A revamped interest in the study of hallucinogens has recently emerged, especially with regard to their potential application in the treatment of psychiatric disorders. In the last decade, a plethora of preclinical and clinical studies have confirmed the efficacy of ketamine in the treatment of depression. More recently, emerging evidence has pointed out the potential therapeutic properties of psilocybin and LSD, as well as their ability to modulate functional brain connectivity. Moreover, MDMA, a compound belonging to the family of entactogens, has been demonstrated to be useful to treat post-traumatic stress disorders. In this review, the pharmacology of hallucinogenic compounds is summarized by underscoring the differences between psychedelic and nonpsychedelic hallucinogens as well as entactogens, and their behavioral effects in both animals and humans are described. Together, these data substantiate the potentials of these compounds in treating mental diseases.
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Introduction

Psychiatric disorders are a major public health concern affecting ~350 million people and imposing social and economic burdens worldwide (Wittchen et al., 2011; Whiteford et al., 2013; Vigo et al., 2019). Despite tremendous efforts to uncover pathophysiological determinants, our understanding of psychiatric diseases and their treatment remains limited. After a long hiatus stemming from regulations that placed psychedelics in a restrictive regulatory framework, the potential therapeutic applications of these compounds are experiencing a resurgence in the research and clinical communities, especially with regard to their therapeutic potential in mental disorders. Generally speaking, since the 1960s, hallucinogenic drugs have been classified into two groups: the "serotonergic classic hallucinogens" or "psychedelics," and the "diversive anesthetics." Classic hallucinogens exert their pharmacological effects primarily through the 5-HT system, acting as agonists of the 5-HT2A receptor (Vollenweider et al., 2007; Passie et al., 2008; Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010). In contrast, "diversive anesthetics," including ketamine, are considered to act on the glutamatergic system and not on the 5-HT system, and they do not produce the same so-called "trip" as psychedelics, but are considered hallucinogens (Vollenweider and Kometer, 2010). In the last decade, numerous studies in laboratory animals and humans have confirmed the usefulness of ketamine for the treatment of resistant depression. Research has also suggested potential antidepressant and mood-modulating properties of psilocybin and LSD, respectively, as well as the ability of these compounds to modulate functional brain connectivity (Carhart-Harris et al., 2016a, 2017). Other compounds, including 3,4-methylenedioxymethamphetamine (MDMA), are called entactogens. They produce psychotropic effects, but they do not share the same mechanism of action as hallucinogens (Kyzar et al., 2017). MDMA has been demonstrated to increase sociability in animals (Morley et al., 2005; Pitts et al., 2017; Curry et al., 2018; Heifets et al., 2019) and humans (Mithoefer et al., 2016) and to be useful in treating post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) (Mithoefer et al., 2011).

This review summarizes the pharmacological mechanism of psychedelics, nonpsychedelic hallucinogens, and entactogens as well as their impact on psychiatric research. In particular, we overview: (1) data on the effects of psychedelics in rodents and on brain functional connectivity in humans; (2) preclinical and clinical data on the antidepressant effects of ketamine; and (3) data on the prosocial effects of MDMA and its therapeutic applications in both animals and humans.

