

Research Report: Regular Manuscript

Distinct oscillatory dynamics underlie different components of hierarchical cognitive control

https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0617-20.2020

Cite as: J. Neurosci 2020; 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0617-20.2020

Received: 16 March 2020 Revised: 11 May 2020 Accepted: 12 May 2020

This Early Release article has been peer-reviewed and accepted, but has not been through the composition and copyediting processes. The final version may differ slightly in style or formatting and will contain links to any extended data.

Alerts: Sign up at www.jneurosci.org/alerts to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

1 Title 2 Distinct oscillatory dynamics underlie different components of hierarchical cognitive control 3 **Authors** Justin Riddle^{1,2,3,7}, David A. Vogelsang^{1,4}, Kai Hwang^{4,5}, Dillan Cellier^{5,6}, Mark 4 D'Esposito^{2,4} 5 6 **Affiliations** 7 1. These authors contributed equally 8 2. Department of Psychology, University of California, Berkeley, 2121 Berkeley Way, 9 Berkeley, CA 94720-1650 10 3. Department of Psychiatry, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 101 Manning 11 Drive, Chapel Hill, NC 27514 12 4. Helen Wills Neuroscience Institute, University of California, Berkeley, 450 Li Ka Shing Biomedical Center, MC#3370, Berkeley, CA 94720-3370 13 14 5. Department of Psychology, University of Iowa, 301 E Jefferson Street, Iowa City, IA, 15 52245 16 6. Department of Cognitive Science, University of California, Berkeley, 140 Stephens 17 Hall, Berkeley, CA 94720-2306 18 7. Corresponding author 19 Corresponding author 20 Justin Riddle 21 riddler@berkeley.edu 22 210 Barker Hall 23 Berkeley, CA, 94720 24 Number of pages: 30 Number of figures: 8 25 Number of words: Abstract - 225; Introduction - 517; Discussion - 1511 26 27 **Conflicts of Interest** 28 The authors declare no competing financial interests. 29 Acknowledgements 30 J.R., D.V., K.H., and M.D. designed the research. J.R., D.V., K.H. and D.C. performed 31 experiments. J.R., D.V., and K.H. analyzed the data. J.R., D.V., K.H., and M.D. wrote the

manuscript. This work was supported by National Institutes of Health grants R01 MH111737

- and R01 MH063901 awarded to M.D. and National Science Foundation grant DGE 1106400
- 34 awarded to J.R.

35 Abstract

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

Hierarchical cognitive control enables us to execute actions guided by abstract goals. Previous research has suggested that neuronal oscillations at different frequency bands are associated with top-down cognitive control, however, whether distinct neural oscillations have similar or different functions for cognitive control is not well understood. The aim of the current study was to investigate the oscillatory neuronal mechanisms underlying two distinct components of hierarchical cognitive control: the level of abstraction of a rule, and the number of rules that must be maintained (set-size). We collected electroencephalography (EEG) data in 31 men and women who performed a hierarchical cognitive control task that varied in levels of abstraction and set-size. Results from time-frequency analysis in frontal electrodes showed an increase in theta amplitude for increased set-size, whereas an increase in delta was associated with increased abstraction. Both theta and delta amplitude correlated with behavioral performance in the tasks but in an opposite manner: theta correlated with response time slowing when the number of rules increased whereas delta correlated with response time when rules became more abstract. Phase amplitude coupling analysis revealed that delta phase coupled with beta amplitude during conditions with a higher level of abstraction, whereby beta band may potentially represent motor output that was guided by the delta phase. These results suggest that distinct neural oscillatory mechanisms underlie different components of hierarchical cognitive control.

Significance Statement

Cognitive control allows us to perform immediate actions while maintaining more abstract, overarching goals in mind and to choose between competing actions. We found distinct oscillatory signatures that correspond to two different components of hierarchical control: the level of abstraction of a rule and the number of rules in competition. An increase in the level of abstraction was associated with delta oscillations, whereas theta oscillations were observed when the number of rules increased. Oscillatory amplitude correlated with behavioral performance in the task. Finally, the expression of beta amplitude was coordinated via the phase of delta oscillations, and theta phase coupled with gamma amplitude. These results suggest that distinct neural oscillatory mechanisms underlie different components of hierarchical cognitive control.

Introduction

Cognitive control orchestrates thoughts and actions according to internal goals (Norman and Shallice 1986, Braver 2012). The frontal cortex is central to cognitive control, where representations of rules and goals provide top-down influences over motor and perceptual systems to guide actions (Miller and Cohen 2001, Miller and D'Esposito 2005, Badre and Nee 2018, Vogelsang and D'Esposito 2018). Previous research findings suggest that the frontal cortex is organized hierarchically along the rostral-caudal axis, where the caudal frontal cortex is involved in the control of concrete action representations, whereas the rostral prefrontal cortex is involved in the control of abstract rules, goals, and contexts (Badre and Nee 2018). We have previously demonstrated that at any particular level of representation, an appropriate action can be chosen from a number of competing rules (number of rules defined as set-size), and as competition increases, cognitive control is required to adjudicate among alternatives (Badre and D'Esposito 2007).

It is proposed that rhythmic neural oscillations support a diverse range of cognitive functions, whereby oscillations in different frequency bands, ranging from slow delta oscillations to faster gamma oscillations, are generated by distinct biophysical mechanisms and are associated with different cognitive functions (for reviews see: (Sauseng, Griesmayr et al. 2010, Roux and Uhlhaas 2014, Helfrich and Knight 2016, Sadaghiani and Kleinschmidt 2016, Helfrich, Breska et al. 2019)). Phase amplitude coupling (PAC) between frequency bands, in which the phase of a slow oscillation like theta can modulate the amplitude of faster oscillations like gamma (Lisman and Jensen 2013, Nácher, Ledberg et al. 2013, Arnal, Doelling et al. 2014, Morillas-Romero, Tortella-Feliu et al. 2015, Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015, Heusser, Poeppel et al. 2016), further supports inter-areal communication and interactions between cognitive functions. However, whether or not there are distinct neural oscillations associated with different components of hierarchical cognitive control is unknown.

In our previous human electrocorticography (ECoG) study, we found that tasks that required increased hierarchical cognitive control were associated with increased theta-band synchronization between the prefrontal and premotor/motor regions (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015). Furthermore, the phase of prefrontal theta oscillations showed increased coupling with the amplitude of gamma oscillations in the motor cortex (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015). A series of non-human primate experiments have also found that beta-band oscillations are associated with rule representation in the frontal cortex, in which distinct neural populations represent different rules, and become more synchronized in beta frequency when the rule is behaviorally relevant (Buschman, Denovellis et al. 2012, Antzoulatos and Miller 2014, Antzoulatos and Miller 2016, Wutz, Loonis et al. 2018). Furthermore, updating the active rule representation increases delta oscillations in these same neural populations, preceded by a modulation in beta oscillations (Antzoulatos and Miller 2016). Together, these findings suggest that theta-gamma and deltabeta band oscillations are associated with hierarchical cognitive control. However, in these experiments, tasks that engaged more abstract rules also had higher set-size (higher number of rules to select from), making it impossible to determine if the modulation of neural oscillations and phase-amplitude coupling by these cognitive processes are driven by set size or abstraction. In this study, our aim was to address this question.

