State-Dependent Modulation of Activity in Distinct Layer 6 Corticothalamic Neurons in Barrel Cortex of Awake Mice
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Layer 6 corticothalamic (L6 CT) neurons are in a strategic position to control sensory input to the neocortex, yet we understand very little about their functions. Apart from studying their anatomic, physiological, and synaptic properties, most recent efforts have focused on the activity-dependent influences CT cells can exert on thalamic and cortical neurons through causal optogenetic manipulations. However, few studies have attempted to study them during behavior. To address this gap, we performed juxtacellular recordings from optogenetically identified CT neurons in whisker-related primary somatosensory cortex (wS1) of awake, head-fixed mice (either sex) free to rest quietly or self-initiate bouts of whisking and locomotion. We found a rich diversity of response profiles exhibited by CT cells. Their spiking patterns were either modulated by whisking-related behavior (~28%) or not (~72%). Whisking-responsive neurons exhibited both increases (activated-type) and decreases in firing rates (suppressed-type) that aligned with whisking onset better than locomotion. We also encountered responsive neurons with preceding modulations in firing rate before whisking onset. Overall, whisking better explained these changes in rates than overall changes in arousal. Whisking-unresponsive CT cells were generally quiet, with many having low spontaneous firing rates (sparse-type) and others being completely silent (silent-type). Remarkably, the sparse firing CT population preferentially spiked at the state transition point when pupil diameter constricted, and the mouse entered quiet wakefulness. Thus, our results demonstrate that L6 CT cells in wS1 show diverse spiking patterns, perhaps subserving distinct functional roles related to precisely timed responses during complex behaviors and transitions between discrete waking states.
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Significance Statement
Layer 6 corticothalamic neurons provide a massive input to the sensory thalamus and local connectivity within cortex, but their role in thalamocortical processing remains unclear because of difficulty accessing and isolating their activity. Although several recent optogenetic studies reveal that the net influence of corticothalamic actions, suppression versus enhancement, depends critically on the rate these neurons fire, the factors that influence their spiking are poorly understood, particularly during wakefulness. Using the well-established Ntsr1-Cre line to target this elusive population in the whisker somatosensory cortex of awake mice, we found that corticothalamic neurons show diverse state-related responses and modulations in firing rate. These results suggest separate corticothalamic populations can differentially influence thalamocortical excitability during rapid state transitions in awake, behaving animals.

Introduction
Layer 6 corticothalamic (L6 CT) neurons give rise to a massive descending projection that terminates in the thalamus. In sensory systems, these fibers outnumber ascending thalamocortical axons by 10:1, and CT axons contribute far more synapses than peripheral afferents (Sherman and Koch, 1986; Liu et al., 1995; Erişir et al., 1997; Deschénes et al., 1998; Van Horn et al., 2000; Winer et al., 2001). In addition, L6 CT cells send local axon collaterals to the more superficial layers of cortex that receive thalamic input (Gilbert and Wiesel, 1979; White and Keller, 1987; Zhang and Deschénes, 1997; Kim et al., 2014). Consequently, CT neurons can strategically influence thalamic throughput and cortical responsiveness.

Despite the anatomical prominence and a great deal of experimental effort focused on CT functions (for review, see Cudeiro and Sillito, 2006; Briggs and Usrey, 2008; Briggs, 2020), a thorough understanding of CT functions remains elusive. This lack of clarity is partly because of the technical challenges of monitoring or selectively manipulating CT activity. However, advances...
in optogenetic tools and the Ntsr1-Cre mouse line (Gong et al., 2007), which expresses Cre recombinase in L6 CT cells, have helped overcome these limitations, accelerating inquiry into CT functions.

One key feature to emerge from these studies is that the net effect (suppressive or enhancing) generated by CT cells is likely to depend on the timing and rate at which they fire, with the sign and magnitude depending on their interactions with different excitatory and inhibitory circuit components (Olsen et al., 2012; Bortone et al., 2014; Mease et al., 2014; Crandall et al., 2015; Kim et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017; Hasse and Briggs, 2017; Kirchgeessner et al., 2020). However, a potential concern in using opsins to manipulate CT activity is that the uniform activation or suppression generated within the pathway may differ from naturally evoked patterns and thus affect thalamocortical activity differently. Furthermore, this approach ignores CT subtypes, which may have different in vivo activity profiles and functions (Tsumoto and Suda, 1980; Landry and Dykes, 1985; Sirota et al., 2005; Briggs and Usrey, 2009; Kgwyr-Afful and Simons, 2009). Thus, an open and unresolved question is whether CT neurons show variations in firing rates consistent with those necessary to modulate downstream targets and if their behavior varies across cells. This question is of particular interest, given most studies across modalities and species report that CT cells in vivo are silent and lack suprathreshold responses to sensory input in both anesthetized and awake animals (Tsumoto and Suda, 1980; Swadlow and Weyand, 1987; Swadlow and Hicks, 1996; Sirota et al., 2005; Kgwyr-Afful and Simons, 2009). In addition, because CT regulation is dynamic, state dependent, and likely context dependent (Steriade, 2001; Lee et al., 2008; Vélez-Fort et al., 2018), it is critical to investigate these cells in awake, behaving subjects, something only a few studies have attempted (Belozerova et al., 2003; Sirota et al., 2005; Augustinaite and Kuhn, 2020; Voigts et al., 2020; Clayton et al., 2021).

To determine the activity patterns of CT cells in behaving animals, we used single-cell junctional recordings to study the spiking properties of optogenetically identified neurons in the whisker-related primary somatosensory cortex (wS1) of awake, head-fixed Ntsr1-Cre mice free to rest quietly or self-initiate whisking and locomotion. Rodents move their whiskers to gather information from their environment, and the activity patterns in wS1 contribute to tactile perception and sensorimotor processing (Diamond et al., 2008; Petersen, 2019). Yet no study has systematically recorded and functionally characterized the CT activity in wS1 during exploratory behavior. Consistent with recent calcium imaging and electrophysiological studies in visual and auditory cortices of awake behaving Ntsr1-Cre mice (Augustinaite and Kuhn, 2020; Clayton et al., 2021), we report that L6 CT neurons in wS1 are quiet, but a subset exhibits complementary activity patterns and spike rate modulation before and during active behavior and transitions between discrete waking states, suggesting general principles underlying CT activity across sensory modalities.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All procedures were conducted in accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guidelines for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals and approved by the Michigan State University Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee. The following mouse lines were used in this study: Ntsr1-Cre (catalog #017266-UCD, Mutant Mouse Regional Resource Center; RRID:MMRRC_017266-UCD; Gong et al., 2007), Ai32 (catalog #024109, The Jackson Laboratory; Madisen et al., 2012), Ai14 (catalog #007908, The Jackson Laboratory; Madisen et al., 2010), and Crl:CD1(ICR) (catalog #022, Charles River Laboratories). All Ntsr1-Cre mice used had Crl:CD1 genetic backgrounds. For most experiments, homozygous Ntsr1-Cre mice were crossed with a floxed ChR2 reporter line (homozygous Ai32), resulting in heterozygous experimental mice for the indicated genotypes. For viral injections, homozygous Ntsr1-Cre mice were crossed with Crl:CD1 mice. Experimental mice were group housed with same-sex littermates before surgery and singly housed postsurgery in a dedicated animal care facility maintained on a reversed 12 h light/dark cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum. Both male and female mice were used in this study.