Psychedelics: the 5HT2A receptor

Psychedelics, also defined as "classic serotonergic hallucinogens" because they interact with the 5-HT system, are strongly involved...
in the treatment of psychiatric disorders, including depression (Meltzer, 1990), anxiety (Charney et al., 1990), and cognitive deficits (Meltzer et al., 2011). Psychedelics primarily act as 5-HT2A receptor agonists, but their mechanism of action is more complex than originally thought. Indeed, psychedelics, including LSD, psilocin, psilocybin (a prodrug of psilocin), and N,N-dimethyltryptamine (DMT), have been demonstrated to also interact with 5HT1A, 5HT2B, 5HT2C, 5HT6, and 5HT7 receptors (Passie et al., 2002, 2008; Nichols, 2004; Rickli et al., 2015; Wacker et al., 2017). Furthermore, a growing body of evidence demonstrates that both nonhallucinogenic (e.g., lisuride) and hallucinogenic 5-HT2A agonists can activate intracellular signaling cascades in cortical pyramidal neurons, thus modulating downstream signaling proteins, including β-arrestin, early growth response protein 1 (EGR1), and EGR2 (González-Maeso et al., 2007; Schmid et al., 2008). Moreover, the activation of 5HT2A and 5HT1A receptors by LSD in the mPFC activates both the serotonergic and dopaminergic activity in the dorsal raphe nucleus and VTA, respectively. In particular, low doses of LSD (up to 30 μg/kg) decrease the firing of 5-HT neurons without affecting the dopaminergic firing rate of VTA neurons, whereas higher doses (40-60 μg/kg) decrease the firing of dopaminergic VTA neurons (De Gregorio et al., 2016b). While the 5-HT system is implicated in mood and anxiety regulation, the dopaminergic system plays an important role in the mechanism of action of psychedelics (De Gregorio et al., 2016a, 2016b). Drug discrimination tasks in rodents revealed that the behavioral effects of LSD involve 5-HT2A receptors in an initial phase, followed by a second phase that requires the dopamine D2 receptor (Marona-Lewicka et al., 2005). Furthermore, in vitro studies showed that LSD binds to the recombinant human D2 receptor in HEK 293 cells (Rickli et al., 2015, 2016). A similar experimental approach demonstrated that LSD also shows affinity for dopamine D1 (Rickli et al., 2015) and D4 (Passie et al., 2008) receptors. Finally, in vivo and in vitro evidence indicates a potential interaction of psychedelics, particularly LSD, with the trace amino associate receptor 1 (TAAR1) (Bunzow et al., 2001; De Gregorio et al., 2016b; Rickli et al., 2016), although more research is needed to understand the role of TAAR1 in the mechanism of action of psychedelics. A schematic representation of LSD, psilocybin, and DMT mechanism of action is shown in Figure 1.

Overall, psychedelic compounds display a pharmacological activity that goes beyond their action as 5HT2A agonist, and further investigations are needed to better elucidate their pleiotropic mechanisms of action (for a detailed discussion of the mechanism of action of psychedelics, see Passie et al., 2002, 2008; Nichols, 2016).

Antidepressant effects of psychedelics: what can we learn from animal studies?

There is evidence from studies in rodents that classic psychedelics, LSD (Buchborn et al., 2014; Hibicke et al., 2020), psilocin (Horsley et al., 2018), psilocybin (Hibicke et al., 2020), and DMT (Cameron et al., 2018, 2019), create long-term behavioral outcomes comparable to those of traditional antidepressant treatment in measures of coping strategy and cognitive function. Additionally, animal studies pointed out that psychedelics can enhance associative learning (Harvey, 2003; Buchborn et al., 2014), a cognitive function commonly impaired by neuropsychiatric disorders, particularly major depressive disorder (MDD) (Castaneda et al., 2008). However, a gold-standard protocol for assessing the behavioral effects of psychedelics has yet to be established, and a number of factors may confound the results, including which animal model and behavioral measures are used, as well as the kind of psychedelic drug tested. The literature...
addressing the effects of psychedelics on rodent behaviors relevant to psychiatric and cognitive function is sparse, and results of different studies may appear to align or to conflict with each other without being truly comparable. Dosing strategies must be taken into account. Whereas some studies have reported cognitive and/or behavioral enhancements using chronic (0.13 mg/kg/day LSD to male Wistar rats) (Buchborn et al., 2014) or intermittent dosing (1 mg/kg DMT every third day to mixed sex Sprague Dawley rats) (Cameron et al., 2019), intermittent dosing (0.16 mg/kg LSD every other day) has been shown to dramatically decrease sociability and increase aggression in male SD rats (Marona-Lewicka et al., 2011). Further investigation found that a single dose of psilocybin (1 mg/kg) or LSD (0.15 mg/kg) profoundly affected long-term behavioral measures of male Wistar-Kyoto rats in a time- and context-dependent way (Hibicke et al., 2020). Time intervals between dosing and behavioral testing is another factor influencing the results of studies with psychedelics. While there is some evidence that acute DMT increases active coping strategies in the forced swim test (Cameron et al., 2018), psychedelics are not reliably rapid antidepressants (Hibicke et al., 2020), and antidepressant-like behavioral changes may not be measurable until 4 or more weeks after the psychedelic experience (Hibicke et al., 2020). As in humans, "set and setting" seems to play a role in the long-term behavioral outcome of rodents given psychedelics. Wistar-Kyoto rats given a single dose of psilocybin (1 mg/kg) then tested in the forced swim test at various time points between 1 and 5 weeks after administration, and in the elevated plus maze 6 weeks after administration, develop distinct behavioral responses depending on when they first encounter the forced swim test (Hibicke et al., 2020). Rats tested only once in the forced swim test (one swim, 5 weeks after psilocybin) were significantly and profoundly more likely to use active coping strategies (swimming/climbing) than a passive coping strategy (immobility) in that assay, but were not different from control rats in their elevated plus maze behavior a week later. However, rats tested in the forced swim test weekly for 5 weeks (five total swims), or 1 and 5 weeks (two swims) were only slightly (but significantly) more active in the forced swim test than control animals, and displayed significantly less anxiety-like behavior in the elevated plus maze 6 weeks after psilocybin (Hibicke et al., 2020).

