PKC98E Regulates Odorant Responses in *Drosophila melanogaster*

Seeta Poudel,^{1*} Hao Guo,^{3*} and Dean P. Smith^{1,2}

¹Department of Neuroscience, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390-9111, ²Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas 75390-9111, and ³State Key Laboratory of Integrated Management of Pest Insects and Rodents, Institute of Zoology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100101, People's Republic of China

Drosophila odorant receptors (Ors) are ligand gated ion channels composed of a common receptor subunit Or co-receptor (ORCO) and one of 62 “tuning” receptor subunits that confer odorant specificity to olfactory neuron responses. Like other sensory systems studied to date, exposing *Drosophila* olfactory neurons to activating ligands results in reduced responses to subsequent exposures through a process called desensitization. We recently showed that phosphorylation of serine 289 on the common Or subunit ORCO is required for normal peak olfactory neuron responses. Dephosphorylation of this residue occurs on prolonged odorant exposure, and underlies the slow modulation of olfactory neuron responses we term “slow desensitization.” Slow desensitization results in the reduction of peak olfactory neuron responses and flattening of dose–response curves, implicating changes in ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation state as an important modulator of olfactory neuron responses. Here, we report the identification of the primary kinase responsible for ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation, PKC98E. Antiserum localizes the kinase to the dendrites of the olfactory neurons. Deletion of the kinase from olfactory neurons in the naive state (the absence of prolonged odor exposure) reduces ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation and reduces peak odorant responses without altering receptor localization or expression levels. Genetic rescue with a PKC98E predicted to be constitutively active restores ORCO S289 phosphorylation and olfactory neuron sensitivity to the PKC98E mutants in the naive state. However, the dominant kinase is defective for slow desensitization. Together, these findings reveal that PKC98E is an important regulator of ORCO receptors and olfactory neuron function.

Key words: desensitization; kinase; olfaction; olfactory; orco; phosphorylation

Significance Statement

We have identified PKC98E as the kinase responsible for phosphorylation of the odorant receptor co-receptor (ORCO) at S289 that is required for normal odorant response kinetics of olfactory neurons. This is a significant step toward revealing the enzymology underlying the regulation of odorant response regulation in insects.

Introduction

In most sensory systems, the presence of background stimuli reduces the responses of the receptor neurons to subsequent stimulation (Fain et al., 2001; Kato and Touhara, 2009). In *Drosophila*, odorant receptors (Ors) are odorant-gated ion channels (Sato et al., 2008; Wicher et al., 2008), and how these receptors are regulated is poorly understood. Insect Ors are derived

from two gene families, ionotropic receptors (Irs) and Ors (Clyne et al., 1999; Vosshall et al., 1999; Benton et al., 2009). Interestingly, experience-dependent adaptation does not occur for odorants detected by the Ir receptor class of *Drosophila* Ors (Getahun et al., 2012; Cao et al., 2016). Ors are heteromeric membrane proteins that form an ion channel with a shared subunit, Or co-receptor (ORCO; Larsson et al., 2004) and in *Drosophila*, one of 62 “tuning” receptor (Or) subunits that impart ligand selectivity (Elmore et al., 2003; Hallem and Carlson, 2004). Odorant binding to the receptors triggers opening of these ion channels that freely pass calcium ions into the neurons (Sato et al., 2008; Wicher et al., 2008). While great strides have been made defining the mechanisms underlying odorant detection in insects, our understanding of the mechanisms responsible for regulation is incomplete (Wilson, 2013).

Prolonged odorant exposure (1–30 min) results in slow desensitization, a gradual reduction in peak spike frequencies and flattening of the dose–response curves of *Drosophila*

Received Nov. 30, 2020; revised Mar. 18, 2021; accepted Mar. 22, 2021.

Author contributions: D.P.S. designed research; S.P. and H.G. performed research; S.P., H.G., and D.P.S. analyzed data; D.P.S. wrote the paper.

This work was supported by the National Institutes of Health Grant R01DC015230 (to D.P.S.). We thank Kishor Kunwar for assistance with the blinded image quantitation and generation of transgenic stocks.

*S.P. and H.G. contributed equally to this work.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Dean P. Smith at dean.smith@utsouthwestern.edu or Hao Guo at guohao@insect.126.com.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3019-20.2021>

Copyright © 2021 the authors

olfactory neurons expressing ORCO (Guo et al., 2017). Blocking synaptic transmission in the ORCO primary olfactory neurons does not significantly impair slow desensitization (Guo et al., 2017), indicating it is independent of postsynaptic GABA feedback (Olsen and Wilson, 2008; Guo et al., 2017). This reveals the presence of a cell autonomous modulation mechanism that operates specifically in ORCO-expressing neurons.

We recently reported that ORCO is phosphorylated at S289 *in vivo*, and that phosphorylation at this position is reduced on prolonged odorant exposure (Guo et al., 2017). Furthermore, we showed that these phosphorylation changes correlate precisely with the slow desensitization modulation of olfactory neuron responses, but occur at a slower time scale than previous descriptions of desensitization in *Drosophila* olfactory neurons (Das et al., 2011; Nagel and Wilson, 2011; Martelli et al., 2013; Gorur-Shandilya et al., 2017; Martelli and Fiala, 2019). Antiserum specific to the S289-phosphorylated form of ORCO (phospho-ORCO) recognizes antigen in wild-type olfactory neuron dendrites in naive flies, but the phospho-signal is strikingly reduced on prolonged odorant exposure (Guo et al., 2017). Antiserum that recognizes ORCO independent of its phosphorylation state revealed that ORCO remains in the chemosensory olfactory neuron dendrites following prolonged odorant exposure, ruling out a receptor translocation mechanism for slow desensitization (Guo et al., 2017). These findings led us to propose a model for slow desensitization in which ORCO is phosphorylated in the naive, maximum sensitivity state, and becomes dephosphorylated on odorant exposure to modulate olfactory neuron responses. However, the enzymology underlying this regulation is a mystery. Here, we identify PKC98E as the primary kinase required for ORCO S289 phosphorylation.

Materials and Methods

Drosophila stocks

An isogenized strain of w^{1118} was used as a wild-type control for most experiments. Male flies were used for most experiments, but females were found to have similar responses. *UAS-FLP* and *UAS-RNAi* flies to knock-down candidate kinases were obtained from the Bloomington and Vienna Stock centers. Other stocks include *CAMI RNAi*: BS[#]26726; *CAMIII RNAi*: BS[#]29401; *PKA-C1 RNAi*: BS[#]58355; *PKA-C3 RNAi*: BS[#]27569; *PKA-R1 RNAi*: BS[#]27308; *PKA-R2 RNAi*: BS[#]27680; *Nrk RNAi*: BS[#]56936; *CKIIβ*: BS[#]42943; *CKIIα*: VDRC #330507; *Asator* BS[#]57033; *Phky*: BS[#]42500; *Fray*: VDRC#106919; *Silk*: BS[#]35186; *Slob*: VDRC#39812; *PDK*: VDRC#37968; *CG17698*: VDRC#35634; *Par-1*: BS[#]32101; *Gprk1*: BD#36246; *LK6*: BS[#]28357; *da-Gal4*: BS[#]51669). *nos-Cas9* flies (*y*, *sc*, *v*; +; *attp2* (y^+) *nos-Cas9 v*⁺) were generated by Kondo and Ueda (2013) and kindly provided by Michael Buszczak. *orco*² mutants and *pOrco-GAL4* flies were provided by Leslie Vosshall. *UAS-tGFP* flies (Han et al., 2011) were obtained from Robin Hiesinger. *UAS-Orco*^{S289A} was described previously (Guo et al., 2017).