Surgical procedures and training. Mice were 6–60 weeks old at the time of surgery. Briefly, mice were anesthetized with a Ketamine-Dexdomitor mixture diluted in sterile saline (KetaVed, 100 mg/kg; Dexdomitor, 0.25 mg/kg, i.p.). Once deeply anesthetized, the eyes were covered with a thin layer of ophthalmic ointment to prevent drying (Patterson Veterinary Artificial Tears). For chronic electromyography (EMG) recordings, fine Teflon-coated tungsten microwires (50 μm; catalog #795500, A-M Systems) were first inserted under the skin into the left mystacial pad musculature, as previously described (Fee et al., 1997; Berg and Kleinfeld, 2003). The distal tips of wires (~1 mm) were stripped of insulation and hooked for stable positioning within the pad. The EMG wire was then positioned on the head before the incised skin was closed with a surgical adhesive (GLUture). Mice were then placed into a digital stereotaxic frame with an integrated warming base that maintained core body temperature (Stoelting). Next, the skull was opened using the surgical procedure described previously, the periosteum was removed, and a small craniotomy (~0.75 mm diameter) made over the right wS1 (coordinates relative to bregma, 3.4 mm lateral and 1.1 mm posterior). A custom-built titanium head post was then affixed to the skull with adhesive luting cement (C&B Metabond), and the resected skin closed around the outside of the head post with tissue adhesive (GLUture). The EMG wire was attached to a gold pin (A-M Systems) with cold solder and secured in the luting cement. The craniotomy was then covered with Kwik-Cast (World Precision Instruments), and Buprenorphine (0.05–0.1 mg/kg) was injected subcutaneously for postoperative pain relief. Mice were also given Antisedan (2.5 mg/kg) to reverse the effects of Dexdomitor. After surgery, mice were allowed to recover on a heating pad for 1–2 h before returning to their home cage.

After 48–72 h of recovery, implanted mice were handled and habituated to head fixation within the recording setup. We clamped the head post to metal posts, positioned the mouse on a flexible circular treadmill, and then allowed mice to stand/walk/run freely in this position. Mice acclimated quickly to head fixation over the course of two 30 min sessions and ran naturally on the wheel, never showing signs of distress. Physiologic recordings began once the animal was accustomed to head fixation, which was observed by an investigator. Recording training and physiological recording were performed during the dark phase.

Stereotactic injections. For some mice (n = 2), an adeno-associated virus (AAV) that encoded Cre-dependent genes for hChR2(H134R)-EYFP fusion proteins was injected into the right wS1 during the headpostoperative procedure, as previously described (Crandall et al., 2015; Martinetti et al., 2022; pAAV.EF1a.DIO.hChR2(R134E)-eYFP.WPRE.hGH, catalog #20298-AAV1, Addgene). Briefly, after the craniotomy was made over wS1, a small volume of the virus was then pressure-ejected via a glass micropipette attached to a Picospritzer pressure system (0.33 μl over 10–15 min; titer = ~3.4 × 1012 viral genomes/ml). Viral solutions were injected between 0.8 and 1.0 mm ventral to pia surface. Following injection, the pipette was held in place for 10 min before withdrawal from the brain. After the procedure, mice were allowed to recover and express the viral vector for 10–12 d.

In vivo awake recordings and data acquisition. On the day of recording, Kwik-Cast was gently removed from the skull, exposing the craniotomy, and a drop of saline (0.9% NaCl) was placed on the skull to keep the exposed craniotomy moist. In vivo junctional recordings were achieved using borosilicate glass pipettes with tip resistances of 3–6 MΩ (tip diameter, 2–3 μm) which were filled with HEPES-buffered artificial CSF (ACSF) containing the following (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3 KCl, 1.2 CaCl2, 1.2 MgSO4, 10 glucose, pH 7.4. Post-surgery ephys recordings were made over 12 h light/dark cycle. Food and water were available ad libitum. Both male and female mice were used in this study.

Corticothalamic Activity in Awake, Behaving Mice

Dash et al. - Corticothalamic Activity in Awake, Behaving Mice

6552 - J. Neurosci., August 24, 22012 - 42(34):6551–6565
7.25, with NaOH, osmolarity 300 mOsm. Recording pipettes were mounted on a four-axis micromanipulator (Sutter Quad) and lowered through the craniotomy at a normal angle, avoiding blood vessels with guidance by stereomicroscope (Leica MZ10F). At a depth of 200–400 μm, the penetration was temporarily paused, and the craniotomy and pipette stabilized by applying warmed Agar or Agarose (3%) in saline (0.9% NaCl). The initial speed of advancement was 5–10 μm/s, but manipulator advancement was slowed down to 2–4 μm/s at a depth of 800 μm until a cell was encountered. During the search for a single cortical neuron, physiological activity and electrode resistance were monitored using Cambridge Electronic Design hardware and software and an audio amplifier (model 3300, A-M Systems). On detecting spontaneous or light-evoked spikes, the recording pipette was slowly advanced until we could achieve a juxtacellular recording configuration, defined as a recording with spikes having a positive polarity initial phase and an increase in electrode resistance just before, or coincident with, the detection of a neuron. Juxtacellular recordings were considered for analysis if action potential amplitudes were ≥1 mV, remained stable throughout the recording, and if the series resistance varied ≤20%. The final dataset also included six neurons with extracellular spiking. The exclusion of these neurons from the dataset had no qualitative impact on the interpretation of the results.

All electrophysiological and behavioral signals were acquired and digitized at 20 kHz using Cambridge Electronic Design hardware (Power1401-3) and software (Signal version 6). Juxtacellular data were obtained using a MultiClamp 700B amplifier ( Molecular Devices), and signals were amplified at 10×. For juxtacellular recordings, the pipette capacitances were neutralized, and series resistances (typically 10–25 MΩ) were compensated online. Series resistances were continually monitored during experiments to ensure sufficient compensation. The EMG electrode was connected to an A-M Systems preamplifier head stage (20×) through a connector with small input leads, and then the voltage signals were further amplified 100× and bandpass filtered between 10 Hz and 3 kHz using an A-M Systems 3600 amplifier. Pupillary signals were obtained from the eye contralateral to the recording site using a dedicated infrared (880 nm) eye-tracking system at a sampling rate of 120 Hz (ISCAN ET1200 rodent series), and the output was interfaced via Cambridge Electronic Design hardware (Power1401-3). An additional white LED was positioned above the mouse and provided low-intensity illumination so that the pupil was visible and provided low-intensity illumination so that the pupil was visible and thereby guiding by stereomicroscope (Leica MZ10F). At a depth of 200–400 μm, the penetration was temporarily paused, and the craniotomy and pipette stabilized by applying warmed Agar or Agarose (3%) in saline (0.9% NaCl). The initial speed of advancement was 5–10 μm/s, but manipulator advancement was slowed down to 2–4 μm/s at a depth of 800 μm until a cell was encountered. During the search for a single cortical neuron, physiological activity and electrode resistance were monitored using Cambridge Electronic Design hardware and software and an audio amplifier (model 3300, A-M Systems). On detecting spontaneous or light-evoked spikes, the recording pipette was slowly advanced until we could achieve a juxtacellular recording configuration, defined as a recording with spikes having a positive polarity initial phase and an increase in electrode resistance just before, or coincident with, the detection of a neuron. Juxtacellular recordings were considered for analysis if action potential amplitudes were ≥1 mV, remained stable throughout the recording, and if the series resistance varied ≤20%. The final dataset also included six neurons with extracellular spiking. The exclusion of these neurons from the dataset had no qualitative impact on the interpretation of the results.

