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Sex-Specific Vasopressin Signaling Buffers Stress-Dependent Synaptic Changes in Female Mice

Spencer P. Loewen, Dinara V. Baimoukhametova, and Jaideep S. Bains
Hotchkiss Brain Institute and Department of Physiology and Pharmacology, Cumming School of Medicine, University of Calgary, Calgary, Alberta, Canada T2N 4N1

In many species, social networks provide benefit for both the individual and the collective. In addition to transmitting information to others, social networks provide an emotional buffer for distressed individuals. Our understanding about the cellular mechanisms that contribute to buffering is poor. Stress has consequences for the entire organism, including a robust change in synaptic plasticity at glutamate synapses onto corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) neurons in the paraventricular nucleus of the hypothalamus (PVN). In females, however, this stress-induced metaplasticity is buffered by the presence of a naive partner. This buffering may be because of discrete behavioral interactions, signals in the context in which the interaction occurs (i.e., olfactory cues), or it may be influenced by local signaling events in the PVN. Here, we show that local vasopressin (VP) signaling in PVN buffers the short-term potentiation (STP) at glutamate synapses after stress. This social buffering of metaplasticity, which requires the presence of another individual, was prevented by pharmacological inhibition of the VP 1a receptor (V1aR) in female mice. Exogenous VP mimicked the effects of social buffering and reduced STP in CRHPVN neurons from females but not males. These findings implicate VP as a potential mediator of social buffering in female mice.
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Significance Statement

In many organisms, including rodents and humans, social groups are beneficial to overall health and well-being. Moreover, it is through these social interactions that the harmful effects of stress can be mitigated, a phenomenon known as social buffering. In the present study, we describe a critical role for the neuropeptide vasopressin (VP) in social buffering of synaptic metaplasticity in stress-responsive corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) neurons in female mice. These effects of VP do not extend to social buffering of stress behaviors, suggesting this is a very precise and local form of sex-specific neuropeptide signaling.

Introduction

Many species, including rodents and humans, transmit and receive information through social interactions. These interactions are vital for many functions, including survival, reproduction, resource allocation, and affiliation (Krause and Ruxton, 2002; Silk, 2007). This complexity is one reason our understanding of this critical biological processes is limited. Understanding social behavior, and, specifically, social behavior in specific circumstances, requires a detailed understanding of the brain at multiple levels from circuits to synapses to cells. In many species, social behaviors show sexual divergence; males and females show distinct features of social behavior related to parenting (Lonstein and De Vries, 2000), aggression (Archer, 2009), affiliative behavior (Taylor et al., 2000), and empathy (Christov-Moore et al., 2014). The neuropeptides oxytocin (OT) and vasopressin (VP) play critical roles in many social behaviors (Johnson and Young, 2017), and there has been increasing attention given to the role of OT and VP as sexually dimorphic social signals (de Vries, 2008; Dumais and Veenema, 2016). How they specifically modulate neuronal or synaptic function in a sex-specific fashion within the context of the social brain, however, is not clear.

Social interactions also leave enduring marks on the brain. We have recently shown that social interactions can transmit negative affective states and cause changes at the level of glutamate synapses in first and second order recipients of stress (Sterley et al., 2018). These changes result in a stress-induced metaplasticity at glutamate synapses onto corticotropin-releasing hormone (CRH) neurons in the hypothalamic paraventricular...
nucleus (PVN; Sterley et al., 2018), which are adjacent to both OT and VP neurons within this structure (Biag et al., 2012). Intriguingly, social interactions also result in a decrease in the synaptic changes in female individuals subjected directly to stress (Sterley et al., 2018). Conversely, both social isolation and acute stress have similar effects on the biophysical properties of CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons in females (Senst et al., 2016). These findings suggest that the long-term consequences of stress are impacted by social interactions in a sexually dimorphic fashion. However, the mechanisms responsible for the sex-specific curtailment of synaptic changes following social interaction are not known.

Multiple lines of evidence suggest that neurons in the PVN are sensitive to neuromodulation by locally released substances (Landgraf and Neumann, 2004; Ludwig and Leng, 2006). These substances, which include CRH (Kuznitski et al., 2010; Ramot et al., 2017; Jiang et al., 2018), dynorphin (Iremonger and Bains, 2009), enkephalin (Wamsteeker Cusulin et al., 2013a), OT (Kombian et al., 1997; Oliet et al., 2007), and VP (Ludwig, 1998), are released from the somatodendritic compartments of PVN neurons. Intraneuronal release of VP in the following social defeat or forced swimming exerts an inhibitory effect on hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis activation and subsequent ACTH secretion (Wotjak et al., 1996, 1998). Dendritically released VP from magnocellular neurosecretory cells can affect the excitability of preautonomic neurons up to 100 μm away (Son et al., 2013). These cellular effects, combined with the involvement of VP in pair-bonding and affiliative behaviors (Young and Wang, 2004; Insol, 2010), the demonstration that VP neurons in the PVN are selectively targeted by a synaptic relay from olfactory bulb neurons that express a family of olfactory receptors [odorant receptor 37 (OR37)] that buffer the relay from olfactory bulb neurons that express a family of olfactory receptors [odorant receptor 37 (OR37)] that buffer the synaptic effects of stress (Bader et al., 2012; Klein et al., 2015), and the adjacent cytoarchitectural arrangement of CRH and VP neurons in the PVN (Biag et al., 2012), led us to hypothesize that local release of VP in the PVN may contribute to social buffering of synaptic plasticity in females.

