

Research Report: Regular Manuscript

Circuit mechanisms underlying the segregation and integration of parallel processing streams in the inferior colliculus

<https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0646-20.2020>

Cite as: J. Neurosci 2020; 10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0646-20.2020

Received: 18 March 2020

Revised: 28 June 2020

Accepted: 4 July 2020

This Early Release article has been peer-reviewed and accepted, but has not been through the composition and copyediting processes. The final version may differ slightly in style or formatting and will contain links to any extended data.

Alerts: Sign up at www.jneurosci.org/alerts to receive customized email alerts when the fully formatted version of this article is published.

3 Abbreviated title: Modularity and local circuit mechanisms of integration

4 Alexandria M. H. Lesicko^{1,2}, Stacy K. Sons^{2,3} and Daniel A. Llano^{1,2,3*}

- 6 1. Neuroscience Program, UIUC
- 7 2. Beckman Institute for Advanced Science and Technology, UIUC
- 8 3. Department of Molecular and Integrative Physiology, UIUC

9 Corresponding author email: d-llano@illinois.edu

11 Number of pages: 44

12 Number of figures: 10

13 Number of words for abstract: 154

14 Number of words for introduction: 416

15 Number of words for discussion: 1574

17 COMPETING INTERESTS STATEMENT

18 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

20 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

21 This work was supported by F31 DC 015967 to AMHL and R01 DC 013073 to DAL.

22 The authors thank Dr. Doug Oliver for providing and Dr. Yuchio Yanagawa for

- 23 permission to use the GAD-GFP mouse strain used in this study. The authors thank Diana
24 Masolak and Danica Vendiola for their technical assistance with tissue processing.

25 ABSTRACT

26 The lateral cortex of the inferior colliculus (LCIC) forms a nexus between diverse
27 multisensory, motor, and neuromodulatory streams. Like other integration hubs, it
28 contains repeated neurochemical motifs with distinct inputs: GABA-rich modules are
29 innervated by somatosensory structures, while auditory inputs to the LCIC target the
30 surrounding extramodular matrix. To investigate potential mechanisms of convergence
31 between these input streams, we used laser photostimulation circuit mapping to
32 interrogate local LCIC circuits in adult mice of both sexes and found that input patterns
33 are highly dependent upon cell type (GABAergic/ non-GABAergic) and location
34 (module/matrix). At the circuit level, these inputs yield a directional flow of local
35 information primarily from the matrix to the modules. Further, the two compartments
36 were found to project to distinct targets in the midbrain and thalamus. These data show
37 that while connectional modularity in the LCIC gives rise to segregated input-output
38 channels, local circuits provide the architecture for integration between these two
39 streams.

40

41 SIGNIFICANCE STATEMENT

42 Modularity is a widespread motif across the brain involving the segregation of structures
43 into discrete sub-regions based on dichotomies in neurochemical expression or
44 connectivity. The inferior colliculus is one such modular structure, containing auditory-
45 recipient matrix regions and GABA-rich modules that are innervated by somatosensory
46 inputs. While modularity suggests *segregation* of processing streams, here we show that

- 47 local circuits in the inferior colliculus connect the module and matrix regions, providing
48 an avenue for *integration* of information across compartments.

49 INTRODUCTION

50 A number of brain regions can be parcellated at the subnuclear level based on
 51 differences in neurochemistry, cytoarchitecture, or connectivity. The most heavily studied
 52 of these “modular” structures include the somatosensory barrel cortex and the
 53 patch/matrix organization of the striatum (Gerfen, 1992; Petersen, 2007). The inferior
 54 colliculus (IC), a midbrain structure that is centrally positioned within the auditory
 55 system and thought to serve as an integration hub for acoustic information, also exhibits
 56 neurochemical and structural modularity (Casseday, Fremouw, & Covey, 2002).
 57 Specifically, the lateral cortex of the IC (LCIC) can be subdivided into modular regions
 58 (“modules”) characterized by dense staining for glutamic acid decarboxylase-67,
 59 parvalbumin, cytochrome oxidase, acetylcholinesterase, and NADPH-diaphorase, and
 60 extramodular regions (termed “matrix”) that are characterized by heavy calretinin
 61 labeling (Chernock, Larue, & Winer, 2004; Dillingham, Gay, Behrooz, & Gabriele, 2017;
 62 Lesicko, Hristova, Maigler, & Llano, 2016; Stebbings, Lesicko, & Llano, 2014).

