2000 dilution; catalog #Y188, Abcam); actin (1:2000 dilution; catalog #A2066, Sigma-Aldrich); and A β peptide (1:1000; catalog #4G8, Covance). Membranes were incubated with the appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated secondary antibodies (1:40,000; Jackson ImmunoResearch and Immunotech) for 45 min at room temperature. Specific proteins were visualized with an enhanced chemiluminescence ECL Detection System (Bio-Rad). Chemiluminescence detection was performed with the Bio-Rad Chemidoc system and analyzed with the ImageJ software. In these experiments, A β os were used at 100 nM.

Membrane/cytosol fractionation

To analyze subcellular distribution of APP fragments, we performed a membrane versus soluble/cytosolic fractionation protocol adapted from Florea et al. (2008). Briefly, cells were permeabilized for 3 min by 50 μ M digitonin in an intracellular saline solution (in mM: 130 mM KCl, 10 mM NaCl, 20 mM HEPES, 1 mM MgSO₄, and 5 mM succinate, at pH 7.2) containing 50 μ M EGTA and protease/phosphatase cocktail inhibitors (Roche/Sigma). The supernatant was then collected and centrifuged for 30 min at 100,000 $\times g$ at 4°C. The resulting supernatant was kept as a soluble/cytosolic fraction. The neurons in the wells (membrane fractions) were washed twice with PBS before being collected in RIPA buffer.

Plasmids

pFR-Luciferase (pFR-Luc) reporter vector containing the Firefly Luciferase (FR-Luc) gene under the control of the yeast GAL4 activation sequence, pHR-thymidine kinase (TK) vector containing the renilla luciferase gene (pHR-TK) and pRC-CMV vector containing a cDNA encoding for human APP695 fused to the yeast transcription factor GAL4 (APP695-Gal4) were provided by Prof. R. Sadoul (Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences) and were previously described by Hoey et al. (2009).

The strategy used to obtain the double fluorescently tagged human APP695 Swedish (hAPP^{swe}) mutant chimera was adapted from Sannerud et al. (2011). Using the mcherry-APP-HA-EYFP (enhanced yellow fluorescent protein) pcDNA3 vector (from the laboratory of Wim Annaert, Center for Brain and Disease Research, Leuven, Belgium),

which contains the fluorescent mCherry within the ectodomain sequence of human APP695, we performed site-directed mutagenesis to introduce the following mutations (NL833/834 to KM) just before the A β sequence according to the manufacturer instructions (Phusion Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit, Thermo Fisher Scientific). The resulting mcherry-hAPPswe-HA-EYFP pcDNA3 vector was verified by sequencing.

cDNAs of WT human APP695 (APPwt) and the Swedish mutant (APPswe) were cloned into pmcherry-N1 vector (SnapGene) using the BamHI and AgeI restriction sites. All constructions in pmCherry vector were verified by sequencing.

Neuronal transfection

Transfections were performed on cortical neuron cultures after 12 DIV with Mirus TransIT-2020 Transfection Reagent (Euromedex) according to the instructions of the manufacturer. Briefly, for each condition in a 24-well plate, 1 μ g of plasmid containing mcherry-hAPPswe-HA-EYFP pcDNA3 vector was mixed with 1.5 μ l of transit-2020 reagent in 50 μ l of serum-free growth medium and incubated for 30 min at room temperature. Then the mixture was applied to cells, and cultures were returned to the incubator for 48 h.

Two-step transfection was used to study the impact of A β overproduction in the neighboring healthy cells. Three micrograms of WT human APP695 (APPwt-mCherry) or the Swedish mutant (APPswe-mCherry) plasmids [mixed with 1 M CaCl₂ and HEPES-buffered saline (HBS) buffer] were first applied to cells for 30 min. Then, 3 μ g of LifeActin-GFP plasmid (mixed with 1 M CaCl₂ and HBS buffer) was added to the cells for 40 min. Transfection medium was replaced with conditioned growth medium, and cultures were returned to the incubator until use at DIV 14–15.

Dual-Glo luciferase reporter gene activity assay for quantification of γ -secretase activity

γ -Secretase activity was measured with the Dual-Glo luciferase reporter gene (Gralle et al., 2009). Twenty-four hours after seeding the clone of N2a into 3.5 cm dishes, cells were cotransfected with pFR-Luc (30 ng), APP695-Gal4 (300 ng), and phRL-TK (5 ng) using the cationic polymer transfection reagent Exgen (Euromedex) according to the protocol of the manufacturer. Dual-Glo luciferase activity assays were performed 48 h after transfection by the quantification of firefly and Renilla luciferase activities (Promega). In all experiments, Firefly Luciferase activity was normalized using the constitutive Renilla luciferase activity. In these experiments A β os were used at 100 nM.

Immunostaining

After 48 h of transfection, cortical neurons were cultured for 2 h in serum-free growth medium and treated or not with A β os 100 nM for 30 min. Cultured were then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/4% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS, at pH 7.3 for 10 min at 37°C. Cells were washed in PBS and processed for immunostaining. After saturation and permeabilization in 3% BSA/0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS for 30 min, cells were incubated with primary antibodies for 2 h, washed three times in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS, and incubated with Life Technologies Alexa Fluor 647-conjugated secondary antibody (1:1000; Thermo Fisher Scientific) for 1 h. After three washes in 0.1% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS, cells were incubated for 5 min with Hoechst stain (catalog #33258, Sigma-Aldrich) for nuclei staining and mounted with DAKO fluorescent mounting medium (DAKO). To characterize subcellular compartments, the following specific antibodies were used: mouse monoclonal anti-EEA1 (1:500; G-4, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for early endosomes, mouse monoclonal anti-LAMP2 antibody (1:500; Proteintech) for lysosomes and mouse monoclonal anti-58K Golgi protein (1:500; 58K-9, GeneTex) for Golgi apparatus.

Confocal imaging of transfected neurons

Transfected neurons were washed twice with HBSS solution and then fixed with 4% paraformaldehyde/5% sucrose in 0.1 M PBS, at pH 7.3 for 10 min at room temperature. Cells were washed in PBS and mounted in fluorescent mounting medium. Preparations were examined on a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal laser-scanning microscope, and images were acquired with a Zeiss Airyscan module with an oil-immersion Plan Apochromat

Figure 1. Analysis of experimental A β oligomers solution. **A**, Western blot analysis of experimental solutions of A β that display a composition of monomers and various oligomeric forms of A β (dimers to tetramers). **B**, SDS-PAGE analysis of A β monomers after purification on the C18 column. All fractions were electrophoresed on 15% tris-glycine gel. A β monomers are mainly eluted at 30% acetonitrile. S, Sample loaded; FT, flow through, peptides eluted at 30%, 40%, and 50% acetonitrile. **C**, SDS-PAGE analysis of murine A β after purification on affinity chromatography on a Nickel column. All fractions were electrophoresed on a 15% tris-glycine gel. A β monomers are eluted in PBS containing 250 mM imidazole. Solutions of murine A β display a composition of monomers, trimers, and tetramers. NI, Noninduced bacterial extract; I, induced bacterial extract; FT, flow through; W, washes, peptides eluted in the four first fractions.

63 $\times$ objective (numerical aperture 1.46) to improve lateral resolution ($\sim$ 140 nm) and signal-to-noise ratios. For illustration, images were merged using ImageJ software (RRID:SCR_003070). Spine density was assessed by using NeuronStudio software, which performed an automatic tracing and reconstruction of neuron structures from confocal image stacks (Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY).

Experimental design and statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed with GraphPad version 6.0 software. After determining whether the data follow normal distribution or not with a Shapiro–Wilk normality test, we performed, for normally distributed data, one-way or two-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s multiple-comparisons test. If the data did not display a normal distribution, we

Figure 2. eA β os perturb spontaneous synaptic activity in cultures of mouse cortical neurons. **A**, Representative traces of AMPA/kainate sEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition or with eA β os (300 nM). **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of AMPA/kainate sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies in control condition (white bars; $n = 10$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -17(19, -36)$, $p = 0.4316$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -2(13, -15)$, $p = 0.9375$ for sEPSC frequencies); with eA β os (gray bars; $n = 10$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -15(20, -35)$, $p = 0.4922$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -49(3, -52)$, $p = 0.0098$, for sEPSC frequencies). Control versus eA β os (Mann–Whitney $U = 44(99, 111)$, $p = 0.6842$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Mann–Whitney $U = 38(117, 93)$, $p = 0.3923$, for sEPSC frequencies). **C**, Representative traces of AMPA/kainate mEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition or with eA β os (300 nM). **D**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of AMPA/kainate mEPSCs amplitude and frequency in control condition (white bars; $n = 7$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -14(7, -21)$, $p = 0.2969$, for mEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 4(5, -1)$, $p = 0.5000$, for mEPSC frequencies) or with eA β os (gray bars; $n = 6$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = 15(30, -15)$, $p = 0.42$, for mEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 10(19, -9)$, $p = 0.46$, for mEPSC frequencies). Control versus

performed a Kruskal–Wallis followed by Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test as the nonparametric test. Paired comparisons were assessed by Wilcoxon signed-rank tests and Mann–Whitney test for unpaired comparisons. Results are expressed as the mean $\pm$ SEM from independent experiments performed separately and corresponding to different cell cultures. Significance was set at 0.05. For electrophysiology experiments “ n ” corresponds to the number of cells recorded, and “ N ” to the number of mice used.

Drugs

NBQX, D-APV; (2*R*)-amino-5-phosphonopentanoate; *N*-[*N*-(3,5-difluorophenacetyl)-*L*-alanine]-(*S*)-phenylglycine *t*-butyl ester (DAPT), β -secretase inhibitor IV, and tetrodotoxin (TTX) were from Sigma-Aldrich. 4G8 antibody from BioLegend was diluted (1:100) into the recording solution and infused 20 min before the recording. The final concentration of 4G8 inside the pipette was 10 μ g/ml.