Here, we demonstrate that the presence of others reduces stress-induced grooming behavior and metaplasticity at glutamate synapses onto CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons in female mice. The effects on synapses, but not behavior, require local actions of VP at VR1 receptors (V1aRs) on CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons, suggesting that VP has sex-specific neuromodulatory effects that “erase” the synaptic effects of a stressful experience in females.

Materials and Methods

Animals

All animal protocols conformed to the standards of the Canadian Council on Animal Care and were approved by the University of Calgary Animal Care and Use Committee. Experiments were performed on three- to five-week-old male and female Crh-ires-Cre; Ai14 mice in which CRH neurons express a tdTomato fluorophore (Wamsteeker Cusulin et al., 2013b). Mice were housed in whole litters on a 12/12 h light/dark cycle (lights on at 6 A.M.) and were provided with food and water ad libitum. At least 16 h before acute stress or slice preparation, mice were housed either alone or in same-sex littermate pairs, depending on the experiment. Mice were randomly assigned to the experimental groups. Stress was induced between 9:30 and 10:30 A.M. during the light phase by subjecting mice to a footshock (FS) protocol consisting of a 0.5 mA FS for 2 s delivered every 30 s over a 5-min period. In experiments involving injection of the selective V1aR antagonist, SR 49059 (SR; Sigma-Aldrich), SR was initially dissolved in dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and then in polyethylene glycol (PEG) and injected intraperitoneally 5 min before FS at a dose of 2 mg/kg in a final volume of 50 μl (5% DMSO, 95% PEG). Control mice received a 50-μl vehicle injection of PEG and DMSO. Mice were video recorded for 30 min following return of the FS mouse to the homecage. Videos were analyzed and scored for grooming (grooming with forepaws, scratching, fur licking; Fitzesi et al., 2016), anogenital sniffing (directing the snout toward the anogenital region of the conspecific), and head/torso sniffing (directing the snout toward the head or torso of the conspecific). Social discrimination indices were generated by dividing the amount of time spent sniffing the anogenital region of the conspecific by the total amount of time spent sniffing both the anogenital and head/torso regions of the conspecific.

Slice preparation

Immediately following FS or the 30-min social interaction, mice were anesthetized with isofluorane and decapitated. Brains were rapidly removed and immersed in ice-cold (0–4°C) slicing solution consisting of the following: 87 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 0.5 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 7 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 25 mM NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 25 mM D-glucose, 1.25 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, and 75 mM sucrose and saturated with 95% O\textsubscript{2}/5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Brains were blocked and 250-μm coronal sections containing the PVN were obtained using a vibratome (VT1200S, Leica). Slices recovered for 1 h in 30°C artificial CSF (aCSF) consisting of the following: 126 mM NaCl, 2.5 mM KCl, 26 mM NaHCO\textsubscript{3}, 2.5 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 1.25 mM NaH\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, and 10 mM glucose and saturated with 95% O\textsubscript{2}/5% CO\textsubscript{2}. Following the 1-h recovery period, slices treated with VP were transferred to a separate chamber containing 100 mM VP dissolved in aCSF for 30 min before recording. In a subset of experiments, slices were preincubated in 10 μM SR dissolved in aCSF for 10 min before incubation in both VP and SR for an additional 30 min.

Electrophysiology

Slices were transferred to recording chamber superfused with 30–32°C aCSF at a flow rate of 1–2 ml/min. All recordings took place in aCSF containing 100 μM picrotoxin unless otherwise stated. Neurons were visualized using a 40× water-immersion objective mounted on an upright microscope (BX51WI, Olympus) fitted with differential interference contrast optics, a metal halide fluorescence lamp (X-Cite Series 120, EXFO), and a digital camera (OLY-150, Olympus). CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons were identified based on their location and tdTomato fluorescence. Borosilicate glass micropipettes were pulled on a horizontal micropipette puller (P-97, Sutter Instruments) to tip resistances between 2.5 and 5 M\textohm when filled with the intracellular solution consisting of the following: 108 mM K-glucosinate, 2 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 8 mM Na-glucosinate, 8 mM KCl, 1 mM K\textsubscript{2}EGTA, 4 mM K\textsubscript{2}ATP, and 0.3 mM Na\textsubscript{2}GTP buffered with 10 mM HEPES. EPSCs were evoked 50 ms apart at 0.2-Hz intervals using a monopolar aCSF-filled electrode placed in the neuropil surrounding the cell (~20 μm). High-frequency stimulation (HFS) consisted of four 100-Hz stimulations for 1 s every 10 s. AMPAR-mediated EPSCs were isolated by holding the postsynaptic neuron at ~70 mV to block voltage-dependent NMDARs. NMDAR-mediated currents were recorded at a holding potential of ~40 mV using an intracellular solution consisting of the following: 130 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 10 mM NaCl, 10 mM EGTA, 0.1 mM CaCl\textsubscript{2}, 4 mM K\textsubscript{2}ATP, and 0.3 mM Na\textsubscript{2}GTP buffered with 10 mM HEPES. To assess the effects of acute VP application on isolated NMDAR-mediated currents, the AMPA antagonist DNQX was included in the aCSF and EPSCs were evoked at a rate of 1 Hz. In some experiments, GTP was replaced with 1 mM guanosine 5′-[β-thio] diphosphate trilithium salt (GDPβS; Sigma-Aldrich). In the indicated experiments, VP was applied to slices via syringe pump (R-99, Razel Scientific Instruments) or focally to cells via a puff pipette (20 psi, 1 s) connected to a Picospritzer II microcellular injection unit (General Valve). Access resistance (~20 MΩ) was continuously monitored throughout the experiments; recordings were excluded from analysis if it exceeded a 15% change.

