Parkinson’s disease (PD) is characterized by severe locomotor deficits and is commonly treated with the dopamine precursor L-DOPA, but its prolonged usage causes dyskinesias referred to as L-DOPA–induced dyskinesia (LID). Several studies in animal models of PD have suggested that dyskinesias are associated with a heightened opioid cotransmitter tone, observations that have led to the notion of a LID-related hyperactive opioid transmission that should be corrected by μ opioid receptor antagonists. Reports that both antagonists and agonists of the μ opioid receptor may alleviate LID severity in primate models of PD and LID, together with the failure of nonspecific antagonist to improve LID in pilot clinical trials in patients, raises doubt about the reliability of the available data on the opioid system in PD and LID. After in vitro characterization of the functional activity at the μ opioid receptor, we selected prototypical agonists, antagonists, and partial agonists at the μ opioid receptor. We then showed that both oral and discrete intracerebral administration of a μ receptor agonist, but not of an antagonist as long thought, ameliorated LIDs in the gold-standard bilateral 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-lesioned female macaque model of PD and LID. The results call for a reappraisal of opioid pharmacology in the basal ganglia as well as for the development of brain nucleus-targeted μ opioid receptor agonists.
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Significance Statement

μ opioid receptors have long been considered as a viable target for alleviating the severity of L-DOPA–induced hyperkinetic side effects, induced by the chronic treatment of Parkinson’s disease motor symptoms with L-DOPA. Conflicting results between experimental parkinsonism and Parkinson’s disease patients, however, dampened the enthusiasm for the target. Here we reappraise the pharmacology and then demonstrate that both oral and discrete intracerebral administration of a μ receptor agonist, but not of an antagonist as long thought, ameliorates LIDs in the gold-standard bilateral 1-methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine-lesioned female macaque model of PD and LID. The results call for a reappraisal of opioid pharmacology as well as for the development of brain nucleus-targeted μ opioid receptor agonists.

Introduction

The reduced movement repertoire of Parkinson’s disease (PD) is due primarily to degeneration of nigrostriatal dopamine neurones. Levodopa (L-DOPA) restores dopamine-mediated modulation, which successfully relieves motor symptoms of PD. However, the repeated intake of L-DOPA leads to severe side effects known as L-DOPA–induced dyskinesia (LID) (Bastide et al., 2015). The neural mechanisms that underlie LID in PD are still not entirely clear, although important advances have been made.

Dyskinesia has been associated with a sequence of events that include pulsatile stimulation of dopamine receptors, downstream changes in proteins and genes, and abnormalities in
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nondopamine transmitters (Beadz et al., 2001a; Bastide et al., 2015). Of these, opioid receptor neurotransmission is of particular interest, as opioids are cotransmitters that modulate basal ganglia function, and changes in opioid transmission, occur in dyskinetic PD patients and animal models (Cenci et al., 1998; Henry et al., 1999, 2003; Morissette et al., 1999; Tel et al., 2002; Aubert et al., 2007; Marti et al., 2012; Mercatelli et al., 2020). While ISH studies showed that striatal peptidergic precursor expression consistently correlates with LID severity, few studies have focused on the actual peptides being processed from these precursors, that is, Met- and Leu-enkephalins, deriving from preproenkephalin A, and dynorphin A and B deriving from preproenkephalin B (Hanrieder et al., 2011; Bourdenx et al., 2014). The opioid-like neuropeptide nociceptin/orphanin FQ and its receptor (NOP receptor) also contribute to LID (Mercatelli et al., 2020). A similarly scarce number of studies have focused on LID-related changes in opioid receptor expression or binding in the basal ganglia (i.e., α, μ, κ, and δ receptors) (Piccini et al., 1997; Johansson et al., 2001; Aubert et al., 2007). The striking negative correlation between μ opioid receptor binding in the internal segment of the globus pallidus (GPI) of dyskinetic 6-OHDA-lesioned rats (Johansson et al., 2001) and dyskinetic 1methyl-4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine (MPTP)-treated macaques (Aubert et al., 2007) and the increased presence of μ opioid receptor ligands in the same dyskinetic primate GPI (Bourdenx et al., 2014) supports the notion of hyperactive opioid transmission in LID that should be corrected by μ opioid receptor antagonists.