Results

Extracellular A β os perturb spontaneous synaptic activity in primary cultures of mouse cortical neurons

We studied the effect of eA β os at 300 nM on glutamatergic neurotransmission in murine primary cortical neurons. A β oligomers were prepared from commercially available peptides and resuspended in artificial CSF. When we performed a Western blot of this solution, we observed the presence of low-molecular weight oligomers of A β from dimers to tetramers (Fig. 1). As shown in Figure 2, **A** and **B**, eA β os did not modify AMPA/kainate sEPSC amplitudes ($T_0 = 23.35 \pm 5.93$ pA; $T_{20} = 23.55 \pm 4.62$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $117.68 \pm 21.5\%$; $p = 0.492$; $n = 10$ neurons) but significantly decreased AMPA/

eA β os (Mann–Whitney $U = 19(47, 106)$, $p = 0.13$, for mEPSC amplitudes; and Mann–Whitney $U = 34(64, 89)$, $p = 0.96$, for mEPSC frequencies). **E**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition or with eA β os (300 nM). **F**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies in control condition (white bars; $n = 13$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -55(18, -73)$, $p = 0.0574$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -21(12, -33)$, $p = 0.2383$ for sEPSC frequencies); with eA β os (300 nM; gray bars; $n = 17$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -137(8, -145)$, $p = 0.0004$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -97(4, -101)$, $p = 0.0009$, for sEPSC frequencies) or with eA β os (100 nM; light gray bars; $n = 7$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -28(0, -28)$, $p = 0.0156$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -1(1, -2)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSC frequencies). One-way ANOVA and Tukey’s *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,34)} = 6.937$; $p = 0.0030$; control vs eA β os (300 nM), $p = 0.0028$) for NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and Kruskal–Wallis followed by Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test (6.473; $p = 0.0393$) for NMDA sEPSC frequencies. ** $p < 0.01$; # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$, ### $p < 0.001$ relative to the T_0 recording normalized to 100%.

kainate sEPSC frequencies ($T_0 = 0.59 \pm 0.10$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.37 \pm 0.10$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $60.71 \pm 10.9\%$; $p = 0.009$; $n = 10$ neurons). Next, we evaluated the effect of eA β os on spontaneously occurring miniature excitatory postsynaptic current (mEPSC) recorded in the presence of TTX that blocks action potential formation and propagation. In contrast to sEPSCs, we did not detect any change on AMPA/kainate mEPSC frequencies ($T_0 = 0.35 \pm 0.09$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.37 \pm 0.08$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $92.32 \pm 6.5\%$; $p = 0.3750$; $n = 10$ neurons; Fig. 2C, D). This result suggested that the reduced frequencies of sEPSCs recorded under eA β os is due to a reduction of neuronal excitability and not to a modification of presynaptic glutamate release probability. Interestingly, the application of eA β os markedly lowered both the amplitudes ($T_0 = 432.94 \pm 63.98$ pA; $T_{20} = 252.38 \pm 51.62$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $59.08 \pm 7.4\%$; $p = 0.0004$; $n = 17$) and the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.15 \pm 0.03$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.07 \pm 0.02$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $66.65 \pm 7.6\%$; $p = 0.0009$; $n = 17$; Fig. 2E, F) of NMDA sEPSCs. In contrast, a lower concentration of eA β os (100 nM) was still able to reduce NMDA sEPSC amplitudes (T_{20} vs T_0 : $63.74 \pm 5.98\%$; $p = 0.015$; $n = 7$) but failed to decrease NMDA sEPSC frequencies (T_{20} vs T_0 : $96.03 \pm 14.17\%$; $p > 0.99$; $n = 7$; Fig. 2F), suggesting a dose-dependent effect of eA β os on sEPSC frequencies. These data indicated that a 20 min exposure of eA β os altered neuronal excitability and selectively reduced NMDA sEPSC amplitudes in primary culture of cortical neurons. Perturbations of cellular excitability have already been reported in transgenic models of AD or after A β os application (Brown et al., 2011; Marcantoni et al., 2014; Tamagnini et al., 2015). Our next objective was to investigate how eA β os selectively perturb NMDA sEPSC amplitudes.

eA β os reduce NMDA sEPSC amplitudes in cortical slice neurons from WT but not APP KO mice

Previous studies highlighted the role of APP in extracellular A β os-mediated toxicity and dysfunction (Lorenzo et al., 2000; Shaked et al., 2006, 2009; Fogel et al., 2014; Puzzo et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2017). In this regard, we investigated whether APP is required for eA β os altering NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission by using cortical slices from WT and APP KO mice. eA β os markedly reduced the amplitudes ($T_0 = 43.75 \pm 7.83$ pA; $T_{20} = 29.48 \pm 5.05$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $72.71 \pm 6\%$; $p = 0.0017$; $n = 16$ neurons; $N = 8$ mice) and the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.82 \pm 0.13$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.53 \pm 0.07$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $71.88 \pm 9.7\%$; $p = 0.029$; $n = 16$ neurons; $N = 8$ mice; Fig. 3A,B) of

Figure 3. eA β os reduce NMDA sEPSC amplitude in cortical slice neurons from WT but not from APP KO mice. **A**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs recorded in WT neurons from Swiss mice at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition; with eA β os. **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitude and frequency recorded in WT neurons from Swiss mice in control condition (white bars; $n = 11$ neurons, $N = 9$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 22(44, -22)$, $p = 0.3652$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -16(25, -41)$, $p = 0.5195$, for sEPSC frequencies); with eA β os (gray bars; $n = 16$ neurons, $N = 8$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -114(11, -125)$, $p = 0.0017$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -84(26, -110)$, $p = 0.0290$ for sEPSC frequencies); with eA β monomers [eA β (mo), 300 nM; light gray bars; $n = 8$ neurons, $N = 4$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 6(21, -15)$, $p = 0.7422$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 8(22, -14)$, $p = 0.6406$, for sEPSC frequencies). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,32)} = 5.578$, $p = 0.0084$; control vs eA β os; $p = 0.0423$, eA β os vs eA β (mo), $p = 0.0143$) for NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and Kruskal–Wallis followed by Dunn's multiple-comparisons test (5.076, $p = 0.0790$, for NMDA sEPSC frequencies). **C**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs recorded in APP KO neurons at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition, with eA β os. **D**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitude and frequency recorded in APP KO neurons in control condition (white bars; $n = 6$ neurons, $N = 6$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 1(11, -10)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -3(9, -12)$, $p = 0.8438$, for sEPSC frequencies); in WT neurons from C57BL/6J mice with eA β os (gray bars; $n = 6$ neurons, $N = 3$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -21(0, -21)$, $p = 0.0313$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -21(0, -21)$, $p = 0.0313$, for sEPSC frequencies); in APP KO neurons with eA β os (light gray bars; $n = 8$ neurons, $N = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 18(27, -9)$, $p = 0.2500$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -36(0, -36)$, $p = 0.0078$, for sEPSC frequencies). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons [$F_{(2,17)} = 6.792$; $p = 0.0068$; control(APP KO) vs eA β os $p = 0.0298$; eA β os vs eA β os (APP KO), $p = 0.0072$] for NMDA sEPSC amplitudes, and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons [$F_{(2,18)} = 11.64$; $p = 0.0006$; control(APP KO) vs eA β os, $p = 0.0041$; control(APP KO) vs eA β os (APP KO), $p = 0.0008$] for NMDA sEPSC frequencies. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$; # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$ relative to the T_0 recording normalized to 100%.

NMDA sEPSCs recorded in neurons from Swiss WT mice, confirming the data obtained in primary cultured neurons. Moreover, the lack of effect of extracellular application of A β monomers (300 nM) on both the amplitudes ($T_0 = 34.43 \pm 4.87$ pA; $T_{20} = 37.71 \pm 5.48$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $120.71 \pm 19.92\%$;

$p = 0.74$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice) and the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.67 \pm 0.17$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.72 \pm 0.21$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $131.18 \pm 31.39\%$; $p = 0.64$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice; Fig. 3B) of NMDA sEPSCs suggested that the alterations are specially associated with eA β os. In contrast to C57BL/6J wild-type mice, where eA β os reduced both the amplitudes ($T_0 = 28.62 \pm 5.19$ pA; $T_{20} = 19.67 \pm 5.19$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $68.44 \pm 9.19\%$; $p = 0.031$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice) and the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.71 \pm 0.26$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.35 \pm 0.17$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $54.76 \pm 9.95\%$; $p = 0.031$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice; Fig. 3D) of NMDA sEPSCs, we did not detect any perturbations in the amplitudes of currents recorded in neurons from APP KO mice ($T_0 = 30.72 \pm 6.88$ pA; $T_{20} = 34.22$ pA ± 8.07 pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $112.35 \pm 9.07\%$; $p = 0.25$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 5$ mice), while we still observed a decrease in the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.57 \pm 0.20$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.19 \pm 0.06$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $49.34 \pm 7.64\%$; $p = 0.007$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 5$ mice; Fig. 3C,D). Thus, the reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes by eA β os required the presence of APP. The reduction of NMDA sEPSC frequencies appeared to be independent of APP expression.

