SARS-CoV-2 Neuronal Invasion and Complications: Potential Mechanisms and Therapeutic Approaches
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Clinical reports suggest that the coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19) pandemic caused by severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS)-coronavirus-2 (CoV-2) has not only taken millions of lives, but has also created a major crisis of neurologic complications that persist even after recovery from the disease. Autopsies of patients confirm the presence of the coronaviruses in the CNS, especially in the brain. The invasion and transmission of SARS-CoV-2 in the CNS is not clearly defined, but, because the endocytic pathway has become an important target for the development of therapeutic strategies for COVID-19, it is necessary to understand endocytic processes in the CNS. In addition, mitochondria and mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathways play a critical role in the antiviral immune response, and may also be critical for endocytic activity. Furthermore, dysfunctions of mitochondria and mTOR signaling pathways have been associated with some high-risk conditions such as diabetes and immunodeficiency for developing severe complications observed in COVID-19 patients. However, the role of these pathways in SARS-CoV-2 infection and spread are largely unknown. In this review, we discuss the potential mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into the CNS and how mitochondria and mTOR pathways might regulate endocytic vesicle–mitochondria interactions and dynamics during SARS-CoV-2 infection. The mechanisms that plausibly account for severe neurologic complications with COVID-19 and potential treatments with Food and Drug Administration-approved drugs targeting mitochondria and the mTOR pathways are also addressed.
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Introduction

Coronaviruses (CoVs) are a group of viruses that cause severe respiratory diseases in humans, including severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS) and the current coronavirus disease-19 (COVID-19; Diaz, 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020; Yan et al., 2020). The current SARS-CoV-2 vaccines have been very useful in combating COVID-19. However, there is still concern about the vaccine being less effective in some older individuals with immunosenescence, immunocompromised patients, and patients receiving treatment with immunosuppressive agents (Ramasamy et al., 2020; Sonani et al., 2021). Therefore, there is still an urgent need to fully understand COVID-19 neuronal invasion and complications to facilitate clinical management, treatments, and mitigation of the spread of the virus.
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Patients with COVID-19 have been reported to exhibit neurologic symptoms, such as headache, nausea, brief loss of consciousness, loss of sense of smell and taste, strokes, confusion, brain inflammation encephalitis, meningitis, and seizures (Baig, 2020; Baig et al., 2020; Carod-Artal, 2020; Wu et al., 2020). Several studies of autopsies of patients infected with the SARS-CoV responsible for the 2003 SARS epidemic suggested that the virus was present in the hypothalamus and cortex (Hamming et al., 2004; Law et al., 2005; Tseng et al., 2007; Netland et al., 2008). Similarly, recent studies suggest that SARS-CoV-2 is present in the CNS in COVID-19 patients (Paniz-Mondolfi et al., 2020; Kumari et al., 2021). Although extensive studies have been performed on the invasion and transmission of CoVs in the respiratory system, not much is known about how these viruses invade and spread in the CNS.

CoVs enter the host cell using clathrin-mediated endocytosis (CME) that is triggered by the binding of the virion to host receptors, such as angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2), and to host proteases, such as transmembrane serine protease 2 (TMPRSS2; Hoffmann et al., 2020) or furin (Kielian, 2020) that are present in the secretory pathway and CME compartments. Neurons use a CME mechanism similar to that used in non-neuronal cells, and endocytosis at the synapses involves neuron-specific isoforms of the CME endocytic proteins (Rappoport et al., 2004, 2005). In addition to ACE2 and TMPRSS2, neuropilin is suggested to increase virus infection and spread in the CNS.
(Kielian, 2020). This suggests a different or modified CME-dependent mechanism of viral entry into neurons and other brain cells.

While contacts between CME vesicles and organelles such as the lysosome and endoplasmic reticulum are well established, the interaction between mitochondria and CME vesicles has only recently been reported (Cioni et al., 2019; Todkar et al., 2019). Recent reports suggest that impaired mitochondria function contributes to severe complications in COVID-19 patients (Li et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020; Malavolta et al., 2020), implying that mitochondria may play a key role in SARS-CoV-2 infection and spread. Recent studies also suggest that autophagy, which is tightly regulated by mitochondria and the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) signaling pathways (Scarffe et al., 2014; Zhao et al., 2015; Magalhaes et al., 2016), is an essential cellular process affected by SARS-CoV-2 infection (Appelberg et al., 2020; Maiese, 2020). CoVs have been shown to partially induce autophagy (Chen et al., 2014), but block the fusion of autophagosomes and lysosomes, thereby inhibiting the final stages of phagocytosis (Chen et al., 2014; Gassen et al., 2019). Since mitochondria and mTOR are essential in regulating autophagy, and dysfunction in these pathways is associated with many neurodegenerative and immunodeficiency disorders (Ryskalin et al., 2018), it is therefore necessary to understand the role of these processes in neurons during SARS-CoV-2 infection.

In this review, we will address potential mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into neurons and discuss how mitochondria and the mTOR pathways might regulate CME vesicle–mitochondria interactions and dynamics during SARS-CoV-2 infection in the CNS. The plausible mechanisms that account for severe neurologic complications with COVID-19 and potential treatments with some Federal Drug Administration-approved drugs targeting mitochondria and the mTOR pathways are discussed. We also propose studies to investigate the relevant pathophysiology of COVID-19 associated with neurologic complications and health, so that therapies to mitigate these effects can be developed.