The demonstration that μ opioid receptor antagonists efficiently reduce LID in nonhuman primate (NHP) models without affecting the anti-parkinson action of L-DOPA (Henry et al., 2001; Koprich et al., 2011; Potts et al., 2015) supported this hypothesis. That morphine itself was, however, also shown to mod-erately alleviate LID in NHPs (Samadi et al., 2004) cast doubt on the supposed functional activity of classic μ opioid receptor ligands. The failure of the non–subtype-selective opioid receptor antagonists naltrexone and naloxone in clinical trials (Rascol et al., 1994; Fox et al., 2004) further complicated our understanding of the opioid system in basal ganglia pathophysiology (Parent et al., 1995).

Although one may hypothesize that subtype-selective agents would have a better clinical outcome, recent peptidomic-based results showing that regulation of peptidergic processing is highly structure-specific (Bourdenx et al., 2014), suggesting that something more complex than a simple subtype-selective agent may be required to fully reverse the effects of the complex changes that occur in basal ganglia neuropeptide transmission in LID.

In the current study, we show that discrete intracerebral administration of a μ receptor agonist, but not of an antagonist, ameliorates LIDs in the gold-standard bilateral MPTP-lesioned macaque model of PD and LID, calling for a reappraisal of the pharmacology as well as for the development of brain nucleus-targeted compounds as potential therapies.

**Materials and Methods**

**In vitro pharmacology.** Binding affinity of the new chemical entities was calculated from the inhibition of the binding of a radioactively labeled ligand detected by scintillation method conducted on human recombinant μ opioid receptor-expressing HEK-293 cells exposed to 0.5 nM of [3H]DAMGO (nonspecific binding defined with 10 μM naloxone), on human recombinant κ opioid receptor-expressing Chinese hamster ovary (CHO) cells exposed to 1 nM of [3H]U69593 (nonspecific binding defined with 10 μM naloxone), and on human recombinant δ opioid receptor-expressing CHO cells exposed to 0.5 nM of [3H]DADLE (nonspecific binding defined with 10 μM naltrexone) (CERE). Furthermore, a large screen was conducted using the same technique at one dose on 80 GPCRs, ions channels, and neurotransmitter transporters (CERE). It did not show any significant binding on other targets (data not shown).

New chemical entities were tested from 1 × 10⁻⁷ to 1 × 10⁻¹⁰ μM for their functional activity in a CAMP homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence assay conducted on human recombinant μ opioid receptor-expressing CHO cells (CERE). Agonism was tested alone while the μ opioid receptor agonist DAMGO (EC₅₀ = 1.9 ± 3) was used as the comparator. Antagonist activity was tested in the presence of 20 μM of DAMGO, whereas the μ opioid receptor antagonist CTOP (IC₅₀ = 1.4 ± 8) was used as the comparator. Drugs were incubated at 37°C for 10 min. The results are expressed as a percent of control agonist response for defining agonist activity and as a percent inhibition of control agonist response obtained in the presence of the test compounds for antagonist activity.

**Animals.** Experiments were performed in accordance with the European Union directive of September 22, 2010 (2010/63/EU) on the protection of animals used for scientific purposes in an Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care-accredited facility following acceptance of study design by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of the Institute of Lab Animal Science (Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China). The tissues used in the present work were sourced from an animal facility (data not shown) only used on several previous occasions, the experimental conditions of which have been described previously in great details (Bylander et al., 2010; Santini et al., 2010; Shariatgorji et al., 2014; Engeln et al., 2015; Napolitano et al., 2017; Rojo-Bustamante et al., 2018; Mellone et al., 2019; Eshraghi et al., 2020). Briefly, macaque monkeys received daily MPTP hydrochloride injections (0.2 mg/kg, i.v.) until parkinsonian signs appeared. Once PD motor signs were stable, while some animals remained untreated (MPTP), some were treated twice daily with an individually titrated dose of L-DOPA that provided maximum reversal of parkinsonian motor signs for 4-5 months until dyskinesia stabilized (Madopar, L-DOPA/carbidopa, 4:1 ratio; range, 9-17 mg/kg). At the end of the experiment, all animals were killed by sodium pentobarbital overdose (150 mg/kg, i.v.) 1 h after the last dose of vehicle or L-DOPA (i.e., at peak of antiparkinsonian effect), and the brains were removed quickly after death. Each brain was bisected along the midline, and the two hemispheres were immediately frozen by immersion in isopentane (–45°C) and then stored at –80°C.