110

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

Materials and Methods

112

113

114

115

116

117

118

111

Experimental Design and Statistical Analysis

Thirty-one healthy participants (18 females; mean age = 20 years; range 18-34) with normal or corrected to normal vision were recruited from the University of California, Berkeley. Written consent was obtained prior to the start of the experiment and participants received monetary compensation for their participation. The study was approved by the University of California, Berkeley Committee for Protection of Human Subjects.

The experiment consisted of a single session of EEG during performance of the hierarchical cognitive control task. Behavioral performance, response time and accuracy, was analyzed using two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with two factors: abstraction (high and low) and set-size (high and low). Time frequency analysis was conducted using stimulus and response-locked epochs for the abstraction and set-size contrast. The time frequency analysis was restricted to a midfrontal electrode cluster that was defined using hierarchical clustering of the time frequency data independent of the contrasts of interest. We corrected for multiple comparisons and spurious findings using permutation testing with significance determined by cluster mass across all seven electrode clusters for the abstraction and set-size contrast. Next, the significant time frequency bands were correlated with response time as a function of abstraction and set-size using Pearson correlation. Finally, phase amplitude coupling (PAC) was computed between delta phase and beta amplitude and theta phase and gamma amplitude for each task condition. PAC values were inputted to a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with two factors: abstraction and set-size.

Experimental Task

The task used in this study was adapted from two previously published studies (Badre and D'Esposito 2007, Badre and D'esposito 2009, Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015). We manipulated two components of hierarchical cognitive control, abstraction and set-size (see Figure 1A). During the response task (low abstraction conditions), participants learned the association between a colored square and a button response. The response task had two levels of set-size: a low set-size condition (in which four colored squares had to be associated with four responses) and a high set-size condition (in which eight different colored squares had to be associated with eight response options; Figure 1A). In the dimension task (high abstract conditions), participants were presented with a colored square that contained two objects. The color of the square indicated the dimension (shape or texture) by which the participant had to

evaluate the two objects. Importantly, the abstraction task contained two levels of set-size similar to the response task: a low level of set-size and yet still higher in abstraction and a higher level of set-size and also high in abstraction (see Figure 1A). In the high abstraction, low set-size condition, participants made a judgement along only one dimension (either shape or texture) as both colored squares mapped to a single dimension (e.g. a purple square or a green square signal that participants must judge whether the two objects have the same or different shape). In the high abstraction, high set-size condition, two colored squares mapped to two different dimensions (e.g. the color red indicates a perceptual judgement along the shape dimension, the color blue indicates the texture dimension).

Our previous versions of the experiment (Badre and D'Esposito 2007, Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015) did not match performance between the low and high abstraction tasks, as the highest set-size condition of a low abstraction task showed worse performance than the lowest set-size of a high abstraction task. By matching performance across levels of abstraction, we remove a potential confound of task difficulty in isolating the processing of abstract rule representations (Todd, Nystrom et al. 2013). To match performance between levels of abstraction, we ran multiple pilot experiments, in which we increased the difficulty of the response task into a comparable performance range as the dimensions task. In particular, we iteratively increased the number of competing rules in the response task and shorted the response window from three to two seconds to increase response time and reduce the accuracy of participants for the response task. At the completion of this pilot testing, we selected two conditions to be defined as low set-size based on performance levels: the response task with four responses and the dimensions task with one dimension. For the high set-size conditions, we used the response task with eight responses and the dimension task with two dimensions.

In the experiment, participants performed eight blocks, two of each of the four conditions. Each block contained 48 trials; thus, each participant completed 96 trials per experimental condition. Each trial was presented on the screen for two seconds and participants

were instructed to provide their response within that time window. Each trial was separated by a fixation cross that varied exponentially in length from three to ten seconds. The experiment was programmed in Psychtoolbox implemented in MatLab 2015a (The MathWorks, Inc.). Prior to the start of the experimental task, participants were instructed to maintain their gaze on a fixation point and to remain still for five minutes with eyes open followed by five minutes eyes closed. This resting-state EEG data was not analyzed for the purpose of this paper.

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

188

189

190

191

192

193

194

195

171

172

173

174

175

176

EEG Recording and Preprocessing

EEG data was recorded from 64 active electrodes using a BioSemi ActiveTwo amplifier with Aq-AqCl pin-type active electrodes mounted on an elastic cap according to the extended 10-20 system (BioSemi, Amsterdam, Netherlands). In addition, four electrodes were used to monitor horizontal and vertical eye movements and two electrodes recorded electrical activity from the mastoids. Signals were amplified and digitized at 1,024 Hz and stored for offline analysis. Participants were trained before the experiment to minimize eye movements, blinking, and muscle movement before the experiment.

The EEG data were analyzed with the software package EEGLab14 (Delorme and Makeig, 2004) which utilized MatLab2015a (The MathWorks, Inc.). The continuous EEG data were re-referenced to an average of the mastoid electrodes and filtered digitally with a bandpass of 0.1-100Hz (two-way least-squares finite impulse response filter). The continuous data were then divided into epochs ranging from -1000 milliseconds before stimulus onset until 2000 milliseconds post-stimulus onset. The epochs in the EEG data were visually inspected and trials that contained excessive noise, such as muscle artifacts, were removed, resulting in an average of 4.5% of trials that were removed across participants. Furthermore, electrode channels with excessive noise were identified by visual inspection and reconstructed using the average of neighboring electrodes. Eye-blinks and other EEG related artifacts were identified

and rejected using the extended info-max independent component analysis using the EEGLab toolbox with default mode training parameters (Delorme and Makeig 2004).

198

199

200

201

202

203

204

205

206

207

208

209

210

211

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

196

197

Electrode clustering

Electrode clusters were defined based on a data-driven hierarchical clustering approach that grouped electrodes based on the similarity of the evoked oscillatory amplitude that ranged from 2-30Hz (see for similar procedure (Clarke, Roberts et al. 2018). Time-frequency decomposition was averaged across all trials, conditions, and participants. Data from each electrode was vectorized such that it included all time points and frequencies. A distance metric was calculated for each electrode based on the similarity in evoked spectral response. An agglomerative hierarchical clustering algorithm was applied that grouped pairs of electrodes with the most similar spectral response. The two most similar electrode pairs were averaged. This process continued until all electrodes were paired under a single tree. A dendrogram of the hierarchical clusters was created and only clusters that fit an a priori cluster scheme based on Clarke et al. (2018) were included in the time-frequency analysis. Each electrode cluster was defined to only included contiguous electrodes and we excluded electrode clusters with less than three electrodes. This hierarchical clustering approach resulted in six electrode clusters that were used in the main analysis (Figure 2). Results reported here for an electrode cluster is the averaged spectral response of all electrodes within the cluster. Our previous evidence using this task in fMRI (Badre and D'Esposito 2007) and electrocorticography (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015) found task-modulated activity related to cognitive control in lateral prefrontal cortex. However, due to the problem of volume conduction and electric field properties in EEG, activation of bilateral sites is commonly found in the midline (Sasaki, Tsujimoto et al. 1996, Stropahl, Bauer et al. 2018, Riddle, Ahn et al. 2020). Therefore, we focused our analysis on the frontal midline electrode cluster and capitalized on the temporal resolution afforded by EEG. We

hypothesized that the frontal midline electrode clusters (highlighted in Figure 2) would show the strongest effects of hierarchical cognitive control (see (Cavanagh and Frank 2014) for review).

