Encoding of Environmental Cues in Central Amygdala Neurons during Foraging
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To successfully forage in an environment filled with rewards and threats, animals need to rely on familiar structures of their environment that signal food availability. The central amygdala (CeA) is known to mediate a panoply of consummatory and defensive behaviors, yet how specific activity patterns within CeA subpopulations guide optimal choices is not completely understood. In a paradigm of appetitive conditioning in which mice freely forage for food across a continuum of cues, we found that two major subpopulations of CeA neurons, Somatostatin-positive (CeASst) and protein kinase Cδ-positive (CeAPKCδ) neurons, can assign motivational properties to environmental cues. Although the proportion of food responsive cells was higher within CeASst than CeAPKCδ neurons, only the activities of CeAPKCδ, but not CeASst, neurons were required for learning of contextual food cues. Our findings point to a model in which CeAPKCδ neurons may incorporate stimulus salience together with sensory features of the environment to encode memory of the goal location.
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**Significance Statement**

The CeA has a very important role in the formation of memories that associate sensory information with aversive or rewarding representation. Here, we used a conditioned place preference paradigm, where freely moving mice learn to associate external cues with food availability, to investigate the roles of CeA neuron subpopulations. We found that CeASst and CeAPKCδ neurons encoded environmental cues during foraging but only the activities of CeAPKCδ neurons were required for learning of contextual food cues.

**Introduction**

The survival of an animal depends heavily on its ability to evaluate whether familiar cues in the environment predict a threat or an opportunity. The CeA is essential for this competence and contains numerous genetically distinct subpopulations of neurons that can select the appropriate behavioral outputs in the face of positively or negatively valenced stimuli (Ehrlich et al., 2009; Pare and Duvarci, 2012; Herry and Johansen, 2014; Janak and Tye, 2015; Fadok et al., 2018). Although earlier models interpreted the literature in a binary mode, where CeA neurons belong either to the aversive or rewarding network, new hypotheses depict the CeA as an essential site for associative learning (Wilensky et al., 2006; Ciocchi et al., 2010) also contributing to the computation of prediction error signals (Ozawa et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Kargl et al., 2020). To understand how the surrounding environment modulates plasticity in CeA circuits to drive behavioral selection, we probed the function and activity patterns of CeAPKCδ and CeASst neurons during a foraging task. PKCδ- and Sst-expressing populations of CeA neurons (Kim et al., 2017), encompassing the majority of all cells in this region (Kim et al., 2017), and are known to mediate antagonizing behaviors when studied in parallel (Kim et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019; Kargl et al., 2020). The CeA has been previously shown to acquire responses to signals of food delivery, but the contribution of these two CeA subpopulations has remained unclear (Gallagher et al., 1990; Han et al., 1997, 1999; McDannald et al., 2004; Corbit and Balleine, 2005; Lee et al., 2005). In particular, how these appetitive memories are represented in a setting where the animal freely navigates and is forced to engage in goal-oriented actions remains unknown. We hypothesized that PKCδ- cells that integrate convergent inputs from cortical, thalamic, hippocampal, and brainstem structures (Douglass et al., 2017), properties that are reminiscent of the Hebbian model of learning-
induced synaptic plasticity, may be involved in associative learning tasks that impose valence on different tastes or link taste valence to other sensory stimuli.

Indeed, we found that CeA^{PrkC^G} neurons, previously related to aversive behavior (Haubensak et al., 2010; Cai et al., 2014; Cui et al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017; Yu et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019), were required for approach behavior in a context that signals food availability, whereas CeA^{Sat} neurons were not. We performed Ca^{2+} imaging to evaluate neuronal activity changes when the mice freely decided to switch between environments. We observed that after learning, a subset of these two populations had developed specific activities to the positive context. Our findings suggest a model in which CeA^{Sat} neuron activity encodes information directly related to food consumption, whereas CeA^{PrkC^G} cells endow sensory features of the environment with representation of the food reward salience to ultimately drive correct behavioral choices.

Materials and Methods

Animals

Prkdc-Cre (Tg(Prkdc-cre-1/CFP-Cre)EH124Gsat) BAC mice were imported from the Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center. Sst-Cre (Ssttm2.1(cre)Zjh) transgenic mice were acquired from The Jackson Laboratory (https://www.jax.org/). Rosa26R Cre-dependent reporter mice that express LacZ (B6.129S4-Gt(Rosa)26Sortm1Sor/J) have been described previously (Soriano, 1999). Mice were backcrossed onto a C57BL/6NRj background (Janvier Labs; http://www.janvier-labs.com). Three- to 6-month-old male Prkdc-cre-LacZ or Sst-Cre-LacZ mice were used for the place preference assay combined with optogenetic manipulation of CeA^{PrkC^G} and CeA^{Sat} cells, as well as for the open field task. Eight- to 18-month-old male and female Prkdc-cre-LacZ or Sst-Cre-LacZ mice were used for the place preference assay combined with Ca^{2+} imaging of CeA^{PrkC^G} and CeA^{Sat} cells. The older age of mice used for the Ca^{2+} imaging experiments was primarily because of the experimental design. In some cases, a waiting period of several months was necessary for the inflammation to decrease and to clearly see fluorescent cells through the lens. Mice were kept on a 12 h light/dark cycle. All behavior experiments were conducted during the light phase of the cycle and under dimmed light in the behavioral boxes.

Viral constructs

The following adenov-associated viruses (AAVs) were purchased from the University of North Carolina Vector Core (https://www.med.unc.edu/genetherapy/vectorcore): AAV5-e1a-DIO-enPHT3.0-mCherry and AAV5-e1a-DIO-mCherry. The AAV5-SynFlex.GCaMP6s virus was obtained from Addgene (http://www.addgene.org/).

Stereotaxic surgeries

Viral injections in the CeA. Mice were anaesthetized using isoflurane (induction, 3%; maintenance, 1.5%; CP-Pharma) in oxygen-enriched air and head fixed on a stereotaxic frame (model 1900, Kopf Instruments). Body temperature was maintained at 37°C using a heating pad. Carprofen (Rimadyl, 5 mg/kg body weight; Zoetis) and an analgesic, were given via subcutaneous injection. Mice were bilaterally injected with 0.3 µl of virus in the CeA by using the following coordinates calculated with respect to the bregma: −1.22 mm anteroposterior, ±2.9 mm lateral, −4.7 to −4.8 mm ventral. Viral particles were delivered using glass pipettes (catalog #708707, Blaubrand intraMark) connected to a Picospritzer III (Parker Hannifin) and controlled by a Master-8 pulse stimulator (AMPI) at a flow rate of ~50 nl/min. After delivery of the virus, the pipette remained in the brain for 5 min to prevent spread of the virus. Virus was allowed to be expressed for a minimum duration of 4.5 weeks and a maximum of 10 weeks before behavioral experiments.