RNA-Seq

Ten micrograms of total RNA was extracted from four sets of flies, each from ~1000 antennae using TRIzol reagent (Invitrogen). Poly-A RNA was purified and fragmented followed by reverse transcription. A-tailed cDNA was ligated to adapters, amplified by PCR, and purified with Ampure XP beads. Four independent samples were submitted for sequencing. Samples were applied to Illumina HiSeq 2500 and were sequenced from one end. The four independent sequencing runs yielded 47.9 million, 52.1 million, 43.3 million, 56.7 million reads, respectively.

Single sensillum electrophysiology

Single sensillum recordings (SSRs) were performed as previously described (Laughlin et al., 2008; Pitts et al., 2016). Briefly, filtered AC signals (200 Hz to 3 kHz) were recorded and digitized for analysis (Autospike 3.2). Flies were housed in fresh vials containing standard yeast

molasses food in small groups before SSR recordings. Compounds used in SSRs and for odor exposure were of the highest purity available (Sigma-Aldrich and Pherobank BV). A total of 30 μ l of diluted or undiluted odorant were placed on a small piece of Wattman paper (1.5 cm²) inserted into a 5.75-inch Pasteur pipette and 300-ms puffs of air, controlled by a computer activated valve, were passed over the filter into a constant stream (30 ml/s) of charcoal filtered, humidified air passing over the preparation. The odorant concentration in all figures represents the dilution of odorant blotted onto Wattman paper over which air puff stimuli were passed. The actual concentration reaching the antenna is much less. The change in spikes per second (Δ spikes) was plotted as a sigmoidal curve with Hill fitting. The Δ spikes were calculated as: Δ spikes = (number of spikes stimulated by odorant in the first sec) – (number of spikes/s averaged over 10 s before the stimulus).

Odorant exposure experiments

Flies were transferred to a new standard food vial supplemented with 200- μ l water for 2 h. For prolonged odorant exposures, a piece of filter paper (1.5 cm²) with 30 μ l of 10–30% corresponding odorant diluted in paraffin oil was placed in the food vials. Following specified exposure times, the flies were immediately used for electrophysiology or flash-frozen in liquid nitrogen and processed for sectioning for immunofluorescence.

Frozen tissue sections and immunocytochemistry

For anti-PKC98E antiserum, three- to six-week-old female NZW Rabbits were immunized with KLH (Sigma) conjugated peptides corresponding to the C terminus of PKC98E (sequence N-EFAGFSFVNPKFGPERKVY-C) using formaldehyde followed by extensive dialysis against PBS. Rabbits were immunized with the conjugated peptide in Freund's adjuvant as previously described (Smith et al., 1991). Serum from immunized animals was affinity purified using the peptide bound to Affigel 10 columns (Bio-Rad). The antiserum was used at 1:300 dilution in PBS 0.1% saponin for immunostaining. The phospho-specific antibody was previously described in Guo et al. (2017) and was diluted 1:20 in PBS, 0.1% saponin. Total ORCO antiserum (Guo et al., 2017), that detects ORCO independent of phosphorylation state, was diluted 1:300 for immunostaining. Hand-dissected heads were fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA; Sigma) 1× PBS, pH 7.4 for 2 h on a rotator at 4°C followed by impregnation in 25% sucrose overnight in 0.1 M Na₂PO₄, pH7.4. For frozen tissue sections, samples were imbedded in Tissue-Tek (Sakura Finetek), and 10- μ m sections were obtained using a microtome (Bright Instrument Company). Sections were air dried for 1 h at room temperature, postfixed in 4% PFA, 1× PBS for 5 min, incubated with 0.5% Triton X-100 (Sigma), 0.1% saponin (Fluka) in 1× PBS, pH 7.4 for 5 min, and washed three times with 0.1% saponin in 1× PBS, pH 7.4. The sections were incubated with diluted primary rabbit antibody overnight at 4°C. After 4- to 10-min washes, sections were incubated with a 1:500 dilution of Alexa Fluor 543-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (Invitrogen) for 2 h at room temperature. Slides were washed in PBS 0.1% saponin four times and mounted in glycerol mounting medium (Dako).

Imaging and image quantification

Confocal images were obtained using Zeiss LSM 510 and LSM710 confocal microscopes. Identical imaging settings on the same microscope were used for comparisons among genotypes. Olfactory neuron dendrite fluorescence intensity was quantified based on the mean pixel value (the sum of pixel value of selected area divided by the total number of pixels) using ImageJ (<http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>). The background pixel value from an identical area was subtracted. All quantification was performed by a technician blinded to genotypes. The Student's *t* test for statistical significance was conducted using Origin 8.0 and ANOVA was implemented using the SPSS package (IBM).

Generation of PKC98E conditional mutant

Primers encoding the PKC98E kinase domain and FRT sites were used to amplify the PKC98E kinase domain from genomic DNA. The kinase domain was sequenced and cloned into pHD-DsRed vector (Gratz et al.,

2013). The 1-kb upstream and downstream homology region flanking the *PKC98E* kinase domain was introduced in pHD-DsRed vector. This construct was then co-injected with two CRISPR targeting plasmids flanking the kinase domain (Gratz et al., 2013). Flies were selected for RFP expression in the eyes and correct integration and presence of FRT sites were confirmed by PCR and sequencing. To eliminate *PKC98E* from olfactory neurons, we expressed *UAS-FLP* recombinase in olfactory neurons using *pORCO-GAL4* in flies homozygous for the *PKC98E* FRT allele (*pOrco-GAL4; PKC98E* FRT, *UAS-FLP*). Flies were assayed at one week of age to allow any previously synthesized PKC98E to turn over.

The primers used to clone the kinase domain are 5'-TTAATTAACGACGGTTTATCAACTAATTAC and 5'-CTCAGCCGCGGGAAGTTCCTATTCTCTAGAAAGTATAGGAAGTTCGCATCGAATCCATCGAACTG (containing underlined FRT site).

The primers used for the upstream homology domain are 5'-GCTAGCAGCTGCTCAGCTCTGGCGCTTC and 5'-TTAATTAA GAAGTTCCTATTCTCTAGAAAGTATAGGAACTTCACTGTTTCTTGTCTGTGCAGTGTG (containing underlined FRT site), and for the downstream homology 5'-ACTAGTTGTTGGAATTCATTTATGTTGTTTGC and 5'-CCCTCGAGGGAGTAAATAATAATCGTAAC

The primers to target Cas9 to the upstream cleavage site are 5'-CTTCGCACAGCAAGAAACAGTCGA and 5'-AAACTCGACTGTTTCTTGCTGTGC and for the downstream cleavage site, 5'-CTTCGCTTCAAGCTCCACGCC TGT and 5'-AAACACAGGCGTGGAGCTTG AAGC.

The primers used to confirm correct integration depicted in Figure 4A are: P1 (5'-TCAACCCACCTTCTGTTTCGC-3'), P2 (5'-GCTTCGAGCCGATTGTTTTC-3'), P3 (5'-GCTAAACAATCGGCTCGAAG-3'), P4 (5'-CCAGCAGCATTGACCTATT-3').