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

221

222

Time-frequency Analysis

Time-frequency analysis was applied using six cycle Morlet wavelet in the frequency range of 2 to 50 Hz with steps of 1 Hz between each wavelet center. The Morlet wavelets were applied to sliding time windows of 20 milliseconds increments in the entire epoch ranging from -1000 milliseconds to 2000 milliseconds with stimulus onset set as time 0. To minimize the problem of edge artifacts, we concatenated mirrored (i.e. time inverted) segments before and after the task epoch (Cohen 2014). Time-frequency analysis was performed on these extended epochs and mirrored segments were discarded from the final analysis (see for similar procedure (Fell and Axmacher 2011, Vogelsang, Gruber et al. 2018). Results reported here were not baseline corrected since we were interested in differences across conditions and therefore baseline correction is not necessary (see for similar approaches (Fell and Axmacher 2011, Gruber, Watrous et al. 2013, Vogelsang, Gruber et al. 2018)). For each of the four experimental conditions, only trials in which the participant made a correct response were included in the analysis. Trial numbers used in the analysis were: low abstraction, low set-size mean(std) = 92.4(4.8), range 76 - 96; low abstraction, high set-size mean(std) = 88.1(8.0), range 56-96; high abstraction, low set-size mean(std) = 91.8(6.8), range 68-96; high abstraction, high set-size mean(std) = 87.1(7.4), range 68-96. Our main analysis was two contrasts, one for "abstraction" (high versus low) and one for "set-size" (high versus low).

An across participant non-parametric statistical approach was applied to test for significant time-frequency differences between the contrasts of interest. We ran cluster-mass permutation testing in which the average t-value within a significant cluster (p < 0.05) is used to evaluate significance. The permutation testing procedure consisted of the following steps. First, we computed the cluster mass for each of the contrasts of interest (abstraction and set-size) for

each of the six electrode clusters. Second, the experimental conditions for the abstraction (or set-size) contrast were randomly swapped for 50% of the participants such that any systematic differences between the conditions were eliminated. We ran the contrast for this randomized pairing and calculated the largest absolute cluster mass across all electrode clusters. This randomization process was repeated 1000 times to create a null distribution of the largest negative and positive cluster mass values. Using an alpha level of .05 with 1000 permutations, we used the 25th and 975th values to represent the critical mass values, and values below or higher than these values were considered to be significant effects. This stringent procedure allowed us to control for multiple comparisons across the electrode clusters (Blair and Karniski 1993, Maris and Oostenveld 2007).

Phase Amplitude Coupling Analysis

In addition to a time-frequency analysis, we also sought evidence for how different frequency bands may interact with each other during hierarchical cognitive control. One possible mechanism is phase amplitude coupling (PAC), which involves examining the relationship between the phase of a lower frequency band (e.g. delta and theta) and the amplitude of a higher frequency band (e.g. beta and gamma). To examine whether the phase of slow oscillatory bands modulated the amplitude of faster frequency bands as a function of increased rule abstraction and rule set-size, we computed PAC for the phase of slow frequency bands in the range of 2-7 Hz, which includes delta and theta, with the amplitude of the higher frequency spectrum ranging from 10-49 Hz separately for each task condition. We narrowed our analysis to the coupled pairs motivated by our time-frequency analysis and a priori based on our previous findings (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015).

To compute PAC, we extracted the phase of the delta and theta frequency bands using a three cycle Morlet wavelet convolution and the amplitude of the higher frequencies using a five cycle Morlet wavelet convolution. We selected these parameters such that the half width full

mass of the low and high frequencies were more closely matched (Cohen 2019). We calculated PAC using the phase and amplitude values from the significant time windows observed in the time-frequency contrast for delta band (200 to 1400 milliseconds) and theta band (600 to 1200 milliseconds). For each participant, the phase (θ) and amplitude (M) values of each trial were concatenated into a single continuous time series (n is the number of time points) and PAC was calculated according to **Formula 1**.

Formula 1. $PAC = \left| \frac{\sum_{t=1}^{n} M * e^{i\theta}}{n} \right|$

We applied nonparametric permutation testing to determine whether the obtained PAC values would be expected given the null hypothesis of no relationship between phase and amplitude. The permutation procedure involved temporally shifting the amplitude values with a random temporal offset of at least 10% the length of the time series and calculating PAC (Cohen 2014). After 1000 repetitions, PAC is converted into a z-score from the null distribution, resulting in PAC_z. We were interested in changes in PAC_z with increased abstraction and set-size. In order to reduce multiple comparisons, we used a priori coupled pairs for the hypothesized coupled frequencies based on the time-frequency analysis and ran a two-way repeated-measures ANOVA of within-participant factors: abstraction and set-size.

Code and Data Availability

Custom code used for these analyses are available upon request to the corresponding author. The authors assert that all requests for raw data within reason will be fulfilled by the corresponding author.

Results

Behavioral Results

The task was designed to separately manipulate abstraction and set size during hierarchical cognitive control. To test the effects of our behavioral manipulation, we performed separate two-way repeated-measures ANOVA. We entered two independent variables: abstraction (low, high) and set-size (low, high), and response time (RT) and accuracy as dependent variables. For RT, the ANOVA revealed a significant main effect of abstraction (high abstraction mean = 1132.0, sd = 105.3 milliseconds; low abstraction mean = 974.1, sd = 95.0 milliseconds; F(1,30) = 398, p < 0.0001, $\eta_{p}^2 = 0.93$), a main effect of set-size (high set-size mean = 1176.0, sd = 95.7 milliseconds; low set-size mean = 930.1, sd = 95.5 milliseconds; F(1,30) = 92.1, p < 0.0001, $\eta_{p}^2 = 0.75$), and an interaction (F(1,30) = 53.1, p < 0.0001, $\eta_{p}^2 = 0.64$) (Figure 1B). Participants were slower as a function of abstraction and set-size. For accuracy, the ANOVA revealed a main effect of set-size (high set-size mean = 94.7%, sd = 5.0%; low set-size mean = 97.7%, sd = 2.9%; F(1,30) = 10.2, p = 0.003, $\eta_{p}^2 = 0.25$), but did not reveal a significant main effect of abstraction (F(1,30) = 0.11, p = 0.75, $\eta_{p}^2 = 0.0036$) or interaction (Figure 1C). Participants were less accurate for the conditions that required maintenance of a larger set-size, but behavior was matched across levels of abstraction.