Neurons overproducing secreted toxic A β affect neighboring neurons through APP

Several studies have shown that A β overproduction in one cell leads to A β secretion into the extracellular space affecting neighboring healthy cells and could explain the spreading of the pathology across the brain (Wei et al., 2010). Here, we examined the effects of a WT human APP695 (APPwt-mcherry) or the Swedish mutant (APPswe-mCherry), overexpressing neuron, which leads to increased secreted A β in the extracellular space (data not shown) on a healthy nearby neuron. The rationale behind this experiment is to see whether the amount of secreted A β correlates with the defects induced on the healthy neighboring neuron. For this purpose, we first transfected APPwt-mCherry or APPswe-mCherry plasmids in cultured cortical neurons then, 30 min later, we added LifeActin-GFP (LA-GFP), a peptide that specifically binds filamentous actin. This allowed us to have one neuron expressing both LA-GFP and one of the APP-mCherry (APP neuron) next to a neuron that only expresses LA-GFP (healthy neuron; Fig. 4A). We then examined the spine density of the dendrites of the healthy neuron depending on their distance from the APP neuron. Results showed that neurons overproducing secreted A β , namely APPwt-mCherry and APPswe-mCherry overexpressing neurons, decrease the spine density of the nearby healthy neuron. Indeed, the APPwt-mCherry neuron significantly decreased spine density of a healthy neuron from 0 (dendrites from both neurons are overlapping) to 20 μ m (distance from APP neuron). APPswe-mCherry neuron had an even stronger impact on healthy neuron by decreasing its spine density from a range of 0–40 μ m. These results suggest that, in order for the pathology to propagate from cell to cell, A β has to be secreted (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, the distance gradient of the synaptotoxic effect in neurons expressing APPswe suggests a relationship between the synaptotoxicity and the secretion level of A β .

Furthermore, we wanted to assess the role of APP in this spreading of synaptotoxic effects. We conducted the same set of experiments using APP knock-out primary cultured cortical neurons. Here, one APP KO neuron is overexpressing both APPswe-mCherry and LA-GFP, and the neighboring healthy APP KO neuron is only overexpressing LA-GFP. In these experiments, we did not observe any spreading of synaptotoxic effects induced by the APPswe-mCherry neuron on the surrounding neurons, suggesting the implication of APP expression in the spreading of the pathology (Fig. 4B).

Figure 4. Overexpression of APPwt, APPswe in cortical cell cultures decreases the spine density of neighboring healthy wild-type neuron, in an APP-dependent manner. **A**, Representative confocal images of cultured cortical neurons where the neuron on the left is overexpressing either LA-GFP only (LA-GFP), APPwt-mCh (APPwt), APPswe-mCh (APPswe), or APP KO neurons that overexpress APPswe-mCh (APP KO+swe), and the neuron on the right is only overexpressing LA-GFP (healthy neuron). Scale bar, 10 μ m. **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) show the spine density of healthy neurons depending on the distance from (LA-GFP, APPwt, APPswe, or APP KO+swe) neurons ($n =$ at least 3 neurons/condition from three different cultures). Two-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons. Spine density of healthy neurons according to the distance from the APP-overexpressing neuron ($F_{(6,168)} = 5.309$; $p < 0.0001$; treatment: $F_{(5,168)} = 51.6$, $p < 0.0001$, interaction: $F_{(30,168)} = 3.484$, $p < 0.0001$). From 0 to 10 μ m: LA-GFP versus APPwt, $p < 0.0001$; LA-GFP vs APPswe, $p < 0.0001$; APPswe vs APP KO+swe, $p < 0.0001$. From 20 to 30 μ m: LA-GFP vs APPwt, $p = 0.0487$; LA-GFP vs APPswe, $p < 0.0001$. From 30 to 40 μ m: LA-GFP vs APPswe, $p < 0.0001$. * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$ when compared with control condition (both neurons only overexpress LA-GFP) at equivalent distance. ### $p < 0.001$ when healthy neurons in APP KO plus the swe condition are compared with healthy neurons in the APPswe condition.

eA β os induce APP processing through the amyloidogenic pathway

To gain more insight into the functional relationship between eA β os and APP, we blotted endogenous full-length APP and its cleavage products from primary cultures of cortical neurons exposed to eA β os for 30–360 min (Fig. 5A). A 30 min exposure to eA β os was sufficient to produce proteolytic CTFs while A β peptides were only detected after 6 h of eA β os exposure. According to these results, we then investigated the effects of eA β os on APP processing by analyzing the production of CTFs in presence of β -secretase inhibitors (Fig. 5B). The ratio of APP proteolytic CTFs over total full-length APP increased when primary cortical neurons were exposed to eA β os (1.71 ± 0.16 a.u.) compared with the control condition (0.78 ± 0.037 a.u.; $p < 0.0001$; $n = 7$ independent experiments). This increased proteolytic cleavage of APP due to A β os was abolished by β -secretase inhibitor at 1 μ M (0.63 ± 0.015 a.u.; $p = 0.68$; $n = 4$ independent experiments) compared with control (Fig. 5C). These data suggested that eA β os exposure promotes an increase of APP processing.

To further investigate the functional relationship between eA β os and APP, we used a cell-based gene reporter assay to monitor γ -secretase-mediated cleavage of APP (Hoey et al., 2009). This technique uses a reporter APP695 fused at its C terminal to the transcription factor Gal4 (APP695-Gal4). The

Figure 5. eA β os induce APP processing through amyloidogenic pathway. **A**, Representative Western blot of endogenous APP and its proteolytic fragments in a whole lysate extract of cortical neurons (14 DIVs) exposed to eA β os for 30 min. **B**, Top, Representative Western blot of endogenous APP and CTFs in a whole-lysate extract of cortical neurons (14 DIVs) exposed to eA β os with or without β -secretase inhibitor (β -seci; 1 μ M) for 30 min. **C**, Quantification of APP full-length (APPfl) and APP proteolytic CTFs in control ($n = 7$ independent experiments), in the presence of eA β os ($n = 7$ independent experiments), in the presence of eA β os plus β -secretase inhibitor ($n = 4$ independent experiments). Results (mean $\pm$ SEM) are expressed as the ratio of APP CTFs over full-length APP. One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,15)} = 27.83$, $p < 0.0001$; control vs eA β os, $p < 0.0001$; eA β os vs β -seci+eA β os $p < 0.0001$. *** $p < 0.001$.

proteolytic cleavage of APP by α - or β - and γ -secretases produces an amyloid precursor protein intracellular domain-Gal4 fragment that can translocate into the nucleus in which Gal4 induces transcription of a transfected Gal4-dependent Firefly Luciferase reporter gene. γ -Secretase activity was quantified by normalizing FR-Luc luminescence using the constitutive Renilla-TK luciferase activity, which depends on the number of transfected cells. Preliminary experiments were performed to validate the γ -secretase test. These controls consisted in transfected cells with only FR-Luciferase and RL-TK Luciferase. In the presence of APP695-Gal4, Firefly Luciferase activity is ~ 380 times stronger than the control, reflecting a clear increase in γ -secretase activity ($p < 0.0001$; $n = 4$ independent experiments; Fig. 6A). In

Figure 6. eA β os promote APP processing through γ -secretase activity. **A**, Quantification of Firefly Luciferase activity when N2a cells were cotransfected with pFR-Luc Firefly Luciferase reporter gene plasmid and pHRL-TK Renilla Luciferase plasmid (control; $n = 4$ independent experiments); cotransfected with pFR-Luc Firefly Luciferase reporter gene plasmid, pHRL-TK Renilla Luciferase plasmid, and with a plasmid coding for APP695-Gal4 ($n = 4$ independent experiments); cotransfected with pFR-Luc Firefly Luciferase reporter gene plasmid, pHRL-TK Renilla Luciferase plasmid, and with a plasmid coding for APP695-Gal4 in the presence of DAPT (5 μ M; $n = 4$ independent experiments). Results are expressed as a percentage of control (N2a not cotransfected with APP695-Gal4). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,9)} = 174.3$, $p < 0.0001$; control vs APP695-Gal4, $p < 0.0001$; APP695-Gal4 vs DAPT+APP695, $p < 0.0001$). **B**, Quantification of Firefly Luciferase activity when N2a cotransfected with pFR-Luc Firefly Luciferase reporter gene plasmid, pHRL-TK Renilla Luciferase plasmid, and a plasmid coding for APP695-Gal4 were treated with eA β os for 15, 30, and 60 min ($n = 4$ independent experiments) and with eA β (mo) for 60 min ($n = 3$ independent experiments). Results are expressed as a percentage of control (N2a not exposed to eA β os). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons [$F_{(4,14)} = 139.9$, $p < 0.0001$; control vs eA β os (30 min), $p < 0.0001$; control vs eA β os (60 min), $p < 0.0001$; eA β os (60 min) vs eA β (mo), $p < 0.0001$]. **C**, Quantification of Firefly Luciferase activity when N2a cells cotransfected with pFR-Luc firefly luciferase reporter gene plasmid, pHRL-TK Renilla luciferase plasmid, and a plasmid coding for APP695-Gal4 were pretreated with various concentration of secreted soluble APP fragment (sAPP) and then exposed with eA β os for 60 min ($n = 3$ independent experiments). Results are expressed as a percentage of control (N2a not exposed to eA β os). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons [$F_{(4,10)} = 138.9$, $p < 0.0001$; control vs eA β os, $p < 0.0001$; eA β os vs sAPP(5 nM), $p < 0.0001$]. *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 7. Alteration of NMDA sEPSCs amplitude in cortical slice neurons by eA β os depends on APP cleavage by γ -secretase and β -secretase. **A**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} with DAPT ($5 \mu\text{M}$); with DAPT ($5 \mu\text{M}$) plus eA β os. **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSCs amplitude and frequency with DAPT (white bars; $n = 7$ neurons, $N = 4$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 4(16, -12)$, $p = 0.8125$, for sEPSCs amplitude; and Wilcoxon $W = -4(12, -16)$, $p = 0.8125$, for sEPSCs frequency); with DAPT plus eA β os (gray bars; $n = 10$ neurons, $N = 5$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 1(11, -10)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSCs amplitude; and Wilcoxon $W = -43(6, -49)$, $p = 0.0273$, for sEPSCs frequency). DAPT versus DAPT plus eA β os (Mann–Whitney $U = 33(61, 92)$, $p = 0.8868$, for sEPSCs amplitude; and Mann–Whitney $U = 30.5(67.5, 85.5)$, $p = 0.6874$, for sEPSCs frequency). **C**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} with β -secretase inhibitor ($1 \mu\text{M}$); with β -secretase inhibitor ($1 \mu\text{M}$) plus eA β os. **D**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitude and frequency with β -secretase inhibitor (white bars; $n = 6$ neurons, $N = 4$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 1(11, -10)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSCs amplitude; and Wilcoxon $W = 1(11, -10)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSCs frequency); with β -secretase inhibitor plus eA β os (gray bars; $n = 8$ neurons, $N = 3$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 12(24, -12)$, $p = 0.4609$, for sEPSCs amplitude; and Wilcoxon $W = -36(0, -36)$, $p = 0.0078$, for sEPSCs frequency). β -Secretase inhibitor versus β -secretase inhibitor plus eA β os (Mann–Whitney $U = 17(38, 67)$, $p = 0.4136$, for sEPSC amplitude; and Mann–Whitney $U = 5(64, 41)$, $p = 0.0127$, for sEPSC frequency). * $p < 0.05$; # $p < 0.05$; ## $p < 0.01$ relative to the T_0 recording normalized to 100%.