Generation of *PKC98E*^{CAAX} mutants

PKC98E RB cDNA encoding a *PKC98E* splice form that lacks the regulatory domain was isolated from *Drosophila* head RNA by RT-PCR, cloned, and sequenced. This cDNA was used as a template to add the sequence of a prenylation signal, VQASQ (Berger et al., 2018) to the C terminus of the coding sequence using the primers 5'-GAATTCAAATGACAAAGTTCA and 5'-CTCGAGTTACTGGCTGGCGCACTGCACTAGACTTTGCGTCCGG. This construct was cloned into pUAS (Brand and Perrimon, 1993) and transgenic flies produced by standard techniques (Spradling and Rubin, 1982).

Experimental design and statistical analyses

SSRs were performed on 2- to 6-d-old flies. To avoid sensory adaptation during recordings, the stimuli were separated by an interval of at least 1 min, and each fly was only used for one dose-response recording. One-way-ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's test was used for the comparison of responses to odorants between multiple genotypes. For measuring the desensitization, flies were preexposed with 30 μ l of 30% cVA in a small

Figure 1. PKC98E is required for normal cVA sensitivity. **A**, RNAseq reads for antennal kinases. The RPKM reflects the abundance of each kinase RNA. Error bars represent SEM for four replicates. **B**, Sample traces from the at1 neurons stimulated with 1% cVA pheromone for 300 ms (gray box) from *w¹¹¹⁸* control, *PKC98E* RNAi flies (*pORCO-GAL4/UAS-PKC98E* RNAi) and *Orco^{S289A}* flies (*pORCO-GAL4/UAS-Orco^{S289A}*, *orco²*). % indicates dilution of cVA in diluent spotted on Wattman paper and not the actual odorant concentration (see Materials and Methods). Traces for other kinases are presented in Extended Data Figure 1-1. **C**, *PKC98E* RNAi shows a reduction in peak response and dose-response curve flattening to cVA pheromone ($n = 5$). The sigmoidal curve for Δ spikes was plotted for different concentrations of cVA with Hill fitting for the genotype described. Error bars represent SEMs. One-way ANOVA analysis with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test was done between control (*w¹¹¹⁸*), *PKC98E* RNAi and *Orco^{S289A}*, $**p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$. The ANOVA comparison was also done between *Orco^{S289A}*, and *PKC98E* RNAi; $++p < 0.05$, $+++p < 0.01$ (actual p values for *PKC98E* RNAi and wild-type controls: for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA for are 0.54, 0.95, 0.90, 0.814, 0.125, 0.085, 0.070, 1.4×10^{-4} , and 0.002, respectively; p values for *pORCO-GAL4/UAS-Orco^{S289A}*, *orco²* and controls for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA for are 0.92, 0.82, 0.59, 0.002, 0.033, 0.005, 0.0025, 1.13×10^{-4} , and 4.92×10^{-5} . For ANOVA comparison between *PKC98E* RNAi expressed with *pORCO-GAL4* and *pORCO-GAL4/UAS-Orco^{S289A}*, *orco²*, p values are 0.35, 0.92, 0.41, 0.064, 0.068, 0.047, 0.0095, 0.0025, and 0.0091 for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA. **D**, **E**, Farnesol-induced response from ai2a intermediate sensilla neurons (also called at2a neurons (Couto et al., 2005; Ronderos et al., 2014) from *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E^{cond}* (for olfactory neuron-specific null mutants, see Fig. 4) reveal similar defects as cVA-induced pheromone responses. **D**, Representative traces of the response of *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E^{cond}* to farnesol. **E**, Dose-response curves from ai2a neurons of *w¹¹¹⁸* (open square) and *PKC98E^{cond}* (open circle) flies to a series of farnesol dilutions. Significant differences in sensilla responses were detected at the dilutions of 10^{-4} ($p = 0.014$), 10^{-3} ($p = 4.227 \times 10^{-4}$), 10^{-2} ($p = 2.429 \times 10^{-5}$), 10^{-1} ($p = 0.001$), and pure farnesol ($p = 1.440 \times 10^{-5}$); $n = 10$ for each genotype; $*p < 0.05$, $**p < 0.01$, $***p < 0.0001$ by one-way ANOVA. Data were plotted as mean $\pm$ SEM.

vial for 1 h, and controls were preexposed with the solvent-paraffin oil. To mitigate the effect of recovery, the recording was completed within 15 min of removal from the stimulus vial. Two-tailed Student's *t* test was used to test for statistical significance of slow desensitization (reduction in peak spikes/s) between *w¹¹¹⁸* or *PKC98E^{CAAX}*, and two-way ANOVA was used to test the statistical significance of interactions between desensitization among genotypes. For immunostaining comparisons, 2- to 6-d-old flies were used and images were taken under the identical settings. One-way ANOVA was used to compare the fluorescent intensity between genotypes. One-way ANOVA was performed with Origin 8.0 (OriginLab). Two-tailed Student's *t* test and two-way ANOVA were performed with GraphPad Prism 8 software (GraphPad Software) or SPSS (IBM).

Results

PKC98E is implicated in modulating odorant responses

We used RNA-seq to identify kinase genes expressed in adult antenna mRNA. Hand-dissected antennae were subjected to RNA extraction and purification, and were subsequently bar-coded and sequenced. Of the 239 known kinases in the *Drosophila* genome (FlyBase Consortium, 1999), we identified 21 serine/threonine kinases with expression levels averaging over 10 reads per kilobase per million sequence reads (RPKM) over four independent experiments (Fig. 1A). To identify which of these 21 candidates might functionally contribute to ORCO S289 phosphorylation and odorant sensitivity regulation, we used transgenic RNA interference to individually knock-down each candidate kinase in the ORCO-expressing olfactory neurons *in vivo*. We measured the electrical responses from the cVA-sensing Or67d neurons using SSRs to determine whether any of the kinase RNAi knock-down constructs impacted the olfactory responses (Fig. 1; Extended Data Fig. 1-1). Expression of *PKC98E* RNAi in the olfactory neurons resulted in a striking defect in the dose–response curves to cVA stimulation in at1 neurons. The maximum spike frequency to cVA stimulation was reduced almost 2-fold (Fig. 1C). None of the other individual kinase RNAi constructs produced statistically significant changes in cVA responses (Extended Data Fig. 1-1). However, ORCO^{S289A} mutants (Guo et al., 2017) that are incapable of being phosphorylated at this position, have even lower peak responses (Fig. 1C). This difference could indicate that other kinases are capable of phosphorylating ORCO at S289, that the RNAi is not completely penetrant, or that the ORCO^{S289A} mutant has lower intrinsic sensitivity than dephosphorylated wild-type ORCO. The modulatory phenotype is not restricted to pheromone-sensing neurons, as similar effects are observed in farnesol-sensing neurons (Fig. 1D,E).

ORCO phosphorylation at S289 is reduced in *PKC98E* RNAi flies

We next asked whether the reduced peak sensitivity we observed to cVA stimulation in *PKC98E* RNAi flies was associated with reduced ORCO S289 phosphorylation. Using phospho-specific ORCO antiserum (Guo et al., 2017), we quantified ORCO phosphorylation at S289 in wild-type and *PKC98E* RNAi olfactory neurons from naive flies. Figure 2 shows that *PKC98E* RNAi flies have a significant reduction in phospho-ORCO signal (Fig. 2B,E) compared with controls (Fig. 2A,E). RNAi transgenes to δ PKC and *PKC53E*, two PKC family members that were previously implicated in olfactory function (Getahun et al., 2016) had no effect on ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation (Fig. 2C–E). Furthermore, using antiserum that recognizes ORCO independent of phosphorylation state, we observed no change in the total ORCO levels in the olfactory neuron dendrites (Fig. 2F–J). Therefore, the reduction in phospho-ORCO is not because of translocation of ORCO protein stemming from a function of *PKC98E*. We conclude that *PKC98E* is required for normal phosphorylation of ORCO at S289 *in vivo*.