**Possible role of mitochondria in CME SARS-CoV-2 replication and/or clearance**

Endocytosis-dependent host entry by CoV is triggered by binding of the virion to host receptors, such as ACE2, and TMPRSS2 (Fig. 1). TMPRSS2 then primes the viral spike protein (CoV-S) to allow viral entry into the host cell (Hamming et al., 2004; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020). The engagement of CoV-S with ACE2 causes adaptor proteins, such as AP2, to bind to clathrin to form a clathrin-coated pit (CCP). The CCP is further processed to form the virus-containing clathrin-coated vesicle (CCV), which then delivers its viral contents to early endosomes. The contents in early endosomes are sorted for the following two destinations: they are either retrieved for recycling to the secretory pathway or are delivered to the late endosome. In the late endosomes, the viral particles can escape into the cytosol and use the cellular machinery to synthesize viral proteins. Viral particles that do not escape are transferred to lysosomes via the fusion of the endosomes with lysosome to form endolysosomes for degradation (Magalhaes et al., 2016; Schultz et al.,...
LysoSomes are also required for the maturation and degradation stage of autophagy, via autophagosome–lysosome fusion. Thus, lysosomes and autophagy are key components of the endocytic pathway and may play a critical role in SARS-CoV-2 clearance.

Recently, mitochondria have been shown to interact with early endosomes (Li et al., 2015; Cioni et al., 2019; Todkar et al., 2019), and a growing number of studies have demonstrated delivery of specific proteins or molecules to mitochondria via endocytic trafficking (Cioni et al., 2019; Todkar et al., 2019). Other studies suggest that mitochondria–lysosome contacts allow the regulation of both mitochondrial and lysosomal functions (Wong et al., 2018; Bartel et al., 2019). Mitochondria and lysosomes are highly dynamic and dysfunction of both organelles has been associated with multiple diseases, (Abu-RemaiLeh et al., 2017; Wong et al., 2018). How mitochondria interactions with endosomes and lysosomes modulate degradation of the cargo of late endosomes remains largely unknown, but we hypothesize that mitochondria interaction with CME endosomes is critical for the degradative function of lysosomes (Fig. 1). Further studies to determine the possible cross talk among mitochondria, lysosomes, and endosomes that may create a robust network for degrading viral particles in the CME pathway will be important to develop therapeutic interventions to combat SARS-CoV-2 infection and spread.

Possible mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into the CNS

The tropism of CoV is determined by the presence of ACE2 and its accessory proteins, which are present on the cells of the lungs, kidneys, small intestines, airway, vascular endothelia (Hamming et al., 2004; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020) and the brain (Gallagher et al., 2006), including the substantia nigra, ventricles, and middle temporal gyrus (Xia and Lazartigues, 2008); astrocytes, glia, and oligodendrocytes (Chen et al., 2021). This raises the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 infection throughout the CNS. The potential mechanism of SARS-CoV-2 entry and spread in the CNS are discussed below according to the known routes of SARS-CoV-2 (Netland et al., 2008) and in some recent experimental studies specific for SARS-CoV-2 (Baig et al., 2020; Hasanagic and Serdarovic, 2020; Keyhanian et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Zanin et al., 2020).

How SARS-CoV-2 invades the CNS is not clear and remains to be established, but possible neuroinvasive mechanisms include hematologic spread, retrograde transport from the peripheral nervous system (PNS), and blood–brain barrier (BBB)-mediated spread. Studies on CoVs strongly favor retrograde neuronal transport as a viable route for viral invasion of the CNS (Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Li et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 can enter the CNS through direct infection of the olfactory peripheral nerve terminals and other cranial nerves followed by retrograde transport (Keyhanian et al., 2020; Zubair et al., 2020). Virus transport along axons can occur by microtubules, and transport across synapses can occur by vesicular release (Baig et al., 2020). In addition, ACE2 interaction with integrin β-1, which has been suggested to play a key role in neural functions such as myelination, axon guidance, and cell adhesion (Sardar et al., 2020), might play an important role in CoV-2 CNS entry and spread. This area of study should be considered to further understand how the ACE2 receptors in the CNS connect CoV-2 and neurologic manifestations (Baig, 2020; Ellul et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020).

ACE2 is expressed in the capillary endothelium of the BBB (Hamming et al., 2004), providing another access point for CoVs into the CNS (Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020; Zubair et al., 2020). It remains unclear whether SARS-CoV-2 can cross the BBB; however, a recent study showed that intravenously injected SARS-CoV-2 S1 protein crossed the BBB in mice and advanced to the parenchymal brain region. The S1 protein entry into the CNS via the BBB requires ACE2 (Rhea et al., 2021). This presents the possibility that SARS-CoV-2 infects ACE2-expressing vascular endothelial cells at the BBB to gain access to the CNS (Achar and Ghosh, 2020; Buzhdyyan et al., 2020; Pellegrini et al., 2020). SARS-CoV-2 could also infect leukocytes and enter the BBB via these cells. (Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020; Zubair et al., 2020). Furthermore, SARS-CoV-2-induced BBB damage may contribute to the neurologic disorders associated with COVID-19. Studies are needed to understand this phenomenon.