the presence of DAPT ($5 \mu\text{M}$), γ -secretase activity was reduced by 70% when compared with APP695-Gal4 alone ($p < 0.0001$; $n = 4$ independent experiments; Fig. 6A). These results validate the γ -secretase test to measure the effect of eA β os on APP processing. When we applied eA β os to N2a cells expressing APP695-Gal4, we observed a time-dependent increase in luciferase activity (T_{60} vs control: $148 \pm 2.47\%$; $p < 0.0001$; $n = 4$ independent experiments; Fig. 6B) but not with eA β monomers (T_{60} vs control: $105.46 \pm 1.04\%$; $p = 0.26$; $n = 3$ independent experiments; Fig. 6B). This result indicates that eA β os enhance APP processing by γ -secretase. Different binding sites for A β have been described on APP (Van Nostrand et al., 2002; Khalifa et al., 2010), and it has been shown that A β –APP interaction

leads to APP dimerization (Fogel et al., 2014). This process promotes APP processing and A β production by the γ -secretase (Scheuermann et al., 2001; Munter et al., 2007). We tested whether sAPP interferes with the eA β os-induced processing of APP. We preincubated N2a cells expressing APP695-Gal4 with increasing concentration of sAPP (0.1, 1, and 5 nM) and then applied eA β os for 1 h (Fig. 6C). We observed a dose-dependent inhibition in γ -secretase-mediated APP processing in the presence of sAPP (T_{60} vs sAPP 5 nM: $105.9 \pm 1.16\%$; $p < 0.0001$; $n = 3$ independent experiments). These data confirmed that eA β os enhance the amyloidogenic processing of APP and suggest that sAPP may compete with A β for binding on APP, thus limiting A β -induced APP processing as suggested by a previous publication (Gralle et al., 2009). However, we cannot exclude that sAPP sequesters eA β os and subsequently reduced the effect of eA β os rather than exerting a direct competition between eA β os and sAPP for binding to APP.

Alteration of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes by eA β os depends on APP cleavage by γ -secretase and β -secretase

We then tested in cortical slice neurons whether perturbations of NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission by eA β os require APP processing. We first inhibited APP cleavage by inhibiting γ -secretase with DAPT added in the Mg^{2+} -free ACSF. When we perfused this inhibitor alone at a concentration of $5 \mu\text{M}$, we did not detect any modifications of either NMDA sEPSC amplitudes (T_{20} vs T_0 : $102.67 \pm 3.51\%$; $p = 0.81$; $n = 7$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice) or frequencies (T_{20} vs T_0 : $91.29 \pm 22.97\%$; $p = 0.81$; $n = 7$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice; Fig. 7A,B). In contrast, the reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes induced by eA β os was abolished in the presence of DAPT ($T_0 = 38.89 \pm 7.3 \text{ pA}$; $T_{20} = 44.53 \pm 13.75 \text{ pA}$; T_{20} vs T_0 : $113.07 \pm 16.12\%$; $p > 0.9999$; $n = 10$ neurons; $N = 5$ mice), while we still observed a reduction of NMDA sEPSC frequencies ($T_0 = 0.61 \pm 0.14 \text{ Hz}$; $T_{20} = 0.42 \pm 0.10 \text{ Hz}$; T_{20} vs T_0 : $73.08 \pm 9.61\%$; $p = 0.027$; $n = 10$ neurons; $N = 5$ mice; Fig. 7A,B).

Because γ -secretase is involved in both amyloidogenic and nonamyloidogenic pathways, we investigated the pivotal role of APP processing in the effect of eA β os by performing experiments with the β -secretase inhibitor added in the Mg^{2+} -free ACSF. At a concentration of $1 \mu\text{M}$, β -secretase inhibitor affected neither NMDA sEPSC amplitudes (T_{20} vs T_0 : $98.85 \pm 20.68\%$; $p > 0.9999$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice) nor frequencies (T_{20} vs

T_0 : $102.58 \pm 12.17\%$; $p > 0.9999$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice; Fig. 7C,D). The reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes induced by eA β os was abolished when we inhibited β -secretase cleavage of APP ($T_0 = 32.91 \pm 6.15$ pA; $T_{20} = 35.11 \pm 5.71$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $112.67 \pm 11.62\%$; $p = 0.46$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice), while we still observed a reduction of NMDA sEPSC frequencies ($T_0 = 0.74 \pm 0.09$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.39 \pm 0.09$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $52.14 \pm 8.39\%$; $p = 0.007$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice; Fig. 7C,D). Thus, the alteration of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes by eA β os involved APP processing toward the amyloidogenic pathway.

eA β os inhibition of long-term potentiation requires β -secretase cleavage of APP

Activity-dependent facilitation of excitatory synaptic transmission is a prevalent mechanism of synaptic plasticity underlying learning and memory processes in the mammalian brain. This is particularly well documented in the CA1 region of the hippocampus (Malenka and Nicoll, 1999). For instance, theta burst stimulation protocol produces an NMDA-dependent LTP at CA3–CA1 synapses (Grover et al., 2009). Since several studies reported that hippocampal LTP is highly sensitive to A β os, we investigated whether this synaptic plasticity perturbation induced by eA β os requires APP processing toward the amyloidogenic pathway. We applied a theta burst stimulation protocol to induce LTP at CA3–CA1 synapses on acute hippocampal slices from WT mice. eA β os applied 20 min before theta burst stimulation significantly reduced the potentiation (for the last 5 min of recording: 130.09 ± 5.78 in eA β os condition; $n = 12$ slices; $N = 8$ mice; gray circle) compared with the control condition ($200.83 \pm 15.14\%$; $p = 0.0003$; $n = 11$ slices; $N = 6$ mice; Fig. 8A, white circle). When we applied the β -secretase inhibitor IV ($1 \mu\text{M}$), we prevented the alteration of LTP induced by eA β os (183.63 ± 11.35 ; $p = 0.021$; $n = 8$ slices; $N = 4$ mice; gray triangle), while this inhibitor had no effect by itself when perfused 20 min before theta burst stimulation (194.38 ± 13.48 ; $p = 0.96$; $n = 9$ slices; $N = 5$ mice; Fig. 8B, white triangle). These results demonstrated that LTP perturbation induced by eA β os in the CA1 region of the hippocampus involved β -secretase cleavage of APP.

eA β os-induced processing of APP leads to accumulation of cytosolic A β os

Several studies reported that extracellular A β os application promotes a production followed by an accumulation of A β inside the neurons (Yang et al., 1999; Tampellini et al., 2009), and that intraneuronal accumulation of A β is toxic and precedes its extracellular deposition in patients and mouse models of AD (Gouras et al., 2000; Wirths et al., 2001; Takahashi et al., 2002; Oddo et al., 2006). Accordingly, we tested whether eA β os could modify the processing of APP and lead to cytosolic accumulation of APP fragments (Fig. 9). To visualize the processing of APP, we transfected primary cortical neurons with fluorescently tagged APP construct (mcherry-APPswe-EYFP). In this construction, mCherry fluorescent protein was inserted into the ectodomain and YFP fused to the C-terminal domain of APP695 expressing the Swedish mutation (APPswe). Thus, unprocessed APP or partially processed APP (β -secretase cleavage only) appeared in yellow while the presence of red or green puncta reveals processed APP. The location of APP processing to produce A β peptides has been ascribed to many organelles, including the endoplasmic reticulum, the trans-Golgi network, endosomes, and lysosomes (Small and Gandy, 2006; Thinakaran and Koo, 2008). These organelles serve as sorting stations for

Figure 8. β -Secretase inhibition prevents the long-term plasticity inhibition induced by eA β os. **A**, Data are the mean ($\pm$ SEM), and they are expressed as percentages of fEPSP slope baseline in the control condition (white circle; $n = 11$ slices, $N = 6$ mice); with eA β os (gray circle; $n = 12$ slices, $N = 8$ mice). Representative traces from one experiment are shown. They were extracted at the times indicated (1, 2) on the graph. **B**, Data are the mean ($\pm$ SEM), and they are expressed as percentages of fEPSP slope baseline with β -secretase inhibitor ($1 \mu\text{M}$; white triangle; $n = 9$ slices, $N = 5$ mice); with β -secretase inhibitor plus eA β os ($1 \mu\text{M}$; gray triangle; $n = 8$ slices, $N = 4$ mice). Representative traces from one experiment are shown. They were extracted at the times indicated (1, 2) on the graph. **C**, Summary bar graph depicting the effect of various experimental conditions on LTP. On the graph, data are the mean ($\pm$ SEM), and they are expressed as percentages of fEPSP slope baseline measured during the 5 last min of recordings in control condition (white bar); with eA β os (gray bar); with β -secretase inhibitor (white bar); and with β -secretase inhibitor plus eA β os (gray bar). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons: $F_{(3,36)} = 8.288$, $p = 0.0003$; control vs eA β os, $p = 0.0003$; eA β os vs β -seci+eA β os, $p = 0.0218$. * $p < 0.05$, *** $p < 0.001$.

