High-Frequency Neuronal Bursting is Essential for Circadian and Sleep Behaviors in *Drosophila*

Florencia Fernandez-Chiappe,^{1*} Lia Frenkel,^{2,3*} Carina Celeste Colque,² Ana Ricciuti,¹ Bryan Hahm,¹ Karina Cerredo,¹ Nara Inés Muraro,^{1,2} and María Fernanda Ceriani²

¹Instituto de Investigación en Biomedicina de Buenos Aires, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Partner Institute of the Max Planck Society, Buenos Aires C1425FQD, Argentina, ²Fundación Instituto Leloir, Instituto de Investigaciones Bioquímicas de Buenos Aires, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Buenos Aires C1405BWE, Argentina, and ³Instituto de Biociencias, Biotecnología y Biología traslacional (IB3), Departamento de Fisiología Biología Molecular y Celular, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires C1428EGA, Argentina

Circadian rhythms have been extensively studied in *Drosophila*; however, still little is known about how the electrical properties of clock neurons are specified. We have performed a behavioral genetic screen through the downregulation of candidate ion channels in the lateral ventral neurons (LN_{vs}) and show that the hyperpolarization-activated cation current I_h is important for the behaviors that the LN_{vs} influence: temporal organization of locomotor activity, analyzed in males, and sleep, analyzed in females. Using whole-cell patch clamp electrophysiology we demonstrate that small LN_{vs} (sLN_{vs}) are bursting neurons, and that I_h is necessary to achieve the high-frequency bursting firing pattern characteristic of both types of LN_{vs} in females. Since firing in bursts has been associated to neuropeptide release, we hypothesized that I_h would be important for LN_{vs} communication. Indeed, herein we demonstrate that I_h is fundamental for the recruitment of pigment dispersing factor (PDF) filled dense core vesicles (DCVs) to the terminals at the dorsal protocerebrum and for their timed release, and hence for the temporal coordination of circadian behaviors.

Key words: bursting neuron; *Drosophila melanogaster*; HCN; I_h ; ion channel; pigment dispersing factor

Significance Statement

Ion channels are transmembrane proteins with selective permeability to specific charged particles. The rich repertoire of parameters that may gate their opening state, such as voltage-sensitivity, modulation by second messengers and specific kinetics, make this protein family a determinant of neuronal identity. Ion channel structure is evolutionary conserved between vertebrates and invertebrates, making any discovery easily translatable. Through a screen to uncover ion channels with roles in circadian rhythms, we have identified the I_h channel as an important player in a subset of clock neurons of the fruit fly. We show that lateral ventral neurons (LN_{vs}) need I_h to fire action potentials in a high-frequency bursting mode and that this is important for peptide transport and the control of behavior.

Received Sep. 3, 2020; revised Nov. 4, 2020; accepted Nov. 9, 2020.

Author contributions: N.J.M. and M.F.C. designed research; F.F.-C., L.F., C.C.C., A.R., B.H., K.C., and N.J.M. performed research; F.F.-C., L.F., C.C.C., A.R., B.H., K.C., and N.J.M. analyzed data; N.J.M. and M.F.C. wrote the paper.

*F.F.-C. and L.F. contributed equally to this work.

This work was supported by Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica Grants PICT-2011-2185 (to M.F.C.) and PICT-2011-2364 and PICT-2015-2557 (to N.J.M.), the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) Grant PIP-11220130100378 (to N.J.M.) and the Fondo de Convergencia Estructural del MERCOSUR Grant COF 03/11 to Instituto de Investigación en Biomedicina de Buenos Aires (IBiBA). M.F.C., N.J.M., and L.F. are members of the CONICET. Stocks obtained from the Bloomington *Drosophila* Stock Center (National Institutes of Health Grant P40DD018537), the NIG-Fly Stock Center, and the Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center (Dietzl et al., 2007) were used in this study. We thank Dr. Zuo Ren Wang for I_h mutant fly stocks. We also thank Esteban Beckwith and Quentin Geissmann for indispensable help with sleep quantification software. We thank Andres Licerí for fly maintenance.

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

Correspondence should be addressed to Nara Inés Muraro at nmuraro@ibioba-mpsp-conicet.gov.ar or María Fernanda Ceriani at fceriani@leloir.org.ar.

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2322-20.2020>

Copyright © 2021 the authors

Introduction

Circadian (circa: around, diem: day) rhythms are biological rhythms with a period of ~24 h that have evolved in essentially all organisms. They confer an important adaptive value by allowing the anticipation to the daily changes in environmental conditions associated to the rotation of our planet. The “around the clock” coordination of behavior and physiology in *Drosophila* is regulated by ~150 neurons grouped in different clusters and named after their anatomic localization (Yoshii et al., 2012). Among them, the small lateral ventral neurons (sLN_{vs}) have been identified as a fundamental group in the control of behavioral rhythms under free running conditions, communicating via the release of the neuropeptide pigment dispersing factor (PDF; Renn et al., 1999; Peng et al., 2003; Lin et al., 2004; Yoshii et al., 2009) and glycine (Frenkel et al., 2017). The large LN_{vs} (lLN_{vs}), on the other hand, are highly relevant for arousal and the PDF

they release provides wake promoting functions (Parisky et al., 2008; Shang et al., 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008a).

Although the mechanisms that give rise to the cell-autonomous cycling of gene and protein expression and comprise the core of the molecular clock have been described thoroughly (Top and Young, 2018), one of the challenges of the field now is to understand how different clock neurons communicate to each other. It is indeed the emerging properties of these clock neuronal circuits acting concertedly that provide the system with plasticity and adaptability (Beckwith and Ceriani, 2015). But to understand the communication taking place within clock neurons, it is paramount to examine the physiological properties of the different neuronal groups. The type, amount, and distribution of ion channels present in the membrane of a neuron determine features such as excitability and action potential firing pattern. In particular, clock neurons change their electrical activity on a daily basis, with higher action potential firing during the day than at night, a phenomenon that has been described both in mammals and flies (for review, see Allen et al., 2017).

In *Drosophila*, several ion channels have already been found to play roles in different aspects of circadian function, such as the calcium dependent voltage-gated potassium channel *slowpoke* (*slo*; Ceriani et al., 2002; Fernandez et al., 2007) and its binding protein (*slob*; Ceriani et al., 2002; Jaramillo et al., 2004; Tabuchi et al., 2018), the cation channel *narrow abdomen* (*na*; Nash et al., 2002; Lear et al., 2005; Flourakis et al., 2015), the voltage-gated potassium channel *Shaw* (Hodge and Stanewsky, 2008; Buhl et al., 2016; Smith et al., 2019), the inward rectifying potassium channel *Ir* (Ruben et al., 2012), the temperature sensitive *trpA1* channel (Lee and Montell, 2013), the potassium channel *hyperkinetic* (*hk*; Fogle et al., 2015) and the voltage-gated potassium channel *Shal* (Feng et al., 2018; Smith et al., 2019). Under the hypothesis that additional ion channels are involved in determining the characteristic physiological properties of the LNVs that ensure circadian organization of locomotor activity, we performed a behavioral genetic screen downregulating candidate ion channels using RNA interference (RNAi) specifically in LNVs. Following this strategy, we have been able to identify several ion channels that, when knocked down, alter circadian locomotor behavior under free running conditions. Of those, we have first focused our attention on the hyperpolarization-activated cation current I_h , since, as it has been described in mammalian neurons (Luthi and McCormick, 1998), its biophysical properties make it particularly suitable to mediate the organization of action potential firing in bursts, a firing mode that characterizes LNVs (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008b; Muraro and Ceriani, 2015) and, we show here, also sLNVs. Consistently, we demonstrate that perturbing I_h causes a decrease in the frequency of LNVs bursting that is accompanied by a reduction in PDF immunoreactivity and in the complexity of sLNV axonal termini. Moreover, we have found that the disruption of I_h is accompanied by an increase in sleep. Altogether, our results reveal a novel function of I_h in determining LNVs physiology and the behaviors they command, and uncover several additional ion channels with putative roles in these important clock clusters, for future exploration.

Materials and Methods

Fly strains

All fly strains used in this study are detailed in Table 1. UAS lines for RNAi downregulation of candidate ion channels were obtained from the Bloomington Stock Center [the ones associated to the *Drosophila* RNAi Screening Center (DRSC)], from the Vienna *Drosophila* Resource

Center (VDRC), and from the National Institute of Genetics Fly Stock Center (NIG). Information for each of these lines is also available in Tables 2, 3 (for the positive hits of the genetic screen), 4 (for the negative hits). Flies were grown and maintained at 25°C in standard cornmeal medium under 12/12 h light/dark cycles (LD) unless stated otherwise. For experiments involving the adult-specific GeneSwitch (GS) expression system, 2- to 4-d-old adult males raised in normal cornmeal food were transferred to food containing RU486 (mifepristone, Sigma) in 80% ethanol to a final concentration 200 μ g/ml or with the same amount of ethanol (vehicle) in control treatments. These experiments were done with a line that includes a UAS-CD8::GFP transgene on the II chromosome. For experiments involving the TARGET system (McGuire et al., 2004a) flies were raised at 21°C, induction of the expression system was achieved by increasing the temperature to 30°C. Newly eclosed males were used for all circadian rhythmicity experiments, 3- to 7-d-old non-virgin females were used for sleep and electrophysiology experiments, a mix of males and females was used for immunofluorescence determination.

Locomotor behavior analysis

Male flies were entrained to LD during their entire development, and newly eclosed adult males were placed in 65 $\times$ 5 mm glass tubes and monitored for activity with infrared detectors and a computerized data collection system (Trikinetics). For experiments involving the GS expression system, newly eclosed adult males were placed in glass tubes containing standard food (supplemented with 200 mg/ml RU486 or vehicle, as indicated) and monitored for activity. Activity was monitored in light-dark conditions (LD) for 3–4 d, followed by constant darkness for at least 9 d [constant dark conditions (DD)1–DD9]. Period and rhythmicity parameters as FFT and power were estimated using ClockLab software (Actimetrics). Flies with a single peak over the significance line ($p < 0.05$) in a χ^2 analysis were scored as rhythmic, which was confirmed by visual inspection of the actograms. For LD anticipatory analysis, the last day before switching to DD was used. Average activity plots at the population level were produced using the Clocklab average activity function for each animal, relativized to its own activity, integrated in 30-min bins and then the population average for each genotype was calculated. Morning anticipation index (MAI) was calculated as follow, the sum of relativized activity from zeitgeber time (ZT)21.5 from the previous day to ZT0 was divided by the sum of relativized activity from ZT19 from the previous day to ZT0 for each animal. Since data were not normally distributed a non-parametric ANOVA analysis, Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn's multiple was used to test statistically significant differences. An equivalent procedure was performed for the evening anticipation index (EAI) using data from ZT7 to ZT12.

Sleep behavior analysis

Female flies were socially housed in vials from eclosion at 25°C under LD until they were 4–6 d old and afterward transferred to 65 $\times$ 5 mm glass tubes (Trikinetics) containing normal cornmeal food. Tubes were loaded onto *Drosophila* activity monitors (DAM) and locomotor activity was assessed using the DAM system under LD. Sleep data were calculated on the second day after fly loading into tubes to allow them to recover from anesthesia and to acclimate to the new environment. For experiments using the TARGET system (McGuire et al., 2004b) flies were raised at 21°C, socially housed in vials from eclosion until they were 6 d old and afterward transferred to 65 $\times$ 5 mm glass tubes. Monitors were kept for 2 d at 21°C to measure sleep under the restrictive temperature at which the RNAi is not expressed (which in all cases produced no effect), and then the incubator temperature was raised to 30°C for two more days to allow RNAi expression, always under LD. Sleep data were calculated on the second day at 30°C. The DAM System binning time was set to 1 min. Sleep was defined as no movement for 5 min (Hendricks et al., 2000; Shaw et al., 2000). Rethomics, a collection of packages running in R language (Geissmann et al., 2019), was used to infer sleep from locomotor activity data, to build graphs of sleep for 30 min as a function of the time of day, to get measurements of total sleep, day sleep, night sleep, sleep bout duration, sleep bout number, latencies to lights on and off and to get an activity index (defined as the