Data analysis and statistics

Signals were amplified using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier (Molecular Devices), low-pass filtered at 1 kHz, and digitized at 10 kHz using the Digitdata 1440A (Molecular Devices). Data were recorded (pClamp 10.4, Molecular Devices) and stored on a computer for offline analysis. Evoked EPSC amplitude was calculated from the baseline current before stimulation to the peak synaptic current. The magnitude was calculated.
as the average amplitude of 12 evoked EPSCs immediately following HFS (0–1 min post-HFS). The mean firing frequency and membrane potential values were calculated from the 1-min baseline period immediately before VP application and a 20-s period around the peak effect. The AMPA/NMDA ratio was determined by averaging 15 AMPAR-mediated EPSC traces and 15 NMDAR-mediated EPSC traces per cell. The peak amplitude of the AMPAR-mediated current was measured as the peak of the EPSC. The peak amplitude of the NMDAR-mediated current was measured 15 ms after the AMPAR-mediated EPSC peak. The magnitude of the effect of VP on isolated NMDAR-mediated currents was determined as the mean amplitude during each minute over the 15- to 20-min period following VP application and was measured at the peak of the EPSC. Histograms for grooming, locomotion, and sniffing were created based on the percentage of animals exhibiting each behavior during each second over the 30-min observation period and then binned into 30-s intervals. Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism 6.04. Two-tailed t-tests were used to compare post-HFS to 100% baseline. Unpaired t-tests were used to compare the means of two independent groups. Paired t-tests were used to compare the means of two dependent groups. A one-way ANOVA was used to compare the means of multiple groups, followed by Sidak’s post hoc, multiple comparisons tests. Data are presented as mean ± SEM.

Results
Social buffering of stress-induced grooming behavior and short-term potentiation (STP)
CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons play a crucial role in multiple stress-related behaviors (Füzesi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017; Sterley et al., 2018; Davia et al., 2020). Immediately after an acute stress, CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons, through collateral projections to the lateral hypothalamus, drive self-grooming (Füzesi et al., 2016). We assessed whether the presence of a partner attenuates grooming. A single-housed female mouse (Fig. 1A) or one mouse from a female dyad of littermates (Fig. 1B) was subjected to FS stress and then returned to its homecage for 30 min; spontaneous behavior of both mice was recorded during this time. Single-housed female mice spent more time grooming than pair-housed female mice (single-housed, 467.2 ± 41.6 s, N = 10; pair-housed, 308.6 ± 25.6 s, N = 8; p = 0.008, unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(16)} = 3.1 \); Fig. 1Ci–Ei). The duration of locomotor activity was not different between single-housed and pair-housed female FS mice (single-housed, 277.9 ± 11.8 s, N = 10; pair-housed, 287.9 ± 33.8 s, N = 8; p = 0.77, unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(16)} = 0.30 \); Fig. 1Fi–G). One possibility is that the behavior of the unstrressed partner toward the stressed mouse disrupts grooming activity. We observed direct contact with the stressed individual that was initiated by the unstrressed partner (Fig. 1Hi). This occurred predominantly in the first 5 min following return of the stressed individual (Fig. 1Hi). During this period, locomotor activity (Fig. 1Fi) and grooming activity (Fig. 1Ci,Di) between single-housed and pair-housed female FS mice were not different (locomotion, \( p = 0.93 \), unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(16)} = 0.09 \); grooming, \( p = 0.89 \), unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(16)} = 0.14 \)). These results suggest that the decrease in grooming in pair-housed mice is not because of changes in locomotion or interruption by the unstrressed partner.

Next, we conducted experiments in single-housed and pair-housed female mice to ask whether the presence of a partner decreases the synaptic consequences of stress in female mice. Immediately following the 30-min observation period described above, we prepared brain slices from the animals and performed subsequent whole-cell recordings on CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons to assess STP. Following HFS of the neuropil, glutamate synapses onto CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons from single-housed female mice subjected to FS show robust STP (142.0 ± 8.6%, \( n = 11, p = 0.0002 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(10)} = 5.6 \); Fig. 1Hi,ii); STP was also observed in pair-housed female FS mice (116.9 ± 6.3%, \( n = 20, p = 0.01 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(19)} = 2.8 \); Fig. 1Hi,iii), but this was significantly reduced when compared with single-housed mice (\( p = 0.02 \), unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(29)} = 2.4 \); Fig. 1K). Meanwhile, per animal analysis revealed that STP was present in single-housed FS mice (138.1 ± 8.7%, \( N = 3, p = 0.04 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(2)} = 4.4 \); Fig. 1iii) but not in pair-housed FS mice (110.8 ± 7.1%, \( N = 5, p = 0.06 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(4)} = 2.6 \); Fig. 1iii). These findings are consistent with previous findings from our laboratory (Sterley et al., 2018), and provide a useful model to study the neural consequences of social buffering.