Figure 9. eA β os-induced processing of APP leads to the accumulation of cytosolic A β oligomers. **A**, Airyscan images of cortical neurons transfected with mCherry-APPsw-EYFP, treated or not with eA β os for 30 min and immunostained with EEA1, LAMP2, and 58K Golgi protein antibodies (blue). White rectangle areas indicate higher magnification used for fluorescent puncta quantification. Red arrows and red stars indicate respectively colocalized yellow puncta or red puncta with EEA1 or LAMP2 vesicles, yellow arrows, and yellow stars indicate, respectively, noncolocalized yellow puncta or red puncta with EEA1 or LAMP2 vesicles. Wide-field scale bar, 5 μ m. Magnification scale bar, 1 μ m. **B**, Number of yellow puncta or red/green puncta in cortical neurons treated (gray bars) or not (black bars) with eA β os. For yellow puncta, one-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(3,44)} = 83.58$, $p < 0.0001$; control vs eA β os, $p < 0.001$). **C**, Proportion of yellow or red/green puncta in the different subcellular compartments in cortical neurons treated (gray bars) or not (black bars) with eA β os. For yellow puncta, one-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(5,35)} = 7.35$, $p < 0.0001$; for early endosomes: control vs eA β os, $p = 0.0481$; for Golgi apparatus: control vs eA β os, $p = 0.0495$). For red/green puncta, one-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(5,29)} = 7.089$, $p = 0.0002$; for Golgi apparatus: control vs eA β os, $p = 0.0017$). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$, *** $p < 0.001$. Data are presented as the mean $\pm$ SEM. **D**, Western blot analysis of APP full-length and APP fragments in membrane or cytosol fractions obtained from cortical neurons exposed or not with eA β os for 30 min.

proteins that traffic through the endocytic and secretory pathways. To characterize the site of APP processing, we performed confocal analysis of the fluorescence obtained with specific markers for early endosomes (EEA1), lysosomes (Lamp2), and the Golgi apparatus (58K Golgi protein; Fig. 9B). In presence of

eA β os, the number of yellow puncta per neuron significantly increased compared with control (99.7 ± 8.70 vs 41.6 ± 3.14 ; $p < 0.001$; $n = 10$ –14), while there was no significant difference regarding the red/green puncta (Fig. 9C). In control cells, yellow puncta localized predominantly to the early endosome as

visualized by the EEA1 labeling ($39.80 \pm 11.11\%$) with only a subset of yellow puncta colocalized with the lysosome marker Lamp2 ($4.15 \pm 1.48\%$) or the Golgi marker 58K ($7.065 \pm 2.37\%$; Fig. 9A,C). In eA β os-treated neurons, yellow puncta were redistributed from early endosomes to Golgi apparatus (for early endosomes: $39.80 \pm 11.11\%$ in control cells vs $13.72 \pm 6.58\%$ in eA β os-treated cells, $p = 0.0481$; for Golgi: $7.065 \pm 2.37\%$ in control cells vs $34.50 \pm 6.35\%$ in eA β os-treated cells, $p = 0.0495$; $n = 5-10$). Concerning processed APP (red/green puncta), we observed that only 2% are colocalized with early endosomes. The processing of APP occurred preferentially in lysosomes in control conditions ($20.57 \pm 8.08\%$ vs $6.93 \pm 2.49\%$ in eA β os-treated cells) and in Golgi apparatus in the presence of eA β os ($62.92 \pm 20.24\%$ vs 0% in control cells; $p = 0.0017$; $n = 5-9$). These data indicated that eA β os lead to APP accumulation and processing in the Golgi apparatus.

Next, we investigated the consequences of APP processing in the production of soluble/cytosolic A β peptides. Control or treated cells were permeabilized with digitonin. The two resulting protein fractions (membrane-bound and soluble/cytosolic) were collected separately and analyzed by Western blot, using 22C11 (directed against the N terminal of APP) and 4G8 (directed against A β) antibodies (Fig. 9D). While APP was found in membrane-bound fractions, sAPP appeared in the soluble/cytosolic fractions of eA β os-treated neurons as revealed by the 22C11 antibodies. Furthermore, we also observed an accumulation of A β oligomers (trimers and tetramers forms) and a band at 50 kDa that may represent large SDS-stable oligomers in the soluble/cytosol fractions of eA β os-treated neurons compared with control. Together, these data provide evidence that eA β os led to APP processing through the secretory pathway and to a cytosolic accumulation of A β oligomers.

Application of intracellular A β oligomers perturbs spontaneous synaptic activity in cultures of mouse cortical neurons

From these observations, we decided to evaluate the effects of cytosolic accumulation of A β os on both AMPA/kainate and NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission by infusing A β os directly into the cytosol of neurons via the patch pipette. We infused A β os at 300 nM into cultured neurons through the recording patch pipette (iA β os). In this condition, we recorded sEPSCs in Mg²⁺-free ACSF at T₀ and T₂₀. After 20 min of iA β os, we did not observe any modifications of AMPA/kainate sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies (T₀ = 21.65 ± 1.89 pA; T₂₀ = 23.50 ± 4.65 pA; T₂₀ vs T₀: $103.93 \pm 13.1\%$; $p = 0.96$; $n = 11$ neurons; and T₀ = 0.69 ± 0.11 Hz; T₂₀ = 0.65 ± 0.14 Hz; T₂₀ vs T₀: $108.93 \pm 27.6\%$; $p = 0.46$; $n = 11$ neurons, respectively; Fig. 10A,

Figure 10. iA β os perturb spontaneous synaptic activity in cultures of mouse cortical neurons. **A**, Representative traces of AMPA/NMDA sEPSCs at T₀ and T₂₀ in control condition or with iA β os (300 nM). **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T₂₀/T₀ ratio of AMPA/kainate sEPSC amplitude and frequency in control condition (white bars; $n = 10$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -17(19, -36)$, $p = 0.43$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -2(13, -15)$, $p = 0.93$, for sEPSC frequencies) or with iA β os (black bars; $n = 11$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = 2(34, -32)$, $p = 0.9658$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -18(24, -42)$, $p = 0.46$, for sEPSC frequencies). Control versus iA β os for AMPA sEPSCs (Mann–Whitney $U = 47(102, 129)$, $p = 0.6047$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Mann–Whitney $U = 50(105, 126)$, $p = 0.7561$, for sEPSC frequencies). **C**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs at T₀ and T₂₀ in control condition; with iA β os (300 nM). **D**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T₂₀/T₀ ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies in control condition (white bars; $n = 13$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -55(18, -73)$, $p = 0.0574$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -21(12, -33)$, $p = 0.2383$, for sEPSC frequencies); with iA β os (black bars, $n = 9$ neurons, Wilcoxon $W = -45(0, -45)$, $p = 0.0039$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -5(11.5, -16.5)$, $p = 0.7188$, for sEPSC frequencies). Control versus iA β os for NMDA sEPSCs (Mann–Whitney $U = 6(202, 51)$, $p = 0.0001$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Mann–Whitney $U = 54.5(145.5, 107.5)$, $p = 0.8041$, for sEPSC frequencies). *** $p < 0.001$; ## $p < 0.01$, relative to the T₀ recording normalized to 100%.

B). In contrast, iA β os reduced NMDA sEPSC amplitudes (T₀ = 681.06 ± 72.23 pA; T₂₀ = 375.06 ± 54.32 pA; T₂₀ vs T₀: $54.50 \pm 5.1\%$; $p = 0.0039$; $n = 9$ neurons) without affecting NMDA sEPSC frequencies (T₀ = 0.10 ± 0.02 Hz; T₂₀ = 0.10 ± 0.02 Hz; T₂₀ vs T₀: $97.36 \pm 13.5\%$; $p = 0.71$; $n = 9$ neurons; Fig. 10C,D). Similar to eA β os, 20 min of iA β os induced a strong reduction of NMDA but not of AMPA/kainate sEPSC amplitudes in primary cultures of cortical neurons.

iA β os reduce NMDA current amplitudes in cortical slice neurons from both WT and APP KO mice

Next, we checked whether iA β os-induced alterations of excitatory neurotransmission in cortical slice neurons from WT and