63 These neurochemical divisions also correlate with differences in connectivity:
 64 somatosensory inputs target LCIC modules, while matrix regions receive auditory inputs
 65 from the auditory cortex (AC) and central nucleus of the IC (CNIC) (Lesicko et al.,
 66 2016). An unresolved paradox exists in this arrangement of multimodal inputs: the
 67 apparent anatomical segregation in somatosensory and auditory inputs belies a long
 68 history of physiological studies demonstrating multisensory convergence in the LCIC.
 69 Early recordings from the cat LCIC discovered single units that respond to both auditory
 70 and somatosensory stimuli (L. M. Aitkin, Dickhaus, Schult, & Zimmermann, 1978; L. M.
 71 Aitkin, Kenyon, & Philpott, 1981). Other studies that have examined the effect of spinal

72 trigeminal nucleus or dorsal column stimulation on responses to sound in the LCIC have
73 found that the majority of units respond bimodally (L. M. Aitkin et al., 1978; Jain &
74 Shore, 2006).

75 Given the evidence for the role of the LCIC in multisensory integration, it is
76 peculiar that the somatosensory and auditory inputs to the LCIC are spatially segregated.
77 This lack of convergence among multisensory inputs to the LCIC suggests that a
78 secondary mechanism of integration must be present: either information from the two
79 senses is integrated in a lower structure that projects to the LCIC, or there is
80 communication between local circuits in module and matrix regions of the LCIC. In the
81 present study, we specifically investigate the latter possibility through functional
82 characterization of the local inputs to LCIC neurons. To further elucidate the extent and
83 potential role of connectional modularity in the LCIC, we also use retrograde tract-
84 tracing to determine whether the compartments of the LCIC send information to distinct
85 targets.

86

87

88 MATERIALS AND METHODS

89 *Animals*

90 Juvenile (postnatal day 11-21) and adult (postnatal day 30-90) GAD67-GFP knock-in
91 mice of both sexes were used for laser photostimulation and anatomy studies,
92 respectively. Knock-in mice were initially obtained from the University of Connecticut
93 and were bred with wild-type Swiss Webster mice to generate hemizygous progeny in
94 which enhanced GFP is under control of the endogenous GAD67 promoter (Ono,
95 Yanagawa, & Koyano, 2005; Tamamaki et al., 2003). Previous work has shown that
96 immunostaining for GABA or GAD colocalizes with GFP in these mice (Gay, Brett,
97 Stinson, & Gabriele, 2018; Tamamaki et al., 2003). Animals were screened for
98 phenotypic evidence of transgene expression between postnatal day 2 and 7. The
99 screening procedure involved illuminating the dorsal surface of the scalp with blue light
100 (the excitation range for GFP) and checking for evidence of green fluorescence in the
101 cortex, midbrain, and cerebellum. All procedures were approved by the Institutional
102 Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Illinois. Animals were housed in
103 care facilities approved by the American Association for Assessment and Accreditation
104 of Laboratory Animal Care. Every attempt was made to minimize the number of animals
105 used and to reduce suffering at all stages of the study.

106

107 *Slice preparation*

108 Mice were anesthetized with a mixture of ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) and
109 xylazine (3 mg/kg) and perfused transcardially with a cold slicing solution containing:
110 206 mM sucrose, 10 mM MgCl₂, 11 mM glucose, 1.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 26 mM NaHCO₃,

111 0.5 mM CaCl_2 , 2.5 mM KCl, and 1 mM kynurenic acid (pH 7.4). The brain was removed
 112 and 300 μm -thick coronal tissue slices throughout the IC were obtained using a
 113 vibratome. The slices were transferred to an incubation solution (126 mM NaCl, 3 mM
 114 MgCl_2 , 10 mM glucose, 1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 26 mM NaHCO_3 , 1 mM CaCl_2 , 2.5 mM
 115 KCl; pH = 7.4) and warmed to 32 degrees C for 1 hour prior to recording.