Three additional female macaques (Macaca fascicularis, Xierxin) were housed in individual primate cages allowing visual contact and socialization with adjacent cages and with stimuli under controlled conditions. Food and water were available ad libitum. Animal care was supervised daily by veterinarians skilled in the health care and maintenance of NHPs.

**Model preparation.** The MPTP intoxication protocol, the chronic L-DOPA treatment, the clinical assessments, the terminal procedure, and the characterization of the extent of nigrostriatal denervation were conducted as previously published (Beadz et al., 2003; Aubert et al., 2005; Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Santini et al., 2010; Porras et al., 2012). Animals were first rendered parkinsonian with MPTP-hydrochloride (0.2 mg/kg, i.v., Sigma Millipore) dissolved in saline (Beadz et al., 2003; Aubert et al., 2005; Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Santini et al., 2010). Daily (9:00 A.M.) assessment of parkinsonism was performed in home cages for 30 min by 2 blinded observers using a validated rating scale (Beadz et al., 2001b) assessing tremor, general level of activity, body posture (flexion of spine), vocalization, freezing and frequency of arm movements, and rigidity (for each upper limb). Following stabilization of the MPTP-induced syndrome (3 months), animals received twice-daily 20 mg/kg L-DOPA p.o. for 3 months and developed severe and reproducible dyskinesia, presenting choreic–athetoid (characterized by constant writhing and vibratory movements), dystonic, and sometimes ballistic movements (large-amplitude flinging, flailing movements). Once animals were stably...
dyskinetic, striatal and pallidal stereotactic cannulation was conducted under isoflurane anesthesia using the Horsley–Clarke stereotactic technique coupled with ventriculography as previously described (Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Porras et al., 2012). A stereotactic atlas (François et al., 1996) was used for precise adjustment before bilateral insertion of stainless-steel guide cannulae (Plastics One; 22-gauge, 11 mm length) into the skull to allow access to the putamen (AP 0 mm, L 10 mm, D 3 mm) and GPi (AP −3 mm, L 7 mm, D −1 mm) (Bezard et al., 1997). Guide cannulae were secured to the skull with stainless-steel screws and dental acrylic cement (Bezard et al., 1997).

Matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization mass spectrometry imaging (MALDI-MSI) imaging. Mapping the abundance and distributions of neuropeptides within brain regions remained a big challenge until MALDI-MSI was developed. This label-free technique is used to map distributions and relative quantities of molecules in tissue sections. Because MALDI-MSI is not limited by molecular labeling, thousands of molecules can be imaged in a single experiment, so it is suitable for simultaneously mapping multiple neuropeptides in a single tissue section (Shariatgorgi et al., 2014, 2019; Hulme et al., 2020).

The frozen brains of the brain bank were sectioned at a thickness of 12 μm on a cryostat and the sections were thaw-mounted onto conductive, indium tin oxide-coated glass slides (Bruker Daltonics). The slides were stored at −80°C. Before analysis, the slides were brought to room temperature while drying under a stream of nitrogen and dried further in a desiccator for 30 min. The slides were washed in 45 ml of chloroform for 25 s and dried immediately in a desiccator for 15 min.

The matrix used was 2, 5-dihydroxybenzoic acid at a concentration of 25 mg/ml in 50% acetonitrile, 50% water, and 0.2% trifluoroacetic acid. Matrix application was performed using a robotic matrix sprayer (TM sprayer, HTX Technologies), at 85°C, a gas pressure of 6 psi, a pump flow rate of 120 ml/min, a nozzle velocity of 1100 mm/min, and 2 mm track spacing and with four passes.

The MALDI-MSI experiments were performed using an ultrahigh mass resolution Fourier-transform ion cyclotron resonance mass spectrometer (Solarix 7T 2o, Bruker Daltonics) equipped with a Smartbeam II 2kHZ laser and operated in positive mode (Hulme et al., 2020). The laser power was optimized for the experiment. At each sampling position, 150 laser shots were used to acquire data in a range of m/z 500-5400 and a lateral resolution of 100 μm was used. The method was calibrated using red phosphorous and internally calibrated using a lock mass during the experiment. MALDI-MSI data were visualized using FlexImaging and normalized against root mean square of all data points (Bruker Daltonics, version 5.0).

For statistical analysis of MALDI-MSI data, SCiLS Lab (version 2019b, Bruker Daltonics) was used to export the maximum intensity value for each neuropeptide from the average spectrum generated for each brain ROI.