Figure 11. iA β os reduces NMDA currents amplitude in cortical slice neurons from both WT and APP KO mice. **A**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs recorded in WT neurons from Swiss mice at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition; with iA β os. **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitude and frequency recorded in WT neurons from Swiss mice in control condition (white bars; $n = 11$ neurons, $N = 9$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 22(44, -22)$, $p = 0.3652$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -16(25, -41)$, $p = 0.5195$, for sEPSC frequencies); with iA β os (black bars; $n = 8$, $N = 6$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -36(0, -36)$, $p = 0.0078$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -14(11, -25)$, $p = 0.3828$, for sEPSC frequencies); with iA β mo (gray bars; $n = 8$, $N = 4$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -31(12, -43)$, $p = 0.1309$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -9(23, -32)$, $p = 0.6953$, for sEPSC frequencies); and with murine iA β (iA β (mu)), 300 nM; gray bar; $n = 9$ neurons, $N = 4$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -39(42, -3)$, $p = 0.0195$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 26(31, -5)$, $p = 0.0781$, for sEPSC frequencies). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(3,34)} = 4.555$, $p = 0.0087$; control vs iA β os, $p = 0.0090$; control vs (iA β (mu)), $p = 0.0412$, for NMDA sEPSC amplitudes) and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(3,34)} = 0.1971$, $p = 0.8977$ for NMDA sEPSC frequencies). **C**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs recorded in APP KO neurons at T_0 and T_{20} in control condition, with iA β os. **D**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies recorded in APP KO neurons in control condition (white bars; $n = 6$ neurons, $N = 6$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = 1(11, -10)$, $p > 0.9999$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -3(9, -12)$, $p = 0.8438$, for sEPSC frequencies); in WT neurons from C57BL/6J mice with iA β os (black bars; $n = 7$ neurons, $N = 3$ mice Wilcoxon $W = -28(0, -28)$, $p = 0.0156$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 3(12, -9)$, $p = 0.8438$, for sEPSC frequencies), and APP KO neurons with iA β os (gray bars; $n = 8$ neurons, $N = 3$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -32(2, -34)$, $p = 0.0234$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = 10(23, -13)$, $p = 0.5469$, for sEPSC frequencies). One-way ANOVA and Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,18)} = 6.754$, $p = 0.0065$; control(APP KO) vs iA β os, $p = 0.0058$; control(APP KO) vs iA β os (APP KO), $p = 0.0382$, for NMDA sEPSC amplitudes; and one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's *post hoc* test for multiple comparisons ($F_{(2,18)} = 0.07348$, $p = 0.9294$, for NMDA sEPSC frequencies). * $p < 0.05$, ** $p < 0.01$; # $p < 0.05$, ## $p < 0.01$ relative to the T_0 recording normalized to 100%.

APP KO mice. iA β os reduced NMDA sEPSC amplitudes ($T_0 = 36.30 \pm 6.27$ pA; $T_{20} = 22.29 \pm 5.43$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $63.80 \pm 8.2\%$; $p = 0.007$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 6$ mice) without affecting NMDA sEPSC frequencies ($T_0 = 0.68 \pm 0.14$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.61 \pm 0.22$ Hz;

T_{20} vs T_0 : $81.67 \pm 16.4\%$; $p = 0.38$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 6$ mice; Fig. 11A,B) recorded in cortical slice neurons from Swiss WT mice. In a dose-response experiment, we observed that the reduction induced by iA β os was still observed at 50 nM but not at 10 nM (data not shown). This reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes seemed to require A β os since we failed to observe any modifications of NMDA currents when neurons were infused with a solution containing A β monomers (300 nM; $T_0 = 35.05 \pm 7.00$ pA; $T_{20} = 24.32 \pm 3.58$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $83.75 \pm 9.6\%$; $p = 0.13$; $n = 10$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice; Fig. 11B). These findings strengthened our previous observation that eA β os effects are due to iA β os accumulation originating from the processing of murine APP. Subsequently, because the murine A β peptide exhibits a different sequence than human A β , we tested the infusion of murine A β oligomers (300 nM) on NMDA-dependent sEPSCs. Similar to human A β os, we observed a reduction of the amplitudes ($T_0 = 32.33 \pm 3.21$ pA; $T_{20} = 22.14 \pm 1.74$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $72.86 \pm 8.02\%$; $p = 0.019$; $n = 9$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice) but not of the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.51 \pm 0.06$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.43 \pm 0.06$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $85.60 \pm 6.6\%$; $p = 0.078$; $n = 9$ neurons; $N = 4$ mice; Fig. 11B) of NMDA-dependent sEPSCs recorded in neurons infused with murine A β os (iA β (mu)). In an additional set of experiments, we tested the influence of intracellular accumulation of A β os in APP KO mice. Interestingly, iA β os also affected the amplitudes ($T_0 = 35.49 \pm 5.07$ pA; $T_{20} = 24.10 \pm 4.03$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $77.10 \pm 6.39\%$; $p = 0.023$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice), but not the frequencies ($T_0 = 0.63 \pm 0.12$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.64 \pm 0.10$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $105.96 \pm 8.03\%$; $p = 0.54$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice; Fig. 11C,D) of sEPSCs recorded in cortical slice neurons from APP KO mice. These data demonstrated that a cytosolic accumulation of A β os significantly affected NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission in both neuronal cultures and cortex slices.

Intracellular infusion of cortical neurons with an antibody directed against A β prevents the inhibition of NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission induced by eA β os

Since murine iA β os promoted a decrease of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes, we investigated whether eA β os-induced reduction of NMDA synaptic transmission was linked to an accumulation of newly produced endogenous A β os. For this purpose, the 4G8 antibody directed against the sequence 17–24 of A β peptide was infused in the neurons through the recording patch pipette. The 4G8 antibody

has been shown previously to completely prevent the block of LTP by A β os through a rapid and direct neutralization of the peptide (Klyubin et al., 2005). Remarkably, 4G8 antibody (1:100, 10 μ g/ml) infusion into cortical slice neurons affected neither NMDA sEPSC amplitudes (T_{20} vs T_0 : $91.22 \pm 4.5\%$; $p = 0.68$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice) nor frequencies (T_{20} vs T_0 : $91.31 \pm 3\%$; $p = 0.43$; $n = 6$ neurons; $N = 3$ mice), whereas it prevented the inhibition of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes induced by eA β os ($T_0 = 30.36 \pm 6.74$ pA; $T_{20} = 29.09 \pm 5.96$ pA; T_{20} vs T_0 : $97.13 \pm 2.52\%$; $p = 0.195$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 6$ mice), but not the frequencies reduction ($T_0 = 0.90 \pm 0.24$ Hz; $T_{20} = 0.50 \pm 0.16$ Hz; T_{20} vs T_0 : $58.49 \pm 3.71\%$; $p = 0.023$; $n = 8$ neurons; $N = 6$ mice; Fig. 12A, B). These data suggested that NMDA current alterations induced by eA β os depend on newly produced A β that accumulates intracellularly after APP processing.

Discussion

The impact of extracellular A β os on excitatory synaptic transmission has been extensively studied to further understand the mechanism of action of A β os in the disruption of learning and memory processes associated with AD. If a consensus has emerged that validates the idea that A β os application negatively affects excitatory neurotransmission, questions remain about the molecular mechanism involved.

In our study, we demonstrated that 20 min of eA β os significantly affect glutamatergic synaptic transmission by inducing a selective decrease of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and frequencies. The reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes but not the frequencies requires the presence of APP and the activity of β - and γ -secretases, two enzymes involved in the amyloidogenic pathway. The processing of APP driven by eA β os promotes a subsequent accumulation of A β os into the cytosol, leading to the reduction of synaptic NMDA currents.

Among the potential membrane receptors of A β os (Jarosz-Griffiths et al., 2016), several reports described that the peptide interacts with the extracellular domain of APP (Shaked et al., 2006, 2009; Fogel et al., 2014; Puzzo et al., 2017). Such an interaction may occur in our model since eA β os-induced γ -secretase activity is abolished by the extracellular application of sAPP α that can interact with A β os and compete with its binding on APP. These results are strengthened by the observation that the effects of eA β os on NMDA sEPSCs are prevented in cortical neurons from APP KO mice. In a recent article, Wang et al. (2017) demonstrate that A β os-containing AD brain extracts induced blockade of hippocampal LTP and depends on APP expression. Several studies have described a selective reduction of NMDA receptor function by A β os via a decreased membrane expression of memory-related NMDA receptors (Snyder et al., 2005), even if a direct reduction of NMDA receptor function (Chen and Roche, 2007) without alterations of GluN2A and GluN2B expression at the synaptic level cannot be excluded (Frändemich et al., 2014). Our results also showed that mutant APP overexpression, which leads to increased production of A β in the extracellular

Figure 12. Inhibition of NMDA-dependent synaptic transmission by eA β os is prevented by an antibody directed against A β infused into neurons. **A**, Representative traces of NMDA sEPSCs at T_0 and T_{20} with i4G8 antibody (1:100, 10 μ g/ml) with i4G8 antibody (1:100, 10 μ g/ml) plus eA β os. **B**, Bar graphs (mean $\pm$ SEM) showing the T_{20}/T_0 ratio of sEPSC amplitude and frequency with i4G8 antibody (white bars; $n = 6$ neurons, $N = 3$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -5(8, -13)$, $p = 0.68$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -7(4, -11)$, $p = 0.43$, for sEPSC frequencies); i4G8 antibody plus eA β os (black bars; $n = 8$ neurons, $N = 6$ mice, Wilcoxon $W = -20(8, -28)$, $p = 0.19$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Wilcoxon $W = -32(2, -34)$, $p = 0.02$, for sEPSC frequencies). i4G8 versus i4G8 plus eA β os (Mann–Whitney $U = 21(42, 63)$, $p = 0.75$, for sEPSC amplitudes; and Mann–Whitney $U = 8(61, 44)$, $p = 0.04$, for sEPSC frequencies). * $p < 0.05$; # $p < 0.05$ relative to the T_0 recording normalized to 100%.