Table 1. Reagents and resources used for this work

Reagent or resource	Source	Identifier
Antibodies		
Rat polyclonal anti-PDF	Depetris-Chauvin et al. (2011)	N/A
Chicken polyclonal anti-GFP	Aves Lab	Catalog #GFP-1020, RRID:AB_10000240
Alexa Fluor 647-AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Rat	Jackson ImmunoResearch	catalog #712-605-150, RRID:AB_2340693
Cy2-AffiniPure Donkey Anti-Chicken	Jackson ImmunoResearch	catalog #703-225-155, RRID:AB_2340370
Chemicals		
NaCl, sodium chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	S7653; CAS: 7647-14-5 (BioXtra, ≥99.5%; AT)
KCl, potassium chloride	Sigma-Aldrich	P3911; CAS: 7447-40-7 (ACS reagent, 99.0–100.5%)
CaCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O, calcium chloride dihydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	223506; CAS: 10035-04-8 (ACS reagent, ≥99%)
MgCl ₂ ·6H ₂ O, magnesium chloride hexahydrate	Sigma-Aldrich	M2670; CAS: 7791-18-6 (BioXtra, ≥99.0%)
NaH ₂ PO ₄ , sodium phosphate monobasic	Sigma-Aldrich	S8282; CAS: 7558-80-7 (BioXtra, ≥99.0%)
NaHCO ₃ , sodium bicarbonate	Sigma-Aldrich	S6297; CAS: 144-55-8 (BioXtra, 99.5–100.5%)
D-(+)-glucose	Sigma-Aldrich	G8270; CAS: 50-99-7 (≥99.5%; GC)
Protease from <i>Streptomyces griseus</i>	Sigma-Aldrich	P5147; CAS: 9036-06-0 (Type XIV, ≥3.5 units/mg solid, powder)
Potassium D-gluconate	Sigma-Aldrich	G4500; CAS: 299-27-4 (≥99%)
EGTA	Sigma-Aldrich	E3889; CAS: 67-42-5 (for molecular biology, ≥97.0%)
HEPES	Sigma-Aldrich	H3375; CAS: 7365-45-9 (≥99.5%; titration)
RU-486, mifepristone	Sigma-Aldrich	M8046; CAS: 84371-65-3 (≥98%)
Paraformaldehyde	Sigma-Aldrich	441244; CAS: 30525-89-4
NaCl, sodium chloride (for PBS solution)	Cicarelli Laboratories	750; CAS: 7647-14-5
Na ₂ HPO ₄ , sodium phosphate dibasic	Sigma-Aldrich	S3264; CAS: 7558-79-4 (for molecular biology, ≥98.5%; titration)
Triton X-100	Sigma-Aldrich	T9284; CAS: 9002-93-1 (BioXtra)
Goat serum	Natocor	734
Vetbond tissue adhesive	3 M	14695B
Organisms/strains		
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : w ¹¹¹⁸	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 5905; FlyBase: FBal0018186
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : pdf-GAL: y[1] w[*]; P{w[+mC]=Pdf-GAL4.P2.4}2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: previously 6900, now available as part of 25031; FlyBase: FBtp0011844
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : UAS-CD8::GFP: y[1] w[*]; P{w[+mC]=UAS-mCD8::GFP.L}LL5, P{UAS-mCD8::GFP.L}2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 5137; FlyBase: FBst0005137
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : c929-Gal4: w[*]; P{w[+mW.h]=GawB}dimm[929] crc[929]	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC:25373; FlyBase: FBst0025373
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : tub-Gal80 ^{TS} : w[*]; P{w[+mC]=tubP-GAL80[ts]}2/TM2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 7017; FlyBase: FBst0007017
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : pdfGS: w[*]; P{UAS-mCD8::GFP.L}LL5; P{Pdf-GS}3/TM3, Sb1	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center Depetris-Chauvin et al. (2011)	BDSC: 80956 FlyBase: FBst0080956
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : UAS-dicer2: w[1118]; P{UAS-dicer2, w[+]}	VDRC	VDRC ID: 60008
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : R6-Gal4: P{?GawB}crc ^{R6}	Helfrich-Forster et al. (2007)	FlyBase: FBti0016844
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : pdf-RFP: Pdf-RFP transgene has 0.6 kb of Pdf regulatory genomic DNA 0.5 kb upstream the start site of transcription and 0.1 kb downstream fused to DNA encoding mRFP1, a monomeric soluble red fluorescent protein (Shaner et al., 2004). Injected into y w flies.	Ruben et al. (2012)	FlyBase: FBfr0219602
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : I _h ^{f01485} : PBac{WH}Ihf01485	Exelixis at Harvard Medical School	FlyBase: FBst1017022
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : I _h ^{f03355} : PBac{WH}Ihf03355	Exelixis at Harvard Medical School	FlyBase: FBst1018427
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>cac</i> : P{KK101478}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104168 FlyBase: FBst0476026
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>cac</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRIP.JF02572} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27244; FlyBase: FBst0027244
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ca-α1T</i> : P{KK100082}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 108827 FlyBase: FBst0480621
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>CIC-a</i> : P{KK101247}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 110394 FlyBase: FBst0481966
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>CngA</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRIP.JF02039} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 26014; FlyBase: FBst0026014
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>CngA</i> : P{KK108314}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 101745 FlyBase: FBst0473618

(Table continues.)

Table 1. Continued

Reagent or resource	Source	Identifier
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>I_h</i> : P{KK100190}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 110274 FlyBase: FBst0481852
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>I_h</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF03253}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC:29574; FlyBase: FBst0029574
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ork1</i> : P{KK107843}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104883 FlyBase: FBst0476711
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ork1</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01926}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC:25855; FlyBase: FBst0025885
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Shal</i> on the III chromosome	NIG Fly Stock Center	NIG Stock ID: 9262R-3
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>tipE</i> on the III chromosome	NIG Fly Stock Center	NIG Stock ID: 1232R-3
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>tipE</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02148}attP2/TM3, Sb[1]	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC:26249; FlyBase: FBst0026249
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Atpα</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02910}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28073; FlyBase: FBst0028073
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Atpα</i> : P{KK108782}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 100619 FlyBase: FBst0472492
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Calx</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02937}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28306; FlyBase: FBst0028306
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Calx</i> : P{KK109144}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104789 FlyBase: FBst0476622
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ca-α1D</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01848}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 25830; FlyBase: FBst0025830
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ca-α1D</i> : w[1118]; P{GD1737}v51490/CyO	VDRC	VDRC ID: 51490 FlyBase: FBst0469449
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ca-α1T</i> : w[1118]; P{GD7754}v31963	VDRC	VDRC ID: 31963 FlyBase: FBst0459316
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ca-α1T</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02150}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 26251; FlyBase: FBst0026251
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>eag</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01471}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31678; FlyBase: FBst0031678
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>eag</i> : P{KK107309}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 100260 FlyBase: FBst0472134
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Hk</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02965}attP2/TM3, Sb[1]	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28330; FlyBase: FBst0028330
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Hk</i> : P{KK109058}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 101402 FlyBase: FBst0473275
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>inx2</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02446}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 29603; FlyBase: FBst0029306
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>inx2</i> : P{KK111067}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 102194 FlyBase: FBst0474063
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ir</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01841}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 25823; FlyBase: FBst0025823
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ir</i> : P{KK102249}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 107389 FlyBase: FBst0479211
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ir</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01838}attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 25820; FlyBase: FBst0025820

(Table continues.)

Table 1. Continued

Reagent or resource	Source	Identifier
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Irkl2</i> : w[1118]; P{GD203}v4341	VDRC	VDRC ID: 4341 FlyBase: FBst0465076
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>KCNQ</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02562} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27252; FlyBase: FBst0027252
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>KCNQ</i> : P{KK109039}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 106655 FlyBase: FBst0478479
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ncc69</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF03097} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28682; FlyBase: FBst0028682
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Ncc69</i> : P{KK108763}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 106499 FlyBase: FBst0478323
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Nckx30C</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02574} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27246; FlyBase: FBst0027246
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>nrv1</i> : w[1118] P{GD959}v46542	VDRC	VDRC ID: 46542 FlyBase: FBst0466759
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>nrv1</i> : P{KK100406}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 103702 FlyBase: FBst0475560
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>nrv2</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF03081} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28666; FlyBase: FBst0028666
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>nrv2</i> : w[1118]; P{GD960}v2660	VDRC	VDRC ID: 2660 FlyBase: FBst0456497
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>para</i> : w[1118]; P{GD3392}v6131	VDRC	VDRC ID: 6131 FlyBase: FBst0470199
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>para</i> : P{KK108534}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104775 FlyBase: FBst0476611
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>picot</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF01940} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 25920; FlyBase: FBst0025920
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>picot</i> : P{KK106848}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 101082 FlyBase: FBst0472955
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF03250} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 29571; FlyBase: FBst0029571
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk</i> : P{KK104185}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 108683 FlyBase: FBst0480493
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk12</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02027} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27092; FlyBase: FBst0027092
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk12</i> : P{KK101805}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 105131 FlyBase: FBst0476959
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk25</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02434} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27088; FlyBase: FBst0027088
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk25</i> : P{KK109736}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 101808 FlyBase: FBst0473681
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk28</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TriP.JF02153} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31878; FlyBase: FBst0031878

(Table continues.)

Table 1. Continued

Reagent or resource	Source	Identifier
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>ppk28</i> : P{KK106316}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 100946 FlyBase: FBst0472819
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>sei</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF01474} attP2/TM3, Ser[1]	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31681; FlyBase: FBst0031681
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>sei</i> : P{KK105733}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104698 FlyBase: FBst0476547
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Sh</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF01473} attP2/TM3, Ser[1]	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31680; FlyBase: FBst0031680
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Sh</i> : P{KK109112}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104474 FlyBase: FBst0476332
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Shal</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF02154} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31879; FlyBase: FBst0031879
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Shaw</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF02982} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 28346; FlyBase: FBst0028346
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Shaw</i> : P{KK108371}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 110589 FlyBase: FBst0482154
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>SK</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF02571} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 27238; FlyBase: FBst0027238
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>SK</i> : P{KK107699}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 103985 FlyBase: FBst0475843
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>SLO₂</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF03426} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 32034; FlyBase: FBst0032034
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>stj</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF01825} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 25807; FlyBase: FBst0025807
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>stj</i> : P{KK101267}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 108569 FlyBase: FBst0480379
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Teh2</i> : w[1118]; P{GD3839}v9037	VDRC	VDRC ID: 9037 FlyBase: FBst0471346
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Teh2</i> : P{KK112449}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 104951 FlyBase: FBst0476779
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Teh4</i> : w[1118]; P{GD3578}v11621/CyO	VDRC	VDRC ID: 11621 FlyBase: FBst0450303
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>Teh4</i> : P{KK110985}VIE-260B	VDRC	VDRC ID: 102161 FlyBase: FBst0474030
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>trp</i> : y[1] v[1]; P{y[+t7.7] v[+t1.8]=TRiP.JF01441} attP2	Bloomington <i>Drosophila</i> Stock Center	BDSC: 31649; FlyBase: FBst0031649
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : RNAi of <i>trp</i> : w[1118]; P{GD372}v1366	VDRC	VDRC ID: 1366 FlyBase: FBst0451102
<i>D. melanogaster</i> : UAS- <i>pdf</i> on the 2 nd Chromosome	Renn et al. (1999)	N/A
Software		
ImageJ	Schneider et al. (2012)	https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/
Infostat	Di Rienzo et al. (2018)	https://www.infostat.com.ar/
ClockLab	Actimetrics	https://www.actimetrics.com/products/clocklab/
Rethomics	Geissmann et al. (2019)	https://rethomics.github.io/
R	R Core Team (2014)	https://www.r-project.org/

(Table continues.)

Table 1. Continued

Reagent or resource	Source	Identifier
Micro Manager	Edelstein et al. (2014)	https://micro-manager.org/wiki/Download_Micro-Manager_Latest_Release
pClamp 9	Molecular Devices	https://moleculardevices.app.box.com/s/d93nukl3chbo206t33cw5fpabsph6wh4
Clampfit 10	Molecular Devices	https://moleculardevices.app.box.com/s/l8h8odzbdkalbje1iwi85x88004f588
Origin 8	OriginLab	https://www.originlab.com/
GraphPad	Prism8	https://www.graphpad.com/

Table 2. Positive hits of the ion channel downregulation behavioral screen

Gene symbol	CG	RNAi info	Channel type	Tau (h)	Rhythm (%)	<i>n</i>	<i>N</i>
<i>Pdf, dcr > cac</i> + > <i>cac</i>	CG1522	DRSC 27244 + VDRC KK 104168	VG Ca^{++} channel	24.48 ± 0.21* 23.79 ± 0.07 23.93 ± 0.10	57 ± 11* 97 ± 2 95 ± 2	75 93 104	5 5 5
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > Ca-α1T</i> + > <i>Ca-α1T</i>	CG15899	VDRC KK 108827	VG Ca^{++} channel	24.85 ± 0.14* 23.93 ± 0.11 23.82 ± 0.67	30 ± 9* 84 ± 10 76 ± 11	70 82 59	3 3 3
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > ClC-a</i> + > <i>ClC-a</i>	CG1116	VDRC KK 110394	VG Cl- channel	21.78 ± 0.13* and 24.25 ± 0.20 24.00 ± 0.01 24.17 ± 0.06	4 ± 4* 97 ± 3 76 ± 15	37 41 43	2 2 2
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > CngA</i> + > <i>CngA</i>	CG42701	DRSC 26014 + VDRC KK 101745	Cyclic-nucleotide G channel	23.55 ± 0.06 23.73 ± 0.29 24.07 ± 0.11	65 ± 2* 91 ± 9 98 ± 1	27 25 37	2 2 2
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > Ork1</i> + > <i>Ork1</i>	CG1615	DRSC 25885 + VDRC KK 104883	K ⁺ leak channel	25.00 ± 0.46* 24.28 ± 0.29 24.23 ± 0.05	48 ± 12* 100 ± 0 98 ± 1	33 34 30	2 2 2
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > Shal</i> + > <i>Shal</i>	CG9262	NIG 9262R-3	VG K ⁺ channel	24.59 ± 0.11* 23.97 ± 0.20 24.01 ± 0.05	89 ± 6 97 ± 3 98 ± 2	59 39 67	4 3 4
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > tipE</i> + > <i>tipE</i>	CG1232	NIG 1232R-3	VG Na ⁺ auxiliary subunit	25.73 ± 0.40* 23.90 ± 0.01 24.12 ± 0.04	85 ± 12 96 ± 4 98 ± 2	46 27 55	3 2 3
<i>Pdf, dcr > +</i> <i>Pdf, dcr > tipE</i> + > <i>tipE</i>	CG1232	DRSC 26249	VG Na ⁺ auxiliary subunit	25.60 ± 0.38* 23.73 ± 0.04 24.12 ± 0.04	48 ± 16* 96 ± 5 94 ± 4	47 30 55	3 2 3

This table includes a list of genes that, when downregulated exclusively in LN_vs using these particular RNAi constructs, produced statistically significant alterations in free running period and/or percentage of rhythmicity. Values represent the average of mean values of *N* independent experiments ± SEM, *n* indicates total number of individuals tested; * indicates statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) after a one-way ANOVA comparing *pdf, dcr > RNAi* to control genotypes *pdf, dcr > +* and *RNAi > +*. Tukey's test was used for means comparison and Levene's test for checking ANOVA assumption of homogeneity of variance. In the case where information for two RNAi constructs is given, it means that each RNAi on its own did not show significant differences compared with controls, but did show a trend toward an altered phenotype. For that reason, two different RNAis for the same gene were genetically combined to achieve added downregulation strength. In the case of *ClC-a*, the reduction of rhythmicity was because of the appearance of complex rhythms and not to the deconsolidation of locomotor activity organization; the tau of each component of complex rhythms is given. V: voltage, G: gated, DRSC: *Drosophila* RNAi Screening Center, VDRC: Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center, NIG: National Institute of Genetics. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) compared with genetic controls are displayed in boldface.