In vivo juxtacellular labeling. In a subset of experiments, we filled the juxtacellular recording pipette with HEPES-buffered ACSF containing 2.5% Neurobiotin (Vector Laboratories), so the recorded cell could be labeled and subsequently identified (Painull, 1996). Following the recording, we injected 5–15 nA positive current pulse (200–400 ms, 0.25 Hz) through the recording pipette to modulate the spiking activity. We continually monitored evoked spikes throughout the labeling protocol, and current amplitudes were adjusted to avoid increased spontaneous activity and cell damage. The recording pipette was slowly retracted once the labeling protocol was complete (typically 300–500 current pulses with evoked spikes). Following a survival period of 2–24 h, mice were killed and brain tissue fixed for subsequent histology.

Histology and imaging. All tissue for histology was prepared from acute brain slices, as previously described (Grandel et al., 2017; Martinetti et al., 2022). Briefly, animals were anesthetized with isoflurane before being decapitated. Brains were removed and placed in a cold (~4°C) oxygenated (95% O2, 5% CO2) slicing solution containing the following (in mM): 3 KCl, 1.25 NaH2PO4, 10 MgSO4·0.5 CrCl3, 26 NaHCO3, 10 glucose, and 234 sucrose. Brain slices (300–1000 μm thick) containing W1 were cut using a vibrating tissue slicer (Leica VT1200S) and immediately transferred to a 4% parafomaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution overnight at 4°C (18–24 h). The next day, slices were changed to a 30% sucrose in 0.1 M phosphate buffer solution until resectioned (4°C, 2–3 d). Individual brain slices were resectioned at 100 μm using a freezing microtome (Leica SM2010 R). Floating sections were washed twice in 0.1 M phosphate buffer followed by three washes in 0.1 M phosphate buffer with 0.15 M NaCl, pH 7.4 (PBS, 5 min per wash). Following, sections were incubated for 1 h at room temperature in a blocking solution containing 0.1% Tween, 0.25% Triton X-100, and 10% normal goat serum in PBS. Sections were then incubated using Streptavidin/Biotin Blocking Kit (catalog #SP-2002, Vector Laboratories), 30 min in streptavidin solution, followed by 30 min in biotin solution with a brief rinse of PBS after each. Sections were then incubated with Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated streptavidin (1:5000, 2 μg/μl; catalog #S11226, Thermo Fisher Scientific) solution made up in blocking solution for 3 h with rotation at room temperature. Following incubation, sections were washed three times in PBS, then two times in glycerol phosphate buffer (10% glycerol, 0.1 M phosphate buffer, 0.1 M NaCl, pH 7.4) before being mounted between a coverslip and DAPI (catalog #H-1500, Vector Laboratories). Fluorescent conjugates of streptavidin were stored and prepared as recommended by the manufacturer. Confocal image stacks of labeled neurons were taken using an Olympus FluoView 1000 filter-based Laser Scanning Confocal Microscope with a UPlanSApo (NA 1.40) 100× oil immersion objective and updated version 4.2 software (laser excitation 405 nm, 514 nm, and 543 nm). Image brightness and contrast were adjusted off-line using Fiji software (Schindelin et al., 2012). Three blinded independent observers examined cells to determine the presence or absence of membrane-bound ChR2-eYFP associated with the in vivo filled neu- robiotin cells.

Electrophysiological data analysis. Electrophysiological and behavioral data were analyzed in Spike 2 (Cambridge Electronic Design) and MATLab (MathWorks). After the experiment, juxtacellular spiking data were high-pass filtered (250–270 Hz, finite impulse filters in Spike 2), and single action potentials were detected off-line using Spike 2 software (Cambridge Electronic Design). The spike identification procedure involved a two-step process, first, setting a threshold with two trigger points (twice noise floor), and then selecting a template from the recorded spike waveforms. Spike shapes with 60% of the points matching the preselected template were captured and added >50 repetitions) to establish whether the neuron expressed ChR2. After the photostimulation block, we recorded the neural activity simultaneously with other time-varying signals like EMG, pupil diameter, and locomotion. To confirm ChR2-expressing CT neurons off-line, we focused our analysis on two characteristics, (1) the probability of light-evoked spikes and (2) the latency of peak light-evoked spikes.
and their event times saved. We then converted the time stamps of identified spikes and all other electrophysiological and behavioral data to MATLAB files, and all subsequent analyses were performed using custom-written scripts in MATLAB.

Briefly, spike time stamps were used to calculate the instantaneous firing rate of the spike train. The instantaneous firing rate was calculated as the inverse of the interspike intervals and then smoothed with a low-pass Butterworth filter of 100 ms window size, which was applied in both the forward and backward directions to ensure no net smearing of data in a particular direction. Next, the myocardial pad EMG signal was rectified and filtered with a second-order Butterworth filter with a desired final cutoff frequency of 5 Hz and applied in both forward and backward directions to avoid temporal smearing. The final signal was a continuous trace of the rectified EMG activity envelope during a recording session. The raw pupil diameter signal was also smoothed with a low-pass Butterworth filter with 100 ms window size, running forward and backward. Finally, the signal of the infrared phototransistor was rectified, differentiated, and low-pass filtered (5 Hz) to get a smoothed locomotion signal.

The onset of locomotion and EMG epochs were calculated based on a threshold above the preceding baseline signal. All locomotion epochs, whisking epochs with or without locomotion, were initially detected manually. Once identified, we calculated the mean and SD of the baseline as the average of the preceding 1 s of respective signals. We then defined locomotion onset objectively as the point ≥500 ms around the manually detected onset that exceeded the baseline plus five times the SD. Similarly, we defined whisking/EMG onset as the point exceeding baseline plus three times the SD.

We extracted 5 s of data before and after each detected whisking/EMG onset for subsequent analysis of whisking with concomitant locomotion (whisking/locomotion) epochs. For a recorded neuron to be included for analysis, at least three locomotion epochs were needed. For whisking only (whisking) epochs, we extracted 3 s before and after each detected whisk. Every neuron considered in this study had >10 such events. For statistical analysis within neuron whisking-related modulation, the baseline period was defined as −4 to −1 s before whisking onset for whisking/locomotion epochs and −1.5 to −0.5 s before whisking onset for solitary whisking episodes. The whisking period was defined as 3 and 2 s starting from whisking onset for whisking/locomotion epochs and for solitary whisking episodes, respectively. For a neuron to be considered whisking-responsive, significant modulation (p < 0.05, Wilcoxon signed-rank test) from baseline for either whisking with or without locomotion epochs was needed.