Time-Frequency Results

We performed time-frequency analyses to determine how set-size and abstraction modulates patterns of neural oscillations during hierarchical cognitive control. The time-frequency analyses focused on the spectral amplitude differences ranging from 2 to 50 Hz in the entire epoch time window (-1000 to 2000 milliseconds relative to stimulus onset) for both the abstraction and set-size contrast (high versus low abstraction and high versus low set size). For the abstraction contrast (Figure 3A), across all electrode clusters, there was a significant increase in the delta frequency band (2-3 Hz) from 100 to 2000 milliseconds post stimulus onset and a significant decrease in the beta frequency band (peak at 12-22 Hz) from 500 to 1500 milliseconds post stimulus onset (peak at 500 to 1000 milliseconds) for all electrode clusters. In

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

347

348

349

the topographic plots, it can be seen that in the abstraction contrast, delta amplitude showed the strongest increase in mid and right frontal electrode clusters (Figure 3B) whereas beta amplitude showed the strongest decrease in the mid frontal electrode cluster (Figure 3C). For the set-size contrast (Figure 3D), across all electrode clusters, there was a significant increase in amplitude in the theta frequency band (4-6 Hz) from 850 to 1700 milliseconds post stimulus onset. There was a significant decrease in amplitude in the beta frequency band (12-30 Hz) around 500 to 1500 milliseconds after stimulus onset in frontal midline electrode cluster, and 500 to 1800 milliseconds after stimulus onset in central and posterior electrode clusters. In the topographic plots, it can be seen that in the set-size contrast, theta amplitude showed the strongest increase in the frontal midline electrode cluster and beta amplitude showed the strongest decrease in the frontal midline and central midline electrode clusters. Altogether, two different low frequency bands increased in amplitude in the midfrontal electrode cluster. Delta amplitude increased for abstraction and theta amplitude increased for set-size. However, betaband amplitude decreased for both higher abstraction and higher set size, but with a slightly different spread in frequency within the beta-band. Peak beta amplitude modulation for the abstraction contrast occupied a lower frequency range, from 12-18 Hz, compared to the wider frequency range in peak beta amplitude modulation for the set-size contrast from 12-22 Hz.

In order to better understand the timecourse of amplitude modulations found for the contrasts of interest, the time course for the amplitude of delta, theta and beta frequency bands in the frontal midline cluster is plotted in Figure 4. Approximately 500 milliseconds after stimulus onset, the high abstraction, high set-size condition showed the greatest delta amplitude increase followed by high abstraction, low set-size and then both low abstraction conditions (Figure 4A). Approximately 1200 to 1800 milliseconds after stimulus onset, the two high set-size conditions showed an increase in theta amplitude (Figure 4B). Thus, both delta and theta frequency bands showed increased amplitude sustained throughout stimulus processing for greater abstraction or set-size. Finally, there was a decrease in amplitude in the beta frequency

band for all four conditions for the first 600 milliseconds (Figure 4C). However, only the high abstraction, high set-size condition showed a significant and prolonged decrease in beta amplitude relative to the other three conditions from 600 to 1600 milliseconds after stimulus onset.

Since the stimulus-locked time-frequency effects persist after the probe for over a second, it is possible that decreased beta amplitude was related to a systematic difference in response time between conditions, and low-frequency activity in delta and theta band might only be significantly elevated after a response is made reflecting post-response monitoring processes. If decreased beta amplitude was indeed driven by motor-related processes, then it would not be observed in a response-locked analysis. If low frequency activity reflects postresponse monitoring processes, then it would only be observed after the response in a response-locked analysis. We performed a response-locked time-frequency analysis on the abstraction and set-size contrast in the midfrontal electrode cluster (Figure 5). For the abstraction contrast (Figure 5A), there was a significant decrease in amplitude in the beta frequency band (10-20 Hz) just prior to a response, whereas there was no change in beta band amplitude for the set-size contrast (Figure 5B). Thus, the modulation of beta amplitude by setsize was most likely driven by a difference in response time, whereas the modulation of beta amplitude as a function of task abstraction is more likely driven by stimulus processing. No significant delta band amplitude was observed time-locked to the period just prior to the response. For the set-size contrast (Figure 5B), there was a significant increase in amplitude in the theta frequency band (3-8 Hz), starting at 1500 milliseconds prior to a response and persisted after the response. Thus, the significant change in theta amplitude as a function of set-size most likely does not only reflect post-response processes, but also related to preresponse stimulus processing.

374

375

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

Relationship between neuronal oscillations and behavior

Next, we investigated whether the significant changes in spectral amplitude during different task conditions correlated with behavior. To test this, we extracted spectral amplitude values from the significant time-frequency clusters for the abstraction (2-3 Hz delta and 18-22 Hz beta; Figure 3A) and set-size (4-6 Hz theta and 18-22 Hz beta; Figure 3B) contrasts from the frontal midline electrode cluster, since this cluster showed the strongest peak in these contrasts (Figure 3C-F). We correlated the change in beta and delta amplitude with the change in RT as a function of abstraction. RT was analyzed since accuracy was at ceiling for many participants. For the abstraction contrast, task differences in beta band amplitude was significantly negatively correlated with RT (r(30) = -0.59, p = 0.001) and task differences in delta band amplitude was significantly positively correlated with RT (r(30) = 0.45, p = 0.012; Figure 6A). For the set-size contrast, we correlated the change in beta and theta amplitude with the change in RT as a function of task set-size. We found that the increase in theta band amplitude was significantly positively correlated with RT (r(30) = 0.36, p = 0.047), whereas there was no significant relationship between beta band amplitude and behavior (r(30) = -0.24, p = 0.20; Figure 6B). Our time frequency results (Figure 3) found that peak beta amplitude decreased from 12-18 Hz by abstraction and decreased from 12-22Hz by set-size. Therefore, we examined whether the observed behavioral correlation was consistent for the high (18-22Hz) and low (12-18Hz) beta bands. Just as with the high beta band, amplitude in the low beta band significantly negatively correlated with abstraction (r(30) = -0.47, p = 0.008) but did not show a significant relationship with set-size (r(30) = -0.15, p = 0.41). Thus, we do not find evidence that low and high beta serve different functional roles. Altogether, increased delta and decreased beta amplitude correlated with increased response time as a function of rule abstraction, and increased theta amplitude correlated with increased response time as a function of task set-size.