space induces synaptotoxicity in the nearby “healthy” neurons. This effect seemed to be proportional to the amount of A β produced. Indeed, APPs we-overexpressing neurons, which display an increased production of A β when compared with APPwt-overexpressing neurons, induced a more pronounced synaptotoxic effect on the healthy neuron. These results suggest that a relationship exists between the neuronal production of A β and the severity of the synaptotoxic effect observed in healthy neurons. When we conducted the same experiments using APP KO cortical neurons, the healthy APP KO neuron was not affected by the nearby A β -secreting neuron. This suggests that the effects observed on the healthy wild-type neuron require APP expression. Together, these data reveal that genetic ablation of APP prevents A β os-mediated synaptic dysfunction. Subsequently, we showed that the effect of eA β os on NMDA currents requires the presence of not only APP but also its cleavage through the amyloidogenic pathway. Indeed, the impacts of eA β os on NMDA sEPSC amplitudes and LTP in the hippocampus are reversed by treatment with γ - and/or β -secretase inhibitors, highlighting a causal role of the amyloidogenic pathway in these processes and strengthening the therapeutic interest of the pharmacological blockade of the APP processing pathway. Our observations are strengthened by a biochemical assay in which we observed that eA β os promote APP processing toward the amyloidogenic pathway, revealing a vicious circle triggered by eA β os that may contribute to the transmission of the disease from sick to healthy neurons. In a recent article, Puzzo et al. (2017), also confirm the requirement of APP expression in A β os-mediated synaptic dysfunction even if APP processing does not seem to be involved. In the amyloidogenic pathway, APPs are internalized into endocytic compartments and subsequently cleaved to generate A β . In pathologic conditions, the accumulation of A β os inside the endocytic system perturbs the function and induces a loss of membrane permeability of endosomal/lysosomal compartments (Yang et al., 1998; Willén et al., 2017). The loss of membrane permeability is correlated

with a release of A β os into the cytosol (Yang et al., 1998). Our data highlight another mechanism in which eA β os also promote APP processing during the secretory pathway resulting in the accumulation of cytosolic A β oligomers (Fig. 7). From these observations, we evaluated the effects of cytosolic A β os accumulation by infusing A β os (300 nM) directly into the cytosol of neurons via the patch pipette. We observed that, similar to eA β os, 20 min of iA β os induce a reduction of NMDA sEPSC amplitudes in neurons from both cultures and acute cortical slices. This effect was still detected at 50 nM iA β os but lost at 10 nM (data not shown). These results demonstrate that a cytosolic infusion of A β os may disrupt synaptic glutamatergic transmission and subsequently the ability of neurons to induce synaptic plasticity in AD pathology. The implication of intracellular A β os in the physiopathological pathways that sustain AD-related cellular alteration has been identified in many animal models of AD. Consistent with our data, previous reports related that A β os application on neuronal culture promotes production and intracellular accumulation of A β (Yang et al., 1999; Tampellini et al., 2009). Similarly, the Osaka (E613Del) mutation of APP identified in a Japanese pedigree showing Alzheimer's-type dementia is associated with massive intracellular A β os accumulation that impairs organelle transport and induces dramatic dendritic spine loss in neurons likely contributing to synaptic pathology in AD (Umeda et al., 2015). How intracellular accumulation of A β os perturb these neuronal functions remains to be fully demonstrated. Several studies described the presence of intracellular A β and recently, high-resolution imaging techniques have localized A β oligomers inside postsynaptic densities of excitatory synapses in AD mouse models (Gouras et al., 2010; Capetillo-Zarate et al., 2011; Pickett et al., 2016) that may uncouple NMDA receptors function and/or synaptic expression.

The findings showing that reduction of current frequencies was not normalized by treatment with inhibitors of A β -producing secretases suggest that eA β os may exert differential effects on the presynaptic and postsynaptic compartments of the synapse. Indeed, our data reveal that eA β os reduced the frequency of spontaneous but not miniature AMPA/kainate EPSCs recorded in the presence of TTX, suggesting an alteration of neuronal excitability. Perturbations of cellular excitability have already been reported in transgenic models of AD or after A β os application. Indeed, it has been shown that A β -overproducing transgenic mice present a reduction in somatic Na⁺ current (Brown et al., 2011) or an increase in K⁺ maximal conductance (Tamagnini et al., 2015). Similarly, in another transgenic mouse model of AD, neurons involved in the major input to the entorhinal cortex exhibited a decreased firing frequency during depolarization, as well as an increased spike frequency adaptation (Marcantoni et al., 2014). Thus, a perturbation of action potential properties might lead to the A β os-driven reduction of sEPSC frequencies described in our study, but additional experiments will be required to confirm this hypothesis. More particularly, it was reported that A β oligomers modulate voltage-gated calcium and potassium channels directly or indirectly, by changing the properties of the membrane (Lioudyno et al., 2012). Thus, a direct effect of eA β os on sodium or potassium channels could reduce sEPSC frequencies through a perturbation of action potential properties.

In summary, our study suggests that APP processing promoted by eA β os is followed by cytosolic accumulation of A β oligomers responsible for the alteration of glutamatergic neurotransmission. These results strengthen the point of view that

synaptic dysfunction in AD is closely linked to the accumulation of intracellular A β os. The result showing that the intracellular infusion of 4G8 antibody inhibits the negative impact of eA β os reinforces this scheme. Moreover, APP processing also produces a releasable pool of A β os into the extracellular space that may contribute to the transfer of pathology from pathologic to healthy neurons and to a larger scale to the spreading of AD in different brain structures. Finally, it will be necessary to further determine how the iA β -dependent reduction of NMDAR sEPSC amplitude is responsible for A β -induced cognitive dysfunction in Alzheimer's disease. Pharmacological strategies targeting this functional relationship between extracellular and intracellular A β os may represent a novel therapeutic approach to the early stage of the disease.

References

- Bayer TA, Wirths O (2010) Intracellular accumulation of amyloid-beta—a predictor for synaptic dysfunction and neuron loss in Alzheimer's disease. *Front Aging Neurosci* 2:8.
- Brown JT, Chin J, Leiser SC, Pangalos MN, Randall AD (2011) Altered intrinsic neuronal excitability and reduced Na⁺ currents in a mouse model of Alzheimer's disease. *Neurobiol Aging* 32:2109.e1–14.
- Capetillo-Zarate E, Gracia L, Yu F, Banfelder JR, Lin MT, Tampellini D, Gouras GK (2011) High-resolution 3D reconstruction reveals intra-synaptic amyloid fibrils. *Am J Pathol* 179:2551–2558.
- Chen BS, Roche KW (2007) Regulation of NMDA Receptors by Phosphorylation. *Neuropharmacology* 53:362–368.
- Cipriani G, Dolciotti C, Picchi L, Bonuccelli U (2011) Alzheimer and his disease: a brief history. *Neurol Sci* 32:275–279.
- Floresan C, Zampese E, Zanese M, Brunello L, Ichas F, De Giorgi F, Pizzo P (2008) High content analysis of γ -secretase activity reveals variable dominance of presenilin mutations linked to familial Alzheimer's disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 1783:1551–1560.
- Fogel H, Frere S, Segev O, Bharill S, Shapira I, Gazit N, O'Malley T, Slomowitz E, Berdichevsky Y, Walsh DM, Isacoff EY, Hirsch JA, Slutsky I (2014) APP homodimers transduce an amyloid- β -mediated increase in release probability at excitatory synapses. *Cell Rep* 7:1560–1576.
- Fransdemiche ML, De Seranno S, Rush T, Borel E, Elie A, Arnal I, Lanté F, Buisson A (2014) Activity-dependent tau protein translocation to excitatory synapse is disrupted by exposure to amyloid-beta oligomers. *J Neurosci* 34:6084–6097.
- Goldstein JM, Litwin LC (1993) NBQX is a selective non-NMDA receptor antagonist in rat hippocampal slice. *Mol Chem Neuropathol* 18:145–152.
- Gouras GK, Tsai J, Naslund J, Vincent B, Edgar M, Checler F, Greenfield JP, Haroutunian V, Buxbaum JD, Xu H, Greengard P, Relkin NR (2000) Intraneuronal A β 42 accumulation in human brain. *Am J Pathol* 156:15–20.
- Gouras GK, Tampellini D, Takahashi RH, Capetillo-Zarate E (2010) Intraneuronal beta-amyloid accumulation and synapse pathology in Alzheimer's disease. *Acta Neuropathol* 119:523–541.
- Gralle M, Botelho MG, Wouters FS (2009) Neuroprotective secreted amyloid precursor protein acts by disrupting amyloid precursor protein dimers. *J Biol Chem* 284:15016–15025.
- Greenfield JP, Tsai J, Gouras GK, Hai B, Thinakaran G, Checler F, Sisodia SS, Greengard P, Xu H (1999) Endoplasmic reticulum and trans-Golgi network generate distinct populations of Alzheimer beta-amyloid peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 96:742–747.
- Grover LM, Kim E, Cooke JD, Holmes WR (2009) LTP in hippocampal area CA1 is induced by burst stimulation over a broad frequency range centered around delta. *Learn Mem* 16:69–81.
- Haass C, Kaether C, Thinakaran G, Sisodia S (2012) Trafficking and proteolytic processing of APP. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2:a006270.
- Hoey SE, Williams RJ, Perkinson MS (2009) Synaptic NMDA receptor activation stimulates α -secretase amyloid precursor protein processing and inhibits amyloid- β production. *J Neurosci* 29:4442–4460.
- Jarosz-Griffiths HH, Noble E, Rushworth JV, Hooper NM (2016) Amyloid- β receptors: the good, the bad, and the prion protein. *J Biol Chem* 291:3174–3183.