VP receptor signaling is required for social buffering of stress-induced STP but not stress-induced grooming or investigative behavior
Next, we probed whether VP signaling may contribute to the differences in grooming behavior or STP following FS in pair-housed versus single-housed female mice. We hypothesized that VP released in the PVN during social interaction may mediate the reduction in grooming and/or STP. One mouse from a female dyad of littermates received an injection of either the V1aR antagonist SR or vehicle 5 min before receiving FS (Fig. 2A). The stressed subject was then returned to the homecage with the unstrressed partner. We assessed behavior as described above. V1aR antagonism had no effect on time spent grooming following stress (Fig. 2Bi–Ci). Vehicle-injected and SR-injected mice spent a similar amount of time self-grooming (vehicle, 292.2 ± 45.3 s, \( N = 8 \); SR, 246.9 ± 59.5 s, \( N = 8 \); p = 0.55, unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(16)} = 0.61 \); Fig. 2D). This suggests that V1aR signaling is not involved in the social buffering of stress-induced grooming.

Next, we tested the effects of V1aR antagonism at the cellular level. We again performed whole-cell recordings on CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons from vehicle-injected and SR-injected mice following the 30-min social interaction. STP was observed in CRH\textsubscript{PVN} neurons recorded from stressed female mice that received vehicle injections (119.9 ± 8.1%, \( n = 7, p = 0.04 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(6)} = 2.5 \); Fig. 2Ei,iii) and in neurons from mice injected with SR before FS and social interaction (153.2 ± 5.7%, \( N = 9, p < 0.0001 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(8)} = 8.1 \); Fig. 2Fii,iii). However, per animal analysis showed that STP was present in SR-injected mice (154.4 ± 6.4%, \( N = 5, p = 0.004 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(4)} = 5.9 \); Fig. 2Fii) but was absent in vehicle-injected mice (119.7 ± 8.2%, \( N = 4, p = 0.09 \) vs baseline, \( t_{(3)} = 2.4 \); Fig. 2Eiii). Furthermore, STP was significantly greater in SR-injected mice than in vehicle-injected mice (\( p = 0.004 \), unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(14)} = 3.5 \); Fig. 2G). These results indicate that the decrease in the synaptic consequences of stress induced by the presence of a partner require V1aRs.

When exposed to a distressed conspecific, rodents engage in unreciprocated investigative behavior directed toward the distressed individual (Knapska et al., 2010; Sterley et al., 2018). One possibility is that this interaction is critical for buffering the synaptic changes in the stressed subject. The total time spent by the unstrressed partner spent investigating the stressed subject was unaffected by V1aR blockade (vehicle, 185.1 ± 14.5 s, \( N = 8 \); SR, 166.4 ± 18.8 s, \( N = 8 \); p = 0.45, unpaired t-test, two-tailed, \( t_{(14)} = 0.79 \); Fig. 3A). We have previously demonstrated that these investigations target either the head/torso region or the anogenital region of the stressed subject (Sterley et al., 2018). These two behaviors may have different consequences and may differentially contribute to social buffering. We developed a social
Figure 1. Social interaction reduces stress-induced grooming behavior and STP in CRHPVN neurons. A. Single-housed female mice were subjected to the FS protocol (0.5 mA for 2 s every 30 s over 5 min) and then returned to the homecage for 30 min. B. One mouse from a female dyad of littermates was exposed to FS and returned to its partner in the homecage for 30 min. Ci. Histogram showing the percentage of single-housed FS mice (N = 10 mice) that exhibited self-grooming behavior during each 30-s bin over the 30-min observation period. Cii. Cumulative graph illustrates the relative grooming of single-housed FS mice. Di. Histogram showing the percentage of pair-housed FS mice (N = 8 mice) that exhibited self-grooming behavior during each 30-s bin over the 30-min observation period. Dii. Cumulative graph illustrates the relative grooming of pair-housed FS mice. E. Single-housed FS mice engaged in more self-grooming than pair-housed FS mice (single-housed, mean: 467.2 ± 41.6 s, N = 10 mice; pair-housed, mean: 308.6 ± 25.6 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.008, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t(16) = 3.1). Horizontal bars represent the means. Fi. Histograms showing the percentage of single-housed (left; N = 10 mice) and pair-housed (right; N = 8 mice) FS mice that exhibited locomotor activity during each 30-s bin over the 30-min observation period. Fii. Cumulative graph illustrates the relative locomotion of single-housed (gray line) and pair-housed (pink line) FS mice. G. Single-housed and pair-housed FS mice spent a similar amount of time engaged in locomotion (single-housed, mean: 277.9 ± 11.8 s, N = 10 mice; pair-housed, mean: 287.9 ± 33.8 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.77, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t(16) = 0.30). Horizontal bars represent the means. H. Histogram showing the percentage of unstressed partner mice (N = 8 mice) that engaged in anogenital or head/torso investigation toward the stressed subject during each 30-s bin over the 30-min observation period. Ji. EPSCs in CRHPVN neurons of single-housed FS mice potentiated following HFS (gray bar) relative to baseline. Inset, synaptic currents before and after HFS in single-housed FS mice. Jii. STP was present in cells from single-housed FS mice (mean: 142.0 ± 8.6%, n = 11 cells, p = 0.0002 vs baseline, one-sample t test, two-tailed, t(10) = 5.6). Jiii. STP was present in single-housed FS mice (mean: 138.1 ± 8.7%, N = 3 mice, p = 0.04 vs baseline, one-sample t test, two-tailed, t(2) = 4.4). Ji. EPSCs in CRHPVN neurons of pair-housed FS mice potentiated following HFS (gray bar) relative to baseline. Inset, synaptic currents before and after HFS in pair-housed FS mice. Jii. STP was present in cells from pair-housed FS mice (mean: 116.9 ± 6.3%, n = 20 cells, p = 0.01 vs baseline, one-sample t test, two-tailed, t(19) = 2.8). Jiii. STP was absent in pair-housed FS mice (mean: 110.8 ± 7.1%, N = 5 mice, p = 0.06 vs baseline, one-sample t test, two-tailed, t(4) = 2.6). K. STP (average EPSC amplitude first minute post-HFS relative to baseline, individual cells shown) was larger in single-housed FS mice than in pair-housed FS mice (p = 0.02, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t(29) = 2.4). Horizontal bars represent the means. Scale bars (II, J) represent 5 ms and 20 pA. Inset currents (II, J) before HFS are scaled to allow for easier comparison after HFS. *p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001. Error bars represent ± SEM.
discrimination index to determine whether V1aRs preferentially affect one feature of the investigative behavior (Fig. 3C). Unstressed partners spent a similar amount of time engaged in both anogenital (vehicle, 90.3 ± 9.1 s, N = 8; SR, 81.9 ± 11.3 s, N = 8; Fig. 3B) and head/torso (vehicle, 94.8 ± 8.2 s, N = 8; SR, 84.5 ± 8.3 s, N = 8; Fig. 3B) sniffing toward vehicle-injected and SR-injected FS mice (one-way ANOVA, F (3,28) = 0.39, p = 0.76, followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test; Fig. 3B). Furthermore, V1aR antagonism did not change the social discrimination index compared with vehicle controls (Fig. 3C). The duration of locomotion (vehicle, 227.6 ± 20.6 s, N = 8; SR, 227.6 ± 30.1 s, N = 8; p = 0.99, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t (14) = 0.0099; Fig. 3D), surveying (vehicle, 542.0 ± 40.0 s, N = 8; SR, 483.9 ± 53.4 s, N = 8; p = 0.40, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t (14) = 0.87; Fig. 3E), and rearing (vehicle, 62.8 ± 17.9 s, N = 8; SR, 69.2 ± 21.8 s, N = 8; p = 0.82, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t (14) = 0.23; Fig. 3F) in the stressed subject were also unaffected by V1aR antagonism. Together, these findings suggest that VP signaling is not required for stress-induced grooming in the subject and does not influence locomotion, surveying, or rearing activity. Further, blocking V1aRs in the subject does not affect investigative behaviors by the partner. The absence of behavioral effects suggests that VP effects on STP may occur locally in the PVN and may target synaptic function very specifically.