399

400

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

Phase Amplitude Coupling Results

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

Our results thus far provide evidence that delta and beta oscillations may reflect the cognitive processes related to increased abstraction, whereas theta may reflect the cognitive processes related to increased set-size. To further probe the interactions between these oscillations in different frequency bands, we conducted a phase amplitude coupling (PAC) analysis. We investigated the coupling strength of the phase of the slower frequency bands, delta and theta, with the amplitude of the higher frequency bands, beta and gamma. The comodulograms for each condition were calculated for the phase of low frequencies (2-7 Hz) to the amplitude of high frequencies (10-49 Hz) (Figure 7). Since both delta and beta amplitude were modulated as a function of the abstraction of the task condition, we focused our statistical analysis on the coupling between delta phase (2-3 Hz) coupled to beta amplitude (18-22 Hz). Given that we found theta-gamma PAC in our previous electrocorticography study with a similar task (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015), we also analyzed coupling of the phase of the theta frequency band (4-6 Hz) with the amplitude of the gamma frequency band (40-49 Hz). We found a significant increase in delta-beta PAC with increased abstraction (F(1,30) = 7.62, p = 0.00976, $\eta_{\ \rho}^2$ = 0.203; Figure 7A,B), but not set-size (F(1,30) = 2.63, p = 0.115, $\eta_{\ \rho}^2$ = 0.0807), and there was no interaction (F(1,30) = 2.79, p = 0.105, η_p^2 = 0.0852). For theta-gamma PAC, we found a significant increase in PAC for the low abstraction conditions relative to the high abstraction conditions (F(1,30) = 4.56, p = 0.0409, η_p^2 = 0.132; Figure 7C,D), but no effect of theta-gamma PAC for set-size (F(1,30) = 1.16, p = 0.290, η_p^2 = 0.0372), and no interaction (F(1,30) = 0.591, p = 0.448 η_{ρ}^2 = 0.0193). During the high abstraction, high set-size condition, we found a significant increase in delta-beta PAC (t(30) = 2.377, p = 0.012, d = 0.427), one-tailed; Figure 7B) and beta amplitude was strongest at the trough and rise of delta phase (Figure 8A). During the low abstraction, high set-size condition, we found a moderate increase in theta-gamma PAC (t(30) = 1.665, p = 0.053, d = 0.299, one-tailed; Figure 7D) and gamma amplitude was strongest at the rise of theta phase (Figure 8B). Therefore, delta-beta coupling may be how low frequency

oscillations modulate high frequency oscillations to execute abstract rules, whereas thetagamma coupling may be relevant for maintaining task rules with higher set size.

Discussion

In this experiment, we investigated the oscillatory neural dynamics associated with two dissociable components of hierarchical cognitive control: rule abstraction and set-size. Previous studies found that various frequency bands from low frequency delta to high frequency gamma are associated with cognitive control (Helfrich and Knight 2016), but the specific contribution of each of these bands to different control processes remains underspecified. We found that the abstraction and set-size of task rules are each associated with distinct oscillatory mechanisms. Specifically, when the abstractness of the rule increased, delta amplitude increased and beta amplitude decreased; whereas when the number of rules (set-size) increased, theta amplitude increased and beta amplitude correlated with behavioral performance. When the abstraction of the rule increased, slower response times correlated with increased delta amplitude and decreased beta amplitude. When the set-size increased, slower response times correlated with increased theta amplitude. Prior to the motor response, increased abstraction decreased beta amplitude, and increased set-size increased theta amplitude. Finally, coupling between the phase of delta oscillations and the amplitude of beta oscillations strengthened as a function of task abstraction.

Cognitive control is organized hierarchically such that superordinate abstract representations influence subordinate, concrete action representations. In our previous study using electrocorticography with a similar version of the task (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015), we found that tasks that engaged more abstract task rules increased theta synchrony between the prefrontal cortex (PFC) and premotor cortex. Furthermore, we found theta phase in the PFC coupled with gamma amplitude in premotor regions, suggesting that the PFC communicates

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

460

461

462

463

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

with the motor cortex for hierarchical control via theta-gamma phase amplitude coupling (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015). However, one important limitation of this previous study is that tasks that required more abstract rules also had increased set-size; therefore, we could not discern whether changes in oscillatory activities were driven by differences in abstraction or set-size. An important feature of our current experiment was to separately manipulate the abstraction of the rule and the number of competing rules (set-size). We further matched the performance (accuracy) between high and low abstraction. Therefore, we were able to dissociate these two components of hierarchical cognitive control.

Our findings suggest a relationship between theta oscillations and set-size, and this finding is consistent with previous studies that reported theta oscillations scale with working memory load (Jensen and Tesche 2002, Meltzer, Negishi et al. 2007, So, Wong et al. 2017, Berger, Griesmayr et al. 2019). Other studies have also found that theta oscillations (presumably from frontal cortex) increase during tasks that required cognitive control (Cohen 2011, Hsieh, Ekstrom et al. 2011, Kikumoto and Mayr 2018). Theta-gamma coupling has been suggested as a mechanism by which multiple representations are organized for working memory (Bahramisharif, Jensen et al. 2018) and long-term memory (Heusser, Poeppel et al. 2016). Therefore, the increased theta-gamma PAC for higher set-size in our task could reflect the maintenance or retrieval of an increased number of rules. It should be noted that in our previous study using electrocorticography, we found increased theta phase to high gamma amplitude coupling for the high abstraction, high set-size condition (Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015). While we were unable to measure theta to high gamma coupling due to the limitations of EEG, we did find increased theta amplitude for this condition consistent with these findings. Furthermore, this previous study did not separately manipulate abstraction and set-size, which we investigated in the current study (see Methods).

We observed that beta amplitude decreased after stimulus onset as a function of increased abstraction and increased set-size. For the response-locked analysis, beta

479

480

481

482

483

484

485

486

487

488

489

490

491

492

493

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

oscillations decreased only as a function of increased abstraction, but not increased set-size. Many studies have found that beta oscillations decrease when the motor system executes an action (Little and Brown 2012). While we also observed that beta band amplitude decreased before the button press, higher abstraction conditions showed a greater beta amplitude decrease when compared to lower abstraction conditions. We also found decreased beta amplitude as a function of abstraction in the stimulus-locked analysis. Together, these abstraction dependent results indicate a role for beta oscillations beyond motor preparation. We propose that beta oscillations may reflect top-down inhibitory signals for guiding action that are most robustly disengaged when guided by hierarchical goal representations.