Antiserum to *PKC98E* identifies olfactory neuron dendrite expression

We generated antiserum to a C-terminal peptide specific to *PKC98E* to localize the kinase in the olfactory neurons (see Materials and Methods). The antiserum detects kinase protein in the olfactory neuron dendrites from wild-type olfactory neurons

Figure 2. *PKC98E* is required for normal phosphorylation of ORCO at S289 *in vivo*. **A–D**, Representative images showing the phosphorylation of ORCO at S289 in the (**A**) *pORCO-GAL4* control, (**B**) *PKC98E* knock-down, (**C**) δ PKC knock-down, and (**D**) *PKC53E* knock-down (all expressed with *pORCO-GAL4*). Arrowheads indicate olfactory neuron dendrites. **E**, The phosphorylation of ORCO^{S289} is significantly reduced in *PKC98E* knock-down (*b* over error bar; $p = 1.66 \times 10^{-7}$ for *PKC98E* RNAi compared with *pORCO-GAL4* control). There is no significant difference between *pORCO-GAL4* and δ PKC RNAi (*a* over error bar; $p = 0.90$) or *PKC53E* RNAi ($p = 0.99$). *PKC98E* RNAi is different from δ PKC RNAi ($p = 4.22 \times 10^{-6}$) and *PKC53E* ($p = 3.11 \times 10^{-7}$), but there is no difference between *PKC53E* RNAi and δ PKC RNAi ($p = 0.96$). Analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test. **F–I**, Representative images showing total ORCO localization in the (**F**) control, (**G**) *PKC98E* RNAi, (**H**) δ PKC RNAi, and (**I**) *PKC53E* RNAi. Arrowheads denote representative olfactory neuron dendrites. **J**, The quantification shows the overall localization of ORCO in the olfactory neuron dendrites is unaffected in the kinase RNAi lines. Analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test ($p = 0.94$ for *PKC98E* RNAi vs *pORCO-GAL4*; $p = 0.36$ for δ PKC RNAi vs *pORCO-GAL4*; $p = 0.82$ for *PKC53E* RNAi vs *pORCO-GAL4*; $p = 0.71$ for *PKC98E* RNAi vs δ PKC RNAi; $p = 0.82$ for *PKC98E* RNAi vs *PKC53E* RNAi; and $p = 0.99$ for *PKC53E* RNAi vs δ PKC RNAi ($n = 15$ for each genotype). Data are plotted as mean $\pm$ SEM.

(Fig. 3D,F), and these signals are dramatically reduced in the *PKC98E* RNAi knock-down (Fig. 3J). These results confirm the antiserum is specific to *PKC98E* and that the *PKC98E* kinase is normally localized to the site of olfactory signal transduction.

Generation of *PKC98E* mutants

RNAi often produces hypomorphic phenotypes, and can produce off-target effects. Therefore, we sought to confirm our finding that *PKC98E* is an important olfactory neuron regulator by generating null mutants defective for *PKC98E* expression. Previous studies showed *PKC98E* has essential functions in development regulating dorsal–ventral patterning (Tremmel et al., 2013). Consistent with this data, frame-shift mutants in *PKC98E* generated using CRISPR non-homologous ends joining are lethal (data not shown). Therefore, to examine the loss of function

phenotype of *PKC98E* in the olfactory neurons without disrupting developmental functions, we generated *PKC98E conditional* mutant flies that lack *PKC98E* function exclusively in ORCO-expressing olfactory neurons.

We used CRISPR/Cas9-mediated homologous recombination editing to replace the endogenous *PKC98E* kinase domain with one flanked by FRT recombination sites (Fig. 4A,B; Materials and Methods). The homozygous *PKC98E FRT* flies are homozygous viable, fertile and healthy, indicating that introduction of the FRT sites in the non-coding DNA flanking the kinase domain does not impair *PKC98E* function. Furthermore, *PKC98E FRT* flies have cVA dose-response curves that are not statistically different from wild-type controls (Fig. 4C). Driving *FLP* with the *daughterless* promoter in the *PKC98E FRT* homozygous background was lethal, consistent with the developmental requirement of *PKC98E* (data not shown). To eliminate *PKC98E* specifically from the olfactory neurons, we crossed the *FLP* recombinase gene expressed under control of the *ORCO* promoter in the *PKC98E FRT* homozygous mutant background. In this stock, *FLP* recombinase expression is restricted to the ORCO-expressing olfactory neurons and is expected to excise the DNA encoding the *PKC98E* kinase domain from the genome of these olfactory neurons. Figure 4D–G shows that *pORCO-GAL4; PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP* (referred to as *PKC98E conditional*) effectively eliminates *PKC98E* antigen from the olfactory neurons.

We next examined the contribution of *PKC98E* to ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation in the *PKC98E FRT conditional* null mutants. Figure 5 shows that compared with wild-type naive flies, ORCO phosphorylation at S289 is reduced to approximately one-fifth of normal in *PKC98E FRT conditional* naive flies. This reveals that *PKC98E* is normally required for full phosphorylation of ORCO at S289. The residual phosphorylation signal in the conditional mutants suggests another kinase is capable of phosphorylating ORCO at S289 at a low level, or perhaps some cells still have *PKC98E* persisting from before *FLP* expression. Antiserum to total ORCO shows no significant difference in ORCO levels or location (Fig. 5H,I), confirming that *PKC98E* does not affect sensitivity through translocation of ORCO out of the olfactory neuron dendrites. Next, we tested the odorant responses of the *PKC98E FRT conditional* mutants. Loss of *PKC98E* function in the conditional allele results in a similar reduction in peak responses compared with the *PKC98E RNAi* flies in the dose-response curves to cVA, peaking at around 30 spikes/s at 100% cVA (Fig. 6B). However, the impairment of cVA response in the conditional mutant of *PKC98E* is not quite as severe as we see in ORCO^{S289A} mutants. These data demonstrate that

Figure 3. Antiserum directed to the C terminus of *PKC98E* detects kinase protein in the olfactory neuron dendrites. **A**, Cartoon depicting the *Drosophila* antenna with location of different sensilla. **B**, Cartoon showing the olfactory neuron anatomy of the cVA responsive at1 trichoid neuron. **C**, Depiction of the branched basiconic olfactory neuron dendrites. **D**, Anti-*PKC98E* antiserum localizes *PKC98E* (red) to the olfactory neuron dendrites (arrowhead) and a round structure between the base of the olfactory neuron dendrites and the cell body (arrow). **E**, Green is membrane-anchored *tdGFP* (Han et al., 2011) expressed under control of the *ORCO* promoter. Olfactory neuron dendrites and cell bodies are labeled. **F**, Co-localization of *PKC98E* and *tdGFP* in the olfactory neuron dendrites. **G**, Preimmune serum from the same rabbit later immunized against *PKC98E* peptide does not detect antigens in *Drosophila* antenna. **H**, *PKC98E* localization in *w¹¹¹⁸* control. **I**, Flies expressing *PKC98E RNAi* with the *ORCO* promoter have reduced *PKC98E* signal. Scale bars: 10 μ m (**D**) and 20 μ m (**G–I**).