Table 3. I_h genetic manipulations disrupt circadian locomotor activity organization

Genotype	DD analysis				LD analysis			
	Tau (h)	Rhythm (%)	<i>n</i>	<i>N</i>	MAI	EAI	<i>n</i>	<i>N</i>
<i>pdf, dicer > +</i>	24.00 ± 0.07	95 ± 3	75	3	0.73 ± 0.02	0.88 ± 0.02	55	2
UAS- $I_h^{RNAi} > +$	23.71 ± 0.02	92 ± 6	39		0.77 ± 0.03	0.80 ± 0.03	21	
<i>pdf, dicer > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}</i>	23.95 ± 0.03	74 ± 8*	40		0.67 ± 0.03	0.80 ± 0.02	23	
<i>pdfGS > +</i> , RU	24.59 ± 0.41	97 ± 2	64	3	0.64 ± 0.01	0.66 ± 0.02*	76	3
UAS- $I_h^{RNAi} > +$, RU	23.75 ± 0.06	100 ± 0	41		0.72 ± 0.02	0.58 ± 0.01	50	
<i>pdfGS > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}</i> , RU	24.81 ± 0.75	57 ± 12*	67		0.59 ± 0.01*	0.60 ± 0.01	74	
control	24.02 ± 0.05	96 ± 2	86	4	0.68 ± 0.03	0.84 ± 0.02	62	2
$I_h^{R01485} > +$	23.87 ± 0.03	96 ± 3	80		0.71 ± 0.04	0.89 ± 0.02	32	
I_h^{R01485}	23.56 ± 0.05	60 ± 3*	98		0.55 ± 0.05	0.65 ± 0.03*	34	
$I_h^{R03355} > +$	23.86 ± 0.05	99 ± 1	96		0.73 ± 0.03	0.92 ± 0.02	30	
I_h^{R03355}	23.88 ± 0.08	39 ± 12*	104		0.51 ± 0.03*	0.67 ± 0.03*	30	

DD analysis (left): the average free running period and percentage of rhythmicity of populations of male flies of the indicated genotypes are shown. Values represent the average of *N* independent experiments ± SEM, *n* indicates total number of individuals tested; * indicates statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) after a one-way ANOVA comparing experimental genotypes to genetic controls. UAS- I_h^{RNAi} refers to the genetic combination of two UAS- I_h^{RNAi} constructs: DRSC 29574 + VDRC KK 110274. In the case of the I_h null mutants, I_h^{R01485} and I_h^{R03355} , homozygotes were compared with a w^{1118} control and to heterozygotes (I_h^{R03355} crossed by w^{1118}). RU refers to the presence of the steroid RU486 (200 μg/ml), the activator of the GS system, in the food media. LD analysis (right): morning anticipation index (MAI) and evening anticipation index (EAI) were calculated for the same genotypes. *Indicates statistically significant difference ($p < 0.05$) after Kruskal–Wallis statistical analysis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test. Significant differences ($p < 0.05$) compared with genetic controls are displayed in boldface.

average activity counts in the active minutes) of each individual fly. Behavioral experiments were conducted at least two to three times, with 15–30 individuals per genotype.

Electrophysiology

Three to 7-d-old female flies were anesthetized with a brief incubation of the vial on ice, brain dissection was performed on external recording solution which consisted of the following: 101 mM NaCl, 3 mM KCl, 1 mM CaCl_2 , 4 mM MgCl_2 , 1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 5 mM glucose, and 20.7 mM NaHCO_3 , pH 7.2, with an osmolarity of 250 mmol/kg (based on solution used by Cao and Nitabach, 2008). After removal of the proboscis, air sacks and head cuticle, the brain was routinely glued ventral side up to a Sylgard-coated coverslip using a few microliters of tissue adhesive 3 M Vetbond. The time from anesthesia to the establishment of the first successful recording was ~15–19 min spent as following: 5–6 min for the dissection, 4–5 min for the protease treatment to remove the brain's superficial glia, and 6–8 min to fill and load the recording electrode onto the pipette holder, approach the cell, achieve the gigaohm seal and open the cell into whole-cell configuration to start recording. LNVs were visualized by red fluorescence in *pdf*-RFP using a Leica DM LFS upright microscope with 63 $\times$ water-immersion lens and TK-LED illumination system (TOLKET S.R.L.). Once the fluorescent cells were identified, cells were visualized under IR-DIC using a Hamamatsu ORCA-ER camera and Micro Manager software. ILNVs were distinguished from sLNVs by their size and anatomic position. To allow the access of the recording electrode, the superficial glia directly adjacent to LNVs somas was locally digested with Protease XIV solution (10 mg/ml, Sigma-Aldrich P5147) dissolved in external recording solution. This was achieved using a large opened tip (~20 μm) glass capillary (pulled from glass of the type GC100TF-10; Harvard Apparatus) and gentle massage of the superficial glia with mouth suction to render the underlying cell bodies accessible for the recording electrode with minimum disruption of the neuronal circuits. After this procedure, protease solution was quickly washed by perfusion of external solution. Recordings were performed using thick-walled borosilicate glass pipettes (GC100F-10; Harvard Apparatus) pulled to 6–7 M Ω using a horizontal puller P-1000 (Sutter Instruments) and fire polished to 9–12 M Ω . Recordings were made using an Axopatch 200B amplifier controlled by pClamp 9.0 software via a Digidata 1322A analog-to-digital converter (Molecular Devices). Recording pipettes were filled with internal solution containing the following: 102 mM potassium gluconate, 17 mM NaCl, 0.085 mM CaCl_2 , 0.94 mM EGTA, and 8.5 mM HEPES, pH 7.2 with an osmolarity of 235 mmol/kg (based on the solution employed by Cao and Nitabach, 2008). Cell-attached configuration was achieved by gentle suction and recordings were performed in voltage-clamp mode with no hold. For whole-cell configuration, gigaohm seals were accomplished using minimal suction followed by break-in into whole-cell configuration using gentle suction in voltage-clamp mode with a holding voltage of –60 mV. Gain of the amplifier was set to 1 during recordings and a 5-kHz lowpass Bessel filter was applied throughout. Spontaneous firing was recorded in current clamp ($I=0$) mode. Analysis of traces was conducted using Clampfit 10.4 software. Bursting frequency was calculated as the number of bursts in a minute of recording. For comparisons, all recordings were quantified at the same time postdissection as specified in the text and figure legends. For Action Potential (AP) firing rate calculation, the event detection tool of Clampfit 10.4 was used. In many cases, we were able to see the two different AP sizes reported previously (Cao and Nitabach, 2008); however, for AP firing rate calculation, only the large APs were taken into account. Traces shown in figures were filtered offline using a lowpass boxcar filter with smoothing points set to 9. Perfusion of external saline in the recording chamber was achieved using a peristaltic pump (MasterFlex C/L). All recordings were performed during the light phase, between ZT1 and ZT10.

Immunofluorescence detection

Heads of male and female flies were cut at ZT2 and ZT14, fixed in paraformaldehyde 4% in 0.1 M PB for 35–45 min at room temperature (RT) and brains were dissected afterward, washed five times in PBS-Triton X-100 0.1%, blocked with 7% normal goat serum for 2 h at RT and

incubated with primary antibody (see antibodies information in Table 1), ON at 4°C. After five 15-min washes in PBS-Triton X-100 0.1%, brains were incubated with the secondary antibody. Confocal images were obtained in a Zeiss 710 Confocal Microscope or Pascal Confocal Microscope. All the photographs within the same experiment were taken with the same confocal parameters. In the *pdf* overexpression experiments, data were relativized to the average of intensities for each experiment because two different microscopes were used. The acquisition of sLNV soma images required different confocal parameters (laser intensity, gain, zoom).

PDF quantitation

For the quantitation of PDF intensity at the sLNV projections, we assembled a maximum intensity z-stack that contains the whole projection (approximate 10 images) and constructed a threshold image to create a ROI for measure immunoreactivity intensity using ImageJ (NIH). Data were analyzed with InfoStat software (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina) and GraphPad. For quantitation of PDF intensity at the sLNV somas, we used a unique 1- μm image per cell, which was the one where the PDF cytoplasm immunoreactivity signal could be clearly differentiated from the empty nucleus. The draw tool from ImageJ (NIH) enabled to measure only the PDF signal at the cytoplasm, and this procedure was repeated for each cell (three to four) in each brain (only one brain hemisphere). Background intensity was subtracted for each brain and average intensity was calculated. Data were normalized using the average intensity for the whole population of brains of the experiment. This way of quantifying PDF in the sLNVs somas allowed a more precise assessment of neuropeptide levels and it may be the reason why we were able to detect circadian cycling of PDF levels, unlike previous reports that were unable to detect them (Park et al., 2000). Statistics analysis was done using the GraphPad program, after testing data normality one-way ANOVA and Sidak's multiple comparisons tests were performed to determine time-of-day genotype differences.

Analysis of structural plasticity

To assess the degree of complexity within the sLNVs dorsal projections we performed immunofluorescence against a membrane version of GFP. The maximum intensity z-stack image was transformed into a threshold image and Sholl analysis was performed with ImageJ (NIH) software. Each picture was corroborated by visual inspection to confirm the number of crosses in every 10- μm concentric Sholl ring. Data were analyzed by means of InfoStat software (Universidad Nacional de Córdoba).

Statistical analysis

The following statistical analyses were used in this study: one-way ANOVA and two-way ANOVA with *post hoc* Tukey's HSD test for multiple comparisons of parametric data, and non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis statistical analysis with multiple comparisons (*p* adjustment method = BH) as specified in figure legends. Parametric tests were used when data were normally distributed and showed homogeneity of variance, tested by Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and Levene's test, respectively. Sidak's and Dunn's multiple comparisons tests were performed after parametric and non-parametric ANOVA when GraphPad software was used. Sleep data tended to not show a normal distribution, hence non-parametric statistics were used. Statistical analyses were performed using Infostat for circadian rhythmicity and immunofluorescence experiments, R-based Rethomics package for sleep data and Origin software for electrophysiological parameters; *p* < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Throughout the manuscript, *n* represents the total number of measurements compared in each experimental group (behavior of an individual, brain morphology, or neuronal recordings, depending of the experiment), and *N* represents the number of independent times an experiment was repeated. Boxes in box and whisker plots for sleep and electrophysiological parameters represent the median and interquartile range (the distance between the first and third quartiles). In all tables, parameters represent the mean value $\pm$ SEM. In dot plots for circadian power and tau and in fluorescence and structural plasticity, quantification lines represent the mean value; error bars depict the SEM.

Table 4. Negative hits of the ion channel downregulation behavioral screen

Gene	CG	RNAi info	Channel type	<i>n</i>	<i>N</i>
<i>Atpα</i>	CG5670	DRSC 28073	Na^+/K^+ ATPase α subunit	16	1
<i>Atpα</i>	CG5670	VDRC KK 100619	Na^+/K^+ ATPase α subunit	45	3
<i>Calx</i>	CG5685	DRSC 28306	$\text{Ca}^{++} \text{Na}^+$ antiporter	8	1
<i>Calx</i>	CG5685	VDRC KK 104789	$\text{Ca}^{++} \text{Na}^+$ antiporter	13	1
<i>Ca-α1D</i>	CG4894	DRSC 25830	VG Ca^{++} channel	16	1
<i>Ca-α1D</i>	CG4894	VDRC GD 51490	VG Ca^{++} channel	16	1
<i>Ca-α1T</i>	CG15899	VDRC GD 31963	VG Ca^{++} channel	70	3
<i>Ca-α1T</i>	CG15899	DRSC 26251	VG Ca^{++} channel	50	2
<i>eag</i>	CG10952	DRSC 31678	VG cation channel	16	1
<i>eag</i>	CG10952	VDRC KK 100260	VG cation channel	16	1
<i>Hk</i>	CG43388	DRSC 28330	VG K^+ channel β subunit	16	1
<i>Hk</i>	CG43388	VDRC KK 101402	VG K^+ channel β subunit	14	1
<i>inx2</i>	CG4590	DRSC 29306	Gap junction channel	13	1
<i>inx2</i>	CG4590	VDRC KK 102194	Gap junction channel	9	1
<i>Ir</i>	CG6747	DRSC 25823	VG K^+ channel	32	2
<i>Ir</i>	CG6747	VDRC KK 107389	VG K^+ channel	15	1
<i>Irk2</i>	CG4370	DRSC 25820	Inwardly rectifying K^+ channel	31	2
<i>Irk2</i>	CG4370	VDRC GD 4341	Inwardly rectifying K^+ channel	13	1
<i>KCNQ</i>	CG33135	DRSC 27252	VG K^+ channel	65	4
<i>KCNQ</i>	CG33135	VDRC KK 106655	VG K^+ channel	15	1
<i>Ncc69</i>	CG4357	DRSC 28682	$\text{Na}^+ \text{K}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ symporter	16	1
<i>Ncc69</i>	CG4357	VDRC KK 106499	$\text{Na}^+ \text{K}^+ \text{Cl}^-$ symporter	16	1
<i>Nckx30C</i>	CG18660	DRSC 27246	$\text{Na}^+ \text{K}^+ \text{Ca}^{++}$ exchanger	15	1
<i>nrv1</i>	CG9258	VDRC GD 46542	Na^+/K^+ ATPase β subunit	14	1
<i>nrv1</i>	CG9258	VDRC KK 103702	Na^+/K^+ ATPase β subunit	15	1
<i>nrv2</i>	CG9261	DRSC 28666	Na^+/K^+ ATPase β subunit	15	1
<i>nrv2</i>	CG9261	VDRC GD 2660	Na^+/K^+ ATPase β subunit	23	2
<i>para</i>	CG9907	VDRC GD 6131	VG Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>para</i>	CG9907	VDRC KK 104775	VG Na^+ channel	28	2
<i>picot</i>	CG8098	DRSC 25920	Phosphate Na^+ symporter	15	1
<i>picot</i>	CG8098	VDRC KK 101082	Phosphate Na^+ symporter	14	1
<i>ppk</i>	CG3478	DRSC 29571	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>ppk</i>	CG3478	VDRC KK 108683	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	34	3
<i>ppk12</i>	CG10972	DRSC 27092	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>ppk12</i>	CG10972	VDRC KK 105131	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	15	1
<i>ppk25</i>	CG33349	DRSC 27088	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>ppk25</i>	CG33349	VDRC KK 101808	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>ppk28</i>	CG4805	DRSC 31878	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	16	1
<i>ppk28</i>	CG4805	VDRC KK 100946	Amiloride-sensitive Na^+ channel	12	1
<i>sei</i>	CG3182	DRSC 31681	VG K^+ channel	15	1
<i>sei</i>	CG3182	VDRC KK 104698	VG K^+ channel	16	1
<i>Sh</i>	CG12348	DRSC 31680	VG K^+ channel	16	1
<i>Sh</i>	CG12348	VDRC KK 104474	VG K^+ channel	31	2
<i>Shal</i>	CG9262	DRSC 31879	VG K^+ channel	15	1
<i>Shaw</i>	CG2822	DRSC 28346	VG K^+ channel	16	1
<i>Shaw</i>	CG2822	VDRC KK 110589	VG K^+ channel	16	1
<i>SK</i>	CG10706	DRSC 27238	Ca^{++} -activated K^+ channel	16	1
<i>SK</i>	CG10706	VDRC KK 103985	Ca^{++} -activated K^+ channel	16	1
<i>SL02</i>	CG42732	DRSC 32034	Na^+ activated K^+ channel	16	1
<i>stj</i>	CG12295	DRSC 25807	VG Ca^{++} channel	15	1
<i>stj</i>	CG12295	VDRC KK 108569	VG Ca^{++} channel	15	1
<i>Teh2</i>	CG15004	VDRC GD 9037	VG Na^+ auxiliary subunit	16	1
<i>Teh2</i>	CG15004	VDRC KK 104951	VG Na^+ auxiliary subunit	16	1
<i>Teh4</i>	CG15003	VDRC GD 11621	VG Na^+ auxiliary subunit	13	1
<i>Teh4</i>	CG15003	VDRC KK 102161	VG Na^+ auxiliary subunit	16	1
<i>trp</i>	CG7875	DRSC 31649	Light-activated Ca^{++} channel	10	1
<i>trp</i>	CG7875	VDRC GD 1366	Light-activated Ca^{++} channel	14	1