After crossing the BBB, the virus might be taken up by brain cells via CME. Neurons use a CME mechanism similar to that used in non-neuronal cells (Fig. 1); however, endocytosis at the synapses involves different isoforms of these endocytic proteins, including splice variants of clathrin light and heavy chains, auxilin, AP180, intersectin, and dynamin-1 (Rappoport et al., 2004, 2005). In respiratory tissues, TMPRSS2 (Hoffmann et al., 2020), human airway trypsin-like protease (HAT), and the pH-dependent cysteine proteases cathepsin B/L are important for virus infectivity. HAT and TMPRSS2 are coexpressed with the viral host receptor ACE2 in bronchial epithelial cells and pneumocytes (Lukassen et al., 2020). These proteases belong to the family of type II transmembrane serine proteases (TTSPs) that share a common domain structure, but also possess variable domains that allow them to perform different physiological functions in different tissues (Bugge et al., 2009; Damalanka andJanetka, 2019; Hoffmann et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020). The expression and activity of TTSPs in neurons are poorly characterized. It was previously shown that the CoV-S protein could only be cleaved and activated in host cells when coexpressed with TMPRSS2 (Hoffmann et al., 2020; Lukassen et al., 2020). Since TMPRSS2 expression is not detectable in the brain (Fagerberg et al., 2014), we hypothesize that different TTSPs might be involved in CoV-S protein activation during CME-dependent viral entry into neurons (Fig. 1). While both cathepsin and TMPRSS2/HAT can cleave and activate CoV-S, only TMPRSS2 activity seems to be required for viral spread in the host (Hoffmann et al., 2020). Other TTSPs such as TMPRSS1, TMPRSS3, and TMPRSS5 have been shown to be expressed in neurons (Bugge et al., 2009; Damalanka and Janetka, 2019), but have yet to be associated with SARS-CoV-2 infections. Further studies will be necessary to determine whether these TTSPs, and any other proteases expressed in the CNS, are necessary for SARS-CoV-2 infection in neurons. Identification of the CME components in the CNS (especially neurons) that are involved in SARS-CoV-2 entry will be critical for developing therapeutic interventions to prevent virus spread in the CNS and mitigate COVID-19 neurologic complications.
Neurologic manifestations

While there has been improvement in treatments of COVID-19 and mitigation of the spread by vaccination, there are still concerning reports of long-term neurologic sequelae that need urgent attention (Mao et al., 2020). The neurologic manifestations of COVID-19 vary extensively from patient to patient, and involve both the CNS and the PNS (Carod-Artal, 2020; Filatov et al., 2020; Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020; Wang et al., 2020). We aim to provide an update and discuss the mechanisms involved in the development of some of the COVID-19 neurologic manifestations.

PNS effects: anosmia, ageusia, and Guillain Barre syndrome

Some patients reported anosmia and ageusia. The presence of anosmia may be caused by the direct viral infection of the olfactory nerve. This may also result in retronasal olfactory dysfunction, which could cause ageusia. However, other possibilities for the cause of ageusia remain, such as the presence of ACE2 in oral cavity mucosa and tongue epithelia, or some direct effect of SARS-CoV-2 on gustatory receptors (Baig, 2020; Carod-Artal, 2020; Filatov et al., 2020; Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Kanjanaporn et al., 2020). Some patients present with Guillain-Barré syndrome, also known as acute inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (AIDP), or other peripheral nerve disorders, including acute motor and sensory axonal neuropathy (AMSAN) or acute motor axonal neuropathy (AMAN; Carod-Artal, 2020; Ellul et al., 2020). AIDP is suggested to be caused by an immune attack on the peripheral nerve myelin sheath, while AMSAN and AMAN are caused by an immune attack on peripheral nerve axons. The manifestations of these syndromes typically include muscle weakness, radicular or muscle pain, a reduction in reflexes, and a reduction in sensory abilities (Keyhanian et al., 2020). Guillain-Barré syndrome following SARS-CoV-2 infection may also be a result of a molecular mimicry mechanism (Yuki and Hartung, 2012), as SARS-CoV-2 may have epitopes (nucleocapsid and Orf1b Polyprotein) similar in structure to components of peripheral nerves, especially ganglioside peptides or heat shock proteins, which are associated with Guillain-Barré syndrome and other autoimmune diseases (Ang et al., 2004; Lucchese and Flöel, 2020). Thus, antibodies generated to combat SARS-CoV-2 may also bind to peripheral nerves and cause neuronal dysfunction (Ang et al., 2004; Yuki and Hartung, 2012; Kajumba et al., 2020; Lucchese and Flöel, 2020). However, detailed experimental data are needed to further understand the presence and mechanisms of anosmia, ageusia, and Guillain-Barré syndrome in COVID-19 patients.

Seizure, stroke, and neuroinflammation

Seizures exhibited by patients following SARS-CoV-2 infection may be a result of infection-induced hyperinflammation (see discussion below), as the release of proinflammatory cytokines can allow for seizures to more easily occur (Devinsky et al., 2013; Rana and Musto, 2018; Najjar et al., 2020). These seizures may also be caused by other neurologic manifestations of COVID-19, including ischemic or hemorrhagic strokes (Myint et al., 2006; Najjar et al., 2020).

Recent clinical studies also show that stroke in patients may be the result of cerebral vasculature dysfunction following infection (Mao et al., 2020). Stroke might be prevalent in patients with severe COVID-19 that have a history of coagulation disorders. These coagulation disorders can increase the risk of thrombotic events and death (Myint et al., 2006; Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020). Abnormal coagulation and thrombosis induced by the presence of SARS-CoV-2 have been observed in some COVID-19 patients (Levi et al., 2020), and these pathologies may also cause stroke and other neurologic disorders. Acute myocarditis, which has also been reported in cases of COVID-19, may also cause stroke through its promotion of brain embolization (Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Inciardi et al., 2020; Sala et al., 2020).

In addition, some COVID-19 patients present with encephalopathy, including acute hemorrhagic necrotizing encephalopathy. The main manifestations of encephalopathy are impaired attention and arousal, resulting in delirium, confusion, lethargy, or coma (Frontera, 2012; Zubair et al., 2020). Some toxic and metabolic factors that can increase the risk of COVID-19-related encephalopathy are hyponatremia (an abnormally low sodium concentration in the blood), hypernatremia (an abnormally high concentration of sodium in the blood), hypocalcemia (an abnormally low concentration of calcium in the blood), hypercalcemia (an abnormally high concentration of calcium in the blood), hypoglycemia (an abnormally low concentration of glucose in the blood), hyperglycemia (an abnormally high concentration of glucose in the blood), renal dysfunction, and liver dysfunction (Krishnan et al., 2014; Mehta et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020).