PKC98E is the major ORCO^{S289} kinase and is required for normal odorant responses in olfactory neurons.

We next tested whether an active, membrane-tethered version of *PKC98E* could rescue ORCO S289 phosphorylation and odorant sensitivity in the *PKC98E conditional* mutants. We started with a splicing variant of *PKC98E*, *PKC98E-RB*, that has an alternate 5' splicing arrangement that produces a *PKC98E* protein that lacks the regulatory domain (Thurmond et al., 2019). The regulatory domain includes a pseudosubstrate domain that maintains the kinase in the inactive state in the absence of activating factors, and PKCs lacking the regulatory domain are constitutively active (Sommese et al., 2017). To tether the kinase to the membrane, we modified the *PKC98E-RB* cDNA to include a CAAX prenylation signal at the C terminus (Schafer et al., 1989). We named the kinase encoded by this construct *PKC98E^{CAAX}*. We crossed *UAS-PKC98E^{CAAX}* into the *PKC98E FRT conditional* mutant background. We examined ORCO S289 phosphorylation, comparing the *PKC98E conditional* mutants to *PKC98E conditional* mutants also expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}*. We observed restoration of the ORCO S289 phosphorylation in the presence of *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (Fig. 7B–D). We next tested the cVA sensitivity

Figure 4. Generation of *PKC98E* conditional null mutant. **A**, CRISPR/Cas9 was used to engineer FRT sites upstream and downstream of the DNA encoding the kinase domain of *PKC98E* (see Materials and Methods). Flies homozygous for the *PKC98E^{FRT}* allele are viable and healthy. Loss of function for *PKC98E* in olfactory neurons was produced by introducing a transgene encoding the FLP recombinase expressed under control of the *ORCO* promoter. H1, homology arm 1; H2, homology arm 2; dsRed, RFP reporter gene; FRT, FLP recombination sites; P1–P4 represent PCR primer binding sites. **B**, PCR to validate the correct integration of the FRT allele using P1–P4 primers. **C**, Dose–response curve for cVA shows the FRT insertions do not alter cVA odor sensitivity in the *PKC98E^{FRT}* flies in absence of the FLP recombinase ($n = 5$). The sigmoidal curve for Δ spikes with Hill fitting was plotted for different concentrations of cVA for the *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E^{FRT}* flies ($p = 0.75, 0.59, 0.52, 0.25, 0.33, 0.39, 0.39, 0.73, 0.25$, and 0.13 for $0.01\%, 0.03\%, 0.1\%, 0.3\%, 1\%, 3\%, 10\%$, and 100% cVA). **D–G**, PKC98E immunoreactivity in the conditional allele. **D**, *w¹¹¹⁸*. **E**, *PKC98E^{FRT}*. **F**, *PKC98E^{FRT} UAS-FLP*. **G**, *PKC98E^{FRT} conditional (pORCO-GAL4; PKC98E^{FRT} UAS-FLP)*.

of *PKC98E^{FRT} conditional* mutant flies also expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}*. *PKC98E^{CAAX}* restored the naive cVA dose–response curves and peak responses of the olfactory neurons to levels that were not statistically different from wild-type controls, but were different from *PKC98E conditional* mutants alone (Fig. 7E,F). We conclude that *PKC98E^{CAAX}* is able to revert the effects of the *PKC98E* loss of function mutant on ORCO phosphorylation and restore naive olfactory neuron responsiveness.

Finally, while *PKC98E^{CAAX}* is capable of rescuing the naive response defects in the *PKC98E conditional* background, because it is unregulated it might be defective for restoring slow desensitization to prolonged odorant exposure. We compared the responses of wild-type controls and *PKC98E conditional* mutants expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}* with and without a prolonged odor preexposure (Fig. 7G,H). Remarkably, we find that the flies expressing the constitutive kinase fail to reduce olfactory neuron responsiveness on prolonged odorant stimulation.

Discussion

We have identified PKC98E as the primary kinase responsible for the phosphorylation of ORCO at S289. Loss of the kinase activity in olfactory neurons impairs the naive peak odorant-induced responses in olfactory neurons. This phenotype is similar to that of wild-type olfactory neurons following prolonged odorant exposure and flies unable to phosphorylate ORCO at S289. The loss of responsiveness in all three cases is correlated with impaired phosphorylation of ORCO at S289.

ORCO is likely to be a direct phosphorylation target of PKC98E, based on co-location of both factors in the olfactory neuron dendrites, loss of ORCO phosphorylation in the *PKC98E^{FRT} conditional* mutants, rescue of ORCO phosphorylation by expression of the PKC98E kinase domain using the *PKC98E^{CAAX}* allele, and the fact that ORCO S289 is predicted to be a direct PKC phosphorylation target (Blom et al., 1999). It is possible that PKC98E regulates ORCO indirectly, with PKC98E regulating another kinase that phosphorylates ORCO. However, this is unlikely given no other kinase expressed in the antenna had a similar defect in the RNAi experiments.

Interestingly, we observe a residual amount of phospho-ORCO S289 in the *PKC98E^{FRT} conditional* mutants. A different kinase might be capable of phosphorylating ORCO at S289, or perhaps some PKC98E enzyme persists in the conditional mutant that is not readily detectable with our antiserum. It seems reasonable to speculate that the differences in the dose–response curves between these *ORCO^{S289A}* and the *PKC98E conditional* mutants is because of the residual phosphorylation we observe.

Different members of the *Drosophila* PKC family have been previously implicated in regulating insect odorant responses through ORCO phosphorylation (Sargsyan et al., 2011; Getahun et al., 2016). These studies concluded PKC activity enhances ORCO sensitivity and that ORCO phosphorylation increases on odorant stimulation (Getahun et al., 2013). We concur with the conclusion that ORCO phosphorylation enhances ORCO receptor sensitivity, but subsequent work has shown that ORCO

phosphorylation is reduced on odorant exposure at the critical residue S289 (Guo et al., 2017). The effect of PKC activator and inhibitor drugs (including the PKC α inhibitor Go6976) on ORCO phosphorylation expressed in tissue culture cells or infused in to sensillum lymph supported the notion that a PKC was involved (Sargsyan et al., 2011). However, the PKC inhibitor Go6976, is only active against calcium dependent PKCs and is not expected to have activity against PKC98E that lacks the calcium binding C2 domain. Furthermore, these studies focused on flies carrying transposon insertions near the PKC53E and δ PKC kinase genes (Getahun et al., 2016). Neither transposon disrupts the coding sequence of the kinase gene (Thurmond et al., 2019), thus it is not clear that these transposons affect expression of the kinase genes. Here, we find no phenotype associated with RNAi knock-down of either of these PKCs in the olfactory neurons. Instead, our data supports the idea that PKC98E is the major kinase responsible for ORCO phosphorylation.