**Ex vivo VP reduces stress-induced STP in females but not males**

Our *in vivo* experiments above with SR implicate the V1aR in the modulation of stress-induced STP. If inhibition of V1aRs prevents social buffering of STP in CRH^{PVN} neurons, then we
would expect VP itself to decrease STP in these cells in the absence of a partner. To test this, we incubated slices obtained immediately following FS from single-housed stressed female mice in VP (100 nM) for 30 min and examined STP. STP was observed in cells recorded from VP-incubated slices (120.2 ± 5.7%, n = 16, p = 0.002 vs baseline, t_{15} = 3.7; Fig. 4A,B) and from control aCSF-incubated slices (152.2 ± 8.2%, n = 17, p < 0.0001 vs baseline, t_{16} = 5.8; Fig. 4A,B). Per animal analysis gave similar results, with STP being present in VP mice and in aCSF mice (aCSF, 153.8 ± 9.4%, N = 14, p = 0.0002 vs baseline, t_{13} = 5.1; VP, 117.3 ± 5.9%, N = 11, p = 0.005 vs baseline, t_{10} = 3.5; Fig. 4C). However, STP in cells from VP-incubated slices was significantly lower than STP in cells from aCSF-incubated slices (p = 0.007, one-way ANOVA, F_{2,40} = 1.7; Fig. 4D). To confirm our in vivo findings with the V1aR antagonist, we incubated slices from stressed female mice in SR (10 μM) for 10 min, followed by incubation in both SR and VP for an additional 30 min. Introduction of SR prevented the VP-induced decrease in STP, as STP in SR-incubated slices was significantly higher than STP from VP-incubated slices (p = 0.04, Sidak’s multiple comparisons test; Fig. 4D) and was similar to that of aCSF-incubated slices (p = 0.69, Sidak’s multiple comparisons test; Fig. 4D). These findings indicate that activation of V1aRs by VP reduces stress-induced STP in CRHPVN neurons in female mice.

Our initial observations on social buffering of STP revealed that it is a sex-specific effect that occurs exclusively in female mice (Sterley et al., 2018). As such, we determined whether VP also affects STP in a sex-specific manner by repeating the previous experiments in single-housed male FS mice. STP was observed in CRHPVN neurons from slices incubated in aCSF and in VP (aCSF-incubated, 137.1 ± 7.6%, n = 12, p = 0.0001 vs baseline, t_{11} = 5.9; VP-incubated, 126.3 ± 7.9%, n = 9, p = 0.009 vs baseline, t_{8} = 3.4; Fig. 4E,F). Per animal analysis revealed that STP was present in both male aCSF and VP mice (aCSF, 138.5 ± 5.8%, N = 8, p = 0.0001 vs baseline, t_{7} = 7.7; VP, 124.9 ± 9.2%, N = 5, p = 0.04 vs baseline, t_{4} = 2.8; Fig. 4G). Finally, there was no difference in the magnitude of STP between aCSF-incubated and VP-incubated cells from stressed male mice (p = 0.35, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t_{19} = 0.96; Fig. 4H). Collectively, these results suggest that VP selectively buffers stress-induced STP in female mice, similar to the effect of social buffering.