Psychiatric manifestations

A study by Mazza et al. (2020) found that 55% of a cohort of 402 COVID-19 patients presented with at least one psychiatric disorder. Some of these manifestations include delirium, cognitive impairments, mood alterations, anxiety disorders, depression, suicidal ideations, post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD), insomnia, psychosis, and schizophrenia (Brown et al., 2020; Keyhanian et al., 2020; Mazza et al., 2020). In other clinical reports, COVID-19 patients have been shown to develop hypokinetic delirium (Rozzini et al., 2020). Self-rated symptoms in the psychopathological range include 30% for PTSD, 40% for depression, 40% for anxiety, 20% for obsessive-compulsive disorder symptoms, and 40% for insomnia (Mazza et al., 2020). A study by Brown et al. (2020) found that ~4% of COVID-19 patients presented with psychosis. The neuroinflammation and hypoxia characteristic of COVID-19 may lead to psychiatric sequelae (Sultana and Ananthapur, 2020). Cognitive impairments may also be a result of encephalopathy or neuroinflammation. However, the psychiatric sequelae of COVID-19 may be a result of psychosocial factors such as social distancing and economic recession. The latter reason may explain the rise of mental health disorders in both the infected and noninfected populations (Dantzer, 2018; Baig, 2020; Baig et al., 2020; Brown et al., 2020; Sultana and Ananthapur, 2020; Zubair et al., 2020). Another commonly occurring complication is impaired consciousness (Ahmed et al., 2014; Filatov et al., 2020; Najjar et al., 2020; Pennisi et al., 2020; Zubair et al., 2020). The COVID-19 neurologic complications keep evolving, and there is an urgent need for treatments for patients even after recovering from the infection.

Mitochondria and immune response to SARS-CoV-2

Because of widely distributed axonal network and dense synaptic connections, neurons require high bioenergy production, suggesting a high degree of mitochondrial activity (Kim et al., 2013; Ando et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2017). Many neurons are vulnerable to degeneration because of the substantial mitochondrial stress (Scarfe et al., 2014). Thus, maintaining healthy active
Mitochondria is critical for neuronal survival, and targeting mitochondria for COVID-19 therapeutic treatment could potentially be a major contributor in preventing neuronal complications associated with COVID-19 (Malavolta et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020).

The CoV open reading frame 9 (ORF-9) protein has been shown to interact with mitochondrial outer membrane receptors, including mitochondrial antiviral signaling systems (MAVS; Shi et al., 2014) and the translocase of the outer mitochondrial membrane (TOM) complex (Miserey-Lenkei et al., 2021). These CoV interacting mitochondrial proteins function as viral recognition receptors in the cytosol and at the mitochondria during viral replication (Malavolta et al., 2020). At the mitochondria, ORF-9 triggers the degradation of MAVS and Drp1, a protein involved in mitochondrial fission, leading to mitochondrial hyperpolarization (Shi et al., 2014). CoV ORF-9 is also suggested to induce the release of mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) and to activate mtDNA-induced inflammasome (Singh et al., 2020), limiting the initial host cell innate immune antiviral response (Shi et al., 2014; Fitzpatrick, 2019; Gordon et al., 2020). Thus, people with health conditions (e.g., type 2 diabetes, Parkinson’s disease, and Alzheimer’s disease) associated with declined mitochondrial functions and/or mitochondrial stress (Dawson and Dawson, 2017; Cenini and Voos, 2019) may be vulnerable to SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19 health complications to mortality.

Mitochondrial ROS-dependent oxidative stress and hyperinflammation

Mitochondrial functions include oxidative phosphorylation, intracellular calcium and iron regulation, ATP synthesis, regulation of reactive oxygen species (ROS), and apoptosis (Kim et al., 2013; Roca-Agujetas et al., 2019). Several recent clinical reports and studies link increased progression of COVID-19 to hyperinflammatory states involving major systemic distress that may impact mitochondrial function and contribute to the progression and severity of the disease (Najjar et al., 2020; Terrazzano et al., 2020). In addition, oxidative stress has been associated with inflammation and the antiviral immune response (Roca-Agujetas et al., 2019; Korakas et al., 2020). Despite its critical role in regulating innate immunity and inflammatory responses, the role of mitochondria in COVID-19 pathogenesis and management is not well characterized.

We suggest that one of the major source of ROS that may be linked to cellular oxidative stress in COVID-19 is the mitochondria (Rossman et al., 2018; Roca-Agujetas et al., 2019). The increased levels of mitochondrial ROS during viral infection are induced by excessive production of inflammatory cytokines such as tumor necrosis factor (TNF)-α, interferon (IFN)-γ, interleukin (IL)-6, and IL-10 (Singer, 2014) that are found in COVID-19 patient serum (Korakas et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020). The early state of viral infection consists of an initial acute hyperinflammatory phase to kill and control the virus spread. The entry of virus induces mitochondria to release ROS, which stimulate proinflammatory cytokine production to help fight the virus. In healthy individuals, the acute hyperinflamma tory phase is followed by an immune-tolerant phase to clear viral particles and cellular recovery (Singer, 2014; Fitzpatrick, 2019).