PKC98E was first identified in *Drosophila* over three decades ago (Schaeffer et al., 1989). This kinase is a member of the nPKC subtype that is thought to be activated by diacylglycerol (DAG) and phosphatidylserine (PS), but not calcium. PS and DAG activate the kinase through interactions with the regulatory C1 domain, releasing inhibition by the pseudosubstrate near the N terminus of the protein that maintains the kinase in an inactive form in the absence of activators (Johnson et al., 2000; Steinberg, 2008). The C1 domain functions as a calcium-independent lipid binding motif with high affinity to PS, a known PKC activator, while the C2 domain in classical PKCs binds lipids in a calcium-dependent manner (Johnson et al., 2000). PKC98E has the PS binding C1 domain, but the calcium-independent version of the C2 domain present in nPKCs (Nalefski and Falke, 1996). Indeed, calcium activation of the ORCO S289 kinase would be counterproductive because removal of the phosphate at S289 on neuronal activation is essential for slow desensitization (Guo et al., 2017). PKC98E^{CAAX} is able to phosphorylate ORCO at S289 and restore peak sensitivity to naive olfactory neurons in PKC98E FRT conditional mutants, but is defective for slow desensitization, consistent with the constitutively activated kinase impairing the ability of the olfactory neurons to effectively dephosphorylate Orco at S289. Our current model is that

Figure 5. PKC98E conditional mutants are defective for ORCO^{S289} phosphorylation *in vivo*. **A–D**, Representative images showing the phosphorylation of ORCO^{S289} in the (**A**) *w¹¹¹⁸* control, (**B**) *orco²* mutants that lack ORCO, (**C**) *PKC98E FRT*, and (**D**) *PKC98E FRT* conditional mutants (*pORCO-GAL4; PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP*). Arrowheads point to representative olfactory neuron dendrites. **E**, The phosphorylation of ORCO^{S289} is strikingly reduced in *PKC98E FRT* conditional mutants ($p = 0.009$) and *orco²* ($p = 1.24 \times 10^{-5}$) compared with wild-type controls ($p = 0.009$), but not in *PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP* controls that lack the GAL4 driver ($p = 0.41$); $n = 5–10$, analysis by one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test; $***p < 0.01$ as compared with *w¹¹¹⁸* control. **F–I**, Representative images showing total ORCO localization in the control and conditional mutants. Arrowheads denote representative olfactory neuron dendrites. **J**, The quantification shows the overall ORCO localization in olfactory neuron dendrites is not significantly different among the genotypes except for the *orco²* mutant that lacks ORCO ($n = 15$; $p = 0.75$ between wild-type and *PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP*, and $p = 0.59$ between wild-type and *PKC98E conditional*, $p = 2.77 \times 10^{-6}$ between *w¹¹¹⁸* and *orco²* mutant) by one-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test.

Figure 6. PKC98E FRT conditional mutant has reduced responsiveness to cVA. **A**, Sample trace for Or67d neurons from *w¹¹¹⁸*, *PKC98E FRT* conditional mutants (*pORCO-GAL4; PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP*), and *Orco^{S289A}* flies (*pORCO-GAL4/UAS-Orco^{S289A}, orco²*) to 1% cVA. Stimulus duration (300 ms) is indicated by the gray bar. **B**, Dose–response curve for cVA odor sensitivity for *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E FRT* conditional mutant and *Orco^{S289A}* flies ($n \geq 5$). The sigmoidal curve for Δ spikes was plotted for different concentration of cVA with Hill fitting for the genotypes described. Error bars represent SEMs. One-way ANOVA analysis with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test was done between control (*w¹¹¹⁸*), *PKC98E FRT* conditional, and *Orco^{S289A}* flies; $***p < 0.05$, $***p < 0.01$ (p values between *PKC98E FRT* conditional mutant and *w¹¹¹⁸* control flies are $p = 0.3121, 0.83454, 0.65152, 0.52124, 0.041, 0.032, 0.044, 0.0015, \text{ and } 4.36 \times 10^{-4}$ for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA, respectively; p values comparing *Orco^{S289A}* flies and *w¹¹¹⁸* are 0.21764, 0.97444, 0.42962, 0.00189, 0.00272, 0.00109, 4.42 $\times 10^{-4}$, 7.05×10^{-5} , and 2.39×10^{-5} for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA, respectively). The ANOVA comparison was also done between *PKC98E FRT* conditional and *Orco^{S289A}*, $+++p < 0.05$, $+++p < 0.01$ (p values are 0.86982, 0.79244, 0.88431, 0.20003, 0.0144, 0.12009, 0.01867, 0.00879, and 0.00427 for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA, respectively).

Figure 7. Expression of *PKC98E^{CAAX}* in *PKC98E* conditional mutants restores sensitivity and ORCO S289 phosphorylation. **A–C**, Examples of anti-phospho-ORCO on **(A)** wild-type control (*w¹¹¹⁸*), **(B)** *PKC98E* FRT conditional mutants, **(C)** *PKC98E* FRT conditional mutants expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (*pORCO-GAL4, UAS PKC98E^{CAAX}, PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP*). **D**, Quantitation of olfactory neuron dendrite fluorescence using phospho-S289 antiserum for the three genotypes; *n* = 5–10; ****p* < 0.01 (*p* = 1.23×10^{-5} for *PKC98E* FRT conditional and *w¹¹¹⁸* control); +++*p* < 0.01 (*p* = 4.54×10^{-5} for *PKC98E* FRT conditional and *PKC98E^{CAAX}* rescue); *p* values were not significant between *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (*p* = 0.396). **E**, Sample traces for *w¹¹¹⁸*, *PKC98E* FRT conditional, and *PKC98E* FRT conditional mutants expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}* to 1% cVA. **F**, Dose–response curve to cVA for *w¹¹¹⁸*, *PKC98E* FRT conditional, and *PKC98E* FRT conditional expressing *PKC98E^{CAAX}*; *n* = 5–10. The sigmoidal curve for Δ spikes was plotted for different concentration of cVA with Hill fitting for the genotypes described; ***p* < 0.05, ****p* < 0.01 (one-way ANOVA *p* values between *PKC98E* FRT conditional and *w¹¹¹⁸* for 0.01%, 0.03%, 0.1%, 0.3%, 1%, 3%, 10%, 30%, and 100% cVA are 0.530, 0.038, 0.011, 0.802, 0.003, 5.17×10^{-5} , 0.002, 0.009, and 0.017, respectively). ANOVA comparison between *PKC98E* FRT conditional and *PKC98E^{CAAX}* for the same cVA dilutions are 0.950, 0.090, 0.007, 0.214, 0.001, 0.004, 0.009, 0.007 and 0.011, and between *PKC98E^{CAAX}* and *w¹¹¹⁸* are all below significance (*p* = 0.445, 0.441, 0.896, 0.422, 0.345, 0.163, 0.128, 0.260, and 0.618 for cVA doses, respectively). **G**, **H**, Dominant *PKC98E* flies are defective in desensitization. **G**, Representative responses of *w¹¹¹⁸* and *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (*pORCO-GAL4, UAS-PKC98E^{CAAX}, PKC98E FRT, UAS-FLP*) to 1% cVA with and without cVA preexposure. **H**, Desensitization of *w¹¹¹⁸* (left) and *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (right). Black bars represent without preexposure to cVA. Gray bars represent responses after a 1-h cVA exposure. Preexposure significantly reduces cVA responses of *w¹¹¹⁸* (*p* = 0.001, two-tailed Student's *t* test, *n* = 10), but does not significantly affect *PKC98E^{CAAX}* (*p* = 0.101, two-tailed Student's *t* test, *n* = 10). *PKC98E^{CAAX}* shows impaired response reduction (interaction $F_{(1,36)} = 4.605$, *p* = 0.039, two-way ANOVA); ***p* < 0.01. Data are mean $\pm$ SEM, ns, not significant.

calcium entry results in activation of a phosphatase, and inhibition of PKC98E activity. An intriguing possibility is that PKC98E is regulated by PS in the cytoplasmic leaflet of the dendrites. Mutants defective for *dATP8B*, a PS translocase localized to the olfactory neuron dendrites (Ha et al., 2014), has modulatory defects similar to those of the *PKC98E* conditional mutants (Ha et al., 2014; Liu et al., 2014; Guo et al., 2017). Future studies will explore the potential link between PS localization and PKC98E regulation in these neurons.