One explanation for these differences is that psychedelic administration produces a period of behavioral flexibility in which new coping strategies can be learned. These animal studies suggest that psychedelic-assisted therapy may become a powerful tool for treating psychiatric and cognitive disorders, as the timing and the environmental context of administration are relevant for psychedelic therapeutics.

What do psychedelics do to the human brain?

The development of functional neuroimaging techniques has allowed researchers to better understand the impact of psychedelic drugs on brain connectivity patterns and on the activity of specific brain regions in humans. Alteration of information processing within cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical feedback loops is one mechanism suggested to underlie the psychedelic state (Vollenweider and Geyer, 2001; Geyer and Vollenweider, 2008). In healthy human participants, two neuroimaging studies confirmed that LSD induces increases in functional connectivity between the thalamus and sensory-somatomotor cortical regions (Mueller et al., 2017; Preller et al., 2018). Additionally, LSD increased connectivity from the thalamus to the posterior cingulate cortex and concurrently decreased connectivity to the temporal cortex (Preller et al., 2019). These empirical results are in line with the cortico-striato-thalamo-cortical model; however, they do not support the hypothesis that LSD generates an undifferentiated increase in thalamicortical connectivity and information flow, but rather suggest a strengthening of specific connections between the thalamus and specific cortical areas. Data-driven approaches investigating the connectivity between each voxel of the brain have furthermore revealed that LSD and psilocybin increase interaction between sensory and somatomotor brain networks, and decrease communication among associative brain regions, including large scale brain-network, such as the Default Mode Network (Preller et al., 2018, 2020).

Together, these results suggest that increased processing of sensory information, potentially as a result of decreased thalamic gating, and concurrently reduced integration capacity because of diminished associative network integrity may underlie psychedelic experiences. It is possible that the altered integration of sensory perceptions facilitates a novel experience of the self and its environment and may help to reduce rigid or ruminative thinking patterns as observed in psychiatric disorders. However, this hypothesis still needs to be tested in clinical populations.

Additional studies investigating a priori hypotheses using seed-based imaging approaches have shown that subjective effects induced by psilocybin are associated with changes in the amplitude of low-frequency fluctuations and the variance of BOLD signal in the claustrum (Barrett et al., 2020). Furthermore, this study showed that psilocybin decreased connectivity between the right claustrum and the auditory network and the Default Mode Network and concurrently increased connectivity with the frontoparietal control network (Barrett et al., 2020). Additionally, changes in positive mood after a low dose of LSD were associated with increases in amygdala, frontal cortex connectivity (Bershad et al., 2019). Various other studies showed decreased connectivity between structures of the Default Mode Network after the administration of LSD, DMT, and psilocybin (Carhart-Harris et al., 2012, 2016b; Muthukumaraswamy et al., 2013; Palhano-Fontes et al., 2015; Muller et al., 2018; Preller et al., 2018). Finally, a prominent feature of psychedelics is alterations in visual perception. These have repeatedly been associated with decreases in α oscillations, in particular over posterior parieto-occipital brain areas, suggesting that psychedelics increase the excitability of the visual pathway (Kometer et al., 2013; Schenberg et al., 2015; Valle et al., 2016; Pallavicini et al., 2019; Timmermann et al., 2019).