Drugs. L-DOPA (MAdopar, Roche, Levodopa/carbidopa, ratio 4:1, p.o. by gavage) and amantadine (Sigma Millipore, 20 mg/kg: p.o. by gavage) were freshly prepared in water. Cyprodime (10 mg/kg, Carbosynth), ADC-02520849 (3 mg/kg, Curis), and ADC 02265510 (3 mg/kg, Curis) were dissolved in 10% DMSO/90% water and given orally, or intracerebrally in a 15 μg/ml solution. The selected doses were based on a pharmacokinetics study conducted before the present study in the same macaque monkeys. L-DOPA, μ opioid agents, and amantadine (Stanley et al., 2018) were given simultaneously.

Experimental schedule. Oral and intracerebral treatments were pseudo-randomized with the oral treatments coming first and the intracerebral ones coming later. Intracerebral administrations were performed as previously described (Bezard et al., 1997). Bilateral striatal (15 μl per hemisphere, 15 μg/μl, 3 μl/min) or pallidal (5 μl per hemisphere, 15 μg/μl, 2 μl/min) injections of the μ opioid receptor ligands were performed through an injection cannula (Plastics One; 28-gauge, 30 mm length) using a micropump (Pump 22, Harvard Apparatus). Injection cannulae were placed into the guide cannulae for each injection and removed 10 min after.

Behavioral assessment. Drugs were administered in a restraining chair. The animals were immediately transferred to an observation cage (dimensions: 1.1 m × 1.5 m × 1.1 m), and behavior was recorded for 240 min (oral route) or 110 min (intracerebral route) as per guidelines (Fox et al., 2012) and as previously described (Bezard et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Porras et al., 2012). A behavioral analyst blinded to treatment conditions scored each animal for (1) parkinsonian motor signs according to an established nonparametric rating scale for range of movement (motor), bradykinesia, posture, and tremor (Ko et al., 2014, 2017); and (2) severity of dyskinesia using the Dyskinesia Disability Scale (Fox et al., 2012): 0, dyskinesia absent; 1, mild, fleeting, and rare dyskinetic postures and movements; 2, moderate, more prominent abnormal movements, but not interfering significantly with normal behavior; 3, marked, frequent and, at times, continuous dyskinesia intruding on the normal repertoire of activity; or 4, severe, virtually continuous dyskinetic activity replacing normal behavior and disabling to the animal. “Good on-time” was calculated on a linear scale for the periods when bradykinesia was absent and when scores for chorea and dystonia were absent, mild, or moderate (Fox et al., 2012).

Experimental design and statistical analysis. The study consisted of an in vitro pharmacology study performed on recombinant opioid receptors, followed by an anatomic-pathologic MALDI-MSI study performed on normal, parkinsonian (MPTP) and dyskinetic parkinsonian (L-DOPA-MPTP) macaque monkeys, and subsequently an in vivo pharmacology study in normal, parkinsonian (MPTP) and dyskinetic parkinsonian (L-DOPA-MPTP) macaque monkeys adopting a pseudo-randomized crossover design in which all 3 animals received all systemic and intracerebral treatments. GraphPad Prism (version 8.4.2, GraphPad Software) was used to perform statistics, including EC50 definition. MALDI-MSI data were analyzed using a Kruskal–Wallis test followed by a Dunn’s multiple comparisons test. Because of the limited number of animals involved in in vivo pharmacology study, results were not corrected for multiple comparisons. After transformation of nonparametric time courses into the parametric area under the curve, data were analyzed using paired t test. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Results