To determine whether whisking-responsive CT neurons were better correlated with whisking or a change in arousal, we cross-correlated the instantaneous spiking activity with the time course of either the EMG signal or pupil dynamics. For whisking/locomotion epochs, we used 4 s of data centered on the whisking onset, whereas for solitary whisking, we used 3 s of data centered on whisking onset for cross-correlations. The analysis yielded correlation coefficients (r value) at every time bin (of 1 ms) for lag and lead of 1 s each.

Statistical analysis. Statistical comparisons were performed in MATLAB. Details of specific comparisons are provided (see below, Results). Briefly, nonparametric tests were held as appropriate based on a test of normality using the Shapiro–Wilk test. Paired and unpaired comparisons were performed using the Wilcoxon signed-rank and the Mann–Whitney tests, respectively. All time-varying data traces (average instantaneous spike rates, EMG profile, and pupil dynamics) indicate mean ± SEM, and any numerical representation (e.g., average spiking during a fixed time window and mean r value) indicates mean ± SD, and statistical significance was defined as p < 0.05. However, exact p-values are provided wherever applicable.

Results

Optogenetic tagging and functional identification of L6 CT neurons in wS1

To investigate the behavior of L6 CT cells in awake, behaving mice, we used an in vivo Channelrhodopsin (ChR2)-assisted patching strategy to target individual genetically identified CT neurons for juxtacellular recording (Lima et al., 2009; Moore and Wehr, 2013; Muñoz et al., 2014, 2017; Yu et al., 2019). For these experiments, we took advantage of the Nsr1-Cre driver mouse line (Gong et al., 2007), which selectively labels L6 CT neurons in sensory cortices, including wS1 (Bortone et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2014; Crandall et al., 2015; Guo et al., 2017). Consistent with previous reports, we found that Nsr1-Cre-positive somata in wS1 were restricted to L6 and that their axons/terminals were present in whisker-related nuclei of the somatosensory thalamus (Fig. 1A). To render genetically defined CT neurons responsive to light, we conditionally expressed the light-sensitive cation channel ChR2 by either crossing the Nsr1-Cre driver mouse to a reporter mouse line (Ai32) that conditionally expresses ChR2-enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (ChR2-EYFP) or by viral transduction with a Cre-dependent adeno-associated virus (AAV) expression vector (Madsen et al., 2012; Crandall et al., 2015). To directly relate CT activity to behavior and waking state, we recorded individual cells located across the depth of L6 in awake, head-fixed mice positioned on a flexible wheel apparatus while simultaneously monitoring the mystacial-pad EMG for whisking, pupil diameter for changes in general arousal, and wheel movement for locomotor bouts (Fig. 1B, left). Previous studies have shown pupil diameter to be a reliable index of arousal state in mice (Reimer et al., 2014; Vinck et al., 2015; McGinley et al., 2015a). For identification of L6 CT cells in vivo, we used brief focal LED stimuli (465 nm, 5 ms, 2 Hz, 3–8 mW) directed through the glass recording pipette to stimulate ChR2-expressing CT cells effectively at the tip of the pipette (Fig. 1B, right).

Figure 1C shows an example of a photo-activated neuron identified as a CT cell based on the characteristics of its light-evoked activity. This neuron had a cumulative spike probability of one within the first 3 ms of LED onset. Following the recording, we labeled the cell with neurobiotin by juxtacellular iontophoresis and confirmed ChR2 expression histologically (Pinault, 1996; Fig. 1D). We observed similar light-evoked activity in six cells confirmed post hoc expressing ChR2 (Fig. 1G). Across the entire population, identified L6 CT cells had a spike probability of 0.5 or greater within 3 ms of LED onset and a cumulative probability of 1.0 or greater within 5 ms of LED onset (defined as the sum of the spike probability over the first five 1 ms bins; n = 115 cells from 27 mice; 17 males and 10 females; Fig. 1E–G). We performed additional analyses on spike waveform components of all light-insensitive cells to separate putative fast-spiking (FS) neurons and regular spiking (RS) neurons from our CT population. The peak spike probability within 5 ms of LED onset of all RS (mean ± SD = 0.03 ± 0.07; n = 53 cells; peak-trough duration ≥0.5 ms) and most FS cells (mean ± SD = 0.85 ± 0.15; n = 60; peak-trough duration ≤0.4 ms, peak-trough ratio ≤2.5) was lower than that of CT cells (Fig. 1E–G). Putative FS and RS cells also had a longer latency to peak spike probability from LED onset than CT neurons (mean ± SD = 2.85 ± 1.61 ms; Fig. 1E–G). Consistent with these observations, we found that histologically recovered cells with a low spike probability (<0.5) or a significant delay to light-evoked responses (>5 ms) were negative for ChR2 expression (n = 6 cells; Fig. 1G). Thus, high spike probability and short-latency to peak spike probability are reliable physiological criteria for identifying ChR2-expressing L6 CT neurons in vivo.

Four broad classes of L6 CT cells based on their activity patterns in awake mice

We recorded the activity of all L6 CT neurons encountered by our pipette (n = 115) during simple free behavior in which the
Figure 1. Optogenetic tagging and functional identification of genetically defined L6 CT neurons in wS1 of the Ntsr1-Cre driver mouse line. A, Left, Confocal image of a fixed brain section (40 μm), centered on wS1, from an Ntsr1-Cre x floxed-tdTomato reporter (Ai14) mouse. White arrows indicate Ntsr1 expressing CT somata in L6. Right, Confocal image from the same fixed section, centered on the somatosensory thalamus, showing tdTomato-labeled CT axons terminating densely. VfPM, Ventral posterior medial nucleus; PoPM, posterior medial nucleus; TRN, thalamic reticular nucleus. The tissue was stained immunohistochemically for NeuN (blue). B, Schematic of the experimental setup. Head-fixed Ntsr1-Cre x floxed-ChR2-EYFP reporter (Ai32) mice stood or walked/run on a flexible circular treadmill during juxtacellular recordings from neurons in wS1 while we simultaneously monitored mystacial pad EMG, pupil diameter, and locomotion. We used an optical fiber located inside the glass recording pipette to deliver blue light locally through the tip for stimulation and identification of ChR2-expressing L6 CT cells in vivo (optogenetic tagging; 465 nm LED). C, Top, Raster plot of light-evoked spiking (blue dots) order by trial number for a ChR2-expressing L6 CT neuron. Brief LED flashes (5 ms) from the pipette tip evoked reliable spikes with short onset latencies (blue voltage trace) across all trials (gray traces). Bottom, Peristimulus time histogram of spike probability for 64 trials aligned on LED onset (blue line; bin size, 1 ms). We observed reliable light-evoked spikes within 2 ms of LED onset for this neuron. D, Post hoc confocal images of the same neuron (shown in C) labeled with neurobiotin by in vivo juxtacellular methods during the recording. Membrane ChR2-EYFP expression histologically confirmed that this recorded cell was a ChR2-expressing L6 CT neuron in the Ntsr1 x Ai32 mouse. E, Top, The normalized spike probability of all optogenetically tagged L6 CT neurons during brief photostimulation (n = 115 cells from 27 mice) showed mean spiking rate change around whisking onset. Middle and bottom, The normalized spike probability of all RS (n = 53; peak-trough duration ≥ 0.5 ms) and FS cells (n = 60; peak-trough duration < 0.4 ms, peak-trough ratio < 2.5), respectively. F, Same as E but with a different scale (0–0.1 normalized spike probability) for better visualization of spike latency and suppressed activity. G, Top left, Waveforms of spikes (recorded juxtacellularly) of a photoactivated L6 CT neuron (blue), putative FS interneuron (red), and RS cell (gray). Top right, Example waveform of a spike recorded extracellularly (n = 6 of 115 cells) from a photoactivated L6 CT cell (blue). Insets, Parameters measured. Bottom left, Plot showing the separation of putative FS interneurons (red) and RS cells (gray) from optically identified L6 CT neuron. Bottom, Post hoc confocal images of the same neuron (shown in G) labeled with neurobiotin by in vivo juxtacellular methods during the recording. Light-evoked response of L6 CT cells exhibited greater spike probability and shorter latency to peak spiking probability than putative FS interneurons and RS cells. The squares indicate cells confirmed based on neurobiotin staining and EYFP expression (6 CT cells and 6 non-CT cells), whereas the triangles represent the six extracellular CT units included in the dataset. The trough-to-peak and interval were plotted for all extracellularly recorded CT cells. The absolute peak-to-trough ratio was plotted for all recorded cells.