Neuroimaging studies investigating the effects of psychedelics in clinical populations with mental health conditions are still scarce. So far, it has been shown that treatment response measured 5 weeks after psilocybin treatment in patients with MDD was predicted by decreased connectivity between the PFC and the parahippocampus increased connectivity between the PFC and the inferior parietal cortex, 1 d after psilocybin administration. Furthermore, decreased amygdala cerebral blood flow correlated with reduced symptoms in the same study (Carhart-Harris et al., 2017). The same patients showed increased amygdala reactivity the morning after psilocybin and a reduction in amygdala, PFC connectivity in response to fearful faces (Roseman et al., 2018; Mertens et al., 2020). These results are surprising given that decreased amygdala reactivity and increased amygdala-PFC connectivity under the acute influence of psychedelics have been shown to correlate with positive mood in healthy participants (Kraehenmann et al., 2015; Mueller et al., 2017; Bershad et al., 2019). Furthermore, reduced amygdala reactivity in response to emotional stimuli was still present in healthy people 1 week after
psilocybin administration (Barrett et al., 2020b), and this reduction has been hypothesized to be an important therapeutic mechanism because it may indicate that psychedelics normalize the negative cognitive bias observed in patients suffering from depression (Kraehenmann et al., 2015). It has to be noted, however, that increased amygdala reactivity in depressed patients was measured before any psychological or psychotherapeutic interventions aiming at integrating the psychedelic experience (Roseman et al., 2018). It is therefore conceivable that psilocybin facilitated the processing of negative life events, leading to markedly increased emotional processing and amygdala reactivity the morning after the session. Additional studies in clinical populations assessing long-term changes in brain activity and connectivity are necessary to clarify the mechanisms underlying the therapeutic effects of psychedelics.

**Ketamine, a fast-acting antidepressant acting through NMDA and mammalian target of rapamycin complex 1**

Ketamine is a dissociative anesthetic that marked a new era for the treatment of resistant MDD patients. Ketamine indeed produces fast antidepressant effects in both animal and humans at subanesthetic doses. Although ketamine does not appear to primarily target the serotonergic system, it is nonetheless capable of inducing psychedelic states (Dore et al., 2019). The antidepressant mechanism of action of ketamine remains the subject of intensive research. Ketamine is both a noncompetitive NMDAR, a glutamate receptor subtype broadly expressed in the CNS (Duman, 2018) antagonist, and an activator of the mTORC1 pathway (Workman et al., 2018; Zanos and Gould, 2018). Early studies in mice indicated that the NMDAR blocker, MK-801, and the competitive NMDAR inhibitor AP-7 decreased immobility time in the forced swim test, prompting the idea that NMDAR antagonism had antidepressant potential (Workman et al., 2018; Zanos and Gould, 2018). Despite the initial evidence in mice, NMDAR antagonism appears nonessential for ketamine’s antidepressant action (Zanos and Gould, 2018). For example, other noncompetitive NMDAR antagonists, including memantine and MK-801, either lack antidepressant effects (e.g., memantine) or only have short-lasting effects, which are often inconsistent between studies (e.g., MK-801) (Zanos and Gould, 2018). This suggests that additional, non-NMDAR-mediated effects of ketamine mediate its striking antidepressant action, although the role of subtype specific binding to NMDRs of the different antagonists cannot be ruled out.