116

117 *Electrophysiology*

118 Tissue slices containing the LCIC were transferred to a recording chamber and
 119 submerged in an oxygenated artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF) solution containing:
 120 126 mM NaCl, 2 mM MgCl_2 , 10 mM glucose, 1.25 mM NaH_2PO_4 , 26 mM NaHCO_3 , 2
 121 mM CaCl, 2.5 KCl; pH 7.4. Modular and matrix regions of the LCIC were identified
 122 through differential expression of GAD67-GFP under blue illumination. Cells were
 123 categorized into four groups based on whether they were found in module or matrix
 124 regions of the LCIC and whether they were GAD67+ or GAD67-. After identification of
 125 cell type, neurons were recorded in either a single or dual whole-cell configuration using
 126 Cs-gluconate (117 mM Cs-gluconate, 13 mM CsCl, 1 mM MgCl_2 , 0.07 mM CaCl_2 , 0.1
 127 mM EGTA, 10 mM HEPES, 2 mM $\text{Na}_2\text{-ATP}$, 0.4 mM Na-GTP) filled pipettes with tip
 128 resistances of 4-7 M Ω . Both Alexa Fluor 594 hydrazide (ThermoFisher, #A10438; 7 μM)
 129 and biocytin (Sigma-Aldrich, #B4261; 4 mM) were added to the internal solution to aid
 130 in morphological reconstruction and post-hoc confirmation of cell location. Data were
 131 acquired using a Multiclamp 700B amplifier and Digidata 1440A digitizer at a sampling
 132 rate of 20 kHz in pClamp software (Molecular Devices). Cells were held in voltage

133 clamp at -60 mV and +10 mV to isolate excitatory and inhibitory currents, respectively,
134 and traces were filtered with a 1 kHz Bessel filter to remove noise.

135

136 *Laser photostimulation*

137 MNI-caged-L-glutamate (Tocris, #1490) was added to recirculating ACSF at a
138 concentration of 150 μ M and focally photolysed by a pulsed 355 nm laser (1 ms pulses).
139 The power of the laser beam at slice level was measured and maintained at 3 mW for all
140 experiments. The laser beam was directed into the side port of an Olympus microscope
141 using UV-enhanced aluminum mirrors and a pair of mirror galvanometers and then
142 focused onto the brain slice using a 10X objective. Angles of the galvanometers were
143 computer-controlled using PrairieView software (Prairie Technologies). The Q-switch of
144 the laser and a shutter controlled the timing of the laser pulse for stimulation. The
145 stimulation pattern for input mapping consisted of 200 positions arranged in a 10 x 20
146 array, with 80 μ m between adjacent rows and columns (Fig. 1C). A non-neighbor
147 stimulation paradigm in which sequentially stimulated sites are spatially dispersed was
148 used to prevent local accumulation of uncaged glutamate and desensitization of receptors
149 following repeated stimulation (Shepherd, Pologruto, & Svoboda, 2003). Excitatory and
150 inhibitory maps were repeated 2-3 times each and averaged to ensure consistent results
151 and reduce the effect of spontaneous inputs. To distinguish between direct activation of
152 the recorded cell and synaptic activation of presynaptic partners, the excitatory mapping
153 was repeated in low calcium ACSF (126 mM NaCl, 4 mM MgCl₂, 10 mM glucose, 1.25
154 mM NaH₂PO₄, 26 mM NaHCO₃, 0.2 mM CaCl, 2.5 KCl; pH = 7.4) to block synaptic
155 activity, and this “direct” input map was subtracted from the original excitatory map to

156 generate a map containing only excitatory synaptic inputs (Llano & Sherman, 2009;
 157 Slater, Yudintsev, Lee, & Llano, 2019) (Fig. 1D).