Our findings of increased delta and decreased beta oscillations with increased abstraction are consistent with a previous study that examined performance of a delayedmatch-to-sample task in which monkeys had to evaluate an object according to two different categorical judgements: left versus right or up versus down (Antzoulatos and Miller 2016). This study reported that distinct neural populations carry information for each of these two categories: vertically selective populations and horizontally selective populations. For the cued category, beta coherence increased between the neural populations that coded for the relevant category. This pattern of activity led the authors to conclude that beta oscillations were encoding rule categories. Our task also required the maintenance of abstract rules and similarly found an abstraction-related modulation of beta amplitude in prefrontal cortex. Furthermore, when there was a shift in the boundary between what was defined as "up" and "down," there was an increase in delta synchrony between prefrontal and parietal cortex. This suggests that updates to abstract categorical rules modulates delta oscillations. In our experiment, for the high abstraction, high set-size condition, participants had to evaluate the similarity of two different objects based on different stimuli attributes (e.g., judge the similarity in texture or shape), and the relevant attribute that participants should focus on was instructed by a supraordinate task rule cued by the color of the square surrounding the stimuli. Based on the findings from

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

Antzoulatos & Miller 2016, the increase in delta oscillations in our study may reflect an update to the relevant supraordinate rule, and the change in beta oscillations may reflect rule selection.

Participants with the greatest increase in response time when responding to the increased abstraction conditions showed the greatest increase in delta amplitude and decrease in beta amplitude. Similarly, participants with the greatest increase in response time when responding to the increased set-size conditions showed the greatest increase in theta amplitude. These findings emphasize the behavioral relevance of these low frequency neuronal oscillations and provide further support for a role of delta oscillations in processing task abstraction and theta oscillations in processing increased set-size.

The interplay between slow and fast neuronal oscillations has been investigated as a mechanism for cognitive control (Sauseng, Klimesch et al. 2009, Sauseng, Griesmayr et al. 2010, Roux, Wibral et al. 2012, Voytek, Kayser et al. 2015) as long-range, low frequency cognitive control signals from prefrontal cortex couple to more local high frequency oscillations (Canolty and Knight 2010, Sauseng, Griesmayr et al. 2010). Our PAC analysis revealed that delta phase coupled with beta amplitude when task conditions became more abstract. Specifically, delta-beta coupling increased in the high abstraction, high set-size condition in which participants decide between two task rules (e.g., focus on texture or shape). We observed that beta amplitude decreased around the peak of the delta phase (see Figure 8A). This finding is similar to Helfrich et al. (2017) in which alpha-beta amplitude was lowest at peak delta-phase in prefrontal cortex during a perceptual judgement (Helfrich, Huang et al. 2017). Wyart et al. (2012) also reported that the distribution of beta oscillations in motor cortex was updated every cycle of a prefrontal delta signal, and the amplitude of beta was inversely related to the probability of action of the underlying motor cortex (Wyart, de Gardelle et al. 2012). Consistent with Wyart et al. 2012, our PAC finding suggests that delta phase in frontal regions may guide action selection via modulating beta-band amplitude when cognitive tasks are hierarchically

organized, and participants have to rely on supraordinate, abstract rules to guide concrete actions.

Taken together, low frequency oscillations in the theta and delta frequency band may reflect different components of hierarchical cognitive control that couple to different high frequency oscillations. Gamma oscillations play a primary role in carrying feedforward sensory processing signals (Börgers and Kopell 2008, Michalareas, Vezoli et al. 2016). Theta oscillations in prefrontal cortex couple with gamma oscillations to support the organization of perceptual information during memory encoding and retrieval (Osipova, Takashima et al. 2006, Hsieh and Ranganath 2014). When multiple items must be held in mind, theta-gamma coupling is increased (Alekseichuk, Turi et al. 2016, Tamura, Spellman et al. 2017, Bahramisharif, Jensen et al. 2018). Our findings suggest that increasing the set-size of a task may recruit a similar neural mechanism. Beta oscillations play a role in sensory feedback (Bastos, Vezoli et al. 2015, Michalareas, Vezoli et al. 2016) and motor control (Zhang, Chen et al. 2008, Picazio, Veniero et al. 2014). Therefore, delta to beta coupling may be a mechanism by which low frequency oscillations in prefrontal cortex guide future action according to abstract goals. Theoretical models on the role of gamma and beta oscillations in bottom-up and top-down attention (Fries 2015, Riddle, Hwang et al. 2019) may be extended to include theta and delta oscillations that show task-related modulations in the frontal cortex.

547

548

549

550

551

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

References

- Alekseichuk, I., Z. Turi, G. A. de Lara, A. Antal and W. Paulus (2016). "Spatial working memory in humans depends on theta and high gamma synchronization in the prefrontal cortex."

 Current Biology **26**(12): 1513-1521.
- Antzoulatos, E. G. and E. K. Miller (2014). "Increases in functional connectivity between prefrontal cortex and striatum during category learning." Neuron 83(1): 216-225.

554	Antzoulatos, E. G. and E. K. Miller (2016). "Synchronous beta rhythms of frontoparietal
555	networks support only behaviorally relevant representations." Elife 5: e17822.
556	Arnal, L. H., K. B. Doelling and D. Poeppel (2014). "Delta-beta coupled oscillations underlie
557	temporal prediction accuracy." <u>Cerebral Cortex</u> 25 (9): 3077-3085.
558	Badre, D. and M. D'Esposito (2007). "Functional magnetic resonance imaging evidence for a
559	hierarchical organization of the prefrontal cortex." Journal of cognitive neuroscience
560	19 (12): 2082-2099.
561	Badre, D. and M. D'esposito (2009). "Is the rostro-caudal axis of the frontal lobe hierarchical?"
562	Nature Reviews Neuroscience 10(9): 659.
563	Badre, D. and D. E. Nee (2018). "Frontal cortex and the hierarchical control of behavior." <u>Trends</u>
564	in cognitive sciences 22(2): 170-188.
565	Bahramisharif, A., O. Jensen, J. Jacobs and J. Lisman (2018). "Serial representation of items
566	during working memory maintenance at letter-selective cortical sites." PLoS biology
567	16 (8): e2003805.
568	Bastos, A. M., J. Vezoli, C. A. Bosman, JM. Schoffelen, R. Oostenveld, J. R. Dowdall, P. De
569	Weerd, H. Kennedy and P. Fries (2015). "Visual areas exert feedforward and feedback
570	influences through distinct frequency channels." Neuron 85(2): 390-401.
571	Berger, B., B. Griesmayr, T. Minarik, A. Biel, D. Pinal, A. Sterr and P. Sauseng (2019).
572	"Dynamic regulation of interregional cortical communication by slow brain oscillations
573	during working memory." Nature communications 10.
574	Blair, R. C. and W. Karniski (1993). "An alternative method for significance testing of waveform
575	difference potentials." <u>Psychophysiology</u> 30 (5): 518-524.
576	Börgers, C. and N. J. Kopell (2008). "Gamma oscillations and stimulus selection." Neural
577	<u>computation</u> 20 (2): 383-414.
578	Braver, T. S. (2012). "The variable nature of cognitive control: a dual mechanisms framework."
570	Trends in cognitive sciences 16(2): 106.113