Consistent with this notion, chemical alteration of ketamine (via deuteration at the C6 position), which does not change its binding affinity for the NMDAR, but dramatically decreases its metabolism to a major metabolite (2S,6S;2R,6R)-hydroxynorketamine (HNK) in vivo, nullifies ketamine’s antidepressant actions in mice (Zanos et al., 2016). Furthermore, both HNK enantiomers [(2S,6S)- and (2R,6R)-HNK] exerted dose-dependent antidepressant actions in several rodent tests (Zanos et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2017; Pham et al., 2018). Interestingly, (2R,6R)-HNK, the enantiomer with the stronger antidepressant-like effects, is a less potent antagonist of NMDAR than ketamine itself (Moaddel et al., 2013; Zanos et al., 2016, 2017; Morris et al., 2017; Suzuki et al., 2017). Because of its reduced effects at the NMDAR, (2R,6R)-HNK did not induce NMDAR inhibition-mediated side effects, such as sensorimotor dissociation (Zanos et al., 2016). However, (2R,6R)-HNK does not appear to be as potent as ketamine in relieving the behavioral changes induced by chronic social defeat in mice (Yang et al., 2017), suggesting the involvement of both NMDAR-dependent and -independent pathways. Ketamine induces both synaptic and structural plasticity in the hippocampus, mPFC, and lateral habenula (Li et al., 2010, 2011; Yang et al., 2018b; Moda-Sava et al., 2019), involving signaling pathways that control protein synthesis (Li et al., 2010; Autry et al., 2011), such as the mTORC1 pathway. Indeed, increasing evidence suggests that activation of mTORC1 is a critical mechanism underlying the antidepressant action of ketamine and its metabolite (2R,6R)-HNK (Workman et al., 2018; Zanos and Gould, 2018). Numerous studies demonstrated that a single dose of ketamine and HNK induce a transient increase in phospho-mTOR and its targets, phospho-p70S6 kinase and phospho-4E-BP1, in the PFC and hippocampus of mice and rats (Li et al., 2010; Carrier and Kabbaj, 2013; Yang et al., 2016; Miller et al., 2014; Paul et al., 2014; Zhou et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2017). More importantly, intracerebroventricular pretreatment with the allosteric mTORC1 inhibitor, rapamycin, blocked ketamine-induced synaptic molecular and behavioral effects relevant for antidepressant actions, including increased synaptic densities in the PFC, and decreased immobility in the forced swim test and latency to feed in the novelty suppressed feeding test (Li et al., 2010; Holubova et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2018a). mTORC1 controls numerous neuronal functions, including nucleotide and lipid synthesis, glucose metabolism, autophagy, lysosome biogenesis, proteasome assembly, and 5′ cap-dependent mRNA translation (also known as protein synthesis) (Sonenberg and Hinnebusch, 2009; Saxton and Sabatini, 2017). Local dendritic translation of mRNA into protein is essential both for the homeostasis of synaptic function and for synaptic plasticity (Jung et al., 2014; Aguilar-Valles et al., 2018), which is thought to allow the brain to store information and display adaptive responses to subsequent related stimuli (Holtmaat and Svoboda, 2009; Duman et al., 2016). Key targets of the local activation of mRNA translation by ketamine are the AMPAR subunits, GluA1 and GluA2 (Workman et al., 2018). AMPARs mediate ketamine-induced synaptic facilitation in the mPFC and hippocampus (Li et al., 2010; Zanos et al., 2016; for review, see also Aleksandrova et al., 2017).

**Clinical perspectives of ketamine**

Ketamine’s antidepressant properties have now been appreciated for almost two decades (Duman, 2018). Several placebo-controlled clinical trials have demonstrated the effectiveness of subanesthetic doses of ketamine (0.5 mg/kg, infused over 40 min) for depression and suicidal ideation in MDD patients resistant to selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (Berman et al., 2000; Zarate et al., 2006a; Price et al., 2009, 2014; DiazGranados et al., 2010; Ballard et al., 2014). In depressed patients, ketamine’s defining features are its rapid therapeutic onset, measurable at 4 h, and its week-plus-long efficacy after a single infusion. Single infusions of ketamine are associated with a therapeutic response rate of 50%-70% at 1 d after treatment (Murrough et al., 2013b). Repeated treatments have the potential to sustain an antidepressant effect, although the overall response rate appears comparable to single-dose infusions (Murrough et al., 2013a; Singh et al., 2016), confirmed by recent results (Shiroma et al., 2020). In an open-label study, repeated injection of ketamine showed a decrease of 69% in suicidal ideation (Phillips et al., 2020). However, ketamine has significant abuse liability, and its long-term use is associated with notable bladder and neurologic toxicity (Schatzberg, 2014).

The physiological mechanisms for inducing and maintaining ketamine’s lasting effects in humans are not yet understood well enough to design similar therapeutics with improved durability.
MDMA, a psychotropic drug with unique prosocial effects

MDMA has emerged as a powerful adjunct to psychotherapy, with growing evidence for efficacy in the treatment of PTSD. This prototypical entactogen is an amphetamine derivative (Nichols, 1986), which primarily releases supraphysiological levels of serotonin, dopamine, and norepinephrine via their respective reuptake transporters (SERT, DAT, and NET) (Rothman and Baumann, 2002; Green et al., 2003; Gudelsky and Yamamoto, 2008). MDMA also stimulates the release of hormones, including oxytocin, vasopressin, and cortisol (Green et al., 2003; Kamilar-Britt and Bedi, 2015). A schematic representation of the mechanism of MDMA is shown in Figure 1. For many years, animal studies of MDMA focused on MDMA-associated neurotoxicity, hyperthermia, psychostimulant effects, and abuse potential, none of which are obviously related to its therapeutic mechanism (Green et al., 2003). While MDMA’s therapeutic mechanism is not fully understood, clinical experience indicates that long-lasting benefits are more likely to occur when it is used as an adjunct to psychotherapy rather than as a stand-alone therapy (Greer and Tolbert, 1986; Grinspoon and Bakalar, 1986). Widespread adoption of MDMA-assisted psychotherapy may be limited by MDMA’s potential for abuse and an incompatibility with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (Heifets and Malenka, 2019). Improving on MDMA as a psychotherapeutic adjunct requires a deeper understanding of the pharmacology and neural dynamics underlying MDMA’s therapeutic effect in humans.