We first characterized the opioid receptor binding affinity (Table 1) as well as the μ opioid receptor functional profile of experimental ligands, including cyprodime (Fig. 1). Since both systemically administered μ opioid receptor agonists (Samadi et al., 2004) and thought-to-be antagonists (Henry et al., 1999; Koprich et al., 2011; Poits et al., 2015) had been reported to reduce LID, we aimed at selecting three compounds with a preferential affinity for the μ opioid receptor compared with the κ or δ opioid receptors (Table 1), defined from binding on μ, κ, and δ opioid receptors–expressing CHO cells. ADC-02265510 presented clear-cut agonist (EC50 = 4.43 ± 10 M; Fig. 1A) and ADC-02520849 significant agonist (IC50 = 1.86 ± 3 M; Fig. 1B) activities in a CAMP-based homogeneous time-resolved fluorescence assay conducted on human recombinant μ opioid receptor-expressing CHO cells (CEREP; Study #100013735). Cyprodime, however, present in the very same assay a mixed profile (EC50 = 1.22 ± 10 M, IC50 = 7.95 ± 5 M; Fig. 1A,B). A small agonist effect is observed at the higher concentration (100 nM; Fig. 1A), in agreement with an in vitro study showing negligible (10%) agonist activity of cyprodime in the [35S]GTPγS assay (Marki et al., 1999). In addition, cyprodime weakly inhibits DAMGO stimulation (Fig. 1B). Such
low cyprodime antagonist activity could result from the fact that, in the range of concentrations tested (up to 100 nM), the µ receptor blockade provided by cyprodime was too low to counteract the stimulation induced by 20 µM DAMGO. Indeed, only 10 µM cyprodime was able to counteract the effect of morphine in vitro (Marki et al., 1999), in contradiction to the original characterization performed before the receptor cloning era (Schmidhammer et al., 1989). We therefore propose, in view of the small agonist activity of cyprodime and the weak antagonist profile at different doses, that cyprodime is actually a weak partial agonist, that is, a compound with a weak agonist activity in an environment of low opioid tone and a weak antagonist in a higher opioid tone environment.

Since previous studies had been performed in NHP MPTP models of PD (Henry et al., 2001; Samadi et al., 2004; Koprich et al., 2011; Potts et al., 2015), we performed the in vivo pharmacology experiments to test the potential effects of the three compounds in the same model (Bezard et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Porras et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2015; Urs et al., 2015; Baufreton et al., 2018), considered the most clinically relevant model for dyskinesia studies since it very closely mimics the LIDs observed in human patients (Fox et al., 2012; Bastide et al., 2015; Stanley et al., 2018). Experimental details are described in Materials and Methods (Bezard et al., 2003; Ahmed et al., 2010; Fasano et al., 2010; Porras et al., 2012; Shen et al., 2015; Urs et al., 2015; Baufreton et al., 2018).

Before conducting the behavioral experiments, we investigated the actual presence of modified opioid tone in the basal ganglia of dyskinetic MPTP-lesioned macaque monkeys compared with control unlesioned and MPTP-lesioned ones (Fig. 2A) using brain tissue MALDI-MSI of peptides (Hulme et al., 2020). The endogenous opioids, [Met5]-enkephalin, [Leu5]-enkephalin, β-endorphin, and truncated forms of dynorphin [e.g., dynorphin A(1–9) and dynorphin A(10–17)] also have affinities for µ receptors that are consistent with a possible role for each of these peptides as natural ligands for this receptor type, although these endogenous peptides are not selective for µ receptors (IUPHAR/BPS Guide to Pharmacology). Among those peptides, met-enkephalin, dynorphin A(1-8), and dynorphin A(10-17) are thought to be differentially regulated in parkinsonian and dyskinetic monkeys (Bastide et al., 2015). Met-enkephalin, significantly detected in the GPe but not in the GPi of control, parkinsonian, and dyskinetic animals, did not show an upregulated presence in the GPe or GPi of dyskinetic monkeys (GPe: KW(2,17) = 0.78, p = 0.69; Fig. 2B). However, dynorphin A(1-8) and dynorphin A(10-17), two nonspecific ligands of µ receptors, were notably enhanced in the ON dyskinesia situation, as expected, in the GPi and SNr of dyskinetic animals (GPI: dynorphin A(1-8) KW(2,17) = 7.03, p = 0.022; dynorphin A(10-17) KW(2,17) = 6.0, p = 0.042; Fig. 2CD), indicative of a heightened tone in these structures, in accordance with previous reports on both peptide precursors mRNA and opioid peptide levels (Aubert et al., 2007; Bourdenx et al., 2014). The preferred κ ligand, dynorphin, is thus the sole µ receptor ligand heavily represented in the GPi of dyskinetic monkeys.