158

159 *Excitation profiles*

160 Excitation mapping was performed to determine how photostimulation at specific
 161 experimental parameters (3 mW, 1 ms laser pulses) affects the spike output of each of the
 162 four cell types of interest. Cells were recorded from in cell-attached mode and a 10X10
 163 grid with 20 μm between adjacent stimulation sites was centered over the soma. For each
 164 cell, the mapping was repeated four times and the total number of spikes in the average
 165 map was compared to determine if differences in excitability exist between cell types
 166 (Fig. S1B,C). The average number of spikes at various distances from the soma was also
 167 computed to determine the approximate width of activation for a single laser pulse (Fig.
 168 S1D). The spike output for all cells plateaued at distances further than 80 μm , with an
 169 average spike output of less than 1 spike per stimulation site (Fig. S1D). This spacing
 170 was therefore used for all mapping experiments throughout the rest of the study to
 171 maximize the likelihood that each laser stimulus samples distinct populations of cells.

172

173 *Tracer injection*

174 Mice were anesthetized intraperitoneally with a mixture of ketamine hydrochloride (100
 175 mg/kg) and xylazine (3 mg/kg) and a small hole was drilled in the skull above the
 176 structure of interest. A glass micropipette, tip diameter 20-30 μm , was filled with a 2%
 177 solution of FG dissolved in acetate buffer (pH 3.3) and lowered into the brain. FG was
 178 injected iontophoretically using 5 μA positive current pulses (50% duty cycle) for 10-20

179 minutes. A 15 μ A negative holding current was applied during placement and removal of
180 the pipette to prevent unwanted leakage of the tracer.

181

182 *Tissue processing and microscopy*

183 After recording, slices containing biocytin-filled cells were fixed overnight in a
184 solution of 4% paraformaldehyde (PFA). Slices were rinsed three times in phosphate-
185 buffered saline (PBS) and transferred to a solution containing 0.3% Triton X-100 and
186 Alexa Fluor 568-conjugated streptavidin (#S-11226, ThermoFisher). To visualize cell
187 morphology, slices were wet-mounted on coverslips and imaged using a Leica SP8 laser
188 scanning confocal microscope and LAS X control software. Mosaic Z-stacks were taken
189 at 20X throughout the extent of the LCIC, collapsed into 2D maximum intensity
190 projections, and tiled into a single image.

191 Following a 3-7 day survival period, FG-injected animals were anesthetized with
192 a mixture of ketamine hydrochloride (100 mg/kg) and xylazine (3 mg/kg) and perfused
193 transcardially with 4% PFA in PBS. The brain was removed and post-fixed overnight in
194 the PFA solution. After being cryoprotected in an ascending series of sucrose solutions,
195 the brain was embedded and cut into 40 μ m thick sections on a freezing sledge
196 microtome. Tissue sections were imaged with a Leica SP8 laser scanning confocal
197 microscope and LAS X control software. FG and GFP were visualized separately using
198 405 nm and 488 nm excitation laser lines, respectively. Using these settings, images of
199 each fluorophore were captured for each IC tissue section containing retrograde label,
200 and 20X mosaic Z-stacks were taken throughout the entire depth and x-y plane of the IC.
201 The stacks were collapsed into 2D maximum intensity projections and tiled into a single

202 image using LAS X software. Composite images of the FG and GFP channels were
203 overlaid and Photoshop was used to adjust the color balance and to draw masks around
204 the edge of the tissue to cover the embedding medium. Reconstructions and cell counts
205 were performed using Neurolucida software. The Allen Reference Atlas was used to
206 determine the location of injection sites (Goldowitz, 2010).

207

208 *Analysis*

209 Custom-written MATLAB scripts were used to quantify laser-driven responses.
210 For a given cell, a trapezoidal integration function was applied to each trace to calculate
211 the inhibitory and excitatory charge in the first 100 ms after laser onset. These values
212 were then converted into heat maps. Images of GAD67-GFP fluorescence were
213 parcellated into modules (high-pixel intensity regions in layer 2 of the LCIC) and matrix
214 regions first using hand-drawn ROIs. These ROIs were then independently confirmed
215 using clustering algorithms in the MATLAB Image Segmenter App. The location of the
216 recorded cell was determined from images of Alexa Fluor 594 hydrazide fluorescence
217 overlaid with the GAD67-GFP images. Finally, heat maps were overlaid onto the
218 fluorescence images, and each stimulation site was categorized as originating from either
219 the module or matrix regions. The border between the LC and the CNIC was estimated
220 by drawing a curved ROI extending orthogonally from the border of layer 2 (delineated
221 as the medial-most edge of the modules) at a distance 1.5X the width of layer 2. This
222 ratio was determined from previous studies that have used histochemical approaches to
223 determine the borders between LC and CNIC (Loftus et al. 2008). All CNIC and off-
224 tissue stimulation sites were removed from the analysis. The charge from responses