Mitochondria can be very vulnerable during the hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis, which involves increased oxygen consumption, elevated ATP production, hyperglycemia, stimulation of increased cytokine production recovery (Singer, 2014; Fitzpatrick, 2019). The high bioenergy demand during the hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis suggests a high degree of mitochondrial stress (Kim et al., 2013; Ando et al., 2017; Scott et al., 2017). In addition, innate immune cells prolonging the hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis can cause excessive mitochondrial stress and eventually cell death. The immune-tolerant phase is characterized by a reduced inflammatory response and increased mitochondrial biogenesis as cells enter into a hypometabolic state with reduced oxygen consumption and ATP production (Frontera, 2012; Singer, 2014; Fitzpatrick, 2019; Mehta et al., 2020).

However, COVID-19 patients with dysfunctional mitochondria are likely to exhibit a prolonged hyperinflammatory phase of sepsis, which may cause increased production of proinflammatory cytokines resulting in increased cell death (Mehta et al., 2020). The ROS-induced mitochondrial stress negatively affects mitochondrial metabolism and ATP synthesis and increases mitochondrial fragmentation (Scott et al., 2017; Ge et al., 2020). Thus, high-risk COVID-19 patients may be more susceptible to the spread of the virus because the dysfunctional mitochondria are unable to keep up with the sudden high energy demand associated with the prolonged hyperinflammatory phase. Cells with dysfunctional mitochondria may also have an impaired immune-tolerant phase repair responses, as well as reduced responsiveness to treatments (Conti et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020). Thus, the interplay between inflammation and mitochondrial ROS-dependent oxidative stress are important for regulating inflammatory and antiviral immune responses.

Excess ROS production can be counteracted by antioxidants, such as vitamins C and E, as well as redox-active formulas like the mitochondrial-targeted antioxidant MitoQ (Apostolova and Victor, 2015). MitoQ improves cellular function by reducing mitochondrial ROS and ameliorates ROS-associated complications in animal models (Rossman et al., 2018). It also has the potential to inactivate the immunocompromised state that plays a detrimental role in the viral pathway of COVID-19. Careful consideration will be necessary to evaluate these drugs in COVID-19 patients.

Iron and mitochondrial dysfunction

The role of iron in mitochondrial dysfunction is well characterized, and there is evidence that hyperferritinemia is directly associated with COVID-19 severity (Najjar et al., 2020). Alterations in iron and ferritin levels have been established as COVID-19 biomarkers to determine illness severity and outcomes of the disease (Gómez-Pastora et al., 2020; Perricone et al., 2020). Similar to ROS, iron-mediated oxidative stress plays an important role in the mitochondria-dependent immunity response; disruption of iron levels or mitochondrial iron metabolism can result in cellular stress and death (Ellul et al., 2020; Gómez-Pastora et al., 2020; Perricone et al., 2020). High levels of ferritin impair mitochondrial functions by reducing mitochondrial oxygen consumption and increasing ROS levels and cellular stress. Elevated levels of iron in COVID-19 patients interfere with cellular integrity, including membrane fluidity and permeability, and impair cellular respiratory function in alveolar and cardiac myocytes, which leads to respiratory failure and eventually cellular death (Zhou et al., 2020). The current clinical reports further confirm that SARS-CoV-2 is capable of triggering a form of programmed cell death, ferroptosis, that depends on iron accumulation in the bronchial epithelium and in macrophages via hyperferritinemia (Gómez-Pastora et al., 2020; Hanff et al., 2020; Najjar et al., 2020; Perricone et al., 2020).
Iron depletion therapy as potential treatment for COVID-19
Recent reports suggest that neurons are also vulnerable to ferropo-
tosis, and that this might be one of the major causes of the neuro-
logic manifestations of COVID-19 (Carod-Artal, 2020; Filatov et al.,
2020; Guadarrama-Ortiz et al., 2020; Mao et al., 2020). We there-
fore propose iron depletion therapy, in addition to other therapeu-
tic approaches, for COVID-19 patients, especially those in the
intensive care unit. An iron depletion therapy for COVID-
19 treatment using clinically approved chelating agents, such as
deferoxamine, deferiprone, and deferasirox (van Asbeck et al.,
2001; Traore and Meyer, 2004; Temraz et al., 2014), should be
investigated. These chelating agents have been shown to effec-
tively promote ferritin degradation in lysosomes or to chelate cy-
tosolic iron for degradation by proteasomes. Furthermore, this
therapeutic approach has been shown to have beneficial effects
on other viral infections (van Asbeck et al., 2001; Traore and
Meyer, 2004; Temraz et al., 2014). However, an analysis of the
pharmacodynamic mechanisms of the treatments with these che-
lating agents should be carefully considered to avoid exploita-
tion of the iron chelators by the virus, as observed in some studies
(Lehmann et al., 2015).