Most neurons show decaying neuronal activity in response to prolonged stimulation (Benda, 2021). Vertebrate Ors are G-protein-coupled receptors (Buck and Axel, 1991) that trigger

cAMP-mediated opening of cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channels through activation of the G-protein, G_{olf} (Jones and Reed, 1989) and adenylate cyclase III (Pfeuffer et al., 1989; Wong et al., 2000). Calcium influx is a prominent feature of opening these channels. Sustained exposure to an odorant results in desensitization of olfactory neurons, and loss of odorant perception. Desensitization in vertebrate olfactory neurons involves a number of calcium-dependent events that alter the activity of olfactory signaling components. For example, calcium entry into the vertebrate olfactory cilia triggers activation of calmodulin kinase II (CamII) to phosphorylate several important factors, including adenylate cyclase to inhibit cAMP production (Kurahashi and Menini, 1997; Wei et al., 1998) and activate the phosphodiesterase responsible for degrading cAMP in the olfactory neurons (Borisy et al., 1992; Boekhoff et al., 1996; Kurahashi and Menini, 1997). These calcium-dependent feedback mechanisms operate to produce desensitization in vertebrate olfactory neurons.

The slow desensitization in *Drosophila* olfactory neurons is distinct from previously reported desensitization mechanisms that operate over millisecond or second time scales (Das et al., 2011; Nagel and Wilson, 2011; Martelli et al., 2013; Cao et al., 2016; Gorur-Shandilya et al., 2017; Martelli and Fiala, 2019). Importantly, slow desensitization is important for chemotactic behaviors, as *ORCO^{S289A}* mutants have impaired chemotaxis to food traps, and unlike wild-type flies, fail to avoid odorant traps containing the same odor as a preexposure (Guo et al., 2017). We suspect this mechanism is important for the ability to identify food sources in the presence of a pervasive background food odor. Regulating the shared Or subunit ORCO is an elegant mechanism to enable an olfactory neuron to undergo modulation, regardless of the tuning receptor subunit expressed by that cell. Dephosphorylation of ORCO at S289 and slow desensitization occurs on extended odorant stimulation, or by activation of the neurons using channelrhodopsin in the absence of odorants (Guo et al., 2017). Therefore, this modulatory mechanism is triggered by neuronal activity, regardless of how the neuron is depolarized. One explanation is that calcium entry into these neurons triggers activation of a phosphatase to remove phosphate at ORCO S289. The identity of the ORCO phosphatase is unknown. If substantiated, this would reveal that vertebrate and invertebrate olfactory sensitivity may both be modulated

unit expressed by that cell. Dephosphorylation of ORCO at S289 and slow desensitization occurs on extended odorant stimulation, or by activation of the neurons using channelrhodopsin in the absence of odorants (Guo et al., 2017). Therefore, this modulatory mechanism is triggered by neuronal activity, regardless of how the neuron is depolarized. One explanation is that calcium entry into these neurons triggers activation of a phosphatase to remove phosphate at ORCO S289. The identity of the ORCO phosphatase is unknown. If substantiated, this would reveal that vertebrate and invertebrate olfactory sensitivity may both be modulated

by calcium influxes but executed by divergent enzymatic programs.