225 originating from each compartment was summed to yield the total inhibitory and
 226 excitatory synaptic charge arising from the module and matrix areas. To quantify the
 227 balance of input to individual cells from the module and matrix regions, a modularity
 228 index was computed:

229

$$230 \quad (M \text{ index} = \frac{\text{total charge from region containing cell body} - \text{total charge from opposite region}}{\text{total charge}}).$$

231

232 Cells with a positive index receive more input from the region containing their soma
 233 (e.g., a cell located within a module receives more input from the modules than the
 234 matrix), cells with a negative index receive more input from the opposite region, and cells
 235 with an index near zero receive mixed input. Similarly, the balance of excitatory and
 236 inhibitory synaptic input for each cell was calculated using an E:I index:

237

$$238 \quad (E:I \text{ index} = \frac{\text{total excitatory charge} - \text{total inhibitory charge}}{\text{total charge}}).$$

239 Two separate methods, a cross-correlation analysis and a correlation-coefficient
 240 analysis, were used to quantify the similarity between maps from simultaneously
 241 recorded cells. The cross-correlation analysis was derived from methods previously used
 242 to analyze paired recordings (Yoshimura & Callaway, 2005; Zarrinpar & Callaway,
 243 2006), and involved taking a site-by-site cross-correlation of the photostimulation
 244 responses for each pair and generating a map of these values (Fig. 5B,C right). The
 245 average cross-correlation value of the entire map was computed to compare between
 246 different pair types (Fig. 5D). The correlation-coefficient analysis was used to generate
 247 correlation coefficients (Fisher z-transformed) between total synaptic input at

248 corresponding sites for each pair of maps (Fig. 5E). The cross-correlation analysis
 249 method generates the largest values for pairs of cells having similar time-courses of
 250 synaptic inputs, while the correlation-coefficient analysis will yield largest values for
 251 cells with the largest spatial overlap of inputs, yielding complementary information.

252

253 *Statistical procedures*

254 Summary statistics including the mean, median, standard deviation (SD), standard error
 255 of the mean (SEM) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) were computed for all measures.
 256 In all cases, statistical outliers were included in subsequent analysis. Shapiro-Wilk tests
 257 were used to determine if the data were normally distributed. In cases in which the
 258 assumption of normality was violated, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn's post-hoc testing (with
 259 a Holm adjustment for multiple comparisons) were used to compare >2 groups, and
 260 Wilcoxon rank-sum tests were used for two group comparisons. Effect sizes for Kruskal-
 261 Wallis tests were computed as the eta-squared (η^2) based on the H-statistic. For Wilcoxon
 262 rank-sum tests, the effect size r was calculated as the Z statistic divided by the square root
 263 of the sample size.

264

265 RESULTS

266 *Experimental design*

267 To determine the degree and directionality of communication between cells in modular
 268 and matrix regions of the LCIC, we performed whole-cell voltage-clamp recordings and
 269 stimulated pre-synaptic partners throughout the LCIC using laser photostimulation of
 270 caged glutamate (Fig. 1A,B). Module and matrix regions were visually distinguished