**VP has limited electrophysiological effects on CRHPVN neurons**

To further evaluate the effects of VP on CRHPVN neurons, we tested the electrophysiological effects of acute application of VP directly onto CRHPVN neurons from stressed female mice. Brain slices were obtained immediately following FS. VP (100 nM) was administered focally to cells via a puff pipette without any blockers in the aCSF. VP had no effect on the firing frequency of action potentials (baseline, 1.0 ± 0.6 Hz; VP, 1.1 ± 0.6 Hz; n = 5, N = 4; p = 0.20, t_{4} = 1.5; Fig. 5A,B) or membrane potential (baseline, −48.3 ± 3.7 mV; VP, −48.6 ± 4.0 mV; n = 5, N = 4; p = 0.63, unpaired t test, two-tailed). These findings confirm our previous report that VP has limited electrophysiological effects on CRHPVN neurons.

**Figure 3.** No role for VP receptor signaling in investigative behavior. A, Naive mice spent a similar amount of time investigating vehicle-injected and SR-injected FS mice (vehicle, mean: 185.1 ± 14.5 s, N = 8 mice; SR, mean: 166.4 ± 18.8 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.45, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t_{15} = 0.79) during the 30-min observation period. Horizontal bars represent the means. B, Naive mice spent a similar amount of time investigating the anogenital region (AG vehicle, mean: 90.3 ± 9.1 s, N = 8 mice; AG SR, mean: 81.9 ± 11.3 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.76, one-way ANOVA, F_{1,12} = 0.39; p = 0.99, followed by Sidak’s multiple comparisons test) and head/torso region (H/T vehicle, mean: 94.8 ± 8.2 s, N = 8 mice; H/T SR, mean: 84.5 ± 8.3 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.97, Sidak’s multiple comparisons test) of SR-injected and vehicle-injected FS mice. Horizontal bars represent the means. C Color plots (above) show the overall social discrimination indices for naive mice toward their respective vehicle-injected or SR-injected FS partners. Color plots (below) show the social discrimination indices for each individual naive mouse toward their respective FS partner. D–F, The duration of locomotion (vehicle, mean: 227.6 ± 20.6 s, N = 8 mice; SR, mean: 227.6 ± 30.1 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.99, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t_{15} = 0.0009; D), surveying (vehicle, mean: 52.0 ± 40.0 s, N = 8 mice; SR, mean: 483.9 ± 53.4 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.40, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t_{15} = 0.87; E), and rearing (vehicle, mean: 62.8 ± 17.9 s, N = 8 mice; SR, mean: 69.2 ± 21.8 s, N = 8 mice; p = 0.82, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t_{15} = 0.23; F) were not different between vehicle-injected and SR-injected FS mice. Horizontal bars represent the means. Error bars represent ±SEM.
Next, we analyzed the effects of VP on sEPSCs in CRH<sup>PVN</sup> neurons following bath application of the peptide in aCSF containing picrotoxin. Similar to the above current clamp experiment, VP (100 nM) had no effect on the frequency (baseline, 7.2 ± 1.8 Hz; VP, 6.5 ± 1.4 Hz; n = 5, N = 5; p = 0.53, t(4) = 0.68; Fig. 5D,E) or amplitude (baseline, 27.6 ± 4.8 pA; VP, 28.3 ± 5.2 pA; n = 5, N = 5; p = 0.43, t(4) = 0.88; Fig. 5D,F) of sEPSCs. Taken together, these observations suggest that the electrophysiological effects of VP on CRH<sup>PVN</sup> neurons following acute stress are specific to synaptic metaplasticity.

**VP acts on CRH<sup>PVN</sup> neurons to decrease the AMPA/NMDA ratio**

In parvocellular neurosecretory cells in the PVN of male rats, a stress-induced decrease in NMDAR function is obligatory for the induction of STP (Kuzmiski et al., 2010). This occurs in response to immobilization, predator odor or swim stress. If a...
similar process is required for STP induction in CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons in female mice in response to FS, then buffering of STP may rely on an increase in NMDAR-mediated currents and/or a decrease in AMPAR-mediated currents that restore the AMPA/NMDA ratio toward basal levels. To determine whether VP modulates NMDAR-mediated and/or AMPAR-mediated currents, we recorded the AMPA/NMDA ratio in CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons from stressed female mice following VP incubation of slices obtained immediately after FS. The AMPA/NMDA ratio was significantly reduced in cells from VP-incubated slices compared with cells from aCSF control slices (aCSF, 3.8 ± 0.5; n = 9; VP-incubated, 2.2 ± 0.4; n = 5; p = 0.04, t(12) = 2.3; Fig. 6A). We also recorded the AMPA/NMDA ratio before and after bath application of VP (100 nM) to cells from female FS mice. Acute VP application significantly reduced the AMPA/NMDA ratio within these cells (baseline, 3.3 ± 0.7; VP, 2.4 ± 0.5; n = 5; p = 0.01, t(4) = 4.1; Fig. 6B). There was no difference in the AMPA/NMDA ratio between VP incubation and acute VP application (VP-incubated, 2.2 ± 0.4, n = 5; acute VP, 2.4 ± 0.5, n = 5; p = 0.66, t(8) = 0.46; Fig. 6C). Next, we isolated NMDAR-mediated currents in CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons from single-housed female FS mice by the addition of DNQX to the aCSF. Acute VP (100 nM) application increased the NMDA current (136.8 ± 12.2%, n = 11, p = 0.01 vs baseline, t(10) = 3.2; Fig. 6D).