- Kamenetz F, Tomita T, Hsieh H, Seabrook G, Borchelt D, Iwatsubo T, Sisodia S, Malinow R, Point W (2003) APP processing and synaptic function. *Neuron* 37:925–937.
- Khalifa NB, Van Hees J, Tasiaux B, Huyseune S, Smith SO, Constantinescu SN, Octave JN, Kienlen-Campard P (2010) What is the role of amyloid precursor protein dimerization? *Cell Adh Migr* 4:268–272.
- Klyubin I, Walsh D, Lemere CA, Cullen WK, Shankar GM, Betts V, Spooner ET, Jiang L, Anwyl R, Selkoe DJ, Rowan MJ (2005) Amyloid β protein immunotherapy neutralizes A β oligomers that disrupt synaptic plasticity in vivo. *Nat Med* 11:556–561.
- LaFerla FM, Green KN, Oddo S (2007) Intracellular amyloid- β in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 8:499–509.
- Léveillé F, El Gaamouch F, Gouix E, Lecocq M, Lobner D, Nicole O, Buisson A (2008) Neuronal viability is controlled by a functional relation between synaptic and extrasynaptic NMDA receptors. *FASEB J* 22:4258–4271.
- Li S, Hong S, Shephardson NE, Walsh DM, Shankar GM, Selkoe D (2009) Soluble oligomers of amyloid Beta protein facilitate hippocampal long-term depression by disrupting neuronal glutamate uptake. *Neuron* 62:788–801.
- Lioudyno MI, Broccio M, Sokolov Y, Rasool S, Wu J, Alkire MT, Liu V, Kozak JA, Dennison PR, Glabe CG, Lösche M, Hall JE (2012) Effect of synthetic A β peptide oligomers and fluorinated solvents on Kv1.3 channel properties and membrane conductance. *PLoS One* 7:e35090.
- Lorenzo A, Yuan M, Zhang Z, Paganetti PA, Sturchler-Pierrat C, Staufenbiel M, Mautino J, Vigo FS, Sommer B, Yankner BA (2000) Amyloid beta interacts with the amyloid precursor protein: a potential toxic mechanism in Alzheimer's disease. *Nat Neurosci* 3:460–464.
- Malenka RC, Nicoll RA (1999) Long-term potentiation a decade of progress? *Science* 285:1870–1874.
- Marcantoni A, Raymond EF, Carbone E, Marie H (2014) Firing properties of entorhinal cortex neurons and early alterations in an Alzheimer's disease transgenic model. *Pflugers Arch* 466:1437–1450.
- Munter LM, Voigt P, Harmeier A, Kaden D, Gottschalk KE, Weise C, Pipkorn R, Schaefer M, Langosch D, Multhaup G (2007) GxxxG motifs within the amyloid precursor protein transmembrane sequence are critical for the etiology of A β 42. *EMBO J* 26:1702–1712.
- Näslund J, Schierhorn A, Hellman U, Lannfelt L, Roses AD, Tjernberg LO, Silbering J, Gandy SE, Winblad B, Greengard P (1994) Relative abundance of Alzheimer A β amyloid peptide variants in Alzheimer disease and normal aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91:8378–8382.
- Oddo S, Caccamo A, Shepherd JD, Murphy MP, Golde TE, Kaye R, Metherate R, Mattson MP, Akbari Y, LaFerla FM (2003) Triple-transgenic model of Alzheimer's disease with plaques and tangles: intracellular A β and synaptic dysfunction. *Neuron* 39:409–421.
- Oddo S, Caccamo A, Smith IF, Green KN, LaFerla FM (2006) A dynamic relationship between intracellular and extracellular pools of A β . *Am J Pathol* 168:184–194.
- Pickett EK, Koffie RM, Wegmann S, Henstridge CM, Herrmann AG, Colom-Cadena M, Lleo A, Kay KR, Vaught M, Soberman R, Walsh DM, Hyman BT, Spire-Jones TL (2016) Non-fibrillar oligomeric amyloid- β within synapses. *J Alzheimer's Dis* 53:787–800.
- Puzzo D, Piacentini R, Fá M, Gulisano W, Li Puma DD, Staniszewski A, Zhang H, Tropea MR, Cocco S, Palmeri A, Fraser P, D'Adamio L, Grassi C, Arancio O (2017) LTP and memory impairment caused by extracellular A β and Tau oligomers is APP-dependent. *Elife* 6:e26991.
- Sannerud R, Declerck I, Peric A, Raemaekers T, Menendez G, Zhou L, Veerle B, Coen K, Munck S, De Strooper B, Schiavo G, Annaert W (2011) ADP ribosylation factor 6 (ARF6) controls amyloid precursor protein (APP) processing by mediating the endosomal sorting of BACE1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 108:E559–E568.
- Scheuermann S, Hamsch B, Hesse L, Stumm J, Schmidt C, Behr D, Bayer TA, Beyreuther K, Multhaup G (2001) Homodimerization of amyloid precursor protein and its implication in the amyloidogenic pathway of Alzheimer's disease. *J Biol Chem* 276:33923–33929.
- Shaked GM, Kummer MP, Lu DC, Galvan V, Bredeisen DE, Koo EH (2006) A β induces cell death by direct interaction with its cognate extracellular domain on APP (APP 597–624). *FASEB J* 20:1254–1256.
- Shaked GM, Chauv S, Ubhi K, Hansen LA, Masliah E (2009) Interactions between the amyloid precursor protein C-terminal domain and G proteins mediate calcium dysregulation and amyloid beta toxicity in Alzheimer's disease. *FEBS J* 276:2736–2751.
- Shankar GM, Bloodgood BL, Townsend M, Walsh DM, Selkoe DJ, Sabatini B (2007) Natural oligomers of the Alzheimer amyloid- β protein induce reversible synapse loss by modulating an NMDA-type glutamate receptor-dependent signaling pathway. *J Neurosci* 27:2866–2875.
- Small SA, Gandy S (2006) Sorting through the cell biology of Alzheimer's disease: intracellular pathways to pathogenesis. *Neuron* 52:15–31.
- Snyder EM, Nong Y, Almeida CG, Paul S, Moran T, Choi EY, Nairn AC, Salter MW, Lombroso PJ, Gouras GK, Greengard P (2005) Regulation of NMDA receptor trafficking by amyloid-beta. *Nat Neurosci* 8:1051–1058.
- Stine WB Jr, Dahlgren KN, Krafft GA, LaDu MJ (2003) In vitro characterization of conditions for amyloid-beta peptide oligomerization and fibrillogenesis. *J Biol Chem* 278:11612–11622.
- Takahashi RH, Milner TA, Li F, Nam EE, Edgar MA, Yamaguchi H, Beal MF, Xu H, Greengard P, Gouras GK (2002) Intraneuronal Alzheimer abeta42 accumulates in multivesicular bodies and is associated with synaptic pathology. *Am J Pathol* 161:1869–1879.
- Tamagnini F, Novelia J, Kerrigan TL, Brown JT, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Randall AD (2015) Altered intrinsic excitability of hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons in aged PDAPP mice. *Front Cell Neurosci* 9:372.
- Tampellini D, Rahman N, Gallo EF, Huang Z, Dumont M, Capetillo-Zarate E, Ma T, Zheng R, Lu B, Nanus DM, Lin MT, Gouras GK (2009) Synaptic activity reduces intraneuronal A β , promotes APP transport to synapses, and protects against A β -related synaptic alterations. *J Neurosci* 29:9704–9713.
- Thinakaran G, Koo EH (2008) Amyloid precursor protein trafficking, processing, and function. *J Biol Chem* 283:29615–29619.
- Traynelis SF, Wollmuth LP, McBain CJ, Menniti FS, Vance KM, Ogden KK, Hansen KB, Hongjie Y, Myers SJ, Dingledine R (2010) Glutamate receptor ion channels: structure, regulation, and function. *Pharmacol Rev* 62:405–496.
- Umeda T, Ramser EM, Yamashita M, Nakajima K, Mori H, Silverman MA, Tomiyama T (2015) Intracellular amyloid β oligomers impair organelle transport and induce dendritic spine loss in primary neurons. *Acta Neuropathol Commun* 3:51.
- Van Nostrand WE, Melchor JP, Keane DM, Saporito-Irwin SM, Romanov G, Davis J, Xu F (2002) Localization of a fibrillar amyloid beta-protein binding domain on its precursor. *J Biol Chem* 277:36392–36398.
- Walsh DM, Klyubin I, Fadeeva JV, Cullen WK, Anwyl R, Wolfe MS, Rowan MJ, Selkoe DJ (2002) Naturally secreted oligomers of amyloid beta protein potently inhibit hippocampal long-term potentiation in vivo. *Nature* 416:535–539.
- Wang Z, Jackson RJ, Hong W, Taylor WM, Corbett GT, Moreno A, Liu W, Li S, Frosch MP, Slutsky I, Young-Pearse TL, Spire-Jones TL, Walsh DM (2017) Human brain-derived A β oligomers bind to synapses and disrupt synaptic activity in a manner that requires APP. *J Neurosci* 37:11947–11966.
- Wei W, Nguyen LN, Kessels HW, Hagiwara H, Sisodia S, Malinow R (2010) Amyloid beta from axons and dendrites reduces local spine number and plasticity. *Nat Neurosci* 13:190–196.
- Willén K, Edgar JR, Hasegawa T, Tanaka N, Futter CE, Gouras GK (2017) A β accumulation causes MVB enlargement and is modelled by dominant negative VPS4A. *Mol Neurodegener* 12:61.
- Wirths O, Multhaup G, Czech C, Blanchard V, Moussaoui S, Tremp G, Pradier L, Beyreuther K, Bayer TA (2001) Intraneuronal A β accumulation precedes plaque formation in beta-amyloid precursor protein and presenilin-1 double-transgenic mice. *Neurosci Lett* 306:116–120.
- Yang AJ, Chandswangbhuvana D, Margol L, Glabe CG (1998) Loss of endosomal/lysosomal membrane impermeability is an early event in amyloid A β 1–42 pathogenesis. *J Neurosci Res* 52:691–698.
- Yang AJ, Chandswangbhuvana D, Shu T, Henschen A, Glabe CG (1999) Intracellular accumulation of insoluble, newly synthesized abeta-42 in amyloid precursor protein-transfected cells that have been treated with Abeta1–42. *J Biol Chem* 274:20650–20656.