The activity patterns differed considerably among CT neurons, even within a single electrode penetration (Fig. 2). We found that most L6 CT cells did not show significant changes in spike rate during episodes of whisking or whisking/locomotion (Whisking-unresponsive, 72%; 83 of 115 CT neurons; mean spiking rate change around whisking onset; p > 0.05; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 2A,C,D). Of these Whisking-unresponsive CT cells, some were spontaneously silent, firing no spikes during the entire recording session (Silent-type, 39.8%; 33 of 83 Whisking-unresponsive neurons). The majority had low spontaneous firing rates, typically <0.5 spikes/s (Sparse-type, 60.2%; 50 of 83 Whisking-unresponsive neurons; mean spontaneous rate, 0.22 ± 0.55 spikes/s). These data are consistent with results from other species and sensory cortices showing many L6 CT neurons are silent or sparsely firing (Tsumoto and Suda, 1980; Swadlow, 1994; Beloozerova et al., 2003; Sirota et al., 2005; Stoedel et al., 2017).

The remaining L6 CT cells showed significant modulation in firing rates during either whisking or whisking/locomotion (Whisking-responsive, 28%; 32 of 115 CT neurons; mean spiking rate change around whisking onset; p < 0.05; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 2B,C,D). Approximately 60% (19 of 32) of the Whisking-responsive CT cells had negligible firing rates during quiet wakefulness but were activated during whisking episodes, increasing their firing rates around solitary whisking, bouts of whisking/locomotion, or both (Activated-type). Conversely, ~40% (13 of 32) of the Whisking-responsive CT cells were spontaneously active during quiet wakefulness, but whisking and whisking/locomotion suppressed their spiking activity (Suppressed-type). Therefore, most L6 CT neurons in wS1 of awake mice are relatively unresponsive during whisking behavior, whereas
approximately one-third of the cells showed distinct whisking-related modulations in firing rate (Fig. 2D).

There was no clear relationship between relative depth in electrode penetration and the responsiveness of L6 CT cells. However, this could be because of an error in accurately localizing the pipette relative to the dural surface or the angle of the pipette not being perfectly normal to the cortical surface across penetrations. Nevertheless, five of the six CT neurons filled with neurobiotin were of the Silent-type, and their somata were located deeper within L6 (Fig. 2E). The other was an activated CT neuron, and it was located within the lower third of the layer.

**Changes in L6 CT activity align better with whisking onset than locomotion but are more modulated during running**

Consistent with previous observations (Sofroniew et al., 2014; Ayaz et al., 2019), mice never walked/ran on the wheel without whisking. To determine whether the associated changes in L6 CT activity patterns are specific to whisker movement or locomotion, we examined the temporal dynamics of changes in firing around both whisking and locomotion onsets during bouts of walking/running (Fig. 3A,B; see above, Materials and Methods for the criteria used to define onset). These analyses revealed that firing rate changes of Activated- and Suppressed-type CT cells aligned better temporally with EMG/whisking onset than locomotion (Fig. 3C), suggesting locomotion does not activate most wS1 whisking-responsive L6 CT cells.

Although the onset of locomotion does not necessarily activate most L6 CT neurons, the whisking-evoked response in these cells appeared to be more strongly modulated by locomotion. Therefore, we determined the cell-type-specific modulation of firing rates during whisking-related behaviors. On average, the mean firing rates of the Activated-type CT cells \( (n = 19) \) were low during baseline periods of quiet wakefulness before whisking-related behaviors (before whisking, 1.5 \( \pm \) 1.7 spikes/s; before whisking/locomotion, 1.9 \( \pm \) 2.6 spikes/s). During bouts of solitary whisking, the mean discharge rates of Activated-type cells were significantly higher than baseline (3.04 \( \pm \) 3.23 spikes/s; \( p = 5.16e-04 \); Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3D, top, blue
circles). Responses were also significantly elevated during whisking/locomotion, becoming more intense, with some having high firing rates (10.12 ± 6.12 spikes/s; p = 8.85e-05; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3D, top, blue circles) and whisking/locomotion (2.6 ± 4.8 spikes/s; p = 0.03; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3E, top, blue circles) and whisking/locomotion (2.6 ± 4.8 spikes/s; p = 0.03; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3E, top, blue circles) and whisking/locomotion (2.6 ± 4.8 spikes/s; p = 0.03; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3E, top, blue circles) and whisking/locomotion (2.6 ± 4.8 spikes/s; p = 0.03; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3E, top, blue circles). The three Suppressed-type cells with a mean firing rate higher during whisking/locomotion (red data points above the diagonal) correspond to those that responded bimodally, with an initial decrease followed by an increase in spiking activity during locomotion epochs. Across all Suppressed-type CT cells recorded, the lowest level (trough) of instantaneous spike rate during whisking/locomotion (0.6 ± 1.1 spikes/s) was lower than that observed for whisking (2.7 ± 2.4 spikes/s; p = 2.44e-04; Wilcoxon signed-rank test; Fig. 3E, bottom). As expected, the mean firing rates of both Sparse- and Silent-type CT cells (n = 83) were low during baseline periods of quiet wakefulness before whisking-related behaviors (most
The only exception were cells that had delayed increases in activity during locomotion (i.e., the fourth cell from left), which did not respond during whisking only. Values indicate mean ± SEM (gray shadow indicating SEM).