mTOR, mitochondria–lysosome contacts in SARS-
CoV-2 clearance
Malfunction of the mTOR pathway has been implicated in sev-
eral neurologic disorders and has emerged as a key target in the
search for drug targets for COVID-19 (Appelberg et al., 2020;
Bolourian and Mojtahedi, 2020; Tang et al., 2021). mTOR is a
ubiquitous and highly conserved protein kinase that associates
with several proteins to achieve kinase activity through two dis-
tinct complexes, complex 1 (mTORC1) and complex 2
(mTORC2). The mTORC1 pathway is key in the regulation of
neuronal function, growth, and other cellular processes, such as
autophagy, related to virus clearance (Franz and Krueger, 2018;
Ryskalin et al., 2018; Schubert-Bast et al., 2019). In addition to
regulating cell survival, mTOR is suggested to have roles in cyto-
skeleton organization and cell migration (Jain et al., 2014;
Bockaert and Marin, 2015; Abu-Remalih et al., 2017; Ryskalin
et al., 2018; Perucca and Perucca, 2019). Recent studies suggest
that mTOR plays an important role in mitochondria–lysosome
contacts that allow bidirectional regulation of both mitochon-
drial and lysosomal dynamics (Wong et al., 2018; Bartel et al.,
2019). The mitochondria–lysosome contact is also suggested to
play a key role in mTOR-dependent autophagy (Efeyan et al.,
2013; Zhao et al., 2015; Ryskalin et al., 2018). Neurons with
autophagic lysosome reformation dysfunction are unable to
maintain functional lysosomes required for autophagic clearance
(Magalhaes et al., 2016). The role of mTOR in controlling virus
spread is not well documented, but hypotheses that patients
with mTOR-mediated lysosome reformation dysfunction are
likely to have increased virus spread in the CNS, and this may
result in severe neurologic complications. An investigation into
the possibility of SARS-CoV-2 manipulating the mTOR-medi-
atied mitochondria–lysosome contacts should lead to novel
approaches to prevent and treat COVID-19.

Potential inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 cap-dependent
translation modulated by mTORC1
CoVs protein synthesis is dependent on the hijacking of host cell
cap-dependent translation mechanisms, and virus replication
may affect mRNA translation and stability, impacting protein
translation (Nakagawa et al., 2016). In the 5’ cap-dependent
translation initiation process, the binding of ribosome complex
to the 5’ end of mRNA is critical for protein synthesis. The eu-
karyotic initiation factor (eIF4F) complex assembles at the 5’ end
of mRNA to mediate the proper binding of ribosomal subunits to
mRNA. Given that coronavirus mRNAs have a 5’ cap struc-
ture, most coronaviruses are believed to undergo cap-dependent
translation using eIF4F (Appelberg et al., 2020). Consistent with
this, studies have found that blocking eIF4F assembly and activ-
ity by preventing the binding of the initiation factors that make
up the complex (eIF4E and eIF4G) suppress human coronavi-
rus-229E replication (Cencic et al., 2011). Thus, SARS-CoV-2
replication might be inhibited by blocking the assembly of the
eIF4F complex.

The assembly of the eIF4F complex is modulated by the
mTORC1 pathway. Specifically, mTORC1 modulates the activa-
tion of eIF4F by directly phosphorylating both 4EBP and the ki-
nase S6K1. The phosphorylated 4EBP dissociates from eIF4E,
thereby allowing eIF4F complex assembly (Sancak et al., 2008;
Lawrence et al., 2018; Maiese, 2020). In addition, the phosphor-
ylated S6K1 activates other substrates involved in translation ini-
tiation such as eIF4B, which promotes binding of the eIF4F
complex to mRNA. Thus, therapeutic approaches (Kumar et al.,
2021) to selectively inhibit these processes could potentially block
5’ cap-dependent mRNA translation-dependent SARS-CoV-2
replication.

mTOR/AK/MAPK as a therapeutic target for COVID-19
In more recent studies, dysregulated mTOR activity has been
observed in COVID-19 patients with hyperactivation of the immune
system response, which further calls for the need to effective-
ly inhibit mTOR pathways in COVID-19 patients (Terrazzano et al.,
2020). In fact, the mTOR pathway has been linked to the develop-
ment of many viral diseases in vitro. For example, Kindrachuk et al.
(2015) suggest that ERK/MAPK and PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling are
crucial to the pathogenesis of the Middle East Respiratory Syndrome
(MERS)-CoV. In that study, treating cells with mTOR inhibitors
inhibited MERS-CoV infection by 60% (Kindrachuk et al., 2015). Furth-
more, a study by Appelberg et al. (2020) found that the SARS-CoV-2
can modulate the Akt–mTOR–HIF signaling pathway at various levels
to promote its infection. Other studies have implicated the PI3K–
Akt–mTOR pathway in the activation of type I IFNs, which are
cytokines that regulate antiviral immunity.

Because of their roles in promoting the proliferation of proin-
flammatory cytokines, mTOR inhibition and p53 activation have
been suggested as effective methods of controlling hyperinflam-
mation in COVID-19 patients (Ramaiah, 2020). The mTOR–
NLRP3–IL–1β pathway is suggested to be associated with the
cytokine storm via IL-6, a cytokine that is associated with a more
severe prognosis in COVID-19 patients (Korakas et al., 2020;
Mehta et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020). In addition, inhibition
of mTORC1 enhances the T-cell stimulatory activity of dendritic
cells and promotes autophagy of macrophages, while also reduc-
ing the population of antigen-specific memory B cells after B-cell
activation (Xing et al., 2019; Appelberg et al., 2020; Zheng et al.,
2020). Moreover, a recent study conducted by Tang et al. (2021)
found that mTORC1 is hyperactivated in lymphangiolyimyma-
tosis (LAM), leading to an increased expression of IL-6 in LAM-
associated fibroblasts as well as an upregulation of ACE2 in type
II pneumocytes, making these cells susceptible to CoV-2 infec-
tion (Mehta et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020). It was observed
that mTORC1 inhibitor treatment significantly downregulated
both type I and type II IFN pathways, as well as downregulating
IL-6-induced acute-phase response genes that were previously observed to be enriched in cells expressing ACE2. This further suggests that mTORC1 inhibition may be a viable treatment for COVID-19 because of its ability to decrease IFN and IL-6 pathways, promoting the expression of ACE2 (Conti et al., 2019; Mehta et al., 2020; Yang et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020).