271 under blue light illumination by their differential GAD67-GFP labeling in tissue slices
 272 from a transgenic mouse line. Modules are defined by their high densities of GAD67+
 273 cells and terminals and appear as regions of high intensity fluorescence embedded in the
 274 surrounding matrix (Fig. 1A). Both GAD67+ (presumed inhibitory) and GAD67-
 275 (presumed excitatory) cells in both regions were recorded from to measure inhibitory and
 276 excitatory inputs, respectively (Fig. 1A). For input mapping, a grid of stimulation sites
 277 was centered over the LCIC and potential presynaptic partners were stimulated in a non-
 278 neighbor fashion (Fig. 1C). Responses were plotted spatially according to the location
 279 from which they were generated and converted into heat maps by computing the area
 280 under the curve of each response (Fig. 1C). Cells were voltage-clamped at +10 and -60
 281 mV to measure inhibitory and excitatory currents, respectively (Fig. 1D). Excitation
 282 profiles were generated for each of the four cell types by recording spike output in cell-
 283 attached mode at various distances from the cell body (Fig. 1E). No significant
 284 differences were found in spike output between cell types, indicating that the specified
 285 laser parameters activate each population similarly (Fig. 1F,G). Two or three maps were
 286 averaged for each condition for each cell to account for variability between maps and
 287 spontaneous currents (Fig. 2). Excitatory mapping was repeated in low calcium ACSF,
 288 and this “direct” input map was subtracted from the original excitatory map to isolate
 289 excitatory synaptic inputs (Fig. 2B-D).

290

291 *Input patterns for cells in matrix regions*

292 Inhibitory input maps were generated for a total of 26 GAD- and 30 GAD+ cells
 293 in matrix regions and excitatory input maps were also obtained for 10 and 13 of these

294 same cells, respectively. Heat maps were overlaid with an image of the GAD67-GFP
 295 labeling and a region of interest was drawn around the border of any modules present in
 296 the tissue (Figure 3A, left). For matrix GAD- cells, inhibitory inputs predominately arose
 297 from stimulation sites in the matrix (Fig. 3A middle left), Most of the excitatory input to
 298 these cells arose from direct activation of the recorded cell (Fig. 3A middle right), and
 299 only sparse synaptic excitation was observed (Fig. 3A left). Given the relative paucity of
 300 excitatory input at the population level compared to inhibitory input (Fig. 3D; $p=0.017$,
 301 Wilcoxon rank-sum test), additional example inhibitory input maps are shown without
 302 corresponding excitatory maps (Fig. 3B,C), demonstrating that the pattern of
 303 predominately matrix-derived input is conserved. This pattern was striking at the
 304 population level for both excitatory and inhibitory inputs, with a 10-fold greater input
 305 charge arising from the matrix compared to the modules (Fig. 3E; inhibitory: $p=1.7e-06$,
 306 $r=0.62$; excitatory: $p=0.00032$, $r=0.74$; Wilcoxon rank-sum tests).

307 A similar pattern of inhibitory input was seen for GAD+ matrix cells, with
 308 virtually all of the inhibitory input arising from the matrix regions of the LCIC (Fig. 3F-
 309 H, 3J left; $p=3.5e-08$, $r=0.71$; Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Unlike GAD- matrix cells,
 310 GAD+ matrix cells received balanced levels of excitatory and inhibitory input overall
 311 (Fig. 3F right, 3I; $p=0.69$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The spatial pattern of excitatory
 312 inputs, however, is consistent with those of GAD- matrix cells, in that an overwhelming
 313 majority of input arises from the matrix regions of the LCIC (Fig. 3F right, 3J right;
 314 $p=0.0023$, $r=0.58$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test).

315

316 *Input patterns for cells in modules*

317 Inhibitory input maps were generated for 33 GAD- and 34 GAD+ cells in
 318 modules of the LCIC, and excitatory input maps were also obtained for 15 of these same
 319 cells for both cell types. Some GAD- cells in modules received predominately clustered
 320 inputs from within a module (Fig. 4A), while others received mixed input from both
 321 module and matrix regions (Fig. 4B,C). Overall, GAD- cells in modules received more
 322 inhibitory than excitatory input (Fig. 4D; $p=0.00088$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). Spatial
 323 patterns of inhibitory and excitatory input were highly heterogeneous from cell to cell,
 324 with some cells receiving more input from modules, some receiving a balance of input
 325 from both domains, and some receiving more input from matrix regions (Fig. 4E). At the
 326 population level, this heterogeneity culminated in an overall similar level of input from
 327 both regions (Fig. 4E; $p=0.10$, $r=0.21$ for inhibitory inputs and $p=0.37$, $r=0.17$ for
 328 excitatory inputs, Wilcoxon rank-sum tests). Input values from the matrix region
 329 remained consistent across cells, while the degree of input from the modules exhibited
 330 variability (Fig. 4E).