Toward this end, recent work in rodents has modeled distinct behavioral processes hypothesized to play a role in MDMA-assisted psychotherapy. MDMA’s hallmark effect is an acutely enhanced feeling of openness, trust, and social connection, all of which may serve to enhance the therapeutic alliance (Mithoefer et al., 2016). Similarly, in several species (Morley et al., 2005; Pitts et al., 2017; Curry et al., 2018; Heifets et al., 2019), MDMA can produce an array of affiliative and prosocial behaviors. MDMA may also modify the sensitivity to social reward in mice, an effect lasting weeks after a single dose (Nardou et al., 2019), reminiscent of the “integration therapy” process after an MDMA experience, wherein a patient is encouraged to consider how emotional shifts in the aftermath of their MDMA session may be integrated into daily life (Greer and Tolbert, 1986). Finally, MDMA disrupts fear memories in a widely used model for PTSD (Young et al., 2015, 2017; Hake et al., 2019), wherein a conditioned fear memory is extinguished by re-cuing the memory in a safe context.

Investigators consistently find that SERT-mediated 5-HT release is necessary (Young et al., 2017; Heifets et al., 2019; Nardou et al., 2019), and potentially sufficient (Walsh et al., 2018), to account for the putative therapeutic mechanisms of MDMA. Notably, these models’ differences could inform human mechanistic trials. Fear extinction does not involve any particular social context, and mouse data suggest that 5-HT release in basolateral amygdala fully accounts for MDMA’s effect on fear memory (Young et al., 2015). In contrast, social behavioral models find that 5-HT release in the nucleus accumbens explains MDMA’s effects (Walsh et al., 2018; Heifets et al., 2019; Nardou et al., 2019). Various 5-HT receptor subtypes appear necessary (Heifets et al., 2019; Nardou et al., 2019), although it is unclear whether any one subtype’s activity can reproduce MDMA’s prosocial effects. Finally, although MDMA and oxytocin actions overlap (Dölen et al., 2013; Kamilar-Britt and Bedi, 2015; Nardou et al., 2019), available data in rodents (Ramos et al., 2016; Heifets et al., 2019) and humans (Kamilar-Britt and Bedi, 2015) suggest that oxytocin receptor signaling is not required for MDMA’s acute prosocial effects, but may be involved in longer-term processes initiated by MDMA effects (Nardou et al., 2019). These studies make clear predictions that it can be tested in clinical experiments, the results of which will both refine our understanding of how clinical MDMA therapy works and improve the accuracy of preclinical models.

MDMA in clinical studies

MDMA was first administered clinically in the 1970s (Shulgin, 1978), at which time there was speculation that the drug acted to “fortify the therapeutic alliance by inviting self-disclosure and enhancing trust” (Grinspoon and Bakalar, 1986). A series of small, uncontrolled studies followed, which together suggested that MDMA was an effective adjunct to psychotherapy, especially in those suffering from anxiety (Greer and Tolbert, 1986; Grinspoon and Bakalar, 1986). Research was halted when MDMA was placed on the DEA Schedule 1 list in 1985, and scientific assessment of
the compound’s therapeutic efficacy did not resume until the mid-1990s (Nutt et al., 2013). A Phase 1 dose-finding and safety study in MDMA-experienced subjects was conducted shortly thereafter (Grob et al., 1996) and suggested that a range of doses (0.25-1.0 mg/kg, p.o.) could safely be administered with minimal side effects. More recent data have shown that MDMA commonly induces side effects that include teeth grinding, jaw clenching, headache, lack of appetite, fatigue, dizziness, and nausea but that these are most often mild to moderate and resolve without assistance and shortly after treatment (Mitroofer et al., 2011). Additional Phase 1 data are currently being collected (Psychological Effects of Methylendioxymethamphetamine (MDMA) When Administered to Healthy Volunteers, 2020). While there had been previous concern over the potentially toxic effects of MDMA (Ricaute et al., 2002), the paper reporting widespread dopaminergic and serotonergic neurotoxicity in nonhuman primates turned out to be deeply flawed and was eventually retracted (Ricaute et al., 2003).