Another possible treatment method for COVID-19 that has garnered attention is the possibility of inhibiting mTOR activity via both mTORC1 and mTORC2 pathways while promoting AMPK activation. The mTOR–AMPK pathway is associated with oxidative stress, mitochondrial dysfunction, and immune system maintenance. AMPK can inhibit mTORC1 via its interactions with other complexes that block mTORC1 activity, such as hamartin, which is associated with tuberous sclerosis (Appelberg et al., 2020; Zheng et al., 2020). In recent studies, IL-37 has been observed to be immunosuppressive through mTOR while promoting AMPK activity, which ameliorates hyperinflammation in severe COVID-19 symptoms (Maiese, 2020). Compounds such as metformin, which can block mTOR activity and may promote AMPK activity, have been suggested as possible treatments that target this pathway. However, AMPK/mTOR dysregulation, such as through metformin, have also been shown to worsen the prognosis for some diseases (Kim et al., 2013; Cuyas et al., 2014; Head et al., 2017; Azar et al., 2020). Thus, careful consideration of patients’ medical conditions and history is critical in making the decision to use such treatments. Interestingly, rapamycin was shown to enhance the magnitude and quality of viral-specific CD4 T-cell responses. However, only Akt inhibitor MK-2206 showed significant inhibition of viral replication compared with other mTORC1 inhibitors that failed to block viral infections (Appelberg et al., 2020).

Use of mTOR inhibitors in treatment of COVID-19 patients

Other mTOR inhibitors that target the mTORC1 pathway, including everolimus, sirolimus, Torin-1, and MK-2206, are being investigated as potential drugs for COVID-19 patients. Sirolimus was previously shown to be effective in inhibiting MERS-CoV infection in rodents (Maiese, 2020). Everolimus is a second-generation rapamycin analog (Terrazzano et al., 2020) that inhibits mTORC1 and regulates mRNA translation, ribosome synthesis, protein synthesis, mitochondrial metabolism, and adipocyte formation (Dunlop and Tee, 2009). Further studies suggest that everolimus treatments could alleviate the hyper-reactivity of cytokine storm in COVID-19 patients and therefore reduce the severity of the viral infection. Everolimus has also been used to reduce viral replication in organ transplantation and cancer patients (Terrazzano et al., 2020). This makes everolimus a promising candidate for testing for COVID-19 therapy.

One alternative to using an mTOR inhibitor-based treatment is to devise a treatment for COVID-19 using drugs that mimic the effects of Niemann–Pick type C (NPC) disease. The NPC disease involves improper functioning of the NPC1 protein, which impairs the ability of many viruses to enter host cells (Sturley et al., 2020a,b). Alteration in lysosome and endoplasmic reticulum quality control pathways in NPC1 and its dependent late endosome/lysosome lipid pathway is suggested to play an important role in coronavirus invasion and spread (Sturley et al., 2020a,b). This association of NPC1 with viral infections makes the protein another novel target that could be used effectively to fight SARS-CoV-2 (Xu et al., 2010; Sturley et al., 2020b). The drug itraconazole targets the mitochondrial protein VDAC1 and inhibits the lysosomal protein NPC1 and stimulates AMPK activation and cholesterol trafficking, resulting in synergistic inhibition of mTOR (Schultz et al., 2016; Head et al., 2017). Another promising approach is using NPC1 inhibitors, which have been shown to block viral entry into the cell (Liu et al., 2020; Sturley et al., 2020a).

Although mTOR inhibitors, such as rapamycin and its analogs, are currently being used to treat certain disorders, there are still concerns about their effects on other nontargeted cellular functions. For example, Shi et al. (2018) show that rapamycin downregulates IFN-induced transmembrane (IFITM) proteins and enhances viral infection. IFITM2 and IFITM3 are localized at the plasma membrane and function as antiviral factors that inhibit virus infection through a lysosomal degradation pathway (Spence et al., 2019). Thus, the inhibition and/or downregulation of antiviral proteins by mTOR inhibitors in COVID-19 patients may pose the greatest challenge in using this therapeutic approach to combat COVID-19. Another major setback with an mTOR inhibitor, rapamycin, is its toxic side effects to the lungs. Biguanides, instead of rapamycin, is recommended, since biguanides is shown to have minimal toxic effects (Lehrer, 2020). Although this treatment has been successful in improving the prognosis of those infected with certain viruses, another approach may be needed to target specific substrates or downstream signaling in the mTOR pathway to ensure that treated patients are not left immunocompromised.