580	Buschman, T. J., E. L. Denovellis, C. Diogo, D. Bullock and E. K. Miller (2012). "Synchronous
581	oscillatory neural ensembles for rules in the prefrontal cortex." Neuron 76(4): 838-846.
582	Canolty, R. T. and R. T. Knight (2010). "The functional role of cross-frequency coupling." <u>Trends</u>
583	in cognitive sciences 14(11): 506-515.
584	Cavanagh, J. F. and M. J. Frank (2014). "Frontal theta as a mechanism for cognitive control."
585	Trends in cognitive sciences 18(8): 414-421.
586	Clarke, A., B. M. Roberts and C. Ranganath (2018). "Neural oscillations during conditional
587	associative learning." <u>NeuroImage</u> 174 : 485-493.
588	Cohen, M. X. (2011). "Hippocampal-prefrontal connectivity predicts midfrontal oscillations and
589	long-term memory performance." Current Biology 21(22): 1900-1905.
590	Cohen, M. X. (2014). Analyzing neural time series data: theory and practice, MIT press.
591	Cohen, M. X. (2019). "A better way to define and describe Morlet wavelets for time-frequency
592	analysis." <u>Neurolmage</u> 199 : 81-86.
593	Delorme, A. and S. Makeig (2004). "EEGLAB: an open source toolbox for analysis of single-trial
594	EEG dynamics including independent component analysis." Journal of neuroscience
595	methods 134(1): 9-21.
596	Fell, J. and N. Axmacher (2011). "The role of phase synchronization in memory processes."
597	Nature reviews neuroscience 12(2): 105.
598	Fries, P. (2015). "Rhythms for cognition: communication through coherence." Neuron 88(1):
599	220-235.
600	Gruber, M. J., A. J. Watrous, A. D. Ekstrom, C. Ranganath and L. J. Otten (2013). "Expected
601	reward modulates encoding-related theta activity before an event." Neuroimage 64: 68-
602	74.
603	Helfrich, R. F., A. Breska and R. T. Knight (2019). "Neural entrainment and network resonance
604	in support of top-down guided attention." Current opinion in psychology.

605	Helfrich, R. F., M. Huang, G. Wilson and R. T. Knight (2017). "Prefrontal cortex modulates
606	posterior alpha oscillations during top-down guided visual perception." Proceedings of
607	the National Academy of Sciences 114(35): 9457-9462.
608	Helfrich, R. F. and R. T. Knight (2016). "Oscillatory dynamics of prefrontal cognitive control."
609	Trends in cognitive sciences 20(12): 916-930.
610	Heusser, A. C., D. Poeppel, Y. Ezzyat and L. Davachi (2016). "Episodic sequence memory is
611	supported by a theta-gamma phase code." Nature neuroscience 19(10): 1374.
612	Hsieh, LT., A. D. Ekstrom and C. Ranganath (2011). "Neural oscillations associated with item
613	and temporal order maintenance in working memory." <u>Journal of Neuroscience</u> 31 (30):
614	10803-10810.
615	Hsieh, LT. and C. Ranganath (2014). "Frontal midline theta oscillations during working memory
616	maintenance and episodic encoding and retrieval." Neuroimage 85: 721-729.
617	Jensen, O. and C. D. Tesche (2002). "Frontal theta activity in humans increases with memory
618	load in a working memory task." <u>European journal of Neuroscience</u> 15 (8): 1395-1399.
619	Kikumoto, A. and U. Mayr (2018). "Decoding hierarchical control of sequential behavior in
620	oscillatory EEG activity." <u>eLife</u> 7 : e38550.
621	Lisman, J. E. and O. Jensen (2013). "The theta-gamma neural code." Neuron 77(6): 1002-1016.
622	Little, S. and P. Brown (2012). "What brain signals are suitable for feedback control of deep
623	brain stimulation in Parkinson's disease?" Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences
624	1265 (1): 9-24.
625	Maris, E. and R. Oostenveld (2007). "Nonparametric statistical testing of EEG-and MEG-data."
626	Journal of neuroscience methods 164 (1): 177-190.
627	Meltzer, J. A., M. Negishi, L. C. Mayes and R. T. Constable (2007). "Individual differences in
628	EEG theta and alpha dynamics during working memory correlate with fMRI responses
629	across subjects." Clinical Neurophysiology 118(11): 2419-2436.

630	Michalareas, G., J. Vezoli, S. Van Pelt, JM. Schoffelen, H. Kennedy and P. Fries (2016).
631	"Alpha-beta and gamma rhythms subserve feedback and feedforward influences among
632	human visual cortical areas." <u>Neuron</u> 89 (2): 384-397.
633	Miller, B. T. and M. D'Esposito (2005). "Searching for "the top" in top-down control." Neuron
634	48 (4): 535-538.
635	Miller, E. K. and J. D. Cohen (2001). "An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function." Annual
636	review of neuroscience 24(1): 167-202.
637	Morillas-Romero, A., M. Tortella-Feliu, X. Bornas and P. Putman (2015). "Spontaneous EEG
638	theta/beta ratio and delta-beta coupling in relation to attentional network functioning and
639	self-reported attentional control." <u>Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience</u> 15 (3):
640	598-606.
641	Nácher, V., A. Ledberg, G. Deco and R. Romo (2013). "Coherent delta-band oscillations
642	between cortical areas correlate with decision making." Proceedings of the National
643	Academy of Sciences 110(37): 15085-15090.
644	Norman, D. A. and T. Shallice (1986). Attention to action. Consciousness and self-regulation,
645	Springer: 1-18.
646	Osipova, D., A. Takashima, R. Oostenveld, G. Fernández, E. Maris and O. Jensen (2006).
647	"Theta and gamma oscillations predict encoding and retrieval of declarative memory."
648	Journal of neuroscience 26 (28): 7523-7531.
649	Picazio, S., D. Veniero, V. Ponzo, C. Caltagirone, J. Gross, G. Thut and G. Koch (2014).
650	"Prefrontal control over motor cortex cycles at beta frequency during movement
651	inhibition." Current Biology 24(24): 2940-2945.
652	Riddle, J., S. Ahn, T. McPherson, S. Girdler and F. Frohlich (2020). "Progesterone modulates
653	theta oscillations in the frontal-parietal network." <u>bioRxiv</u> : 2020.2001.2016.909374.