Three dyskinetic MPTP-lesioned macaque monkeys were bilaterally cannulated under stereotactic guidance (Bezard et al., 1997; Boraud et al., 2001; Porras et al., 2014; Engeln et al., 2016) to permit intracerebral injection into the motor striatum (putamen) and the GPi. The behavior of the animals was investigated after oral or intracerebral administrations, in combination with oral L-DOPA. Since the only clinically recommended antidyskinetic agent is amantadine, a multitarget drug with antagonistic activity at the NMDA receptor (Verhagen Metman et al., 1998; Fox et al., 2011), we used amantadine as a benchmark systemic antidyskinetic therapy in the dyskinetic macaques (Stanley et al., 2018), which all showed a significant reduction in LID severity when given L-DOPA+amantadine compared with L-DOPA+vehicle (t = 13.0, df = 2, p = 0.006; Fig. 3A,B) without affecting the antiparkinson activity of L-DOPA (Fig. 3C) as previously described (Stanley et al., 2018). Interestingly, while neither the partial agonist cyprodime nor the antagonist ADC-02520849 was able to diminish LID severity after systemic administration, the combination of L-DOPA and the agonist ADC-02265510 did reduce LID severity (t = 16.5, df = 2, p = 0.0036; Fig. 3A,B), without affecting the antiparkinson activity of L-DOPA (Fig. 3C), thereby dramatically improving “good on-time” (i.e., the time spent being turned ON without disabling dyskinesia).

The same dyskinetic MPTP-lesioned macaque monkeys then received drug administration into the striatum or GPi in combination with oral L-DOPA. Neither intrastriatal (Fig. 3DE) nor GPi (Fig. 3GH) administration of the antagonist ADC-02520849 had an impact on LID severity. LID was transiently improved after intrastriatal administration of the partial agonist cyprodime (t = 4.9, df = 2, p = 0.038 over the entire time course; Fig. 3DE) but not after injection into GPi (Fig. 3GH). In contrast, both intrastriatal (t = 21.0, df = 2, p = 0.0023; Fig. 3DE) and GPi (t = 22.3, df = 2, p = 0.002; Fig. 3GH) administration of the agonist ADC-02265510 significantly reduced LID severity, without affecting the antiparkinson activity of L-DOPA (Fig. 3FI).

Discussion
The mainstay of PD motor symptom therapy since the 1970s has been the dopamine precursor L-DOPA (Carlsson et al., 1957; Birkmayer and Hornykiewicz, 1962) and will likely remain so until better therapies are developed. A rational approach to the
development of novel antidyskinetic pharmacotherapies should first identify and then exploit the biology of L-DOPA-induced dyskinesia. The present data strongly suggest that only the μ opioid receptor agonist was capable of alleviating LID impairment after being administered orally, intrastriatally, or into the GPi with a magnitude possibly surpassing the antidyskinetic benchmark amantadine.

Dopamine neurones progressively degenerate in PD, thus depriving dopamine receptors expressed by striatal medium spiny neurones of their endogenous ligand, enabling the dysregulation of opioid precursors and, eventually, of opioid peptide cotransmitters in the same striatal medium spiny neurones (Bastide et al., 2015). This heightened opioid tone, particularly important in the pallidal complex where medium spiny neurones project (Nadjar et al., 2006) (Fig. 2), is thought to underlie, at least in part, the etiology of dyskinesias by dysregulated control of GABA neurotransmitter release in the pallidal complex (Bastide et al., 2015). LID was originally hypothesized to be caused by abnormally reduced firing frequency, and dysregulated discharge pattern, of the GPi (Crossman, 1987; Albin et al., 1989; DeLong, 1990), a proposal later confirmed in dyskinetic MPTP-treated macaque monkeys (Filion et al., 1991; Papa et al., 1999; Boraud et al., 2001) and in PD patients (Merello et al., 1999; Levy et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2007). μ opioid receptors are expressed on GABAergic terminals of medium spiny neurones in the pallidal complex (Mansour et al., 1995; Ding et al., 1996) and control GABA release (Manef et al., 1996; Stanford and Cooper, 1999). A μ opioid receptor ligand would thus serve to reduce GABA release in the pallidal complex. In the context of LID, where GPi firing frequency is abnormally reduced, presumably in part because of enhanced GABAergic tone from the direct pathway medium spiny neurones and from a decreased subthalamic nucleus drive ending the indirect pathway (Bastide et al., 2015), one might logically attempt to stimulate μ opioid receptors to dampen the GABA release instead of antagonizing them. We propose that the LID-associated enhanced opioid tone in the basal ganglia actually represents a failed adaptive mechanism that aims to maintain a proper firing behavior of the GPi. It obviously fails as in LID (1) the GPi firing frequency is abnormally reduced and (2) patients display hyperkinetic movements.