Optic fiber implants. Mice used in optogenetic experiments were in the same surgery, bilaterally implanted with optic fibers (200 µm core, 0.22 NA, 1.25 mm ferrule; Thorlabs) above the CeA (~4.35 mm ventral from bregma). The skull was first protected with a thin layer of histo glue (Histoacryl, Braun), the fibers were then fixed to the skull using UV light-curable glue (Loctite, catalog #AA3491, Henkel), and the exposed skull was covered with dental acrylic (Paladur, Heraeus).

Gradient index lens implantation and baseplate fixation. For gradient index (GRIN) lenses implantation, 3 weeks after viral injection, mice expressing GCaMP6s in PKCβ or SST+ cells were anesthetized using the same procedure as described above. A small craniotomy was made above the CeA using the same coordinates as for the injection of the viral preparation. Debris were removed from the hole, and a customized blunted 23G needle (0.7 mm in diameter) was slowly lowered down into the brain at a speed of 150 µm/min to a depth of ~4.6 mm from bregma. After retraction of the needle, a GRIN lens (ProView Lens; diameter, 0.5 mm; length, ~8.4 mm; Incscope) mounted on a GRIN lens holder was slowly lowered (150 µm/min) implanted above the CeA. The skull was then protected with a thin layer of histo glue (Histoacryl, Braun), and the lens was then fixed to the skull using UV-light-curable glue (Loctite, catalog #AA3491, Henkel), and the exposed skull was covered with dental acrylic (Paladur, Heraeus). The exposed top of the lens was protected by a covering of a silicone adhesive (Kwik-Cast, World Precision Instruments). Four to 8 weeks after GRIN lens implantation, mice were anesthetized and placed in the stereotaxic setup. A baseplate (BPL-2, Incscope) attached to the miniature microscope was positioned above the GRIN lens. Concentration of the anesthetic gas was lowered, and the focal plane was adjusted until neuronal structures and GCaMP6s dynamics were clearly observed. Mice were then fully anesthetized again and the baseplate was fixed using C&B Metabond (Parkell). A baseplate cap (BCP-2, Incscope) was left in place until imaging experiments.

Behavioral assays

Conditioned place preference with food reward. The conditioned place preference behavior was motivated by previous work (Stern et al., 2018). It was conducted in a custom-built arena made of two chambers: a rectangular-shaped chamber (45 * 15 cm) and a triangular-shaped chamber (45 * 30 cm) separated by a corridor (Fig. 1A). Chambers additionally differed based on the texture of the floor and pattern on the walls. The area size of the two chambers was identical. On the first day of the behavioral experiment, mice were allowed to explore the arena for 20 min. Preferences for the two chambers were measured, and the least preferred one was chosen as the food-paired chamber (+ context) for the rest of the paradigm. From then on, and until the end of the experiment, mice were singly housed and food restricted. They were weighed daily and supplied with necessary food to maintain at least 85% of their initial body weight. Conditioning was then conducted over 4 consecutive days. For this, mice were first sequestered in the neutral context for 15 min and then manually transferred to the positive context for 15 min in which they had access to a food pellet of −1 g. The remaining food was weighed at the end of each conditioning session to determine food consumption. On the fifth and final day of the experiment, mice were allowed to freely navigate between the two chambers in the absence of food. The preference for the positive context was measured for a period of 10 min as a readout for contextual appetitive conditioning. For Ca^{2+} imaging experiments, mice were subjected to the same behavioral task, and at the end of the 10 min recall session, we added a food pellet to the positive context and recorded the activity of CeA cells for another 10 min.

We had previously performed pilot experiments with WT animals in which three groups of five mice were conditioned either for 2, 4, or 5 d, and the preference of each group for the positive chamber was tested 24 h after the last conditioning day. We found that preference for the appetitive chamber increased from 53.6% ± 1.3 to 71.9% ± 7.6 when we increased the conditioning from 2 to 4 d, but did not increase further when performing the experiment for 5 conditioning days (preference index (PI) = 61.7% ± 5; data not shown). We therefore chose to perform 4 d of conditioning because a preference of 70% for the food-paired chamber would give us a perfect range to identify both increases and decreases in our optogenetic experiment. In our imaging experiment, we also needed animals that would move a lot between the two chambers and spend a sufficient amount of time in both contexts so that we could
Figure 1. Inhibition of CeAPKcd neurons during conditioning impairs contextual appetitive conditioning. A. Place preference behavioral paradigm. B. PI for the positive context before and after conditioning for WT animals (n = 5 mice).

C, Optogenetic inhibition of CeA neurons. The CeA of Prkcd-Cre or Sst-Cre mice was transduced with a Cre-dependent AAV-expressing eNpHR3.0-mCherry or mCherry alone. CeA neurons were photoinhibited in vivo with constant yellow light.

D, E, Representative epifluorescent images of Prkcd-Cre (D) and Sst-Cre mice (E) injected in the CeL with an AAV-DIO-eNpHR3.0-mCherry (D1, E1) or AAV-DIO-mCherry (D2, E2) and showing the location of the optic fiber tract (in yellow). Scale bars: 100 μm.

F, Approximate optic fiber tract.
see both an increase in activity in the positive chamber and a decrease in activity in the neutral one.

For the optogenetic experiments, PKCδ-eNpHR3.0, PKCδ-mCherry, Sst-eNpHR3.0 and Sst-mCherry mice bilaterally received constant 561 nm intracranial light (15 mv) either during the whole 30 min of the conditioning (both in the positive and neutral context) and during all four conditioning sessions, or during the whole recall session only. For this, mice were tethered to optic-fiber patch cables (Doric Lenses or Thorlabs) connected to a 561 nm Changshun New Industries laser (Hübner) via a rotary joint (Doric Lenses) and mating sleeve (Thorlabs). During habituation and recall, mice were free of the optic-fiber patch cables.

Animals that did not explore both chambers at least once during recall were excluded. This includes 1 PKCδ-eNpHR3.0, 1 PKCδ-mCherry, and 1 Sst-eNpHR3.0 animals used for the experiment in Figure 1, G and O, and 1 PKCδ-eNpHR3.0, 1 Sst-mCherry, and 2 Sst-eNpHR3.0 animals used for the experiment in Figure 3. During habituation and recall, mice were free of the optic-fiber patch cables.