This table includes the list of UAS-RNAi transgenic lines that, when driven exclusively in LNvs, did not produce statistically significant alterations in free running period and/or percentage of rhythmicity compared with *pdf*, *dicer1*+/+ control genotype (after Student's *t* test analysis). *N* indicates number of independent experiments performed; *n* indicates number of individuals tested. The appearance of a gene in this table suggests that it may not be involved in the circadian function of LNvs. However, most of these RNAi constructs have not been individually tested for their actual performance on ion channel knock-down. Moreover, it should be noticed that for some genes, such as *Shal* and *Ca-α1T*, one RNAi construct was able to affect behavior, while others were not. Further investigations are necessary to determine the roles of these channels in LNvs function. Besides the efficiency of the particular RNAi transgenic line, another phenomenon that should be taken into account is that, in some cases, a homeostatic compensation of ion channel downregulation might have taken place. For instance, it is surprising that targeting the gene coding for the only classical voltage-gated sodium channel paralytic (*para*) in LNvs has not resulted in a behavioral phenotype. Most likely, this genetic manipulation has produced compensation, as it has been reported to happen for such an important and therefore highly regulated ion conductance (Lin and Baines, 2015). Interestingly, downregulation of *para* accessory subunit *tipE* does affect circadian behavior (see Table 2), indicating that less compensatory mechanisms may be in place to counterbalance such genetic manipulation, and that affecting *para* in this indirect way is probably having a detrimental effect on LNvs ability to fire action potentials. For all these reasons, this table only provides the information that the specific RNAi transgenic lines shown, in the particular conditions we have used, are not able to affect circadian behavior when driven in LNvs. Further analysis is necessary to make stronger statements in all cases. V: voltage, G: gated, DRSC: *Drosophila* RNAi Screening Center, VDRC: Vienna *Drosophila* Resource Center.

Figure 1. I_h genetic manipulations disrupt circadian locomotor activity organization. Representative double-plotted actograms of the different I_h genetic manipulations tested. **A**, LNvs constitutive downregulation of I_h using *pdf,dicer* and *UAS-I_h^{RNAi}* (in all cases, *UAS-I_h^{RNAi}* refers to the genetic combination of two *UAS-I_h^{RNAi}* constructs: DRSC 29574 + VDRC KK 110274) and genetic controls. **B**, LNvs acute downregulation of I_h using *pdfGS* and *UAS-I_h^{RNAi}* and genetic controls. RU refers to the presence of the steroid RU486, the activator of the GS system, in the food media. **C**, Homozygote I_h null mutants, I_h^{f01485} and I_h^{f03355} , and controls (*w¹¹¹⁸* and heterozygote mutants, crossed by *w¹¹¹⁸*). In the case of the experimental genotypes an actogram of an arrhythmic individual is shown, different genetic manipulations varied in the degree of arrhythmicity (see Table 3). No statistically significant alterations in free running period were found for these genetic manipulations.

Results

LNvs targeted behavioral screen for ion channels

To shed light onto how LNvs achieve the physiological properties that allow them to play a key role in the circadian organization of locomotor activity, we performed an ion channel downregulation behavioral screen. The *pdf-Gal4* driver, in the presence of *UAS-dicer2* (from here on *pdf,dicer*) was used to drive expression of *UAS-RNAi*s to knock down the expression of candidate ion conductances solely in LNvs. The RNAi were chosen to target ion channel genes, genes coding for ion channel auxiliary subunits or genes coding for ion channel transporters which had not been reported to be involved in LNvs-driven circadian phenotypes before. The locomotor activity of *pdf,dicer>RNAi* male flies was recorded using DAM (Trikinetics) for 9 d in DD after 3 d of LD entrainment. Each RNAi was initially tested once and,

in the case of showing a differential phenotype in DD, corresponding to either a change of circadian period or deconsolidation of locomotor activity, experiments were repeated. In some cases, a non-significant trend toward a phenotype was detected; and therefore, two RNAi that targeted different regions of the same gene were genetically combined to achieve a more potent downregulation. Tables 2, 3 show the positive hits of our screen, revealing novel ion channels or ion channel auxiliary subunits likely to play roles in LNvs circadian function, namely: *cacophony* (*cac*, CG1522), *Ca- α 1T* (*Ca²⁺-channel protein α_1 subunit T*, CG15899), *ClC-a* (*Chloride channel-a*, CG31116), *CngA* (*Cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel subunit A*, CG42701), *I_h* (*I_h channel*, CG8585), *Ork1* (*Open rectifier K⁺ channel 1*, CG1615), *Shal* (*Shaker cognate I*, CG9262), and *tipE* (*temperature-induced paralytic E*, CG1232). The RNAi that did not show altered circadian

Figure 2. I_h is important for high-frequency bursting of LNvs. **A**, Representative traces of whole-cell patch clamp recordings of ILNvs of control (*pdf-RFP*, top) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*, bottom). **B**, Representative trace of a recording of a sLNv control (*pdf-RFP*) in whole-cell patch clamp configuration. **C**, Representative traces of cell-attached recordings of sLNvs of control (*pdf-RFP*, top) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*, bottom). **D**, Box plot showing the median and interquartile range of the bursting frequency quantification of ILNvs and sLNvs of control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*). All quantifications were done at exactly 23 min postdissection. Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) after a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test for means comparisons. n : ILNvs_{CONTROL} = 14, ILNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 12, sLNvs_{CONTROL} = 10, sLNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 7.

phenotypes in our screen are listed in Table 4. In total, 70 RNAis aimed at 36 different genes were tested.

Although all of the positive hits of our behavioral screen are worth of further assessment, we focused our attention on the hyperpolarization-activated cation current I_h . Little is known about this channel in *Drosophila*, but its homologues in mammals have been implicated in diverse functions such as the generation of

pacemaker potentials and the determination of neuronal excitability, among others (Luthi and McCormick, 1998). RNAi-mediated downregulation of I_h in LNvs produced a subtle but consistent decrease in locomotor rhythmicity without altering free running period (Table 3; Fig. 1A).

The *pdf*-Gal4 driver used for the ion channel behavioral screen is active throughout development. Therefore, to dissect whether the behavioral phenotype observed was because of a developmental defect or to a postdevelopmental functional role, we downregulated I_h expression in LNvs in an adult-specific fashion using the GS-inducible system (Osterwalder et al., 2001). When the previously reported *pdf*GS driver (Depetris-Chauvin et al., 2011) was used to knock down I_h adult specifically in LNvs, we also observed a decrease in circadian rhythmicity (Table 3; Fig. 1B), indicating that the I_h channel is necessary postdevelopmentally in LNvs for the maintenance of circadian function. As a complementary approach, we assessed the circadian behavior of the I_h mutants, I_h^{f01485} and I_h^{f03355} , which correspond to two independent transposon insertions previously characterized as null mutants because of the absence of I_h mRNA detectable by RT-PCR (Chen and Wang, 2012; Hu et al., 2015) and the lack of I_h protein by Western blotting (Hu et al., 2015). As expected, these mutants also showed reduced rhythmicity under free running conditions (Table 3; Fig. 1C). We found I_h mutants to be less rhythmic than any tissue-specific knock-down (LNvs-specific manipulations). This suggests a requirement for I_h not only in LNvs but also in other neuronal types for the rhythmic organization of locomotor activity under free running conditions. Another possibility, which does not exclude the one proposed, is that null I_h mutations simply produce more robust phenotypes than the RNAi mediated knock-down, which are normally not 100% efficient. All genetic manipulations did not, in any case, produce changes in free running period (Table 3). To assess whether I_h is important for circadian function also under entrained conditions, we analyzed morning and evening anticipatory behavior. Consistent with the strength of the phenotypes observed in DD, we detected a failure in both, morning and evening anticipation in I_h^{f03355} mutants, which is less pronounced in the I_h^{f01485} mutants and the adult-specific downregulation of I_h ; no effects were detected under I_h constitutive knock-down, suggesting a less effective I_h downregulation by this genetic manipulation (Table 3). Taken together, these results suggest that the I_h channel contributes to define the firing properties of neurons controlling circadian behavior.

I_h is necessary for high-frequency bursting firing of LNvs

One of the main reasons why we decided to select I_h as the ion channel for in depth analysis is the association of I_h with the organization of action potential firing in bursts. It has been

Figure 3. Mutation of I_h does not significantly affect other electrophysiological parameters of LNvs. **A**, No statistical significant differences were found in action potential firing rate of ILNvs when comparing control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*). **B**, No statistical significant differences were found in membrane potential (measured as the trough between bursts) of ILNvs when comparing control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*). **C**, No statistical significant differences were found in action potential firing rate of sLNvs when comparing control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*). Membrane potential was not quantified in sLNvs as recordings were made in cell-attached configuration, and it is not possible to measure this parameter under this configuration. All quantifications were done at exactly 23 min postdissection. In all cases, $p > 0.05$ after Student's *t* test. *n*: ILNvs_{CONTROL} = 7, ILNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 8, sLNvs_{CONTROL} = 7, sLNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 6.

reported, mainly from mammalian thalamic relay and inferior olivary nucleus neurons, that a combination of a hyperpolarization-activated cation current such as I_h , together with a low-voltage activated T-type calcium current (a channel type also uncovered by our screen; Table 2), could mediate a bursting firing mode (Luthi and McCormick, 1998). This is because of I_h particular biophysical properties, which opens on hyperpolarization but carries a depolarizing current (mainly because of the influx of Na^+). This current takes the membrane potential to the activation threshold of the T-type voltage-gated Ca^{2+} channel that depolarizes the membrane up to the action potential firing threshold, opening the classical voltage-gated Na^+ channels. Because I_h is slow to close and does not inactivate, the membrane stays in a depolarized state for longer, generating a burst of action potentials. Once I_h closes, the classical voltage-gated K^+ channels that repolarize the membrane, together with the leak K^+ channels, produce the after-hyperpolarization that kick starts the following burst, activating I_h again (Luthi and McCormick, 1998).

Another relevant observation is that firing in bursts is an effective way of releasing neuropeptides, which are stored in dense core vesicles (DCVs). In contrast to small clear vesicles containing classical fast neurotransmitters, neuropeptide-filled DCVs require a larger amount of Ca^{2+} entering the cell to reach the more distantly located DCVs at a concentration that would allow the activation of the fusion and release mechanism (for review, see van den Pol, 2012; Nusbaum et al., 2017). Since ILNvs have been described to fire action potentials in a bursting mode (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008b) and also to be neuropeptide-releasing neurons (Helfrich-Forster, 1995; Renn et al., 1999), the hypothesis we formulated is that I_h participates in the active bursting firing mode of LNvs and plays a role in the release of PDF.

We first tested our hypothesis in the ILNvs, which have effectively been shown to be bursting neurons (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008b). We performed *ex vivo* whole-cell current clamp recordings of control *pdf-RFP* (expressing a red fluorophore in the LNvs thus enabling the identification of the two neuronal types because of the difference in the size of their soma) ILNvs and compared their bursting frequency to I_h^{f03355} homozygote mutants. Since we have reported that ILNv bursting

frequency also depends on synaptic inputs that are disrupted during the dissection protocol (Muraro and Ceriani, 2015) we compared the bursting frequency of control and I_h^{f03355} mutant ILNvs at exactly the same time postdissection (23 min). Figure 2A,D shows that although ILNvs from I_h^{f03355} homozygote mutants can still organize their action potential firing in bursts, they do so at a statistically significant lower frequency [mean bursting frequency $\pm$ SEM (bursts/minute) are ILNvs_{CONTROL} = 26.2 ± 0.9 and ILNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 17.5 ± 1.4]. Other parameters, such as overall firing frequency and membrane potential, were not significantly affected in I_h^{f03355} mutants (Fig. 3A and B). The frequency of bursts is reduced without a significant decrease of the firing rate in the mutants; as a result, an increased number of spikes per burst is clearly visible (Fig. 2A).

Next, we tested our hypothesis in the sLNvs. Information regarding sLNv electrophysiological properties is scarce (Cao and Nitabach, 2008; Li et al., 2018), probably because of the technical challenge that their small soma size represents. However, given the important role that sLNvs play in the control of circadian behavior, we analyzed their firing properties in detail. We report here that the sLNvs also fire action potentials organized in bursts (Fig. 2B). Obtaining a large amount of recordings in whole-cell configuration was a difficult task to achieve; thus, we recorded action potential firing rate and bursting frequency in a cell-attached configuration of the sLNvs of control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h^{f03355} homozygote mutants. We found that, similarly to ILNvs, sLNvs show a decreased bursting frequency in the absence of I_h [mean bursting frequency $\pm$ SEM (bursts/minute) are sLNvs_{CONTROL} = 25.7 ± 1.2 and sLNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 19.3 ± 1.3 ; Fig. 2C,D], without significantly affecting overall firing frequency (Fig. 3C).