Other potential therapeutic approaches

The mTORC1 pathway is upregulated by the presence of nutrient signals and downregulated following nutrient starvation (Kim et al., 2002; Cuyas et al., 2014; Rakhamanova et al., 2018). Several studies have found that obesity and overnutrition lead to chronic hyperactivation of mTOR in various tissues, and to increased S6K activity and overphosphorylation of 4EBP (Zoncu et al., 2011; Efeyan et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2013; Cuyas et al., 2014; Demetriades et al., 2016). Diabetes caused by obesity is associated with low-grade chronic inflammation, which may escalate to hyperinflammation on infection with SARS-CoV-2 (Korakas et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020). While reports have shown a strong association between the severity of COVID-19 and obesity in the absence of comorbidities, it should also be noted that the noncommunicable diseases shown to be risk factors for more severe infection by COVID-19 are characterized by systemic inflammation. These include diabetes mellitus and cardiovascular diseases, both of which are common comorbidities in obese individuals (Bolourian and Mojtabadi, 2020; Korakas et al., 2020; Zabetakis et al., 2020). Cytokines TNFα, IL-1, IL-6, and MCP-1 (monocyte chemoattractant protein-1) are produced in increased amounts, leading to oxidative stress and defective immunity in obese individuals with COVID-19. The mTOR antagonist tocilizumab has been used to reduce inflammation caused by cytokine storms, and one study associated its use with a significantly shorter duration of vasopressor support for patients with severe COVID-19 (Guaraldi et al., 2020; Kewan et al., 2020). In a retrospective, observational cohort study involving 544 patients admitted to care with severe COVID-19 pneumonia, 179 patients were treated with tocilizumab and 365 were treated with standard care. There was no significant difference in mechanical ventilation required by patients with or without tocilizumab treatments. However, 20% of patients in the standard care group died compared with 7% of those treated with tocilizumab (Guaraldi et al., 2020). The result is very promising; however, further clinical investigation is needed to characterize tocilizumab as a potential drug for treatment of COVID-19.
Proinflammatory cytokines such as IL-1β, which are expressed in microglia and astrocytes, are suggested to cause an increase in neurotransmitters (e.g., glutamate and aspartate) levels (Viviani et al., 2003; Alyu and Dikmen, 2017). We hypothesize that the cytokine storms observed in a COVID-19 patient may cause excessive increase levels of glutamate and other neurotransmitters. Since glutamate is an excitatory neurotransmitter that plays a key role in neural plasticity (Chater and Goda, 2014; Selvakumar et al., 2014; Pignatelli et al., 2017; Umanah et al., 2017), we suggest that excessive glutamate released by SARS-CoV-2-induced neuroinflammation correlates with the excitotoxic damage of neurons in COVID-19 patients. This excitotoxic damage is suggested to be associated with neurologic manifestations, such as epileptic seizures and encephalopathy, in COVID-19 patients (Moriguchi et al., 2020; Zanin et al., 2020). A recent study proposed glutamate receptor antagonists, such as memantine, a noncompetitive antagonist of NMDA glutamate receptors to reduce glutamate excitotoxicity in the CNS (Hasanagic and Serdarevic, 2020). Memantine has been used successfully to treat different neuropsychiatric disorders, such as dementia, epilepsy, autism, schizophrenia, and depression (Perucca and Perucca, 2019). Interestingly, in human cells and primary murine neuronal cultures, memantine was found to inhibit replication of the human coronavirus strain OC43 (HcoV-OC43; Brison et al., 2014). Memantine was also found to have anti-inflammatory effects by suppressing cytokine expression and the release of tumor necrosis factors, interleukin, and proinflammatory proteins. Thus, memantine would be an interesting drug to further study with SARS-CoV-2 as it has potential to regulate excitotoxic effects of glutamate and neuroinflammation (Povskyeva and Johnson, 2016; Hasanagic and Serdarevic, 2020). Like memantine, perampanel is another drug that functions as an antagonist for AMPA glutamate receptors, regulating glutamate levels within the CNS. Our recent studies suggest that perampanel selectively inhibits overactivated AMPA receptors (Ahrens-Nicklas et al., 2017; Umanah et al., 2017). Thus, selective-specific modulators of neurotransmitters are other interesting drugs to study because of the potential positive effects they may have in treating SARS-CoV-2-induced neuroinflammation in COVID-19 patients with neurologic complications.

Summary

Based on the extensive neurologic and psychiatric sequelae of COVID-19 reported in many patients, it appears as though SARS-CoV-2 is neurotropic. Mechanisms of SARS-CoV-2 entry into the nervous system are suggested to include retrograde transport along peripheral nerves and the hematogenous pathway via the blood–brain barrier. These mechanisms are not clear and must be studied further to fully understand how SARS-CoV-2 invades the CNS. The presence of the virus in specific regions of the brain, but its absence in other areas, at the early stages of the disease could provide clues to the route of the virus from the respiratory system to the CNS. The neurologic manifestations of COVID-19 and post-COVID-19 neurologic complications keep evolving and need urgent attention. Further, studies to address whether the virus is able to infect certain regions of the brain, especially the substantia nigra, could provide critical information on a late development of other neurologic disorders such as Parkinson’s disease in COVID-19 patients.

Although some COVID pathologies arise from defects in mitochondrial ion and ROS homeostasis, targeting mitochondrial dynamic and quality control systems has yet to be extensively studied in COVID-19. We hypothesize that several of the neurologic complications in COVID-19 patients may be because of substantial bioenergetic cost and overwhelming hyperinflammation resulting in mitochondrial damage and stress. In addition, the role of mitochondria in COVID-19 may be associated with the mTOR signaling pathway and lysosome–autophagy processes to clear virus at the early stage of sepsis.

We propose that mTOR and mitochondria-targeted modulators may play a major role in treating patients with COVID-19 through the following mechanisms. First, the chronic hyperactivation of mTOR may be reduced by downregulating mTOR, which might reduce the accelerated translation that occurs during sepsis, thereby reducing the rate of viral translation and replication. Second, selectively inhibiting specific mTOR targets may alleviate the hyperinflammation and improve the prognosis of patients with COVID-19. Third, modulators of mitochondria function and ROS/iron-chelating agents may be used to reduce the abnormal mitochondrial stress. Given the role of mitochondria and mTOR in preventing viral replication and dissemination, combinations of therapeutic approaches targeting these pathways will most likely be effective. However, careful consideration should be given to the stage of the disease at which treatment is administered. We also recommend extensive neurologic evaluations of all COVID-19 patients who recover from moderate to severe symptoms, especially those returning from intensive care units. Further studies are urgently required to help provide the necessary information and understanding of the mechanisms in SARS-CoV-2 invasion and spread in the nervous system. These may lead to the development of novel therapeutic approaches to combat the current COVID-19 pandemic and any future pandemic crisis.
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