Our previous experiments with the V1aR antagonist suggest that VP is acting on this receptor to produce its effects on STP. It is not clear whether VP acts directly through V1aRs on CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons themselves, or, as recently shown, indirectly through receptors on astrocytes (Haam et al., 2014). To determine the synaptic localization of the V1aRs that mediate the reduction in the AMPA/NMDA ratio, we included GDPβS (1 mM) in the patch pipette to disrupt G-protein-mediated signaling in postsynaptic CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons and repeated the experiment described above. Acute VP application had no effect on the AMPA/NMDA ratio in cells loaded with GDPβS (baseline, 2.9 ± 0.4; VP, 2.7 ± 0.3, n = 5; p = 0.51, t(4) = 0.72; Fig. 6E). Together, these data suggest that VP acts on V1aRs essential for HPA axis activation, increasing evidence has implicated these neurons in the control of stress-related behaviors (Füzesi et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2017; Sterley et al., 2018; Daviu et al., 2020). We explored stress-induced grooming in females, an action that rodents initiate following stress (van Erp et al., 1994; Kruk et al., 1998) and one that has been shown to be mediated by collateral projections of CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons to the lateral hypothalamus (Füzesi et al., 2016). We show that the presence of a partner reduces the time spent engaged in self-grooming following stress. Our initial hypothesis, that VP release in the PVN may mediate this social buffering of grooming, was rejected by our observations that V1aR inhibition had no effect on this behavior. This observation is consistent with previous findings that intracerebroventricular (ICV) administration of a selective V1aR antagonist does not reduce novelty-induced grooming in rats (Drago et al., 1997). Furthermore, although V1aR inhibition can suppress grooming induced by central VP administration, antagonists for this receptor are unable to reduce grooming induced by other peptides (Meisenberg and Simmons, 1987), suggesting the inhibitory effect on grooming is specific to that mediated by VP. In addition to the lack of an effect on self-grooming, V1aR inhibition did not influence investigatory behavior of the naive partner toward the stressed individual as assessed by sniffing times and our social discrimination index. This is in agreement with our previous findings that return of the stressed individual to the home cage is likely sufficient to trigger investigative sniffing behavior and that this behavior is independent of CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neuron activity in that stressed animal (Sterley et al., 2018).

When we compared the activity at glutamatergic synapses on CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neurons, we observed a reduction in stress-induced STP in pair-housed female mice versus single-housed females. Unlike self-grooming, this social buffering of STP was dependent on V1aR signaling, as inhibition of this receptor produced a robust STP that was similar to single-housed animals. Although CRH<sub>PVN</sub> neuron activation can drive grooming behavior (Füzesi et al., 2016), our observed increase in STP but lack of an effect on
grooming following V1aR inhibition suggests that stress-induced grooming is independent of STP in these neurons. Furthermore, as STP decays within minutes, it is unlikely to be necessary for the maintenance of grooming behavior throughout the observation period. Thus, effects of VP on social buffering are likely localized to the synapse. Although VP is a well-known ACTH secretagogue at the median eminence (Gillies et al., 1982; Antoni, 1993), several studies have demonstrated an inhibitory effect of VP on the HPA axis at the level of the hypothalamus. ICV administration of VP into the hypophysial portal circulation (Plotsky et al., 1984). Social defeat and forced swimming both cause intra–PVN release of VP that is dissociated from peripheral release and likely exerts an inhibitory effect on ACTH secretion (Wotjak et al., 1996, 1998). Additionally, Wotjak et al. (1996) observed no significant effect of a V1 antagonist in the PVN on anxiety-related behavior, consistent with our lack of an effect of SR on stress-induced grooming.

While hybridization histochemistry experiments have revealed V1aRs localized to the parvocellular PVN (Ostromski et al., 1994), previous studies have demonstrated a retrograde neuronal–glial autoregulatory circuit within the PVN mediated by dendritically-released VP (Haam et al., 2014; Chen et al., 2019), implicating astrocytic V1aRs in local VP signaling. Our finding that loading CRH<sup>PV</sup>N neurons with G<sub>DBS</sub>S blocks the effects of VP on the AMPA/NMDA ratio suggests that VP mediates its cellular effects through receptors located on CRH<sup>PV</sup>N neurons themselves. This result, plus the observed increase in NMDAR-mediated currents following VP administration, indicates the potential mechanism underlying the VP-mediated reduction in STP. As depression of NMDARs is required for STP (Kuzmiski et al., 2010), our observations indicate a restoration of NMDAR function that facilitates the reduction in STP.

We also probed for the effects of VP on STP in males. Unlike our results from female mice, we observed no change in STP following VP incubation of slices from male animals. Although we did not explore the mechanism underlying these sex differences, it is unlikely that differences in VP release between males and females account for this sexually dimorphic effect since VP incubation did not change STP in males. Instead, these experiments suggest a difference that is downstream of VP release, but does not completely negate the possibility of a concurrent upstream difference. Acute stress exposure during different periods in the lifespan can differentially influence HPA axis function. In rodents, delayed maturation of glucocorticoid negative feedback mechanisms causes extended corticosterone release in prepubertal animals (McCormick and Mathews, 2007). While pubertal changes in sex hormones can have profound influences on the developing brain, differences in HPA reactivity appear to be independent of changes in circulating sex hormones (Klein and Romeo, 2013). As we have reliably observed STP that is unaltered up to 24 h following stress exposure in mice up to early adulthood (Sterley et al., 2018), we do not predict an age-related effect in our mice. However, one possible explanation relates to V1aR desensitization. Like many GPCRs, the V1aR undergoes agonist-induced phosphorylation by G-protein-coupled receptor kinases, which promotes β-arrestin association and a subsequent internalization of the receptor (Innamorati et al., 1998, 1999; Bowen-Pidgeon et al., 2001). While no studies have reported sex differences in this process for V1aRs, an example of this does exist for CRH receptor 1 (CRHR1) in locus coeruleus neurons. In male rats, acute swim stress causes β-arrestin 2 to associate with CRHR1 and leads to internalization of the receptor; however, in