A feature that should be remarked is that both types of LNvs display equivalent basal bursting frequencies (Fig. 2D), suggesting that this parameter depends on common synaptic inputs and/or shared intrinsic mechanisms. We have previously reported that ILNvs bursting frequency relies to some extent on synaptic inputs coming from the visual neuropiles, which indirectly involve L2 lamina neurons and the neurotransmitter acetylcholine (Muraro and Ceriani, 2015). The dependence of ILNv bursting on these synaptic inputs is illustrated by the fact that this parameter decays as a function of the time elapsed since brain dissection that

Figure 4. sLNvs bursting depends on synaptic inputs. As has been demonstrated before for ILNvs (Muraro and Ceriani, 2015), we show here that sLNvs bursting frequency also decays as a function of the time *ex vivo*. **A**, The number of bursts in the initial minute of recording of nine individual control (*pdf-RFP*) sLNvs recorded at different times postdissection is shown. For the late points the preparation was left in the chamber on purpose before establishing the recording. **B**, Shows the bursting frequency of five individual control (*pdf-RFP*) sLNvs where the recordings were long enough to appreciate the decay in this parameter as a function of time postdissection not only as a population as in **A**, but as individual cells. **C**, Shows 30-s windows of cell-attached recording of a representative sLNv (sLNv3 in **B**) at different times postdissection. From top to bottom, the 30 s starting at 25, 35, 45, and 55 min postdissection are shown. At the beginning of the recording, all action potentials are organized in bursts. As time passes, action potentials become less organized in bursts, going through a phase of bursting-tonic firing and becoming purely tonic toward the end. This figure shows that the fact that in **A**, **B** the neurons have a tendency toward the zero bursting frequency does not mean that the neurons are not firing, but that they are doing so in a tonic mode. **D**, Box plot showing the median and interquartile range of the bursting frequency quantification of ILNvs and sLNvs of control (*pdf-RFP*) and I_h homozygote mutant genotypes (I_h^{f03355} ; *pdf-RFP*), these quantifications were done at exactly 33 min postdissection. Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) after a one-way ANOVA with Tukey's test for means comparisons. n : ILNvs_{CONTROL} = 7, ILNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 8, sLNvs_{CONTROL} = 8, sLNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 5.

removes the retina (Muraro and Ceriani, 2015). We found that sLNv bursting frequency also decays with time *ex vivo*, which can be seen both at the population level (Fig. 4A) and also in individual cells (Fig. 4B,C), suggesting that both types of LNvs depend on synaptic inputs which are gradually lost after dissection. Alternatively, it might be that ILNvs rely on visual circuit

inputs to burst, and sLNv bursting depends on ILNv bursting. Certainly, the neuronal processes of ILNvs are better localized, spanning all over the optic lobes, to integrate visual information. However, the sLNvs have been shown to receive direct input from the Hofbauer-Buchner (HB) eyelet extraretinal organ (Schlichting et al., 2016), whose integrity may also be compromised during dissection. Whether sLNvs and ILNvs rely on similar or different synaptic inputs to support bursting frequency, or whether one LNv group depends on the other to detect synaptic information from visual organs, will require further investigation.

We also compared bursting frequency in the same recordings but at a different time postdissection; as expected, this analysis also showed that both ILNvs and sLNvs present equivalent bursting frequency, and the lack of I_h produces a significant reduction of this parameter, which is of the same magnitude in the two LNv groups [mean bursting frequency $\pm$ SEM (bursts/minute) are as following ILNvs_{CONTROL} = 21.0 ± 0.9 , ILNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 13.8 ± 0.9 , sLNvs_{CONTROL} = 21.1 ± 1.5 , sLNvs _{I_h^{f03355}} = 14.6 ± 0.9 ; compare Figs. 4D, 2D]. Although we cannot be certain of the effects of I_h over the bursting frequency of LNvs in an intact animal, our *ex vivo* results suggest that both LNv clusters share common mechanisms to control their bursting firing frequency, which appear to be controlled intrinsically, likely involving the I_h current, as well as rely on synaptic inputs.

I_h channel and the sLNvs outputs

Over the years, it has been demonstrated that communication from the sLNvs to other clock clusters is crucial for coherent circadian behavior under free running conditions (Renn et al., 1999; Peng et al., 2003; Grima et al., 2004; Stoleru et al., 2004; Fernandez et al., 2007; Yoshii et al., 2009; Yao and Shafer, 2014; Frenkel et al., 2017). The rhythmic accumulation of PDF neuropeptide in sLNvs axonal termini has been implicated in this communication, with high immunoreactivity detected in the early morning and low immunoreactivity at night (Park et al., 2000). We hypothesized that release of DVCs containing PDF would be affected by the decrease in bursting activity that accompanies I_h downregulation.

To test this, we performed anti-PDF immunofluorescence in whole brains of flies with adult-specific downregulation of I_h . Figure 5A,B shows that PDF immunoreactivity in controls (*pdfGS/+*) displays the normal cycling pattern; however, on downregulation of I_h (in *pdfGS>I_h^{RNAi}*) PDF levels at the

axonal termini are constantly reduced and clamped in a night-like state.

In addition to PDF cyclic accumulation, sLNvs show circadian variation of the complexity of their axonal arborizations (Fernandez et al., 2008) to contact different synaptic targets at different times of the day (Gorostiza et al., 2014). This structural synaptic plasticity has been shown to be activity-dependent (Depetris-Chauvin et al., 2011; Sivachenko et al., 2013; Petsakou et al., 2015), therefore we wondered whether I_h downregulation would affect this property. Figure 5C,D shows that total axonal crosses measured by Sholl analysis in controls display the normal cycling pattern, where the terminals are maximally spread (and more complex) in the early morning and less complex at night, where axonal terminals are collapsed together. In contrast, I_h downregulation leads to axonal projections that display little complexity throughout the day, accompanying the reduced PDF levels. Our speculation on why I_h downregulation leaves both, PDF and terminal complexity at levels similar to ZT14 is that I_h underlies high activity bursting firing, a property that is functional during the day. Downregulation of this channel impairs this high-frequency bursting that would be associated to increased PDF levels and the spreading of sLNv axonal projections in the morning, both phenomena that have been described to be clock and activity-dependent (Depetris-Chauvin et al., 2011; Sivachenko et al., 2013; Petsakou et al., 2015). Moreover, we have previously described that structural plasticity depends on PDF levels (Depetris-Chauvin et al., 2014), so the collapsed state of the projections could be linked to PDF decrease as well. To corroborate whether the defects shown on I_h downregulation are linked to reduced PDF levels we used the GS system to express *pdf* in the context of I_h downregulation. Figure 6A,C,D shows that indeed, in the context of a surplus of PDF, cycling of this neuropeptide in the sLNvs axonal terminals is restored, while PDF expression in controls cycles with reduced (yet significant) amplitude.

To investigate whether the decreased PDF levels seen at the dorsal projections are because of decreased PDF production or to a failure to recruit PDF-loaded vesicles (i.e., transport) toward the axonal terminal, we measured PDF levels in the sLNv somas. We analyzed somatic PDF levels (see methods) and found that PDF immunoreactivity cycles in the sLNv somas in a way that resembles its cycling at the axonal terminals, with more PDF during the early morning and less PDF at the beginning of the night (Fig. 6B,E,F). Interestingly, in the context of I_h downregulation, somatic PDF shows an abnormal accumulation during the night, which could be because of a decreased daytime transport toward the axonal terminals that results in anti-phase cycling of somatic PDF levels. PDF overexpression per se increases overall levels, preventing PDF cycling in the somas, albeit not in the terminals. On the other hand, PDF overexpression in the context of I_h knock-down does not rescue the night-time abnormal PDF accumulation in the somas, however, it does rescue cycling in the projections (Fig. 6C).

Figure 5. I_h downregulation affects PDF levels and structural plasticity. **A**, Confocal images of representative sLNvs dorsal projections of individual flies of control (*pdfGS/+*) and I_h downregulation (*pdfGS>I_h^{RNAi}*) at day (top) and night (bottom) showing their PDF content. Flies were kept in LD 12:12 at 25°C for 7 d in food containing RU486. Brains were dissected at ZT02 and ZT14 and standard anti-PDF immunofluorescence detection was performed. The bar indicates 10 μ m. **B**, PDF quantitation of the sLNvs dorsal projections for the four conditions mentioned before. Circles represent day time, squares, night time; empty symbols are the control genotype (*pdfGS/+*) and filled symbols, the experimental one (*pdfGS>I_h^{RNAi}*). Different letters indicate significant differences, analysis included a two-way ANOVA (genotype and time of day; $F_{(3,150)} = 18.58$, $p < 0.0001$ with Tukey's *post hoc* test, $\alpha = 0.05$), $n = 35$ –43 per group. **C**, Confocal images of sLNvs projections illustrating their complexity at ZT02 and ZT14 for both in the control and I_h downregulated genotypes. Procedure as in **A** but with immunofluorescence against GFP. The bar indicates 10 μ m. **D**, Complexity quantitation was assessed by Sholl analysis (Imagel) corroborated by visual inspection of each picture. Symbols as in **B**, analysis included a two-way ANOVA ($F_{(3,123)} = 4.24$, $p < 0.01$ with Tukey's *post hoc* test, $\alpha = 0.05$). In **B** and **D** the mean $\pm$ SEM are shown. Different letters indicate significant differences. $n = 34$ –38 per group.

Although PDF overexpression rescues some of the I_h -related phenotypes at the cellular level, it fails to rescue free running behavior (Fig. 6G,H). A plausible explanation for this may be that PDF cycling in the terminals, although rescued, still shows reduced amplitude (Fig. 6D) and may not be enough to synchronize the remaining clusters. Alternatively, I_h downregulation and the associated reduction of bursting frequency may be affecting the release of other neuropeptides or neurotransmitters besides PDF, which might also contribute to the neuronal communication needed to maintain rhythmicity under constant conditions. PDF expression in the context of I_h downregulation subtly shortens the free-running period (Fig. 6H), which is reminiscent of reduced PDF levels (Renn et al., 1999), although the underlying mechanisms remain to be explored.

Overall, these results indicate that I_h defines an essential property of the sLNvs that ensures proper regulation of neuropeptide levels and structural plasticity and provide a causal link between the alteration of electrical activity and the disruption of circadian behavior. Moreover, the careful determination of PDF levels in the sLNv somas suggest that in the context of I_h downregulation there is defective PDF transport toward the axonal projections, underscoring that action potential firing in bursts is responsible for an active recruitment of DVCs to the terminals. Although the aberrant transport is a parsimonious hypothesis, caution is called for when interpreting dynamic events by interval sampling from fixed tissues. Further work will be necessary to specifically dissect the role of I_h in these complex processes.

Figure 6. PDF transport is affected on I_h manipulation. **A, B**, Confocal images of representative sLNv projections (**A**) and somas (**B**) of individual flies of *pdfGS*/+ (control), *pdfGS*> I_h^{RNAi} (I_h), *pdfGS*>UAS-*pdf* (*pdfOX*) and *pdfGS*>UAS-*pdf*, I_h^{RNAi} (*pdfOX, lh*) at day (left) and night (right) showing their PDF content. Flies were kept in LD 12:12 at 25°C for 7 d in food containing RU486. Brains were dissected at ZT02 and ZT14 and standard anti-PDF immunofluorescence detection was performed. Bars indicate 10 μ m. **C, E**, PDF quantitation of the sLNv dorsal projections (**C**) or somas (**E**) for the four genotypes mentioned before. Circles represent day time, squares, night time; each color is a different genotype. Asterisks represent significant statistical differences. For the projections, a non-parametric ANOVA Kruskal–Wallis test and Dunn’s comparisons test showed differences among the two time points in control, *pdfOX* and *pdfOX, lh* groups but not in *lh* group (Kruskal–Wallis statistic_(8,196) = 71.95, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 18–28$). Immunoreactivity from somas was analyzed with one-way ANOVA and Sidak’s multiple comparisons test and revealed differences between the two time points in every genotype except *pdfOX*, although in *lh* and *pdfOX, lh* showed differences in the anti-phase direction compared with the control, ANOVA $F_{(7,120)} = 10.95$, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 10–22$ (each point is the average of three to four cell somas for one hemi-brain of an individual fly). **D, F**, Morning to evening PDF level ratios for axonal projections (**D**) or somas (**F**). **G**, Locomotor behavior under constant darkness of the same genotypes as before. Experiments were performed as in Figure 1 and Table 3. The rhythmicity measured as power-significance was analyzed by Kruskal–Wallis test followed by Dunn’s comparisons test and showed a significant reduction of power-significance in *lh* and *pdfOX, lh* compared with control and *pdfOX* as indicated by different letters (Kruskal–Wallis statistic_(4,31) = 31.40, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 65–72$). **H**, Free running period values were analyzed as well. The same type of analysis reveals a reduction of tau in *pdfOX, lh* compared with all the other genotypes as indicated by a different letter (Kruskal–Wallis statistic_(4,31) = 38.28, $p < 0.0001$, $n = 45–58$). In **C, G** and **H** the median $\pm$ confidence intervals are shown. In **E** the mean $\pm$ Standard Deviation is shown. ns, not significant.

and to investigate other possibilities such as aberrant turnover and altered peptide release.

Sleep and the I_h channel

We then examined whether reduction in bursting firing frequency and hence, neuropeptide release, could affect sleep behavior. We first quantified sleep behavior in I_h^{f03355} mutants and found that homozygotes displayed an increase in total sleep, mainly because of a significant rise in the number of sleep bouts, which were shorter in duration but still resulted in an increase in total sleep during nighttime. Notably, the increase in sleep was more conspicuous toward the end of the night (Fig. 7A–D; Table 5). Given the ubiquitous nature of this genetic manipulation we reasoned that the deconsolidated sleep phenotype could arise

from the lack of I_h in a plethora of neurons. To narrow down the cells where I_h is required for sleep regulation we continued the analysis using I_h RNAi-mediated downregulation in circumscribed neuronal groups.