Real-time place preference. Real-time place preference (RTTP) assays were conducted over 2 consecutive days. On the first day, PKCδ-eNpHR3.0 and PKCδ-mCherry mice were allowed to explore a custom-built Plexiglas arena (40 cm × 40 cm × 25 cm) for 10 min. During the experiment, the mice bilaterally received constant 561 nm intracranial light through optic-fiber patch cords (Doric Lenses or Thorlabs) connected to a 561 nm Changshun New Industries laser (Hübner) via a rotary joint (Doric Lenses) and mating sleeve (Thorlabs).

Conditioned place preference without food reward. Conditioned place preference experiments without food reward were conducted in a similar manner as conditioned place preference experiments with food reward except that the inhibition of CeA<sup>PKCδ</sup> neurons was not paired with delivery of a food reward. Briefly, the experiment was conducted in a custom-built square arena (26.5 × 26.5 cm), divided in the middle by a wall with an opening to form two equally sized triangular compartments that the mice could freely navigate between. Chambers differed based on the texture of the floor. On the first day of the behavioral experiment, PKCδ-eNpHR3.0 and PKCδ-mCherry mice were allowed to freely explore the arena for 20 min. Preferences for the two chambers were measured, and the least preferred one was chosen as the photoinhibition-paired chamber for the rest of the paradigm. Conditioning was then conducted over 4 consecutive days in which mice were septectored for 15 min in each chamber but received constant 561 nm intracranial light only in the photoinhibition-paired chamber in the absence of food. On the fifth and final day of the experiment, mice were able to freely navigate between the two compartments, and the preference for the photoinhibition-paired chamber was measured over a 20 min period.

In vivo Ca<sup>2+</sup> imaging of freely moving mice All imaging experiments were conducted on freely behaving PKCδ-GCaMP6s and Sst-GCaMP6s mice. GCaMP6s fluorescence signals were acquired using a miniature integrated fluorescence microscope system (nVoke, Inscopix). Before each imaging session, the miniscopes were sealed in the baseplate holder. Mice were habituated to the miniscope attachment procedure 3 d before behavioral experiments. The analog gain and LED output power were adjusted so that the best dynamic fluorescence signals were at the focal plane. Settings were kept constant within subjects and across imaging sessions. Imaging acquisition and behavior were synchronized using the data acquisition box of the nVoke Imaging System (Inscopix), triggered by the EthoVision XT 14 software (Noldus) through a transistor–transistor logic box (Noldus) connected to the USB-I0 box from the EthoVision system (Noldus). Compressed images were obtained at 1200 pixels × 800 pixels and 10 frames per second using the Inscopix acquisition software. We recorded the activities of CeA neurons during habituation on day 1 and day 3 of conditioning and during recall. To minimize photobleaching, we limited the duration of acquisition to three times for 2 min during habituation, 1 time for 2 min during conditioning in the neutral context, and three times for 2 min during conditioning in the positive context. Each recording bout was evenly spaced in time. On recall day, Ca<sup>2+</sup> transients were recorded for the full 20 min of the behavioral experiment.

Histology

Animals that underwent behavioral experiments combined with optogenetic manipulations of CeA cells were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (100 mg/kg and 16 mg/kg, respectively; Medistar and Serumwerk, respectively) and transcardially perfused with PBS, followed by 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA, w/v; catalog #10040005, Merck) in PBS. Extracted brains were postfixed at 4°C in 4% PFA (w/v) in PBS for 12 h, embedded in 4% agarose (w/v; catalog #012800, Biomed) in PBS and sliced using a Vibratome (VT1000S, Leica) into 50 or 100 μm free-floating coronal sections.

Mice that underwent calcium imaging experiments were anesthetized with ketamine/xylazine (100 mg/kg and 16 mg/kg, respectively; Medistar and Serumwerk, respectively) and decapitated. The head, together with the GRIN lens implant and baseplate, was fixed at 4°C in 4% PFA (w/v) in PBS for a minimum of 4 d before dissection of the brain. Extracted brains were sliced using a Vibratome (VT1000S, Leica) into 100 μm free-floating coronal sections.

Microscopy

Epifluorescence images were obtained with an upright epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss) with 5×/0.15 or 10×/0.3 objectives (Zeiss). Images were minimally processed with ImageJ software (National Institutes of Health) to adjust for brightness and contrast for optimal representation of the data. A median filter was used to decrease noise.

Quantification and statistical analyses

Extraction of behavioral data for the conditioned place preference assay with food reward. In the conditioned place preference assay, the
animal location was recorded at 15 Hz using a webcam (Logitech) suspended above the arena, and the number of entries to the center of the arena (20 cm × 20 cm square), cumulative duration in center, and velocity were assessed with EthoVision XT 14 software (Noldus).

**Extraction of behavioral data for the RTPP.** Animal location was recorded at 15 Hz using a webcam (Logitech) suspended above the arena, and the location of the animal and the velocity were assessed using EthoVision XT 14 (Noldus). Cumulative duration in the photoinhibition-paired chamber was averaged across two sessions.

**Extraction of behavioral data for the conditioned place preference assay without food reward.** Animal location was recorded at 15 Hz using a webcam (Logitech) suspended above the arena, and the preference index for the photoinhibition-paired chamber and the velocity were assessed using EthoVision XT 14 (Noldus).

**Extraction of ΔF/F and temporal registration with behavioral data.** For imaging data processing and analysis, all videos recorded from one imaging session were combined into a single image stack using the Incsopix data processing software (version 1.3.0, Incsopix) and saved in a tag image file format (TIFF). TIFF files were then processed using the miniscope 1-photon imaging signal extraction pipeline (MIN1PIPE; Liu et al., 2018), which returns fully processed region-of-interest (ROI) components with spatial footprints and temporal calcium traces as outputs. Briefly, the data go through different steps of neural enhancing, hierarchical movement correction, and neural signal extraction that combine a first seeds-cleansing step followed by a simplified spatiotemporal CNMF (constrained nonnegative matrix factorization). Behavioral data were finally temporally aligned to the calcium traces using linear interpolation and unix time stamps as references for both datasets.

**Longitudinal registration of ROIs.** ROIs from several recording sessions were longitudinally registered using CellReg MATLAB GUI (Sheintuch et al., 2017; https://github.com/zivlab/CellReg). In brief, the roifn output variable from the MIN1PIPE that contains the processed vectorized ROI footprints for each session was transformed in MATLAB using the CellReg MATLAB GUI.