Diverse response profiles exhibited by whisker-related L6 CT neurons in wS1. A, Top, Examples of juxtacellular unit responses recorded from individual L6 CT neurons displayed as raster plots aligned on locomotion onset (top row, blue) and EMG onset (bottom row, red dashed line; time = 0) during whisking/locomotion. Bottom, Peristimulus time histograms (PSTHs) from the same cell aligned to EMG onset. The first four examples (left to right) show Activated-type CT neurons, whereas the last two are Suppressed-type CT cells. Activated-type cells had either tonic firing at EMG onset (left), phasic increases in activity at EMG onset (second from left), a slow buildup of activity preceding EMG onset (third from left), or delayed increases in activity from EMG onset (fourth from left). Suppressed-type neurons showed less diversity and tended to have decreased spiking rates before EMG onset. For the PSTHs, neural activity (black line) and EMG activity (red line) are plotted as mean ± SEM (gray shadow indicating SEM). B, Juxtacellular unit responses for the same CT neurons (shown in A) displayed as raster plots and PSTHs aligned on EMG onset (red dashed line; time = 0) during whisking and no locomotion. We found that most CT cells were modulated during solitary whisking similarly to whisking/locomotion. The only exception were cells that had delayed increases in activity during locomotion (i.e., the fourth cell from left), which did not respond during whisking only. Values indicate mean ± SEM.

< 0.02 spikes/s for Sparse-type) and did not change significantly during solitary whisking or whisking/locomotion (p > 0.05; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 3G).

In summary, Activated- and Suppressed-type L6 CT cells recorded in wS1 exhibited robust modulation of firing rates during whisking-related behavior, with changes in firing rate better aligned with EMG than locomotion. However, these same neurons exhibited more significant firing rate modulation during whisking/locomotion than during bouts of whisking only.

Diverse response types of L6 CT cells during whisker-related behaviors

Further examination of the whisking-responsive CT population revealed key relationships between behavior and neuronal firing rate. Specifically, we found that Activated-type cells showed diverse responses and significant modulation of spiking rates during whisking/locomotion that comprised tonic increases in spike rate, phasic increases in the rate at whisking onset, elevated firing rates before whisking onset, and delayed activity (Fig. 4A, Activated-type, from left to right). In addition, most Activated-type cells (68%, 13 of 19) increased spiking during solitary whisking, with the only exception being those that had delayed activity during whisking/locomotion (6 of 19 cells; Fig. 4B). These cells suggest that changes in firing rates of some Activated-type neurons are not always associated with whisking onset. However, as illustrated in Figure 4A (the fourth cell to the right), the changes in spiking did not align with locomotion onset either. Suppressed-type CT cells exhibited less diverse responses, with most responding with a decrease in spike rate during whisking/locomotion and whisking (Fig. 4A, B). However, we did encounter three Suppressed-type cells with more complex firing patterns, such as responding bimodally, with first a decrease and then an increase in firing rate during locomotion.

Premovement modulation of firing rate in whisking-responsive L6 CT cells of wS1

For many Whisking-responsive L6 CT neurons, we often observed changes in spiking rates that preceded whisking behavior, as measured by the electrical activity generated in the muscles of the mystacial pad (i.e., EMG signal). To assess the temporal relationship between whisker movement and a change in neural activity, we characterized the firing rate changes in Whisking-responsive L6 CT neurons (Activated- and Suppressed-type) as a function of time around the onset of self-initiated whisking. Because we were interested in changes in spiking activity around EMG onset, we excluded the Activated-type cells with delayed activity during locomotion from subsequent analyses (n = 6 of 19 Activated-type cells). We defined a change in instantaneous spiking activity as a 30% deviation (above or below) from the average pre-whisking baseline activity (2 s). This criterion was conservative and biased toward delaying onset detection. Because the analysis depended on baseline activity, we selected only neurons with stable rates above 2.0 spikes/s. Among all the Activated- and Suppressed-type CT cells recorded, 38% (5 of 13) and 77% (10 of 13) met this criterion for analysis, respectively.

Figure 5A shows two Activated- and Suppressed-type cells with a firing rate change (black dotted line) detected before EMG onset (red dotted line). Of the five Activated-type cells analyzed, 80% (four of five cells) exhibited rate changes that increased before whisker movement (mean, −118 ± 178 ms, n = 5 cells, Fig. 5B, top). Similarly, the discharge rate in 90% (9 of 10 cells) of Suppressed-type cells decreased before EMG onset (mean, −248 ± 206 ms, n = 10 cells; Fig. 5B, bottom). As we performed the above analysis on whisking/locomotion, we repeated the analysis on bouts of solitary whisking (Fig. 5C). Because of the variability in EMG signal related to whisking, we focused our analysis on the strongest 50% of all whiskers identified for each cell.
based on the peak EMG signal. Most Activated- and Suppressed-type cells showed similar spiking rate changes before solitary whisking. Altogether, these data suggest that a subset of L6 CT cells in wS1 change their firing rate well before self-initiated whisker movements, with and without subsequent locomotion.

The transition from quiet awake to exploratory behaviors such as whisking and locomotion is associated with changes in arousal (McGinley et al., 2015b). To help understand the contributions of arousal to the observed changes in L6 CT firing rates, we analyzed changes in pupil diameter, which is a reliable index for general arousal in head-fixed mice (Reimer et al., 2014; Vinck et al., 2015; McGinley et al., 2015a). Consistent with these previous studies, we observed that the onset of locomotion is preceded by an increase in pupil diameter, which ramped up hundreds of milliseconds before, suggesting that general arousal may contribute to the premovement modulation of CT activity (Fig. 5D). However, although pupil diameter increased 500–1000 ms before EMG onset during whisking/locomotion, we found that during solitary whisking, the increases in the EMG signal preceded the change in pupil diameter by 250–500 ms (Fig. 5E,F). These results suggest that the premovement modulation of L6 CT circuit activity may also represent a motor-preparatory signal.

To assess the contributions of arousal and motor activity to the cell-type-specific modulation of spiking rate observed in Whisking-responsive L6 CT cells, we calculated the cross-correlation coefficient between EMG signal or pupil diameter and the instantaneous spiking rate for most Activated- and Suppressed-type CT cells, respectively, during both whisking/locomotion and whisking (Fig. 6). Overall, we found that the best absolute cross-correlation (regardless of lags or leads) for both Activated- and Suppressed-type cells was consistently stronger with EMG than with pupil diameter during whisking/locomotion and whisking (Activated-type CT cells during whisking/locomotion, p = 0.06; whisking, p = 0.27; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Suppressed-type CT cells during whisking/locomotion, p = 0.002; whisking, p = 0.27; Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test;
Moreover, we found that EMG signals generally aligned with the spiking activity of Whisking-responsive CT cells with a much shorter lag than pupil dynamics (Activated-type CT cells during whisking/locomotion, \( p = 0.002 \); whisking, \( p = 2.44 \times 10^{-4} \); Suppressed-type CT cells during whisking/locomotion, \( p = 0.002 \); whisking, \( p = 0.08 \); Wilcoxon paired signed-rank test; Fig. 6C,D, right). Altogether, these results indicate a stronger correlation and better temporal alignment between the firing rates of Whisking-responsive CT cells and the time course of the EMG (motor) signal than pupil (arousal) dynamics.