654	Riddle, J., K. Hwang, D. Cellier, S. Dhanani and M. D'Esposito (2019). "Causal Evidence for the
655	Role of Neuronal Oscillations in Top-Down and Bottom-Up Attention." <u>Journal of</u>
656	cognitive neuroscience 31(5): 768-779.
657	Roux, F. and P. J. Uhlhaas (2014). "Working memory and neural oscillations: alpha–gamma
658	versus theta–gamma codes for distinct WM information?" Trends in cognitive sciences
659	18 (1): 16-25.
660	Roux, F., M. Wibral, H. M. Mohr, W. Singer and P. J. Uhlhaas (2012). "Gamma-band activity in
661	human prefrontal cortex codes for the number of relevant items maintained in working
662	memory." <u>Journal of Neuroscience</u> 32 (36): 12411-12420.
663	Sadaghiani, S. and A. Kleinschmidt (2016). "Brain networks and α -oscillations: structural and
664	functional foundations of cognitive control." <u>Trends in cognitive sciences</u> 20 (11): 805-
665	817.
666	Sasaki, K., T. Tsujimoto, S. Nishikawa, N. Nishitani and T. Ishihara (1996). "Frontal mental theta
667	wave recorded simultaneously with magnetoencephalography and
668	electroencephalography." Neuroscience research 26(1): 79-81.
669	Sauseng, P., B. Griesmayr, R. Freunberger and W. Klimesch (2010). "Control mechanisms in
670	working memory: a possible function of EEG theta oscillations." Neuroscience &
671	Biobehavioral Reviews 34(7): 1015-1022.
672	Sauseng, P., W. Klimesch, K. F. Heise, W. R. Gruber, E. Holz, A. A. Karim, M. Glennon, C.
673	Gerloff, N. Birbaumer and F. C. Hummel (2009). "Brain oscillatory substrates of visual
674	short-term memory capacity." <u>Current biology</u> 19 (21): 1846-1852.
675	So, W. K., S. W. Wong, J. N. Mak and R. H. Chan (2017). "An evaluation of mental workload
676	with frontal EEG." <u>PloS one</u> 12 (4): e0174949.
677	Stropahl, M., AK. R. Bauer, S. Debener and M. G. Bleichner (2018). "Source-modelling
678	auditory processes of EEG data using EEGLAB and Brainstorm." Frontiers in
679	neuroscience 12: 309.

680	Tamura, M., T. J. Spellman, A. M. Rosen, J. A. Gogos and J. A. Gordon (2017). "Hippocampal-
681	prefrontal theta-gamma coupling during performance of a spatial working memory task."
682	Nature communications 8(1): 1-9.
683	Todd, M. T., L. E. Nystrom and J. D. Cohen (2013). "Confounds in multivariate pattern analysis:
684	theory and rule representation case study." Neuroimage 77: 157-165.
685	Vogelsang, D. A. and M. D'Esposito (2018). "Is there evidence for a rostral-caudal gradient in
686	fronto-striatal loops and what role does dopamine play?" Frontiers in neuroscience 12:
687	242.
688	Vogelsang, D. A., M. Gruber, Z. M. Bergström, C. Ranganath and J. S. Simons (2018). "Alpha
689	oscillations during incidental encoding predict subsequent memory for new "foil"
690	information." Journal of cognitive neuroscience 30 (5): 667-679.
691	Voytek, B., A. S. Kayser, D. Badre, D. Fegen, E. F. Chang, N. E. Crone, J. Parvizi, R. T. Knight
692	and M. D'esposito (2015). "Oscillatory dynamics coordinating human frontal networks in
693	support of goal maintenance." <u>Nature neuroscience</u> 18 (9): 1318.
694	Wutz, A., R. Loonis, J. E. Roy, J. A. Donoghue and E. K. Miller (2018). "Different levels of
695	category abstraction by different dynamics in different prefrontal areas." Neuron 97(3):
696	716-726. e718.
697	Wyart, V., V. de Gardelle, J. Scholl and C. Summerfield (2012). "Rhythmic fluctuations in
698	evidence accumulation during decision making in the human brain." Neuron 76(4): 847-
699	858.
700	Zhang, Y., Y. Chen, S. L. Bressler and M. Ding (2008). "Response preparation and inhibition:
701	the role of the cortical sensorimotor beta rhythm." Neuroscience 156 (1): 238-246.
702	
703	Figure 1. Hierarchical cognitive control task
704	(A) The hierarchical cognitive control task used a two by two design with four conditions. On the
705	X-axis, the set-size increases within a fixed level of abstraction. On the Y-axis, the level of

abstraction increases. Behavioral results for response time (B) and accuracy (C). Error bars are S.E.M.

Figure 2. Electrode clusters used for EEG analysis

Hierarchical clustering of the time-frequency data for each electrode revealed six distinct electrode clusters. The analysis focused on the frontal midline electrode cluster (outlined). The other electrode clusters were used for cluster-mass permutation testing in time-frequency analysis.

Figure 3. Time-frequency analysis of hierarchical cognitive control along two

716 dimensions: abstraction and set-size

In the frontal-midline electrode cluster, there was a significant increase in delta and decrease in beta amplitude as a function of task abstraction (A). The dark outline highlights time-frequency clusters that were found to be significant at p < 0.05 and survived correction for multiple comparisons. Delta amplitude increase was localized to the frontal-midline and right frontal (B). Beta amplitude decrease was localized to the frontal- and central-midline (C). In the frontal-midline electrode cluster, there was a significant increase in theta amplitude and decrease in beta amplitude as a function of task set-size. The increase in theta amplitude was localized to the frontal-midline electrodes (E). The decrease in beta amplitude was localized to frontal-midline electrodes (F).

Figure 4. Time course of task-evoked oscillatory amplitude

At time 0, the stimulus for the task is presented. (A) Delta amplitude showed the greatest increase in the two high abstraction conditions (red and orange). (B) Theta amplitude showed the greatest in the response task (dark blue and light blue) in the first 0.5

732	seconds and the greatest increase in the high set-size conditions (dark blue and red) in
733	the 1 to 2 second range. (C) Beta amplitude showed the greatest decrease in the high
734	abstraction, high set-size condition (red). Error bars are S.E.M.

Figure 5. Response-locked time frequency analysis

The response-locked time frequency analysis for the abstraction (A) and set-size (B) contrast in the midfrontal electrode cluster found a significant decrease in low beta amplitude prior to response for abstraction and increase in theta amplitude prior to and after response for set-size. The line at time 0 is the time that the participant made a response. The dark outline highlights time-frequency clusters that were found to be significant at p < 0.05 with a cluster correction of k = 100.

Figure 6. Behavior to brain correlations

Correlation analysis for response time to spectral density for the significant clusters in abstraction (A) and set-size (B). Error bars are 95% confidence intervals. * p < 0.05, ** p < 0.005, n.s. = not significant.

Figure 7. Comodulograms of phase amplitude coupling for each task condition

For the high abstraction conditions, there was increased coupling between delta phase (2-3 Hz) and beta amplitude (18-22 Hz) in the high-set (B), but not low set-size condition (A). For the low abstraction conditions, there was increased coupling between theta phase (4-6 Hz) and gamma amplitude (40-49 Hz) in the low and high set-size conditions (C, D).

Figure 8. Distribution of beta and gamma amplitude across delta and theta phase

757	Rose plots of delta phase coupled to beta amplitude (A) for the high abstraction, high
758	set-size condition and theta phase coupled to gamma amplitude (B) for the low
759	abstraction, high set-size condition. Amplitude values (z) were binned into 30 phase
760	angles, averaged, and z-scored across phase bins. Error bars are within-participant
761	SEM. Legends depict the peak and trough values in radians.