**Regressors and correlation analyses.** Regressors were built as previously described (Miri et al., 2011). For this, the behavior of each mouse in the positive context, food zone or on top of the food (square-wave datasets) was convolved with a kernel with an exponential decay based on the measured half-decay time for GCaMP6s (0.150 s; Chen et al., 2013). The resulting predicted calcium traces were then used to compute Pearson’s correlation coefficients with the corresponding calcium traces. To classify neurons as neurons encoding appetitive context, food

---

**Figure 2.** Inhibition of CeA\textsuperscript{PKC\textsuperscript{a}} neurons does not result in assigning a rewarding or an aversive signal. \textbf{A}, Representative epifluorescent images of Prkcd-Cre mice injected in the CeL with an AAV-DIO-eNPHR3.0-mCherry (A\textsubscript{1}) and AAV-DIO-mCherry (A\textsubscript{2}) and showing the location of the optic fiber tract (in yellow). Scale bars: 100 \textmu m. \textbf{B}, Approximate optic fiber locations for each animal and expression spread. Locations are shown on schematic coronal section planes with distances (anterior–posterior axis) from bregma. \textbf{C}, Representative traces of the behavior of PKC\textsuperscript{a}-eNPHR3.0 (C\textsubscript{1}) and PKC\textsuperscript{a}-mCherry (C\textsubscript{2}) during the RTPP task. \textbf{D}, Cumulative duration in the photoinhibition-paired side during the RTPP assay (Mann–Whitney test, U = 6.0, p = 0.2222). \textbf{E}, Velocity during RTPP (2-tailed unpaired t test: t\textsubscript{9} = 0.1112, p = 0.9139). \textbf{F}, Representative traces of the behavior of PKC\textsuperscript{a}-eNPHR3.0 (F\textsubscript{1}) and PKC\textsuperscript{a}-mCherry (F\textsubscript{2}) during the CPP without food task. \textbf{G}, PI for the photoinhibition-paired chamber during recall after inhibition of CeA neurons during conditioning and in the absence of food (Mann–Whitney test: U = 11, p = 0.5368). \textbf{H}, PI for the photoinhibition-paired chamber before and after conditioning for CeA\textsuperscript{PKC\textsuperscript{a}} neuron-inhibited animals and respective control mice (n = 5 PKC\textsuperscript{a}-eNPHR3.0 and 6 PKC\textsuperscript{a}-mCherry). \textbf{I}, Velocity during CPP without food (2-tailed unpaired t test: t\textsubscript{15} = 1.350, p = 0.2099). Bar graphs show mean ± SEM, and each dot is the quantification of a single animal. ns, Not significant; CeC/L, central capsular and central lateral amygdala; BLa, basolateral amygdala; CeM, central medial amygdala.
responsive cells, or unresponsive in positive context, we examined which coefficients rise above or below chance by correlating our fluorescent traces to 1000 random regressors that were constructed after randomly shuffling the real behavioral dataset by bouts of 20 s. We required a threshold of 1.96 deviation from the SE of the random coefficients mean (corresponding to the 95% confidence interval) to assign a cell to a particular functional group.

Classification of neurons preferentially active in the positive context.

To quantify which neurons were preferentially active in the positive context compared with the neutral one during conditioning, ΔF/F transients were z-scored at each time point using the following formula:

\[ \text{F}(t) = \Delta F/F \]

where \( \text{F}(t) \) is the \( \Delta F/F \) value at a time \( t \), and \( \text{Fm} \) and \( \text{SD} \) are the mean and SD of the baseline calculated from the time point when the animals were in the neutral context. An average of the single z-scored time points was then calculated for when the animal was located in the positive context. Neurons were considered to be preferentially active in the positive context when the averaged z-scored value exceeded the 1.96 threshold (corresponding to the 95% confidence interval).

Decoding of positive and neutral context locations.

To decode the location of the mice in the positive or neutral context during recall, we used a logistic regression classifier. For decoder training and testing, we used neuronal Ca\(^{2+}\) signals expressed as ΔF/F. For each animal, classifiers were trained on 70% of the data during recall and tested on the remaining 30%. We computed the prediction score as the average of correct predictions over a 10-fold cross-validation procedure. Correct predictions were defined as the ratio of \( TP/(TP + FN) \) where \( TP \) is the number of true positives, \( FN \) the number of false negatives, and \( TP \) the number of true positives.

To evaluate the statistical significance of decoding performance, we trained logistic regression decoders on temporally shuffled behavioral data. For this, behavioral data were split into 7 s bouts and randomly shuffled. This was repeated five times. The shuffled prediction score was defined as the average of correct predictions of these five repetitions.

Alignment of calcium responses to positive and neutral context entries.

ΔF/F transients were z-scored with the baseline calculated from time points when the animals were in the neutral context for alignment to positive context entries, or with the baseline calculated from time points when the animals were in the positive context (for alignment to neutral context entries; see formula above, Classification of neurons preferentially active in the positive context). We omitted short bouts whose duration was below 2.5 s to exclude epochs when the animals were only shortly going in and out of the corridor space without fully entering the context. The z-scored calcium responses of single neurons to context entry were then averaged in a time window from 3 s before transition to 4.5 s after. Cells were finally sorted in a descending order based on their activity responses to context entry, and the majority of all neurons in this region (corresponding to the 95% confidence interval) to assign a cell to a particular functional group.

Heat maps of the spatial Ca\(^{2+}\) activity.

To plot a heat map of the average spatial activity of one selected cell we used the raw ΔF/F data. The total activity in a specific x-y location was normalized to the total time the animal spent in that location. The x-y data were discretized in 50 x 50 pixels.

Statistical analysis.

No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. The numbers of samples in each group were based on those used in previously published studies. Behavioral experiments were conducted by an investigator with knowledge of the animal genotype and treatment. For behavioral and in vivo imaging experiments, behavioral-tracking software and custom-written Python scripts were used to obtain and analyze the data in an automated and unbiased manner. Statistical analyses were performed with Prism 5 (GraphPad), and the results were provided in the figure legends. For individual comparisons of normally distributed data, \( t \) tests were used. When normality was not assumed, Mann–Whitney \( U \) test and Wilcoxon signed-rank test (for paired observations) were performed for individual comparisons. A one-way repeated-measures ANOVA or Friedman’s test (as a nonparametric equivalent) was used for within-subject comparisons followed by Bonferroni post hoc analysis or Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test. After the conclusion of experiments, virus-expression, optic-fiber, and GRIN-lens placement were verified. Mice with null virus expression as well as mice in which both optical fibers were wrongly located or at least >500 μm above the CeA were excluded from analysis.