### Relationship between pupil diameter and spiking activity of whisking-unresponsive CT cells

Like S1 CT cells in other species (Landry and Dykes, 1985; Swadlow, 1989, 1990; Swadlow and Hicks, 1996; Kelly et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2008; Kwegyir-Afful and Simons, 2009), most L6 CT cells recorded in the present study showed very little spontaneous activity or whisker-related responses (72%; Fig. 2A). Here, we routinely observed that Whisking-unresponsive CT cells with low spontaneous firing (i.e., Sparse-type) exhibited a strong relationship to the moment-to-moment fluctuations in pupil diameter. Figure 7A shows an example of a neuron with a spontaneous rate of 0.6 spikes/s. To analyze this relationship, we calculated the spike-triggered average of the pupil diameter and found that this cell routinely spiked during constriction events (362 total spikes during a 10-min recording period; Fig. 7B). Across 43 Sparse-type CT cells that discharged a minimum of five spikes during the recording session, nearly all (91%, 39 of 43) showed a similar relationship between individual spikes and pupillary constriction, as verified by the negative pupil slope (Fig. 7C). The average spike-triggered changes in pupil diameter from all recorded units indicate a similar effect, with spikes occurring during constriction events (\( n = 5243 \) spikes from 50 Sparse-type CT cells, recorded over 29,090 s; Fig. 7D). These data indicate that most spiking activity in Sparse-type CT cells occurs during pupillary constriction. Interestingly, the relationship between the
change in pupil diameter and Sparse-type spiking was independent of the waking state, as we found qualitatively similar patterns of effects whether mice were sitting still (quiet) or actively exhibiting exploratory movements (Fig. 7E; i.e., whisking or whisking/locomotion).

Discussion

In this study, we have used the juxtacellular method to record optogenetically identified L6 CT neurons in wS1 of awake, head-restrained mice during quiescence and whisking-related behavior. We characterized the modulation of CT spiking across different behavioral states through continuous monitoring of arousal, whisking, and locomotion, making several novel observations. First, we found two subsets of CT cells that exhibited opposite spiking rate changes as mice transitioned from quiet wakefulness to whisking—Activated and Suppressed. Second, some CT neurons, primarily the Suppressed-type, presented changes in firing rate well before whisking onset. Third, although arousal and whisking contribute to state-dependent changes in firing rates, the activity of Whisking-responsive cells correlated more strongly and displayed better temporal alignment with whisking onset. Fourth, most L6 CT neurons in wS1 are spontaneously quiet (Sparse-type) or entirely silent in the awake mouse, even during active whisking and locomotion. Finally, if the Sparse-type cells spike, they preferentially fired at the state transition point corresponding to when pupil diameter slowly constricted, and the mouse entered a period of quiet wakefulness. Thus, our results demonstrate that L6 CT neurons in wS1 of behaving mice have different response properties and precisely timed spikes around distinct state transition points.

Whisking-responsive L6 CT neurons

Twenty-eight percent of L6 CT neurons encountered exhibited responses to whisking-related behavior. Because of their response properties, we differentiated this population into two types, an Activated-type with low spontaneous rates that increased spiking around whisking and a Suppressed-type with moderate spontaneous rates that decreased spiking around whisking. These response types are qualitatively similar to the 25% of wS1 CT cells reported to be either excited or inhibited by controlled whisker deflection in the narcotized rat (Kwegyir-Afful and Simons, 2009). The complementary activity patterns are also reminiscent of recent findings in other sensory cortices of Ntsr1-Cre mice during awake behavior (Augustinaite and Kuhn, 2020; Clayton et al., 2021), suggesting a general feature of CT processing. However, naturally occurring transitions between distinct motor behaviors—whisking and locomotion—revealed heterogeneity within wS1. For example, we observed both phasic and tonic changes in firing rate during whisking associated with locomotion. Because mice begin whisking shortly before locomotion onset, phasic responses suggest that whisking and locomotion signals may have opposing effects on some CT cells. We also encountered a small subset of neurons active only during locomotion, yet locomotion does not activate these cells per se because their change in spiking activity was not well aligned with the onset of locomotion. Together, these results confirm that locomotion contributes to response diversity in wS1 (Sofroniew et al., 2015; Ayaz et al., 2019). Although they represent a minority of CT cells, these distinct Whisking-

Figure 7. Whisking-unresponsive L6 CT cells with sparse firing preferentially fired during pupil constriction. A, Pupil diameter (black trace), rectified EMG (red trace), and spike times (gray ticks) are shown as a function of recording time for a Sparse-type CT cell. Insets, Expansion in time showing the relationship between spiking, EMG, and pupil diameter (1, 2, and 3; gray shading). Note small increases in pupil diameter are seen even in the absence of whisker movement (i.e., EMG instances just before spiking). Right, Mean and SEM of the normalized spike-triggered pupil (black line and gray shadow). B, Spike-triggered pupil average for the same pupil cell pair (shown in A). Left, Raw pupil traces aligned on spike onset (n = 362 spikes; time = 0, dotted vertical line). It is hard to appreciate any trends in pupillary responses as they spanned a broad range of pupil diameters. For better visualization, we normalized the extracted pupillary responses to the peak of each extracted pupillary response. Middle, The same SPike-triggered pupil traces normalized to their peak value. Note the clear tendency of preceding pupil constriction in most instances just before spiking. Right, Mean and SEM of the normalized spike-triggered pupil (black line and gray shadow). C, The normalized spike-triggered pupil average for each Sparse-type CT neuron (n = 43/50 cells; similar to B, right). Only Sparse-type cells with more than five spikes recorded in the entire session were used for analysis (7 cells excluded with <5 spikes). D, A summary graph of all normalized spike-triggered pupil traces (n = 5243 traces) across all the silent CT cells (n = 50 cells). E, A summary graph showing the spike-triggered pupil traces shown in D after separating them based on EMG activity at the time of the spike. Inset, The mean spike-triggered EMG signal across the two behavioral states (Whisking/high EMG activity, n = 1347 spikes; No whisking/low EMG activity, n = 3896 spikes). Whisking/high EMG activity was defined as an average EMG exceeding 20 µV in the 1.5 s preceding the spike. Values indicate mean ± SEM.
responsive populations appear well suited to dynamically regulate thalamocortical processing during sensorimotor behavior (Steriade, 2001).