Intrastralial administration of a μ opioid receptor agonist leads to dyskinesia alleviation. The mechanism of action is likely to be more complex than that of the effect of administration into the GPi as μ opioid receptors are expressed in the striatum (1) at a presynaptic level by cortical afferents (where it could regulate glutamate release) and at a postsynaptic level by (2) both direct and indirect striatofugal neurones as well as by (3) the cholinergic interneurones (Sgroi and Tonini, 2018). While changes in the electrophysiological activity of cortical afferents in LID is still a matter of debate (Bastide et al., 2015), that a μ opioid receptor agonist can dampen the activity of striatofugal neurones may actually lead to a synergistic normalization of the activity of the basal ganglia output structures (Sgroi and Tonini, 2018). Interestingly, the documented impact of μ opioid receptor agonism on D2 dopamine receptor-mediated transmission (Mamaligas et al., 2016; Sgroi and Tonini, 2018) provides an explanatory framework by which a μ opioid receptor agonist would allow normalizing the activity of the dyskinesia-related overinhibited indirect pathway striatofugal D2-expressing neurones.

In line with this concept of failed compensatory mechanism is the observation that, while further agonistic stimulation of μ opioid receptor reduces LID (Fig. 3), the partial agonist only transiently improves LID after intrastralial (but not after systemic or intrapallidal) administration (Fig. 3). Partial agonists are janus-like molecules that can cause either (1) activation of a quiescent system (e.g., in absence of physiological agonist) or (2)
antagonism of response in a system activated by a more efficacious agonist (Carlsson, 2001; Pulvirenti and Koob, 2002). We demonstrated the reality of such an elegant pharmacological concept with dopamine D3 receptor pharmacology by showing the antidyskinetic action of a partial D3 receptor agonist acting as an antagonist in the context of a heightened dopamine tone (Bezard et al., 2003). Here we show that a μ opioid receptor partial agonist, likely acting as an antagonist since the endogenous ligand dynorphin levels are increased, fails to improve LID, as does a full antagonist (Fig. 3).

Although we show that a μ opioid receptor agonist alleviates LID in the gold standard NHP model of PD and LID, we acknowledge the difficulties posed by agonizing the μ opioid receptor given the obvious addictive potential. The development of biased ligands at G-protein-coupled receptors in general and μ opioid receptor in particular is, however, opening new possibilities (Violin et al., 2014). The concept of biased agonism has been elaborated for describing the pharmacological preferential activation of one signaling partner downstream of receptor activation over another (i.e., G-protein activation vs β-arrestin recruitment) (Kenakin, 2013). The rationale for this stemmed from experiments in β-arrestin KO mice, where μ opioid receptor activation produced analgesia, but significantly less side effects associated with opioid administration, including constipation, respiratory depression, and tolerance (Bohn et al., 1999). Therefore, significant efforts have been placed on designing ligands biased toward G-protein activation, a requirement for analgesic efficacy, while avoiding interaction with β-arrestin. Clinical studies showing that biased opioid agonists could yield safer pain relief while protecting, notably, breathing (Urits et al., 2019), raises the possibility that LID might be treated with a biased agonist in the absence of addiction.

Figure 3. μ opioid agonist reduces dyskinesia severity in a MPTP macaque PD model. A, D, G, Time course of effect since administration. B, E, H, Area under the curve of the dyskinesia scores depicted on the left and right. C, F, I, Area under the curve of the Parkinson scores. The following treatments were given: the two references treatment: no L-DOPA (brown) and L-DOPA alone (blue); the antidyskinetic benchmark L-DOPA + amantadine (pink), and the three test items L-DOPA + cyprodime (black), L-DOPA + ADC-02520849 (green), and L-DOPA + ADC-02265510 (red). A–C, Oral (systemic) administration of all drugs (amantadine 20 mg/kg; cyprodime 10 mg/kg; ADC-02520849 3 mg/kg; ADC 02265510 3 mg/kg). D–F, Intrastriatum administration of cyprodime, ADC-02520849, and ADC-02265510 (for all, 15 μl per hemisphere at 15 μg/μl) while L-DOPA was given orally. G–I, Intra-GPi administration of cyprodime, ADC-02520849, and ADC-02265510 (for all, 5 μl per hemisphere at 15 μg/μl) while L-DOPA was given orally. N = 3. *p < 0.05, all groups compared with L-DOPA alone. †p < 0.05 compare all groups to no L-DOPA.
The present results suggest a reappraisal of our interpretation of the role of the opioid co-transmitters in the pathophysiology of basal ganglia, reconciling previously conflicting concepts, through the demonstration that a µ opioid receptor agonist, and not an antagonist, alleviates LID.
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