Data availability

The datasets supporting the current study are available from the corresponding author on request. This study used custom-built Python 3.0 programmed scripts, which are also available from the corresponding author on request.

Results

Inhibition of CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) neurons impairs contextual appetitive conditioning.

To assess the contributions of CeA subpopulations to the acquisition of contextual appetitive memories, we trained mice in conditioned place preference experiments to associate one chamber (positive context) of a two-chamber arena with a food reward (Fig. 1A). Following conditioning, we measured the amount of time the mice spent in the positive context in the absence of food as a readout for contextual appetitive learning. Typically, WT mice learned the task well, spending on average of 71.9 ± 7.2% of their time in the positive context (Fig. 1B).

We focused on CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) and CeA\(^{Sst}\) neurons because they represent two nonoverlapping populations of central amygdala cells, representing the majority of all neurons in this region (Kim et al., 2017), and, when studied in parallel, mediate antagonizing behaviors (Kim et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019; Kargl et al., 2020). We optogenetically inhibited either CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) or CeA\(^{Sst}\) neurons during all conditioning sessions (in both the positive and the neutral contexts) by transducing the CeAs of Prkcd-Cre or Sst-Cre animals with Cre-dependent halorhodopsin-expressing virus (eNPHR3.0-mCherry) and placing optic fibers bilaterally above the CeA (Fig. 1C–F). Control mice expressing mCherry behaved similarly to WT mice, although their PI learning was slightly lower (61.4 ± 2.9% for PKC\(^{\beta}\) mCherry, and 69 ± 5.1% for Sst mCherry mice; Fig. 1G–I). Silencing of CeA\(^{Sst}\) neurons did not alter behavior (Fig. 1G, H). In contrast, CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) neuron-inhibited mice showed poor learning performance, lacking a preference for the positive context during recall (Fig. 1GJ). In addition, CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\), but not CeA\(^{Sst}\), neuron-inhibited animals spent significantly less time in the food zone of the positive context (Fig. 1J–L), although they visited it with the same frequency as control mice (Fig. 1M).

Several other parameters that may have influenced the outcome of this learning paradigm were found to be similar between the experimental groups, including velocity during recall (Fig. 1N), the amount of food eaten during training (Fig. 1O), and the degree of anxiety as measured by duration and entries to the center, as well as velocity, in an open-field task (Fig. 1P–T).

We further controlled whether inhibition of CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) neuron activity could lead to an assignment of negative or positive valence to both contexts in the absence of food, which could have interfered with the contextual appetitive conditioning. In a real-time place preference assay (RTTPP), we found that CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) neuron-inhibited mice exhibited neither a preference nor an aversion for the photoinhibition-paired chamber (Fig. 2A–E). We then performed similar conditioned place preference experiments as described in Figure 1A but in the absence of a food reward while photoinhibiting CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) cells in one chamber over 4 conditioning days. When we tested the preference of the animals for the photoinhibition-paired chamber, we found that inhibition of CeA\(^{PKC\beta}\) neurons alone without food did not produce a conditioned place preference nor an aversion for the photoinhibition-paired chamber (Fig. 2F–I).
indicating that inhibition of activity of these cells alone did not participate in assigning a rewarding or an aversive signal.

We next examined the roles of these two populations during memory retrieval or expression. We used the same tools as before (Fig. 1C), except this time we selectively inhibited CeAPKCD or CeASST cells only during the recall phase. Similar to inhibition of CeA neurons during the conditioning phase, we found that inactivation of CeAPKCD, but not CeASST, cells significantly decreased the preference of the animals for the positive context (Fig. 3A–F), although the time spent in the food zone (Fig. 3G–I), as well as the frequency of visits (Fig. 3J), remained unchanged. Control parameters such as the velocity during recall (Fig. 3K) and amount of food eaten during training (Fig. 3L) were similar between groups. Overall, these results suggest that CeAPKCD neurons may play a role in forming and retrieving associations between contextual cues and food availability.

Figure 3. Inhibition of CeAPKCD neurons during recall impairs contextual appetitive conditioning. A, B, Representative epifluorescent images of Prkcd-Cre (A) and Sst-Cre mice (B) injected in the Cel with an AAV-DIO-eNPHR3.0-mCherry (A1, B1) or AAV-DIO-mCherry (A2, B2) and showing the location of the optic fiber tract (in yellow). Scale bars: 100 μm. C, Approximate optic fiber locations for each animal and expression spread. Locations are shown on schematic coronal section planes with distances (anterior–posterior axis) from bregma. D, PI for the food-paired chamber (+ context) during recall while inhibiting CeA neurons during recall only (Mann–Whitney U test, for PKCD group comparison: U = 19.5, p = 0.0250; for Sst group comparison: U = 49, p = 0.7491). E, F, PI for the positive context before and after conditioning for CeASST, CeAPKCD neuron-inhibited animals (F), and respective control mice. G, H, Representative heat maps of the behavior of individual PKCD-eNpHR3.0 and PKCD-mCherry mice during recall. Green represents the minimum and purple the maximum per-pixel frequency. I, J, Number of visits to the food zone during recall (2-tailed unpaired t test, for PKCD group comparison: t(18) = 0.2447, p = 0.8094; for Sst group comparison: t(18) = 0.8922, p = 0.3840; for Sst group comparison: t(18) = 1.707, p = 0.1041). K, L, Cumulative amount of food eaten during the 4 training days (2-tailed unpaired t test, for PKCD group comparison: t(18) = 0.7204, p = 0.4906; for Sst group comparison: t(18) = 0.7520, p = 0.4686; n = 11 PKCD-eNpHR3.0 and 9 PKCD-mCherry mice, n = 12 Sst-eNpHR3.0, and n = 9 Sst-mCherry mice). Bar graphs show mean ± SEM, and each dot is the quantification of a single animal. ns, Not significant, *p < 0.05. Cel/L, Central capsular and central lateral amygdala; BLa, basolateral amygdala; CM, central medial amygdala.
Central amygdala encoding of pavlovian appetitive learning

We tested the Hebbian model of appetitive conditioning (Hebb, 1949; Brown et al., 1990; Sejnowski, 1999) by investigating whether the positive context, which represents multisensory information, could serve as a general predictor of food availability after learning. Or, in other words, could CeA neurons that fire during food intake acquire a specific response to the positive context after learning?