Figure 6. VP decreases the AMPA/NMDA ratio in CRH<sup>PV</sup>N neurons. A, The AMPA/NMDA ratio (individual cells shown with currents scaled to AMPA current in aCSF) was larger in aCSF-incubated cells (green outline, mean: 3.8 ± 0.5, n = 9 cells, N = 6 mice) than in VP-incubated cells (blue outline, mean: 2.2 ± 0.4, n = 5 cells, N = 3 mice; p = 0.04, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t<sub>12</sub> = 2.3) from female mice stressed with FS. Horizontal bars represent the means. Inset, AMPA and NMDA currents from aCSF-incubated and VP-incubated cells. B, The AMPA/NMDA ratio was decreased following acute application of 100 nM VP within the same cells (baseline, mean: 3.3 ± 0.7; VP, mean: 2.4 ± 0.5, n = 5 cells; p = 0.01, paired t test, two-tailed, t<sub>4</sub> = 4.1). Inset, AMPA and NMDA currents from the same cell before and after VP application. C, The AMPA/NMDA ratio was similar between VP-incubated cells and cells following acute VP application (VP-incubated, mean: 2.2 ± 0.4, n = 5 cells; acute VP, mean: 2.4 ± 0.5, n = 5 cells; p = 0.66, unpaired t test, two-tailed, t<sub>9</sub> = 0.46). D, Acute VP application increased isolated NMDA currents (mean: 136.8 ± 12.2%, n = 11 cells, p = 0.01 vs baseline, paired t test, two-tailed, t<sub>10</sub> = 3.2). Inset, traces show the NMDA current in the same cell before and after VP application. E, Acute VP application had no effect on the AMPA/NMDA ratio in cells loaded with 1 μm G<sub>DBS</sub>S (baseline, mean: 2.9 ± 0.4; VP, mean: 2.7 ± 0.3, n = 5 cells; p = 0.51, paired t test, two-tailed, t<sub>4</sub> = 0.72). Inset, AMPA and NMDA currents before and after VP application in a cell loaded with G<sub>DBS</sub>S. Scale bars (A, B, D, E) represent 5 ms and 20 pA. Red dotted lines (A, B, E) represent the AMPA current measurement. Gray dotted lines (A, B, E) represent the NMDA current measurement. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01. Error bars represent ± SEM.
females, this stress-induced internalization is impaired because of diminished CRHR1-β-arnestin 2 association, which renders these neurons more sensitive to CRH (Bangasser et al., 2010). It is possible that a similar sex difference exists for V1aR in CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons.

Sex differences in VP signaling have also been attributed to polymorphisms in repetitive DNA sequences, or microsatellites, in the 5\textsuperscript{th} regulatory region of the gene encoding the V1aR, 

Avpr1a. Of particular interest are two highly polymorphic microsatellites in the promoter region, RS1 and RS3. Microsatellite length predicts intraspecific variation in V1aR expression and social behavior in male prairie voles, with long-allele males displaying increased social investigation and bonding behavior compared with short-allele males (Hammock and Young, 2005). Both short-allele and long-allele males show robust differences in V1aR binding in several brain regions (Hammock and Young, 2005). In humans, longer RS3 repeat length is associated with increased altruistic behavior and higher V1aR mRNA levels in the hippocampus (Knafo et al., 2008). Polymorphisms in Avpr1a in the PVN that lead to changes in receptor expression may account for our observed sex differences in the effects of VP on STP in CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons. Future experiments using genetic analysis are required to confirm the existence of these polymorphisms in the PVN of mice.

The fundamental question that remains is what causes VP release in the PVN during social buffering. One intriguing mechanism is through the OR37 subfamily, part of an olfactory subsystem that has been previously implicated in stress buffering. Endogenous ligands for OR37 receptors, which are found in bodily secretions from mice, reduce c-Fos expression in CRH\textsuperscript{PVN} neurons following exposure to a novel environment (Klein et al., 2015). In humans, exposure to undetected hexadecanal, a ligand for OR37 receptors, reduces the acoustic startle response in typically-developed adults but has no effect in cognitively-able adult participants with autism spectrum disorder (Endevelt-Shapira et al., 2018). The mitral cells of OR37 glomeruli project specifically to VPergic cells in the PVN (Bader et al., 2012). As both magnocellular and parvocellular VP neurons are capable of dendritic release of VP (Ludwig, 1998), activation of the OR37 subsystem may be the source that initiates VP release in the PVN. However, future experiments are required to confirm an involvement of this subsystem in VP-mediated social buffering.

Several stress-related psychiatric disorders, including post-traumatic stress disorder, anxiety, and depression, are associated with altered urinary and plasma VP levels (van Londen et al., 1997; de Kloet et al., 2008; Marshall, 2013), suggesting dysfunction of the VP system. However, as somatodendritic release of VP can occur independently of peptide release from the axon terminal (Wotjak et al., 1998), the translational implications of our findings on local VP signaling in the PVN remain to be determined.
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