It has previously been demonstrated a significant role of the ILNvs in arousal, as the PDF released by these neurons works as a strong arousal signal (Parisky et al., 2008; Shang et al., 2008; Sheeba et al., 2008a). We therefore analyzed sleep after acute downregulation of the I_h channel in the ILNvs along with other non-circadian peptidergic neurons (combining the *c929-Gal4* driver with the TARGET system; McGuire et al., 2004a). Similar to the I_h mutants, these flies exhibited an increase in the number of sleep episodes that resulted in a significant rise in nighttime sleep (Figs. 7E–H, 8; Table 5); however, the duration of the sleep

Figure 7. Genetic manipulations of I_h increase sleep. **A, E, I,** Sleep ethograms for the indicated genotypes, quantification of the relative amount of sleep every 30 min as a function of the time of the day (starting at ZT = 0, when lights are turned on) and its standard deviation (shadowed area). Black and white bars at the bottom represent daytime (white) and nighttime (black). **B, F, J,** Boxplots showing the total amount of sleep minutes for each genotype. **C, G, K,** Boxplots showing the average duration of sleep episodes for each genotype. **D, H, L,** Boxplots showing the total amount of sleep episodes for each genotype. For all the boxplots, different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) after non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis statistical analysis with multiple comparisons (p adjustment method = BH). Box represents the median and interquartile range of each parameter. For more information on sleep parameters see Table 5.

bouts remains unchanged, indicating that the short sleep bout phenotype observed in I_h mutants must derive from the lack of I_h in neurons not covered by the $c929-Gal4$ driver or to the lack of I_h in $c929-Gal4$ positive neurons during development (see Table 5).

Although PDF released from the sLNvs has not been shown to play an arousal role as the one released by the lLNvs, diverse lines of evidence lend support to the notion that sLNvs can have an impact on sleep behavior (Chen et al., 2016; Guo et al., 2016). To test whether I_h from sLNvs had any influence on sleep

behavior, we resorted to the sLNv driver $R6-Gal4$. This $Gal4$ line drives expression almost exclusively in the sLNv and very little (if at all) in the lLNv, with occasional expression in a few other non-clock neurons (Helfrich-Forster et al., 2007). As a consequence of acute downregulation of the I_h channel with $R6-Gal4$, flies showed a robust increase in the amount of sleep, both at daytime and nighttime (Figs. 7I–L, 8; Table 5). Surprisingly, this rise in sleep was because of a more consolidated sleep, as the sleep bout number was reduced but episodes lasted longer in the

Table 5. Sleep parameters after genetic manipulation of I_h

Genotype	Total sleep (min)	Daytime sleep (min)	Nighttime sleep (min)	Bout duration (min)	Sleep bout number	Latency lights on (min)	Latency lights off (min)	Activity index	n	N	Temperature (°C)
I_h^{f03355}	1026.6 ± 17.8^a	430.0 ± 14.3 ^a	599.9 ± 8.4^a	17.6 ± 0.6^b	58.5 ± 1.8^a	25.0 ± 5.8^b	15.3 ± 1.9^b	4.24 ± 0.18^a	56	3	25
$I_h^{f03355}/+$	956.9 ± 21.6 ^{a,b}	394.6 ± 11.8 ^a	560.5 ± 15.0 ^{a,b}	29.0 ± 1.6 ^a	36.4 ± 1.7 ^b	71.5 ± 6.3 ^a	27.1 ± 3.7 ^a	2.18 ± 0.08 ^b	69		
w^{1118}	922.2 ± 20.8 ^b	380.5 ± 12.6 ^a	533.4 ± 17.4 ^b	28.4 ± 1.7 ^a	35.3 ± 1.6 ^b	78.7 ± 7.3 ^a	27.6 ± 3.0 ^a	2.02 ± 0.05 ^b	66		
$c929-Gal4; tub-Gal80^{TS} > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	921.7 ± 31.6^a	464.1 ± 16.1 ^a	457.7 ± 21.6^a	17.0 ± 0.9 ^a	57.5 ± 1.9^a	18.1 ± 3.1 ^a	15.9 ± 2.7 ^b	2.16 ± 0.07 ^b	58	2	30
$c929-Gal4; tub-Gal80^{TS}/+$	773.0 ± 50.7 ^b	432.6 ± 25.5 ^a	340.4 ± 30.3 ^b	15.7 ± 0.9 ^a	49.4 ± 1.8 ^b	51.0 ± 12.0 ^a	45.7 ± 8.1 ^a	1.88 ± 0.05 ^c	31		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	793.5 ± 38.1 ^b	424.8 ± 21.5 ^a	368.7 ± 21.1 ^b	16.0 ± 0.8 ^a	50.6 ± 1.4 ^b	25.5 ± 7.5 ^a	17.4 ± 2.9 ^b	2.52 ± 0.09 ^a	61		
$R6-Gal4; tub-Gal80^{TS} > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	1264.9 ± 14.2^a	636.7 ± 7.6^a	630.7 ± 21.6^a	37.1 ± 2.5^a	41.7 ± 2.0^c	2.2 ± 0.7^c	16.8 ± 3.7 ^b	2.63 ± 0.08^a	85	3	30
$R6-Gal4; tub-Gal80^{TS}/+$	1195.6 ± 19.6 ^b	605.9 ± 10.8 ^b	589.6 ± 30.3 ^b	31.2 ± 3.1 ^b	47.3 ± 1.9 ^b	5.4 ± 1.1 ^b	24.7 ± 6.2 ^b	2.16 ± 0.06 ^b	87		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	1015.7 ± 23.7 ^c	515.0 ± 12.8 ^c	504.6 ± 21.1 ^c	20.5 ± 0.8 ^c	52.5 ± 1.2 ^a	13.6 ± 2.8 ^a	18.2 ± 2.4 ^a	2.21 ± 0.08 ^b	89		
$pdf-Gal4, UAS-dicer2; tub-Gal80^{TS} > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	955.9 ± 27.8^a	603.5 ± 12.3 ^{ab}	357.7 ± 20.5^a	25.8 ± 1.1 ^b	39.2 ± 1.6 ^a	17.2 ± 3.3 ^b	19.4 ± 4.6^b	1.82 ± 0.03 ^a	61	2	30
$pdf-Gal4, UAS-dicer2; tub-Gal80^{TS}/+$	847.9 ± 15.7 ^b	632.4 ± 7.4 ^a	215.5 ± 12.5 ^c	30.1 ± 1.0 ^a	30.0 ± 1.8 ^b	22.5 ± 1.7 ^a	36.5 ± 5.6 ^a	1.64 ± 0.03 ^b	63		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	848.7 ± 20.0 ^b	573.1 ± 12.9 ^b	274.9 ± 15.6 ^b	22.4 ± 1.1 ^c	41.9 ± 1.7 ^a	14.8 ± 1.9 ^b	24.5 ± 3.8 ^a	1.81 ± 0.03 ^a	61		
$c929-Gal4 > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	980.5 ± 29.9 ^a	496.1 ± 15.0^a	484.1 ± 17.2 ^a	18.2 ± 1.3 ^a	60.3 ± 2.1 ^a	8.6 ± 2.4^c	21.7 ± 2.6 ^b	1.98 ± 0.04^a	72	3	25
$c929-Gal4/+$	793.1 ± 26.8 ^b	394.4 ± 13.5 ^c	401.4 ± 17.1 ^b	15.5 ± 0.9 ^a	52.7 ± 1.5 ^b	56.5 ± 7.7 ^a	32.3 ± 3.0 ^a	1.89 ± 0.03 ^{a,b}	71		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	919.0 ± 29.5 ^a	444.5 ± 15.9 ^b	474.9 ± 17.3 ^a	16.9 ± 1.1 ^a	58.7 ± 1.6 ^a	19.9 ± 4.0 ^b	26.5 ± 5.1 ^b	1.80 ± 0.03 ^b	70		
$R6-Gal4 > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	1111.7 ± 27.7^a	545.9 ± 15.7^a	551.2 ± 14.9^a	27.2 ± 0.6^a	53.3 ± 2.9 ^a	6.8 ± 2.6^b	30.9 ± 7.2 ^a	2.21 ± 0.09 ^a	65	3	25
$R6-Gal4/+$	854.5 ± 35.9 ^b	409.7 ± 18.0 ^b	440.9 ± 20.8 ^b	15.6 ± 1.2 ^b	58.2 ± 2.3 ^a	19.0 ± 3.0 ^a	26.6 ± 4.4 ^a	2.06 ± 0.03 ^a	71		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	826.9 ± 28.1 ^b	400.6 ± 16.4 ^b	423.6 ± 15.5 ^b	14.1 ± 2.9 ^b	59.9 ± 1.4 ^a	32.9 ± 5.4 ^a	24.2 ± 2.5 ^a	1.81 ± 0.03 ^b	70		
$pdf-Gal4, UAS-dicer2 > UAS-I_h^{RNAi}$	715.9 ± 37.8 ^b	330.6 ± 20.7 ^b	385.3 ± 21.6 ^b	14.3 ± 0.9 ^b	52.2 ± 1.9 ^a	27.5 ± 6.2 ^b	36.5 ± 6.2 ^a	1.75 ± 0.04 ^b	64	2	25
$pdf-Gal4, UAS-dicer2/+$	714.0 ± 29.3 ^b	320.8 ± 15.3 ^b	393.3 ± 18.3 ^b	14.0 ± 0.7 ^b	52.4 ± 1.6 ^a	70.0 ± 7.1 ^a	42.4 ± 6.3 ^a	1.73 ± 0.03 ^b	56		
$UAS-I_h^{RNAi}/+$	937.5 ± 28.1 ^a	414.3 ± 18.4 ^a	525.5 ± 15.2 ^a	18.7 ± 0.9 ^a	52.6 ± 1.7 ^a	39.0 ± 6.5 ^b	21.3 ± 4.6 ^b	1.89 ± 0.03 ^a	64		

The following sleep parameters on the different genetic manipulations presented in the first column are shown: total sleep, daytime sleep, nighttime sleep, sleep bout duration, bout amount, latency to lights on, latency to lights off, and activity index (defined as the average activity counts in the active minutes). The last column shows the temperature at which each experiment was performed. Average ± SEM (Standard Error of the Mean) of N experiments using a final n number of individuals are displayed. Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) after non parametric Kruskal–Wallis statistical analysis with multiple comparisons (p adjustment method = BH). Sleep parameters where the experimental genotype showed statistically significant differences compared with genetic controls are displayed in bold.

experimental flies compared with the genetic controls. I_h downregulation experiments were also performed constitutively, showing similar tendencies to the acute ones (Table 5).

We then tested the effect of I_h downregulation in all PDF-positive neurons and found that this manipulation results in an increase in nighttime sleep when performed acutely using the TARGET system (Fig. 8). However, in the case of constitutive downregulation, no significant differences were identified (Fig. 8; Table 5). It should be noted that the $pdf-Gal4, UAS-dicer2$ control line used for these experiments shows abnormally short sleep bouts, likely underscoring some genetic background effects. This short sleep time of the parental line may conceal a subtle sleep phenotype associated to I_h constitutive downregulation, perhaps resulting in an underestimation of the effect in the acute manipulation too. Alternatively, both groups could be contributing to sleep regulation through different mechanisms/signals that, when impaired at the same time, result in a nonlinear combination of effects. Acute and truly cell-type-specific manipulations of LNvs are therefore required to further dissect their role on the control of sleep behavior.

Collectively, our work demonstrates that I_h certainly plays a role in the control of sleep behavior, both on the overall levels and the timing of sleep. Alterations in the timing of sleep is particularly prevalent, highlighted by a recurrent decrease in the latency to the first sleep episode after lights-on observed in the majority of the I_h genetic manipulations (Table 5). Further work will be necessary to pinpoint how different neurons recruit I_h to regulate various aspects of their physiology. In particular, the role of neuropeptides in sleep control is widely recognized and involves many neurons throughout the brain. We have initiated here an analysis that includes heterogeneous neuronal clusters including the sLNvs and the lLNvs, but it is likely that I_h manipulation will impair neuropeptide trafficking in other sleep-related neurons as well.

Discussion

The physiology of a particular neuron is not regulated by a single ion channel type but by a complex array of different players: they go from the leak conductances that determine input resistance and resting membrane potential which influence dendritic processes, including summation and propagation of synaptic inputs, to the abundance and quality of voltage-gated ion channels that determine the dynamics of action potential firing, and ultimately dictate the release of classical neurotransmitters and neuropeptides. If we add to this picture the channels that are directly or indirectly activated by ligands, we will be able to comprehend, and model, neuronal physiology. We have performed a downregulation screen to describe novel ion channels playing roles in establishing the electrical properties of the LNvs, with the aim of advancing the understanding of LNvs physiology. We focused our attention on I_h , a poorly studied ionic current in *Drosophila*.

Since the discovery of the first hyperpolarization-gated current in cardiac function (Noma and Irisawa, 1976) a great deal of information has been gained about the role of this type of channels in determining the physiology of the mammalian heart and brain (Luthi and McCormick, 1998). An interesting feature of I_h channels is that they are not only sensitive to hyperpolarization, but are also modulated by cyclic nucleotides, hence the name of the channel family hyperpolarization cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN). The mammalian genome contains four HCN channel genes HCN1–HCN4, each with specific activation characteristics, distinct but in some cases partially overlapping expression patterns, and different roles in neuronal physiology (He et al., 2014). *Drosophila*'s I_h channel is the sole member of the HCN family in its genome (Marx et al., 1999), but up to 12 different splice variants can be generated, providing diverse channels with particular biophysical properties (Gisselmann et al., 2005). A phylogenetic analysis indicates that *Drosophila*

Figure 8. Relative contribution of specific clusters to sleep control. Boxplots show daytime and nighttime sleep duration on RNAi-mediated I_h downregulation using *c929-Gal4* (top panel), *R6-Gal4* (middle panel), and *pdf-Gal4* (bottom panel), along with their genetic controls. Adult-specific manipulations performed using the TARGET system are shown in the left and correspond to the second day at the permissive temperature of 30°C. Constitutive genetic manipulations are shown on the right, all performed at the standard temperature of 25°C. Different letters indicate significant differences ($p < 0.05$) after non-parametric Kruskal–Wallis statistical analysis with multiple comparisons (p adjustment method = BH). Box represents the median and inter-quartile range of each parameter. For more detailed information on sleep parameters see Table 5.

I_h (also referred in the literature as DMIH) diverged from a common ancestor before the emergence of the four vertebrate subtypes (Jackson et al., 2007). Interestingly, the domain organization of I_h is similar to its vertebrate counterparts, and the interaction between domains is conserved to the point that domain swapping between *Drosophila* I_h and vertebrate HCN channels produce similar biophysical results (Ishii et al., 2007).