References

- Benda J (2021) Neural adaptation. *Curr Biol* 31:R110–R119.
- Benton R, Vannice KS, Gomez-Diaz C, Vosshall LB (2009) Variant ionotropic glutamate receptors as chemosensory receptors in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 136:149–162.
- Berger BM, Kim JH, Hildebrandt ER, Davis IC, Morgan MC, Hougland JL, Schmidt WK (2018) Protein isoprenylation in yeast targets COOH-terminal sequences not adhering to the CaaX consensus. *Genetics* 210:1301–1316.
- Blom N, Gammeltoft S, Brunak S (1999) Sequence and structure-based prediction of eukaryotic protein phosphorylation sites. *J Mol Biol* 294:1351–1362.
- Boekhoff I, Kroner C, Breer H (1996) Calcium controls second-messenger signalling in olfactory cilia. *Cell Signal* 8:167–171.
- Borisy FF, Ronnett GV, Cunningham AM, Juilfs D, Beavo J, Snyder SH (1992) Calcium/calmodulin-activated phosphodiesterase expressed in olfactory receptor neurons. *J Neurosci* 12:915–923.
- Brand A, Perrimon N (1993) Targeted gene expression as a means of altering cell fates and generating dominant phenotypes. *Development* 118:401–415.
- Buck L, Axel R (1991) A novel multigene family may encode odorant receptors: a molecular basis for odor recognition. *Cell* 65:175–187.
- Cao LH, Jing BY, Yang D, Zeng X, Shen Y, Tu Y, Luo DG (2016) Distinct signaling of *Drosophila* chemoreceptors in olfactory sensory neurons. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:E902–E911.
- Clyne PJ, Warr CG, Freeman MR, Lessing D, Kim J, Carlson JR (1999) A novel family of divergent seven-transmembrane proteins: candidate odorant receptors in *Drosophila*. *Neuron* 22:327–338.
- Couto A, Alenius M, Dickson BJ (2005) Molecular, anatomical, and functional organization of the *Drosophila* olfactory system. *Curr Biol* 15:1535–1547.
- Das S, Sadanandappa MK, Dervan A, Larkin A, Lee JA, Sudhakaran IP, Priya R, Heidari R, Holohan EE, Pimentel A, Gandhi A, Ito K, Sanyal S, Wang JW, Rodrigues V, Ramaswami M (2011) Plasticity of local GABAergic interneurons drives olfactory habituation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:E646–E654.
- Elmore T, Ignell R, Carlson JR, Smith DP (2003) Targeted mutation of a *Drosophila* odor receptor defines receptor requirement in a novel class of sensillum. *J Neurosci* 23:9906–9912.
- Fain GL, Matthews HR, Cornwall MC, Koutalos Y (2001) Adaptation in vertebrate photoreceptors. *Physiol Rev* 81:117–151.
- FlyBase Consortium (1999) The FlyBase database of the *Drosophila* genome projects and community literature. *Nucleic Acids Res* 27:85–88.
- Getahun MN, Wicher D, Hansson BS, Olsson SB (2012) Temporal response dynamics of *Drosophila* olfactory sensory neurons depends on receptor type and response polarity. *Front Cell Neurosci* 6:54.
- Getahun MN, Olsson SB, Lavista-Llanos S, Hansson BS, Wicher D (2013) Insect odorant response sensitivity is tuned by metabotropically autoregulated olfactory receptors. *PLoS One* 8:e58889.
- Getahun MN, Thoma M, Lavista-Llanos S, Keesey I, Fandino RA, Knaden M, Wicher D, Olsson SB, Hansson BS (2016) Intracellular regulation of the insect chemoreceptor complex impacts odour localization in flying insects. *J Exp Biol* 219:3428–3438.
- Gorur-Shandilya S, Demir M, Long J, Clark DA, Emonet T (2017) Olfactory receptor neurons use gain control and complementary kinetics to encode intermittent odorant stimuli. *Elife* 6:e27670.
- Gratz SJ, Cummings AM, Nguyen JN, Hamm DC, Donohue LK, Harrison MM, Wildonger J, O'Connor-Giles KM (2013) Genome engineering of *Drosophila* with the CRISPR RNA-guided Cas9 nuclease. *Genetics* 194:1029–1035.
- Guo H, Kunwar K, Smith D (2017) Odorant receptor sensitivity modulation in *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci* 37:9465–9473.
- Ha TS, Xia R, Zhang H, Jin X, Smith DP (2014) Lipid flippase modulates olfactory receptor expression and odorant sensitivity in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 111:7831–7836.
- Hallem EA, Carlson JR (2004) The odor coding system of *Drosophila*. *Trends Genet* 20:453–459.
- Han C, Jan LY, Jan YN (2011) Enhancer-driven membrane markers for analysis of nonautonomous mechanisms reveal neuron-glia interactions in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 108:9673–9678.
- Johnson JE, Giorgione J, Newton AC (2000) The C1 and C2 domains of protein kinase C are independent membrane targeting modules, with specificity for phosphatidylserine conferred by the C1 domain. *Biochemistry* 39:11360–11369.
- Jones DT, Reed RR (1989) Golf: an olfactory neuron specific-G protein involved in odorant signal transduction. *Science* 244:790–795.
- Kato A, Touhara K (2009) Mammalian olfactory receptors: pharmacology, G protein coupling and desensitization. *Cell Mol Life Sci* 66:3743–3753.
- Kondo S, Ueda R (2013) Highly improved gene targeting by germline-specific Cas9 expression in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 195:715–721.
- Kurahashi T, Menini A (1997) Mechanism of odorant adaptation in the olfactory receptor cell. *Nature* 385:725–729.
- Larsson MC, Domingos AI, Jones WD, Chiappe ME, Amrein H, Vosshall LB (2004) Or83b encodes a broadly expressed odorant receptor essential for *Drosophila* olfaction. *Neuron* 43:703–714.
- Laughlin JD, Ha TS, Jones DN, Smith DP (2008) Activation of pheromone-sensitive neurons is mediated by conformational activation of pheromone-binding protein. *Cell* 133:1255–1265.
- Liu YC, Pearce MW, Honda T, Johnson TK, Charlu S, Sharma KR, Imad M, Burke RE, Zinsmaier KE, Ray A, Dahanukar A, de Bruyne M, Warr CG (2014) The *Drosophila melanogaster* phospholipid flippase dATP8B is required for odorant receptor function. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004209.
- Martelli C, Fiala A (2019) Slow presynaptic mechanisms that mediate adaptation in the olfactory pathway of *Drosophila*. *Elife* 8:e43735.
- Martelli C, Carlson JR, Emonet T (2013) Intensity invariant dynamics and odor-specific latencies in olfactory receptor neuron response. *J Neurosci* 33:6285–6297.
- Nagel KI, Wilson RI (2011) Biophysical mechanisms underlying olfactory receptor neuron dynamics. *Nat Neurosci* 14:208–216.
- Nalefski EA, Falke JJ (1996) The C2 domain calcium-binding motif: structural and functional diversity. *Protein Sci* 5:2375–2390.
- Olsen SR, Wilson RI (2008) Lateral presynaptic inhibition mediates gain control in an olfactory circuit. *Nature* 452:956–960.
- Pfeuffer E, Mollner S, Lancet D, Pfeuffer T (1989) Olfactory adenylyl cyclase. Identification and purification of a novel enzyme form. *J Biol Chem* 264:18803–18807.
- Pitts S, Peller E, Meeks J, Smith D (2016) Odorant responses and courtship behaviors influenced by at4 neurons in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One* 11:e0162761.
- Ronderos DS, Lin CC, Potter CJ, Smith DP (2014) Farnesol-detecting olfactory neurons in *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci* 34:3959–3968.
- Sargsyan V, Getahun MN, Llanos SL, Olsson SB, Hansson BS, Wicher D (2011) Phosphorylation via PKC regulates the function of the *Drosophila* odorant co-receptor. *Front Cell Neurosci* 5:5.
- Sato K, Pellegrino M, Nakagawa T, Nakagawa T, Vosshall LB, Touhara K (2008) Insect olfactory receptors are heteromeric ligand-gated ion channels. *Nature* 452:1002–1006.
- Schaeffer E, Smith D, Mardon G, Quinn W, Zuker CS (1989) Isolation and characterization of two new *Drosophila* protein kinase C genes, including one specifically expressed in photoreceptor cells. *Cell* 57:403–412.
- Schafer WR, Kim R, Sterne R, Thorner J, Kim SH, Rine J (1989) Genetic and pharmacological suppression of oncogenic mutations in ras genes of yeast and humans. *Science* 245:379–385.
- Smith DP, Ranganathan R, Hardy R, Marx J, Tsuchida T, Zuker C (1991) Photoreceptor deactivation and retinal degeneration mediated by a photoreceptor-specific protein kinase C. *Science* 254:1478–1484.
- Sommese RF, Ritt M, Swanson CJ, Sivaramakrishnan S (2017) The role of regulatory domains in maintaining autoinhibition in the multidomain kinase PKC α . *J Biol Chem* 292:2873–2880.

- Spradling AC, Rubin GM (1982) Transposition of cloned P elements into *Drosophila* germ line chromosomes. *Science* 218:341–347.
- Steinberg SF (2008) Structural basis of protein kinase C isoform function. *Physiol Rev* 88:1341–1378.
- Thurmond J, Goodman JL, Strelets VB, Attrill H, Gramates LS, Marygold SJ, Matthews BB, Millburn G, Antonazzo G, Trovisco V, Kaufman TC, Calvi BR; FlyBase Consortium (2019) FlyBase 2.0: the next generation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 47:D759–D765.
- Tremmel DM, Resad S, Little CJ, Wesley CS (2013) Notch and PKC are involved in formation of the lateral region of the dorso-ventral axis in *Drosophila* embryos. *PLoS One* 8:e67789.
- Vosshall LB, Amrein H, Morozov PS, Rzhetsky A, Axel R (1999) A spatial map of olfactory receptor expression in the *Drosophila* antenna. *Cell* 96:725–736.
- Wei J, Zhao AZ, Chan GC, Baker LP, Impey S, Beavo JA, Storm DR (1998) Phosphorylation and inhibition of olfactory adenylyl cyclase by CaM kinase II in neurons: a mechanism for attenuation of olfactory signals. *Neuron* 21:495–504.
- Wicher D, Schäfer R, Bauernfeind R, Stensmyr MC, Heller R, Heinemann SH, Hansson BS (2008) *Drosophila* odorant receptors are both ligand-gated and cyclic-nucleotide-activated cation channels. *Nature* 452:1007–1011.
- Wilson RI (2013) Early olfactory processing in *Drosophila*: mechanisms and principles. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 36:217–241.
- Wong ST, Trinh K, Hacker B, Chan GC, Lowe G, Gaggari A, Xia Z, Gold GH, Storm DR (2000) Disruption of the Type III adenylyl cyclase gene leads to peripheral and Behavioral anosmia in transgenic mice. *Neuron* 27:487–497.