Taking into account only the data of the eight mice that learned the task (Fig. 4F–H), we convolved the positive context signal with the kinetics of the calcium indicator to create a regressor and correlated the activity of each CeA cell with the corresponding regressor across time (Miri et al., 2011). An example trace of a positive context regressor is shown at the bottom of Figure 5K. We found that during habituation, the activities of all neurons showed little correlation to the positive context. Instead, during recall, the activities of both populations shifted toward positive correlations during recall, the activities of both populations shifted toward positive correlations.

Figure 4. In vivo calcium imaging of CeA<sup>PKC<sub>B</sub></sup> and CeA<sup>Sst</sup> neuron activity during appetitive conditioning

To understand how CeA<sup>PKC<sub>B</sub></sup> and CeA<sup>Sst</sup> neuron activity differentially contributes to this behavior, we expressed the GCamp6s indicator in the CeA of PKC<sup>B</sup> + and Sst + cells and recorded the dynamics of the calcium indicator to create a miniscope during all phases of the task (Fig. 4A–C). Mice were subjected to the same behavioral task, and at the end of the recall, a food pellet was added to the positive context to make sure that we could functionally tag food responsive neurons.

We recorded the activities of 24–61 cells per mouse (202 cells total) in five Prkcd-cre mice and 14–60 cells per mouse (149 cells total) in five Sst-cre animals (Fig. 4D). The numbers of active cells during training and recall were higher as compared with habituation day in both groups. Longer imaging time during training and especially recall may account for this difference. Alternatively, investigation and consumption of food may have recruited new sets of neurons (Fig. 4C,D). Using an ROI alignment method (Sheintuch et al., 2017), we registered cell identities across imaging sessions. We found ~10% of cells in common for all 4 recording days (Fig. 4E). When excluding the habituation day, the number of common cells increased to ~17% in both Cre lines (Fig. 4E), suggesting that neurons recorded during conditioning and recall may be functionally more similar than the ones initially active during habituation. Of 10 recorded mice, three per genotype showed a PI >50% during recall, which is lower than observed before (Fig. 4F). It is possible that the weight of the miniscope rendered movements more laborious and decreased performance. Nonetheless, eight animals of 10 increased their preference for the positive context after conditioning (Fig. 4F), spending on average 2.1 times longer in the food zone and visiting it 1.7 times more often compared with the other three corners of the arena (Fig. 4G,H). Together, these are good indications that those eight animals learned the task.

For the 4 PKC<sup>B</sup> - and 4 Sst-GCaMP6s recorded mice that are considered to have learned the task (F), similar symbols represent the same mice. Bar graphs show mean ± SEM, each dot is the quantification of a single animal. ns, Not significant.
Figure 5.  Central amygdala encoding of pavlovian appetitive learning. A, B, Frequency distribution of Pearson’s correlation to the positive context regressor in PKCδ (A) and SST (B) calcium recorded neurons during habituation and recall. C, Prediction scores of the locations of Prkcd-Cre (purple) and Sst-Cre (yellow) calcium recorded animals in the positive context during recall, after temporally shuffling of behavioral data (shuffled) and after excluding four neurons per animal that exhibited the highest correlation value to the positive context regressor (recall — Friedman test, for PKCδ group comparisons: \( F = 9.9, p = 0.0062 \) with Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test; for SST group comparisons: \( F = 9.3, p = 0.0115 \) with Dunn’s multiple-comparisons test; *p < 0.05). D, E, Heat maps of average z-scored calcium responses of PKCδ (D) and SST (E) recorded neurons following entry to the positive context (at 0 s) and during habituation (\( D_2, E_2 \)). Cells were sorted in descending order based on their activity response on entry in the + context (entries to the + context varied from 6 to 14 times depending on the mice; \( n = 75 \) PKCδ + and 50 SST+ neurons). F, G, Heat maps of the \( \Delta F/F \) signal across the whole arena for one representative PKCδ (F) and SST (G) neuron encoding appetitive context. Green represents the minimum and purple the maximum per-pixel frequency (Extended Data Figure 5-1A). H, I, Fraction of CeA neurons encoding appetitive context in Prkcd-cre (H) and Sst-cre (I) recorded animals during recall. J, K, Representative \( \mathrm{Ca}^{2+} \) traces (from 7 mice) of PKCδ (J) and SST (K) recorded neurons during recall. The trace in beige (K, bottom) represents the convolved GCaMP6s regressor for the positive context of cell 21 (Extended Data Figure 5-1A). Values indicate the Pearson’s coefficients of each cell to its corresponding feeding bouts regressor. Values in red indicate a significant correlation. Each \( \Delta F/F \) trace was normalized to its maximum value. P, Q, Heat map of averaged z-scored calcium responses of PKCδ (P) and SST (Q) recorded neurons following entry to the neutral context (negative (−) context at 0 s). \( \Delta F/F \) transients were z-scored with the baseline calculated from time points when the animals were in the positive context. Cells were sorted in descending order based on their activity response on entry in the negative context (\( n = 75 \) PKCδ + and 50 SST+ neurons). R, Prediction scores of the locations of Prkcd-Cre (purple) and Sst-Cre (yellow) calcium recorded animals in the neutral context during recall. S, PI in the positive context on recall day as a
For each mouse, we randomly selected 70% of the data during recall to train a logistic regression classifier and asked whether in the remaining dataset the location of the mice in the positive context could be accurately predicted based on CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ population activity. In all mice tested, we could reliably decode the position of the animals in the positive chamber (70.7 ± 4.7% for CeA^PKCδ^ and 70 ± 4.1% for CeA^Sst^ recorded ensembles), whereas performances significantly dropped when the decoder was trained on temporally shuffled behavioral data (Fig. 5C), indicating that correct predictions were well above chance. We also found a decreased performance of 10% and 15%, respectively, when the classifier was tested on CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ population activity that excluded the four most important features (defined here as the neurons showing the highest correlations to the positive context; Fig. 5C). This suggests that at least a fraction of both populations encoded essential information about the location of the animal in the positive context. When we aligned the neuronal Ca^2+^ responses of each cell to the onset of the transitions from the neutral to the positive context and averaged the data, we found that a subset of both CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ neurons was activated on transition to the positive context (Fig. 5D,E). During habituation, we could not identify a group of neurons whose activity reliably increased on entry in the positive chamber, meaning that encoding of the appetitive context by CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ neurons arose after conditioning (Fig. 5D,E). These cells exhibited an area-specific activity that was specifically high when the mouse was in the appetitive context and preferentially within the food corner (Fig. 5F,G). Cells that displayed a significant correlation toward the positive context regressor accounted for ~14.5% of CeA^PKCδ^ and 8.7% of CeA^Sst^ recorded cells during recall (Fig. 5H,J). Neurons encoding appetitive context were found in 4/4 Prkcd-cre animals and 3/4 analyzed Sst-Cre mice (Extended Data Fig. 5-1A). Analysis of the ΔF/F traces revealed different patterns of activity within the positive context. Some neurons fired both on entry to the positive chamber and investigation of the food zone (Fig. 5J,L, cells 4 and 6, K,M, cell 15). Some cells were active preferentially within the food zone (Fig. 5K,M, cell 11). Other nonmemory neurons showed no preference for the positive context nor the food zone (Fig. 5J–M, cells 22 and 23). At this point, no differences were observed between neurons encoding appetitive context within the PKCδ and Sst populations. Again, using a regressor-based approach, we found that the activities of 60% of CeA^PKCδ^ and all CeA^Sst^ neurons encoding appetitive context were significantly upregulated during food consumption on the same recall day (Fig. 5N–O, Extended Data Fig. 5-1A), demonstrating that a subset of these cells can be tagged as food responsive.