One function of these CT cells may be to selectively enhance or suppress afferent sensory signals in a context-dependent manner. CT fibers from L6 are glutamatergic and thus a direct source of monosynaptic excitatory input to thalamic relay cells (Scharfman et al., 1990; Bourassa et al., 1995; Golshani et al., 2001), but they also indirectly inhibit them by recruiting GABAergic neurons in the thalamic reticular nucleus (TRN; Cox et al., 1997; Kim et al., 1997; Golshani et al., 2001; Crandall et al., 2015). Previous work has shown that the sign of CT influence on thalamic relay cells is highly dynamic, with the excitatory-inhibitory balance shifting in an activity-dependent manner (von Krosigk et al., 1999; Crandall et al., 2015). CT effects are primarily suppressive during low-frequency activity, whereas higher frequency activity yields enhancement. Consistent with the findings of Augustinaite and Kuhn (2020), we found that the activity of Activated- and Suppressed-type CT cells complement each other according to behavioral state, suggesting they may also have complementary effects on thalamic excitability. For example, when Activated-type CT cells are firing at high rates during whisking, Suppressed-type cells are inhibited, and when Activated-type cells are firing at low frequencies in the absence of whisking, Suppressed-type cells spike at higher rates. Given that L6 CT cells have diverse termination patterns across and within thalamic nuclei (Deschénes et al., 1998), future studies identifying the target of these CT cells will help understand how these circuits control the spatial and temporal structure of thalamocortical activity during awake behavior (Temereanca and Simons, 2004; Crandall et al., 2015; Whitmire et al., 2016; Martinez-Garcia et al., 2020).

Premovement modulation of L6 CT activity

This study found that some Activated- and most Suppressed-type CT cells showed significant response modulation well before whisking onset. What might be the source of this premovement modulation in CT activity? One possibility is that the change in activity may involve recruiting neuromodulatory systems that control global arousal levels (Lee and Dan, 2012). Indeed, exploratory behaviors such as whisking and locomotion are closely associated with arousal (McGinley et al., 2015b). Here, we monitored pupil diameter to assay arousal (Reimer et al., 2014; Vinck et al., 2015; McGinley et al., 2015a), finding a strong correlation between the firing rates of Whisking-responsive CT neurons and the time course of pupil dynamics. Consistent with visually evoked responses in L6 CT cells of the visual cortex (Augustinaite and Kuhn, 2020), we found that the average spiking activity of Whisking-responsive cells is more modulated during whisking/locomotion, a substrate of heightened arousal, than whisking alone. However, although an increase in pupil diameter preceded whisking/locomotion, the increase in pupil diameter occurred after the onset of a solitary whisk, suggesting that the premovement modulation of L6 CT activity may also represent a motor-preparatory signal. Consistent with this interpretation, we found stronger correlations and better temporal alignment between the firing rates of Whisking-responsive cells and the time course of the EMG signal than pupil dynamics. Clayton et al. (2021) reported that L6 CT activity in the auditory cortex is also modulated hundreds of milliseconds before orofacial movements associated with sound presentation and reward but not locomotion. Together, these studies suggest that encoding preparatory activity is perhaps a general principle underlying the activity of some CT cells in sensory cortices. Such precisely timed changes in CT cell activity before self-generated whisker movement could provide context-dependent control of information processing in somatosensory thalamocortical circuits during active sensation (Lee et al., 2008). Indeed, previous studies in rodents have shown that L6 wS1 neurons receive excitatory input from the whisker primary motor cortex (Zhang and Deschénes, 1998; Lee et al., 2008; Rocco-Donovan et al., 2011; Kinnischtzke et al., 2014, 2016; Martinetti et al., 2022), a cortical area that appears to contribute to the initiation and modulation of whisking (Carvell et al., 1996; Sreenivasan et al., 2016). The enhancing and suppressive effects of such motor-preparatory signals on CT firing most likely depend on the engagement of specific excitatory and inhibitory mechanisms in L6 (Beierlein and Connors, 2002; Crandall et al., 2017; Frondolig et al., 2019; Martinetti et al., 2022).

Whisking-unresponsive L6 CT neurons

Most L6 CT neurons (72%) in mouse wS1 exhibited no whisking/locomotion-related activity and had either a low rate of spontaneous activity (Sparse-type) or were completely silent (Silent-type). This proportion of unresponsive cells is consistent with previous studies of antidromically identified CT neurons in S1 of sedated or anesthetized rats and awake rabbits (Landry and Dykes, 1985; Swadlow, 1989, 1990; Swadlow and Hicks, 1996; Kelly et al., 2001; Lee et al., 2008; Kwegyr-Afful and Simons, 2009) but is higher than those reported for other cortices (Tsumoto and Suda, 1980; Swadlow, 1994; Beloozerova et al., 2003; Sirota et al., 2005; Stoelzel et al., 2017; Augustinaite and Kuhn, 2020). Overall, there is considerable evidence across species and sensory modalities that many L6 CT neurons are fairly silent and unresponsive, even when animals are aroused and behaving, suggesting they are a common feature of CT systems. Yet, the function of this largely quiet population is a mystery.

We found Whisking-unresponsive cells with low spontaneous activity, or Sparse-type CT neurons, preferentially fired when the pupil diameter slowly constricted. Previous studies have shown that pupil constriction is associated with a decrease in cortical activation and an increase in the prevalence of low-frequency cortical oscillations (Reimer et al., 2014; Vinck et al., 2015; McGinley et al., 2015a), suggesting that some CT neurons themselves may play a role in switching cortical network activity. So how does the sparse but precisely timed activity of most excitatory L6 CT neurons have an impact on cortical network activity? One possibility is synchronizing the output of translaminar L6 inhibitory cells (Olson et al., 2012; Bortone et al., 2014), which could fire synchronously enough to reduce synaptic activity across all cortical layers, terminating cortical activation (Timofeev et al., 2001; Volgushev et al., 2006). Alternatively, synchronized low-frequency CT spiking could also suppress the thalamus through the preferential recruitment of inhibitory TRN neurons (Crandall et al., 2015).

Of course, the small behavioral space in which mice were allowed to rest quietly or self-initiate whisking and locomotion may have contributed to the large fraction of Whisking-unresponsive CT cells encountered in the present study. Indeed, evidence suggests that many unresponsive CT neurons have subthreshold excitatory and inhibitory receptive field properties (Swadlow and Hicks, 1996; Kwegyr-Afful and Simons, 2009). Thus, it seems reasonable that different behaviors, tasks, sensory stimuli, or brain states could bring these unresponsive CT cells on-line. Consistent with this idea, an imaging study by Augustinaite and Kuhn (2020)
demonstrated that quiet L6 CT neurons in the visual cortex are recruited dynamically under different stimulation conditions or behavior states. Further investigations of the activity of wSI L6 CT cells in mice performing different whisker-dependent tasks or transitioning between different brain states (waking vs sleep) could reveal additional insights into the functional significance of this mysterious population.

Indeed, the considerable literature examining CT circuits describes diverse roles for L6 neurons in modulating sensory transmission. These functions include regulation of gain or excitability (Olsen et al., 2012; Denman and Contreras, 2015; Guo et al., 2017; Wang et al., 2018; Ansorge et al., 2020), sharpening or shifting of sensory response properties (Temereanca and Simons, 2004; Andolina et al., 2007; Li and Ebner, 2007; Hasse and Briggs, 2017; Pauzin and Krieger, 2018; Pauzin et al., 2019; Voigts et al., 2020), enhancement of synchrony (Sillito et al., 1994; Contras et al., 1996), regulation of sensory adaptation (Mease et al., 2014), and control of burst versus tonic spiking (Wang et al., 2006; Kirchgesner et al., 2020). The current work complements these studies, and, together, they indicate that L6 CT cells are highly heterogeneous and likely have multiple modulatory functions.
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