Early human data indicate that MDMA could be particularly useful in assisting emotional processing and, therefore, recovery in people suffering from PTSD (Sessa, 2011). The first randomized and controlled pilot study found that either two or three administrations of MDMA enabled a significant and long-lasting reduction in PTSD symptoms (Mitroofer et al., 2011). Perhaps most intriguing, not only were the effects of MDMA on PTSD symptomology robust, but they also appeared to be extremely durable, lasting for at least 1 year after treatment (Mitroofer et al., 2013). Approximately one dozen Phase 2 studies have now been conducted using MDMA in populations with severe, treatment-resistant PTSD, and the results have been consistently encouraging. Pooled analysis from six of these Phase 2 clinical trials (Mitroofer et al., 2019) enabled the FDA to grant Breakthrough Therapy status for MDMA treatment of PTSD and has facilitated the initiation of a Phase 3 clinical trial (Multidisciplinary Association for Psychedelic Studies, 2018). A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, Phase 3 study of MDMA-assisted psychotherapy for the treatment of severe PTSD is currently in progress (Multi-Site Phase 3 Study of MDMA-Assisted Psychotherapy for PTSD, 2020). The open-label lead-in data from this Phase 3 trial have shown that MDMA significantly and robustly attenuates several of the prototypical symptoms of PTSD (J. Mitchell, unpublished data). While we must still await final analyses and publication of Phase 3 data, the early trial data suggest that MDMA, in conjunction with psychotherapy, may be a fruitful therapeutic for several complex treatment populations, which lends further credence to the theory that psychedelic medicines could prove to be rapid, long-lasting, novel therapeutics for mental health disorders.

Recent data suggest that MDMA may also be efficacious in other clinical populations, including people with autism spectrum disorder (Danforth et al., 2018) and with alcohol use disorder (Sessa, 2018). With respect to alcohol use disorder, safety and tolerability data from a pilot population have already been published (Sessa et al., 2019), and a Phase 2 clinical trial designed to evaluate changes in alcohol use disorder is currently underway (Bristol Imperial MDMA in Alcoholism Study, 2020). Although therapeutic facilitation has been shown to clearly influence treatment outcome (Mitroofer et al., 2016; Krediet et al., 2020), more research must still be conducted to determine which therapeutic interaction works best for different clinical populations. Furthermore, although context is known to be an important variable in the therapeutic impact of psychedelics (Carhart-Harris et al., 2018), little work has been conducted to date to unravel the complicated interaction between set and setting and MDMA treatment outcome.

In conclusion, in this review, we offered an overview of how different hallucinogens may produce distinct behavioral outcomes with different molecular and neuronal mechanisms of action. All these psychoactive drugs can cause subjective changes in perception, thought, emotion, and consciousness, although with a different mechanism. From preclinical studies, it is clear that the mechanism of hallucinogens is more complex than thought before since their effects on the 5-HT and glutamatergic system as well as their capacity to modulate transcriptional mechanisms seem to emerge very clearly (for review, see Inserra et al., 2021). Importantly, clinical studies have demonstrated the effects of ketamine in treatment-resistant MDD (Marcantoni et al., 2020). Moreover, a double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial has shown the use of MDMA associated to psychotherapy in PTSD (Mitroofer et al., 2019). In addition, open-label studies and double-blind studies have demonstrated the effects of psilocybin in depression and anxiety, even if a recent meta-analysis suggests that more studies are needed to demonstrate its efficacy (Goldberg et al., 2020). Intriguingly, the potential use of psilocybin in alcohol use disorder and obsessive-compulsive disorder seems also promising, and several clinical trials are ongoing (Clinical and Mechanistic Effects of Psilocybin in Alcohol Addicted Patients, 2020; Efficacy of Psilocybin in OCD: a Double-Blind, Placebo-Controlled Study, 2020).

Finally, LSD showed promising results in patients with depression and anxiety (Gasser et al., 2014, 2015), and clinical trials are ongoing for MDD (for review, see Inserra et al., 2020). Along with the potential use of hallucinogenic compounds in the clinic, it is undeniable that they also represent an important tool to better understand the neuronal circuitry, brain connectivity, pharmacological targets, and signaling cascades behind the pathology of mental disorders.
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