We also looked at neurons that would be particularly unresponsive in the positive context (i.e., significant negative correlation to the positive context regressor), and identified a total of nine PKCδ + and seven Sst + neurons. The activity of these neurons was, however, very weak, as only six of them showed a significantly elevated activity in the neutral context (Fig. 5J–M, cells 17 and 21, Extended Data Fig. 5-1B). None of these six identified neurons nor any other recorded cells showed an increased activity on transition to the neutral context (Fig. 5P,Q). Additionally, we trained the logistic regression classifier on the presence of the mice in the neutral context while omitting the neurons encoding appetitive context for each animal (Fig. 5H,I). By doing so, we found that performance of the decoder was low (41.2% ± 14.3% for Prkcd-cre, and 48.4% ± 19.5% for Sst-cre recorded mice; Fig. 5R). This is a good indication that there may not be any other neurons (in addition to the neurons encoding appetitive context), whose activity can be used to predict the location of the animal in the neutral context.

We found no correlation between the number of neurons encoding appetitive context and the learning index (data not shown), but this could be an issue inherent to the behavioral task; although the poor learners may associate only the precise location of the food zone with a rewarding outcome, the good learners may associate the whole positive context or even the whole arena with food delivery. In the latter case, neurons encoding appetitive context may already fire when the animal recognizes the whole arena, but we do not have the means to visualize them.

This hypothesis is supported by the fact that neurons encoding appetitive context, whose activity best represented the food zone, were found in mice that showed lower learning indices, demonstrated as a negative correlation between the average correlation of neurons encoding appetitive context to the food zone regressor and the PI of the mice (Fig. 5S). Additionally, when we compared the prediction scores of our logistic regression decoder with the PI of the mice, we found that decoding accuracy of the classifier decreased with learning performances (Fig. 5T). In other words, the classifier did not manage to find a good representation of the positive context in the neural activity of the best learners. It is therefore possible that mice that learned best generalized the representation of the positive context to the whole arena.

Overall, these results suggest that contextual information associated with the positive context became predictive of food delivery after learning and support a model in which groups of CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ neurons encode contextual food cues in line with a Hebbian plasticity mechanism.

### Differences in calcium activity patterns between CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ neurons

Next, we took a step back and examined the activity profiles of all recorded neurons during conditioning, taking into consideration all five Prkcd-cre and five Sst-cre recorded animals (Fig. 4F). On day 1 and day 3 of training, we found that a large fraction of both CeA^PKCδ^ and CeA^Sst^ neurons strongly increased their activity, specifically when the mice were sequestered in the positive context when the food reward was present (Fig. 6A–D, cells A to F). These cells accounted for 30.9 ± 10.3% of PKCδ and 31.1 ± 7.3% of Sst recorded ensembles on day 1, and their proportion increased to 41.7 ± 6.7% and 58.3 ± 7.8%, respectively, on day 3 of conditioning (Fig. 6E).

For each cell, we calculated Pearson’s correlations for their corresponding feeding regressor and accordingly classified neurons as activated by food consumption. Interestingly, we observed that CeA^PKCδ^ cells did not always fire systematically during each feeding bout (Fig. 6C). Conversely, CeA^Sst^ neurons increased their activities at the onset of a feeding bout and more reliably for the following ones (Fig. 6D). Supporting these observations, on day 1 and on day 3 of training, CeA^Sst^ neurons showed stronger positive correlation to the feeding regressor...
Figure 6. Differences in calcium activity patterns between CeA PKC$_{d}^{\text{Neat}}$ and CeA SST neurons. A, B, Maximum projection representative images of PKC$_{d}$ (A) and Sst$_{1}$ (B) GCaMP6s-expressing neurons during day 3 of conditioning in the neutral (A1 and B1) and in the positive context (A2 and B2). C, D, Representative Ca$^{2+}$ traces (from 6 mice) of PKC$_{d}$ (C) and Sst (D) recorded neurons during habituation, day 1, day 3 of conditioning, and recall with food. Colored boxes indicate the location of the mouse in the corridor (gray) or on top of the food (green). The values indicate the Pearson's coefficients of each cell to its corresponding feeding bouts regressor. Values in red indicate a significant correlation. Each DFF trace was normalized to its maximum value.

E, Proportion of neurons per mouse that are significantly active in positive (+) context compared with negative (−) context on days 1 and 3 of conditioning. (Mann–Whitney U test between PKC$_{d}$ and Sst on day 1, $U = 11$, $p = 0.8413$; on day 3, $U = 6$, $p = 0.2045$).

F, G, Frequency distribution of Pearson’s correlations to the feeding bouts regressor in all PKC$_{d}$ and SST recorded neurons, respectively.
(Fig. 6F;G) compared with CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> cells, and the proportion of food responsive cells was higher among the CeA<sup>Sst</sup> population (Fig. 6H). There was no difference in the fraction of PKC<sub>δ</sub> + and Sst + cells active in the positive context during training (Fig. 6E), suggesting that the activity of CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> cells may not directly relate to food consumption but rather to the salience of the food reward. This phenotype was not because Pkcd-Cre animals ate less than Sst-Cre, as there was no positive correlation between the amount of time spent eating and the proportion of food responsive cells on day 3 of training (Fig. 6I).