Drosophila I_h has not been explored in depth yet but it has been reported in the visual system where it regulates the release of glutamate from amacrine cells (Hu et al., 2015), and at the larval neuromuscular junction where it affects neurotransmitter

release (Hegle et al., 2017). An analysis of I_h mutants shows that this channel controls a variety of behaviors (Chen and Wang, 2012). Particularly relevant for our work is the fact that I_h has been reported to control circadian rhythms and sleep in *Drosophila* by acting on dopaminergic neurons (Gonzalo-Gomez et al., 2012). Although Gonzalo-Gomez et al. (2012) did not find any PDF disruptions in the sLNvs of the I_h mutants they generated, our current analysis of adult-specific downregulation of I_h shows that it does affect PDF levels and the structural plasticity at the sLNvs dorsal projections, as well as the accumulation of PDF in the somas albeit with altered circadian dynamics. This highlights the importance of using strategies where a genetic

Figure 9. Model summarizing the findings reported and the hypotheses raised by this work. I_h channel (in green) responds to membrane hyperpolarization and is modulated by cyclic nucleotides, serving as coincidence detector for electrical and chemical signals mediated by ligands that activate G-protein-coupled receptors (such as PDF, dopamine, or other neuropeptides, symbolized by “modulator,” in blue). I_h function is necessary to allow LNVs to fire action potentials in a high-frequency bursting mode, which permits the release of PDF (in orange) at high levels and in a timely manner (top neuron). In the absence or on I_h knock-down (bottom neuron), bursting does not reach such high frequency, and PDF levels at the axonal projections are reduced. Associated to the decreased bursting frequency, large quantities of PDF accumulate at the soma, likely because of a failure in DVCs transport. This may give rise to a hypothetical aberrant PDF release from the overloaded soma, likely overriding the internal temporal control. All in all, these cellular disruptions result in anomalies at the behavioral level, such as disorganization of circadian locomotor activity and an increase in sleep. At the level of the axonal projections, the model represents an early daytime situation, where in control animals PDF levels are high and the axonal terminal are spread out. However, the accumulation and possible aberrant release of PDF from the soma is more likely to happen during the night (Fig. 6).

manipulation is performed acutely, to avoid homeostatic compensations that may conceal a phenotype. Together, the collective evidence indicates that I_h may be modulating circadian rhythms and sleep by exerting its role in more than one neuronal type. Whether the molecular mechanisms that regulate and are regulated by I_h in LNVs and in dopaminergic neurons are similar will require further examination.

Sleep behavior has been previously reported in I_h mutant flies, and, taking into account our contribution, the accumulated evidence raises some controversy that deserves special attention. Using an independently generated I_h null mutant, Gonzalo-Gomez et al. (2012) reported that total sleep was unchanged; but they showed, similar to our results, a deconsolidation of sleep resulting from an increase in sleep bout number of shorter duration (Gonzalo-Gomez et al., 2012). On the other hand, during the initial characterization of the mutants used in our study, Chen et al., reported the opposite sleep phenotype, that is, a decrease in total sleep, with no changes in bout number (Chen and Wang, 2012). One important element to take into account is that I_h mutant flies are hyperactive (Table 5) and therefore inferring sleep from activity data should be approached with caution. Since I_h mutants display an increase in sleep, and hyperactivity would result in an underestimation of sleep, our results are validated. It is not uncommon to come across published fly sleep data inferred from activity monitoring where basal activity is not reported (Chen and Wang, 2012), a practice that warrants further attention.

Perhaps more informative than the mutants is our sleep analysis following acute and cluster-specific downregulation of I_h .

We show here that $c929+$ peptidergic neurons use I_h to promote arousal, which in the case of the ILNVs would likely be mediated by PDF release following high-frequency neuronal bursting. However, the release of other neuropeptides could also be affected by I_h downregulation; in fact additional neurons besides ILNVs contribute to the waking state within the $c929$ -Gal4 driven group (Parisky et al., 2008). Moreover, specific downregulation of I_h in the sLNVs also results in an increase of sleep, however, this increase corresponds to a more consolidated sleep and therefore, although an increase in total sleep is produced on I_h downregulation with both R6-Gal4 and $c929$ -Gal4, the properties of these sleep increases are different. This may indicate that, either the neuropeptide/s these neuronal clusters are releasing with the help of I_h , or the effects these signals are conveying to their particular downstream targets, are probably different. These findings should be thoroughly characterized in the future, as they suggest a participation of the sLNVs in the neuronal circuits governing sleep. Interestingly, in a recent genome-wide association study, ion channels were one of the two main pathways associated to sleep duration both in humans and flies, indicating an evolutionarily conserved function of ion channels in regulating a complex behavior such as sleep (Allebrandt et al., 2017).

Although no role has been directly demonstrated for I_h in *Drosophila* clock neurons before our work, the HCN family has been proposed to contribute to the circadian variations in neuronal excitability in the mammalian suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN; Colwell, 2011). HCN channels have been reported to be expressed in the SCN (Notomi and Shigemoto, 2004) but their function has been difficult to discern because of a lack of strong

and significant phenotypes following genetic and pharmacologic manipulations, which could be because of the heterogeneity of the SCN neuronal population and the genetic compensation that may arise from having several HCN channel genes (de Jeu and Pennartz, 1997; O'Neill et al., 2008; Atkinson et al., 2011). Relevant to our study, bursting firing in thalamic intergeniculate leaflet neurons, which act to regulate circadian rhythms generated by the SCN, is decreased when I_h is inhibited (Ying et al., 2011). Also in accordance to our findings are the results from HCN genetic manipulation in the heart sinoatrial node cells where the lack of I_h (called I_f in the context of the heart) produces bradycardia (a decreased heart rate equivalent to a decreased bursting frequency in neurons; for review, see Herrmann et al., 2011). Taking advantage of the fact that *Drosophila* clock neuron clusters are well identifiable, and that there is only one member of the HCN channel family, we were able to show that I_h is a crucial player defining the high activity bursting physiology of LNvs, and that this regulates neuronal outputs and behavior.

The importance of cyclic nucleotide cascades in LNvs has been recognized before (Shang et al., 2011; Duvall and Taghert, 2013; Sabado et al., 2017). Our screen has uncovered two cyclic nucleotide-modulated channels (I_h and CngA), suggesting that the integration of information signaled by cyclic nucleotides is crucial for circadian function at rapid time frames, a hypothesis that has already been proposed (Seluzicki et al., 2014; Sabado et al., 2017). The case of I_h , being modulated by both hyperpolarizing voltage and cyclic nucleotides provides additional complexity, as they could serve as coincidence detectors (Fig. 9, scheme). The biophysics of I_h , and therefore the firing properties of LNvs, are affected by both the membrane voltage and the levels of cyclic nucleotides, therefore it is likely that the timing of arrival of these signals may significantly affect the LNvs neuronal output. Albeit purely speculative for the LNvs, the I_h current has been proposed to work as a coincidence detector in other systems (Yamada et al., 2005; Pavlov et al., 2011; Baumann et al., 2013). Further research will be necessary to reveal which are the neuronal inputs that contribute to the hyperpolarization and to the variations of cyclic nucleotide levels. Interestingly, HCN channels have been reported to be activated by vasoactive intestinal peptide (Sun et al., 2003), which is considered a functional homolog of PDF. Therefore, activation of the PDFR signaling cascade could result in an increase in cyclic nucleotide (i.e., cAMP), thus modulating I_h , adding players to the already complicated integration of synaptic and cell-autonomous cues coordinated at the sLNvs.

One question that remains to be answered is whether the circadian clock is directly regulating I_h function in LNvs. Although our work has not focused on this issue, a plausible hypothesis is that I_h expression levels may be changing at different times of the day. This is suggested by the work by Abruzzi et al. (2011) where they performed chromatin immunoprecipitation tiling array assays with a number of circadian proteins, and showed that the circadian transcription factor CLOCK cycles in its binding to I_h regulatory sequences in *Drosophila* heads (Abruzzi et al., 2011). Among all the positive hits of our screen, I_h is the only one that appears to be directly controlled by the circadian clock according to Abruzzi et al. (2011).

Our experiments demonstrate that the sLNvs, considered a central piece of the clock neuron circuitry puzzle, organize their action potential firing in bursts. This bursting frequency depends on synaptic inputs, as it has been shown for the lLNvs (Muraro and Ceriani, 2015). LNvs bursting frequency seems to be also influenced by cell autonomous mechanisms since, as we demonstrate here, a null mutation in I_h produces a decrease in this

parameter. Interestingly, the mutation produces a decrease that is of the same magnitude in sLNvs and lLNvs, suggesting that this ion channel regulates bursting frequency in comparable ways in both neuronal types. Remarkably, the DN1 clock neuron cluster has recently been shown to fire action potentials in bursts and that this temporal coding, i.e., the timing of spiking, is relevant for the control of sleep behavior (Tabuchi et al., 2018).

The importance of gaining as much information as possible about the I_h current is underscored by the discovery of several I_h channelopathies. Information from both, patients and genetic animal models, has brought to light the association of mutations on HCN channel genes, or accessory subunits, to different conditions such as epilepsies, autism spectrum disorders, neuropathic pain, Parkinson's disease, depression and cardiac dysfunction among others (DiFrancesco and DiFrancesco, 2015; Brennan et al., 2016; Ku and Han, 2017). In this context, learning about *Drosophila* I_h helps understanding the basic characteristics of this current and *Drosophila*, with its less complex genome and fantastic genetic amenability, could serve in the future as a model organism to discover interacting proteins and pathways, to ultimately unravel the underlying pathologic mechanisms of I_h channelopathies.

References

- Abruzzi KC, Rodriguez J, Menet JS, Desrochers J, Zadina A, Luo W, Tkachev S, Rosbash M (2011) *Drosophila* CLOCK target gene characterization: implications for circadian tissue-specific gene expression. *Genes Dev* 25:2374–2386.
- Allebrandt KV, Teder-Laving M, Cusumano P, Frishman G, Levandovski R, Ruepp A, Hidalgo MPL, Costa R, Metspalu A, Roenneberg T, De Pittà C (2017) Identifying pathways modulating sleep duration: from genomics to transcriptomics. *Sci Rep* 7:4555.
- Allen CN, Nitabach MN, Colwell CS (2017) Membrane currents, gene expression, and circadian clocks. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 9:a027714.
- Atkinson SE, Maywood ES, Chesham JE, Wozny C, Colwell CS, Hastings MH, Williams SR (2011) Cyclic AMP signaling control of action potential firing rate and molecular circadian pacemaking in the suprachiasmatic nucleus. *J Biol Rhythms* 26:210–220.
- Baumann VJ, Lehnert S, Leibold C, Koch U (2013) Tonotopic organization of the hyperpolarization-activated current (I_h) in the mammalian medial superior olive. *Front Neural Circuits* 7:117.
- Beckwith EJ, Ceriani MF (2015) Communication between circadian clusters: the key to a plastic network. *FEBS Lett* 589:3336–3342.
- Brennan GP, Baram TZ, Poolos NP (2016) Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated (HCN) channels in epilepsy. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 6:a022384.
- Buhl E, Bradlaugh A, Ogueta M, Chen KF, Stanewsky R, Hodge JJ (2016) Quasimodo mediates daily and acute light effects on *Drosophila* clock neuron excitability. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 113:13486–13491.
- Cao G, Nitabach MN (2008) Circadian control of membrane excitability in *Drosophila melanogaster* lateral ventral clock neurons. *J Neurosci* 28:6493–6501.
- Ceriani MF, Hogenesch JB, Yanovsky M, Panda S, Straume M, Kay SA (2002) Genome-wide expression analysis in *Drosophila* reveals genes controlling circadian behavior. *J Neurosci* 22:9305–9319.
- Colwell CS (2011) Linking neural activity and molecular oscillations in the SCN. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 12:553–569.
- Chen J, Reiher W, Hermann-Luibl C, Sellami A, Cognigni P, Kondo S, Helfrich-Förster C, Veenstra JA, Wegener C (2016) Allatostatin A signaling in *Drosophila* regulates feeding and sleep and is modulated by PDF. *PLoS Genet* 12:e1006346.
- Chen Z, Wang Z (2012) Functional study of hyperpolarization activated channel (I_h) in *Drosophila* behavior. *Sci China Life Sci* 55:2–7.
- De Jeu MT, Pennartz CM (1997) Functional characterization of the H-current in SCN neurons in subjective day and night: a whole-cell patch-clamp study in acutely prepared brain slices. *Brain Res* 767:72–80.