We then tracked neurons over time to see whether they would maintain their food responsiveness over time and, indeed, we found that the majority of cells that showed significant correlation to the feeding regressor on 1 conditioning day conserved their functional tag on the subsequent day (Fig. 6C–I; cells B, D, E, K). Additionally, when tracking PKC<sub>δ</sub> + and Sst + cells that were classified as food responsive on recall, we found that 83% of PKC<sub>δ</sub> + and Sst + were also found food responsive on day 3, and 22% of PKC<sub>δ</sub> + and 50% of Sst + on day 1 (Fig. 6L–N). In comparison, the majority of nonfood responsive neurons on recall day were classified nonresponsive during the whole task (Fig. 6L–N). Most food responsive neurons were also significantly active in the positive context compared with the neutral one during all training sessions and were absent during habituation (Fig. 6C, cells B and C, D, cells D to F, M–O), together revealing their functional selectivity.

When tracing the origin of the PKC<sub>δ</sub> and Sst neurons encoding appetitive context (Extended Data Fig. 5–1A) we identified two different scenarios: 1) When detected, cells were tagged as food responsive during each step of the whole paradigm, and they were absent during habituation. This scenario includes 60% of the CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> cells and all CeA<sup>Sst</sup> neurons encoding appetitive context. 2) Cells were either not food responsive or lost their food responsive tag after conditioning. This includes 40% of the PKC<sub>δ</sub> neurons encoding appetitive context (found in two mice).

These last findings suggest that during conditioning, CeA<sup>Sst</sup> cells whose activities show high positive correlation with food intake behavior may be important to link environmental information with the physical properties of the food. In contrast, CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> neurons that are robustly activated on food pellet delivery in the arena, but not reliably on food consumption, might rather form associations between environmental information and the salience of the food reward.

Discussion
Here, we used a conditioned place preference assay allowing us to identify neuronal correlates of appetitive memories as the animal freely moves toward the food. This is noticeably different from classical studies of associative learning that looks at neuronal activity shortly following exposure to a conditioned tone applied by the experimenter (Pare and Quirk, 2017).

We found that two genetically distinct populations of CeA neurons (PKC<sub>δ</sub> + and Sst + neurons), developed specific activity patterns for the chamber associated with the reward. Interestingly, only the activities of CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup>, but not CeA<sup>Sst</sup>, cells were required for the formation and early retrieval of a preference for the appetitive context, suggesting that these neurons encode a combination of sensory, reward, and contextual information to support learning that a spatial location predicts food availability.

CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> neurons were previously shown to inhibit appetitive behaviors in response to threats or aversive tastes or in response to satiety (Cai et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2017). Moreover, CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> could be described as broadly aversive because these cells also promote pain-related responses and are linked to the expression of aversive memories (Haubensak et al., 2010; Cui et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019). Our findings, instead, suggest that CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> cells may have functions that are more general and relate to a variety of stimuli. This is supported by findings from anesthesia neurons that show an 80% overlap with CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> neurons and attenuate (rather than promote) pain-related behavior (Hua et al., 2020). CeA<sup>Sst</sup> neurons may also show multidimensional response properties as these neurons relate to appetitive stimuli (Kim et al., 2017; Wilson et al., 2019) but also contribute to the generation of defensive behaviors (Li et al., 2013; Penzo et al., 2015; Yu et al., 2016). Although these findings underscore the notion that molecularly distinct populations represent functionally specialized units, it remains possible that further subdivision of CeA populations using two or three genetic markers will reveal the presence of more specialized cells.

How could CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> neurons mediate learning of contextual food cues? Inhibition of CeA<sup>PKC<sub>1</sub></sup> neurons during conditioning did not affect the number of times an animal visited the precise location of the food zone but rather the time spent within this area. This was consistent with our calcium imaging data showing that CeA neurons encoding appetitive context showed a significant increase in activity when the animal was either specifically in the food zone or in the positive context. We interpret these results to mean that the activity of CeA neurons may be relevant to encode a representation of the goal, that is, reward associated with a particular location rather than a representation of compass cues that would help the animal in its search for the food. In the animals that learned best, this memory may initially be linked to the location of the food zone and was then generalized to the
positive context and ultimately to the whole arena. Inhibition of CeAPKC\(_B\) neurons during recall also impaired the preference of the animals for the positive context, although the effect was weaker, and the time spent within the food zone was unaffected. This suggests that CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) cells may be involved in the early retrieval of appetitive memories but these memories may be gradually transferred to different brain regions over time (Do Monte et al., 2016).

Although contextual memory traces were observed in subsets of both CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) and CeA\(_{Sst}\) neurons, our data uncovered differences between their activity patterns. We found, for instance, that the proportion of neurons active during food intake was significantly higher among the CeA\(_{Sst}\) compared with the CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) population. We hypothesize that CeA\(_{Sst}\) neurons encoding appetitive context may be essential to link a context or a sensory stimulus with the physical properties of food (e.g., taste, texture, etc.), but preventing this association from forming does not prevent the animals from developing a preference for the food-paired chamber. Conversely, CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) neurons encoding appetitive context may mediate the association of contextual stimuli with the general affective properties of food, a function that has been previously suggested for the CeA (Balleine and Killcross, 2006). Inhibiting the formation of these memories would then impair the ability of an animal to develop a preference for the location of the food reward. It is, however, important to mention here that our conclusions were drawn based on loss-of-function experiments of the entire population but with relevant neuronal activity patterns seen in only a subset of cells. Therefore it is possible that specific inhibition of memory neurons as opposed to whole PKC\(_\delta\) or Sst\(_\beta\) populations could lead to a different phenotype. Our data are nonetheless consistent with recent evidence that initially, before learning, CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) neurons are tuned to stimulus salience, but after conditioning, they encode valence discrimination to select the appropriate defensive or appetitive responses (Kargl et al., 2020). Furthermore, CeA\(_{PKC\delta}\) neurons seem to be part of a bottom-up network that is necessary to relay reinforcement signals to higher order areas such as the insula cortex (Kargl et al., 2020) and the lateral amygdala (Yu et al., 2017), which ultimately feed back to the CeA to drive associative learning.

In conclusion, our work reports specific activity patterns of CeA neurons that resemble contextual memory traces to appetitive stimuli. We propose that salience coding in PKC\(_\delta\) + cells is integrated with sensory representations of the environment through pavlovian learning mechanisms to drive correct behavioral choices during foraging.

References


Do Monte F, Quirk G, Li B, Penzo M (2016) Retrieving fear memories, as time goes by... Mol Psychiatry 21:1027–1036.


Monte et al., 2016