- Depetris-Chauvin A, Berni J, Aranovich EJ, Muraro NI, Beckwith EJ, Ceriani MF (2011) Adult-specific electrical silencing of pacemaker neurons uncouples molecular clock from circadian outputs. *Curr Biol* 21:1783–1793.
- Depetris-Chauvin A, Fernández-Gamba A, Gorostiza EA, Herrero A, Castaño EM, Ceriani MF (2014) Mmp1 processing of the PDF neuropeptide regulates circadian structural plasticity of pacemaker neurons. *PLoS Genet* 10:e1004700.
- Di Rienzo JA, Casanoves F, Balzarini MG, Gonzalez L, Tablada M, Robledo CW (2018) InfoStat versión 2018. Centro de Transferencia InfoStat, FCA, Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Argentina. Available at <http://www.infostat.com.ar>.
- Dietz G, Chen D, Schnorrrer F, Su KC, Barinova Y, Fellner M, Gasser B, Kinsey K, Oppel S, Scheiblaue S, Couto A, Marra V, Keleman K, Dickson BJ (2007) A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene inactivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 448:151–156.
- Difrancesco JC, Difrancesco D (2015) Dysfunctional HCN ion channels in neurological diseases. *Front Cell Neurosci* 6:174.
- Duvall LB, Taghert PH (2013) E and M circadian pacemaker neurons use different PDF receptor signalosome components in *Drosophila*. *J Biol Rhythms* 28:239–248.
- Edelstein AD, Tsuchida MA, Amodaj N, Pinkard H, Vale RD, Stuurman N (2014) Advanced methods of microscope control using μ Manager software. *J Biol Methods* 1:e10.
- Feng G, Zhang J, Li M, Shao L, Yang L, Song Q, Ping Y (2018) Control of sleep onset by Shal/Kv4 channels in *Drosophila* circadian neurons. *J Neurosci* 38:9059–9071.
- Fernandez MP, Chu J, Villella A, Atkinson N, Kay SA, Ceriani MF (2007) Impaired clock output by altered connectivity in the circadian network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 104:5650–5655.
- Fernandez MP, Berni J, Ceriani MF (2008) Circadian remodeling of neuronal circuits involved in rhythmic behavior. *PLoS Biol* 6:e69.
- Flourakis M, Kula-Eversole E, Hutchison AL, Han TH, Aranda K, Moose DL, White KP, Dinner AR, Lear BC, Ren D, Diekmann CO, Raman IM, Allada R (2015) A conserved bicycle model for circadian clock control of membrane excitability. *Cell* 162:836–848.
- Fogle KJ, Baik LS, Houl JH, Tran TT, Roberts L, Dahm NA, Cao Y, Zhou M, Holmes TC (2015) CRYPTOCHROME-mediated phototransduction by modulation of the potassium ion channel beta-subunit redox sensor. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112:2245–2250.
- Frenkel L, Muraro NI, Beltran Gonzalez AN, Marcora MS, Bernabo G, Hermann-Luibl C, Romero JI, Helfrich-Forster C, Castano EM, Marino-Busjle C, Calvo DJ, Ceriani MF (2017) Organization of circadian behavior relies on glycinergic transmission. *Cell Rep* 19:72–85.
- Geissmann Q, Garcia Rodriguez L, Beckwith EJ, Gilestro GF (2019) Rethomics: an R framework to analyse high-throughput behavioural data. *PLoS One* 14:e0209331.
- Gisselmann G, Gamerschlag B, Sonnenfeld R, Marx T, Neuhaus EM, Wetzel CH, Hatt H (2005) Variants of the *Drosophila melanogaster* I_h -channel are generated by different splicing. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 35:505–514.
- Gonzalo-Gomez A, Turiegano E, Leon Y, Molina I, Torroja L, Canal I (2012) I_h current is necessary to maintain normal dopamine fluctuations and sleep consolidation in *Drosophila*. *PLoS One* 7:e36477.
- Gorostiza EA, Depetris-Chauvin A, Frenkel L, Pirez N, Ceriani MF (2014) Circadian pacemaker neurons change synaptic contacts across the day. *Curr Biol* 24:2161–2167.
- Grima B, Chelot E, Xia R, Rouyer F (2004) Morning and evening peaks of activity rely on different clock neurons of the *Drosophila* brain. *Nature* 431:869–873.
- Guo F, Yu J, Jung HJ, Abruzzi KC, Luo W, Griffith LC, Rosbash M (2016) Circadian neuron feedback controls the *Drosophila* sleep–activity profile. *Nature* 536:292–297.
- He C, Chen F, Li B, Hu Z (2014) Neurophysiology of HCN channels: from cellular functions to multiple regulations. *Prog Neurobiol* 112:1–23.
- Hegle AP, Frank CA, Berndt A, Klose M, Allan DW, Accili EA (2017) The I_h channel gene promotes synaptic transmission and coordinated movement in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Front Mol Neurosci* 10:41.
- Helfrich-Forster C (1995) The period clock gene is expressed in central nervous system neurons which also produce a neuropeptide that reveals the projections of circadian pacemaker cells within the brain of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 92:612–616.
- Helfrich-Forster C, Shafer OT, Wulbeck C, Grieshaber E, Rieger D, Taghert P (2007) Development and morphology of the clock-gene-expressing lateral neurons of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *J Comp Neurol* 500:47–70.
- Hendricks JC, Finn SM, Panckeri KA, Chavkin J, Williams JA, Sehgal A, Pack AI (2000) Rest in *Drosophila* is a sleep-like state. *Neuron* 25:129–138.
- Herrmann S, Layh B, Ludwig A (2011) Novel insights into the distribution of cardiac HCN channels: an expression study in the mouse heart. *J Mol Cell Cardiol* 51:997–1006.
- Hodge JJ, Stanewsky R (2008) Function of the Shaw potassium channel within the *Drosophila* circadian clock. *PLoS One* 3:e2274.
- Hu W, Wang T, Wang X, Han J (2015) I_h channels control feedback regulation from amacrine cells to photoreceptors. *PLoS Biol* 13:e1002115.
- Ishii Tm, Nakashima N, Takatsuka K, Ohmori H (2007) Peripheral N- and C-terminal domains determine deactivation kinetics of HCN channels. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 359:592–598.
- Jackson HA, Marshall CR, Accili EA (2007) Evolution and structural diversification of hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated channel genes. *Physiol Genomics* 29:231–245.
- Jaramillo AM, Zheng X, Zhou Y, Amado DA, Sheldon A, Sehgal A, Levitan IB (2004) Pattern of distribution and cycling of SLOB, Slowpoke channel binding protein, in *Drosophila*. *BMC Neurosci* 5:3.
- Ku SM, Han MH (2017) HCN channel targets for novel antidepressant treatment. *Neurotherapeutics* 14:698–715.
- Lear BC, Lin JM, Keath JR, Mcgill JJ, Raman IM, Allada R (2005) The ion channel narrow abdomen is critical for neural output of the *Drosophila* circadian pacemaker. *Neuron* 48:965–976.
- Lee Y, Montell C (2013) *Drosophila* TRPA1 functions in temperature control of circadian rhythm in pacemaker neurons. *J Neurosci* 33:6716–6725.
- Li MT, Cao LH, Xiao N, Tang M, Deng B, Yang T, Yoshii T, Luo DG (2018) Hub-organized parallel circuits of central circadian pacemaker neurons for visual photoentrainment in *Drosophila*. *Nat Commun* 9:4247.
- Lin WH, Baines RA (2015) Regulation of membrane excitability: a convergence on voltage-gated sodium conductance. *Mol Neurobiol* 51:57–67.
- Lin Y, Stormo GD, Taghert PH (2004) The neuropeptide pigment-dispersing factor coordinates pacemaker interactions in the *Drosophila* circadian system. *J Neurosci* 24:7951–7957.
- Luthi A, McCormick DA (1998) H-current: properties of a neuronal and network pacemaker. *Neuron* 21:9–12.
- Marx T, Gisselmann G, Störckuhl KF, Hovemann BT, Hatt H (1999) Molecular cloning of a putative voltage- and cyclic nucleotide-gated ion channel present in the antennae and eyes of *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Invert Neurosci* 4:55–63.
- Mcguire SE, Mao Z, Davis RL (2004a) Spatiotemporal gene expression targeting with the TARGET and gene-switch systems in *Drosophila*. *Sci STKE* 2004:pl6.
- Mcguire SE, Roman G, Davis RL (2004b) Gene expression systems in *Drosophila*: a synthesis of time and space. *Trends Genet* 20:384–391.
- Muraro NI, Ceriani MF (2015) Acetylcholine from visual circuits modulates the activity of arousal neurons in *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci* 35:16315–16327.
- Nash HA, Scott RL, Lear BC, Allada R (2002) An unusual cation channel mediates photic control of locomotion in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 12:2152–2158.
- Noma A, Irisawa H (1976) Membrane currents in the rabbit sinoatrial node cell as studied by the double microelectrode method. *Pflugers Arch* 364:45–52.
- Notomi T, Shigemoto R (2004) Immunohistochemical localization of I_h channel subunits, HCN1–4, in the rat brain. *J Comp Neurol* 471:241–276.
- Nusbaum MP, Blitz DM, Marder E (2017) Functional consequences of neuropeptide and small-molecule co-transmission. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 18:389–403.
- O'Neill JS, Maywood ES, Chesham JE, Takahashi JS, Hastings MH (2008) cAMP-dependent signaling as a core component of the mammalian circadian pacemaker. *Science* 320:949–953.
- Osterwalder T, Yoon KS, White BH, Keshishian H (2001) A conditional tissue-specific transgene expression system using inducible GAL4. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:12596–12601.
- Parisky KM, Agosto J, Pulver SR, Shang Y, Kuklin E, Hodge JLL, Kang K, Kang K, Liu X, Garrity PA, Rosbash M, Griffith LC (2008) PDF cells are a GABA-responsive wake-promoting component of the *Drosophila* sleep circuit. *Neuron* 60:672–682.

- Park JH, Helfrich-Forster C, Lee G, Liu L, Rosbash M, Hall JC (2000) Differential regulation of circadian pacemaker output by separate clock genes in *Drosophila*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 97:3608–3613.
- Pavlov I, Scimemi A, Savtchenko L, Kullmann DM, Walker MC (2011) I(h)-mediated depolarization enhances the temporal precision of neuronal integration. *Nat Commun* 2:199.
- Peng Y, Stoleru D, Levine JD, Hall JC, Rosbash M (2003) *Drosophila* free-running rhythms require intercellular communication. *PLoS Biol* 1:E13.
- Petsakou A, Sapsis TP, Blau J (2015) Circadian rhythms in Rho1 activity regulate neuronal plasticity and network hierarchy. *Cell* 162:823–835.
- R Core Team (2014) R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Available at <https://www.R-project.org>.
- Renn SC, Park JH, Rosbash M, Hall JC, Taghert PH (1999) A pdf neuropeptide gene mutation and ablation of PDF neurons each cause severe abnormalities of behavioral circadian rhythms in *Drosophila*. *Cell* 99:791–802.
- Ruben M, Drapeau MD, Mizrak D, Blau J (2012) A mechanism for circadian control of pacemaker neuron excitability. *J Biol Rhythms* 27:353–364.
- Sabado V, Vienne L, Nunes JM, Rosbash M, Nagoshi E (2017) Fluorescence circadian imaging reveals a PDF-dependent transcriptional regulation of the *Drosophila* molecular clock. *Sci Rep* 7:41560.
- Schneider C, Rasband W, Eliceiri K (2012) NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. *J Nat Methods* 9:671–675.
- Schlichting M, Menegazzi P, Lelito KR, Yao Z, Buhl E, Dalla Benetta E, Bahle A, Denike J, Hodge JJ, Helfrich-Förster C, Shafer OT (2016) A neural network underlying circadian entrainment and photoperiodic adjustment of sleep and activity in *Drosophila*. *J Neurosci* 36:9084–9096.
- Seluzicki A, Flourakis M, Kula-Eversole E, Zhang L, Kilman V, Allada R (2014) Dual PDF signaling pathways reset clocks via TIMELESS and acutely excite target neurons to control circadian behavior. *PLoS Biol* 12:e1001810.
- Shaner N, Campbell R, Steinbach P, Giepmans BNG, Palmer AE, Tsien RY (2004) Improved monomeric red, orange and yellow fluorescent proteins derived from *Discosoma* sp. red fluorescent protein. *Nat Biotechnol* 22:1567–1572.
- Shang Y, Griffith LC, Rosbash M (2008) Light-arousal and circadian photoreception circuits intersect at the large PDF cells of the *Drosophila* brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 105:19587–19594.
- Shang Y, Haynes P, Pirez N, Harrington Ki, Guo F, Pollack J, Hong P, Griffith LC, Rosbash M (2011) Imaging analysis of clock neurons reveals light buffers the wake-promoting effect of dopamine. *Nat Neurosci* 14:889–895.
- Shaw PJ, Cirelli C, Greenspan RJ, Tononi G (2000) Correlates of sleep and waking in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Science* 287:1834–1837.
- Sheeba V, Fogle KJ, Kaneko M, Rashid S, Chou YT, Sharma VK, Holmes TC (2008a) Large ventral lateral neurons modulate arousal and sleep in *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 18:1537–1545.
- Sheeba V, Gu H, Sharma VK, O'Dowd DK, Holmes TC (2008b) Circadian- and light-dependent regulation of resting membrane potential and spontaneous action potential firing of *Drosophila* circadian pacemaker neurons. *J Neurophysiol* 99:976–988.
- Sivachenko A, Li Y, Abruzzi KC, Rosbash M (2013) The transcription factor Mef2 links the *Drosophila* core clock to Fas2, neuronal morphology, and circadian behavior. *Neuron* 79:281–292.
- Smith P, Buhl E, Tsaneva-Atanasova K, Hodge JLL (2019) Shaw and Shal voltage-gated potassium channels mediate circadian changes in *Drosophila* clock neuron excitability. *J Physiol* 597:5707–5722.
- Stoleru D, Peng Y, Agosto J, Rosbash M (2004) Coupled oscillators control morning and evening locomotor behaviour of *Drosophila*. *Nature* 431:862–868.
- Sun Q, Prince Da, Huguenard JR (2003) Vasoactive intestinal polypeptide and pituitary adenylate cyclase-activating polypeptide activate hyperpolarization-activated cationic current and depolarize thalamocortical neurons in vitro. *J Neurosci* 23:2751–2758.
- Tabuchi M, Monaco JD, Duan G, Bell B, Liu S, Liu Q, Zhang K, Wu MN (2018) Clock-generated temporal codes determine synaptic plasticity to control sleep. *Cell* 175:1213–1227.e18.
- Top D, Young MW (2018) Coordination between differentially regulated circadian clocks generates rhythmic behavior. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol* 10:a033589.
- van den Pol AN (2012) Neuropeptide transmission in brain circuits. *Neuron* 76:98–115.
- Yamada R, Kuba H, Ishii Tm, Ohmori H (2005) Hyperpolarization-activated cyclic nucleotide-gated cation channels regulate auditory coincidence detection in nucleus laminaris of the chick. *J Neurosci* 25:8867–8877.
- Yao Z, Shafer OT (2014) The *Drosophila* circadian clock is a variably coupled network of multiple peptidergic units. *Science* 343:1516–1520.
- Ying SW, Tibbs GR, Piccolo A, Abbas SY, Sanford RL, Accardi A, Hofmann F, Ludwig A, Goldstein PA (2011) PIP2-mediated HCN3 channel gating is crucial for rhythmic burst firing in thalamic intergeniculate leaflet neurons. *J Neurosci* 31:10412–10423.
- Yoshii T, Wülbeck C, Sehadowa H, Veleri S, Bichler D, Stanewsky R, Helfrich-Förster C (2009) The neuropeptide pigment-dispersing factor adjusts period and phase of *Drosophila*'s clock. *J Neurosci* 29:2597–2610.
- Yoshii T, Rieger D, Helfrich-Förster C (2012) Two clocks in the brain: an update of the morning and evening oscillator model in *Drosophila*. *Prog Brain Res* 199:59–82.