331 GAD+ cells in modules showed a unique spatial pattern of input: most of the
 332 input to these cells arose from sites in the matrix, with very little input coming from the
 333 modules, where their cell bodies are located (Fig. 4F-H). Similar to GAD- cells in
 334 modules, GAD+ cells in modules received predominately inhibitory input compared to
 335 excitatory input (Fig. 4I, $p=0.020$, Wilcoxon rank-sum test). The spatial pattern of both
 336 excitatory and inhibitory inputs for GAD+ cells in modules resembled those of matrix
 337 cells, with most of the input arising from the matrix, despite the difference in the
 338 compartmental location of the cell body (Fig. 4J; $p=8.5e-06$, $r=0.51$ for inhibitory inputs
 339 and $p=0.0090$, $r=0.48$ for excitatory inputs, Wilcoxon rank-sum tests).

340

341 *Pharmacological controls*

342 Application of bath-applied GABAzine blocked inhibitory responses, suggesting
343 that outward currents are mediated by GABA_A receptors (Fig. 5A). We also repeated
344 excitatory input mapping in the presence of GABAzine to 1) determine if inhibition in the
345 LCIC was “masking” excitatory responses and 2) to confirm that our stimulation
346 parameters elicit monosynaptic responses. In either instance, an increase in the overall
347 map area would be expected in the presence of GABAzine. We observed that excitatory
348 input maps remained largely unaltered (Fig. 5B,C). To confirm the validity of the low
349 calcium ACSF technique for segregating direct inputs from excitatory synaptic inputs,
350 input mapping was repeated in TTX for a subset of cells (Fig. 5D,E). Similar input maps
351 were generated using both techniques (Fig. 5D,E), as we have previously validated in
352 other preparations (Slater et al., 2019). Scale = 400 μ m.

353

354 *Balance of inhibitory and excitatory input from different regions of the LCIC*

355 For each cell for which both inhibitory and excitatory input data were collected,
356 an E:I index was computed to assess differences in the overall balance of excitation and
357 inhibition at the population level. Each of the four cell types had a negative median E:I
358 index, indicating that they are dominated by inhibition (Fig. 6A). No differences were
359 found in the E:I index across groups at the population level (Fig. 6A; $p=0.50$, Kruskal-
360 Wallis rank-sum test).

361 To further assess differences in the balance of input from the module and matrix
362 regions of the LCIC, a modularity index was calculated for each cell (the normalized

363 difference in input from the compartment in which the cell body is located and the
 364 opposite region). Both cell types in the matrix exhibited high positive modularity indices
 365 for both inhibition and excitation, indicating that most of their input arises from matrix
 366 regions of the LCIC (Fig. 6B,C). GAD⁻ cells in modules showed evidence of mixed
 367 input, with median excitatory and inhibitory modularity indices close to zero (Fig. 6B,C).
 368 Module GAD⁺ cells had negative modularity indices (more pronounced for inhibition
 369 than excitation), indicating that most of their input arises from the matrix (Fig. 6B,C).
 370 The modularity indices were significantly different between cell types, with the exception
 371 of the comparison between GAD⁻ matrix and GAD⁺ matrix cells for inhibitory input and
 372 between GAD⁻ module and GAD⁺ module cells for excitatory input (Fig. 6B,C;
 373 inhibitory: $p=2.2\text{e-}16$, $\eta^2=0.78$; excitatory: $p=1.7\text{e-}08$, $\eta^2=0.30$; Kruskal-Wallis rank-sum
 374 tests). These findings indicate that there are distinct spatial patterns of input among
 375 different cell types in the LCIC.

376

377 *The local LCIC circuit*

378 The spatial patterns of input derived from the modularity indices for each cell
 379 type were used to construct a diagram of the local LCIC circuit (Fig. 6D). Both GAD⁻
 380 (putative excitatory) and GAD⁺ (putative inhibitory) matrix cells receive excitatory and
 381 inhibitory input primarily from the matrix regions of the LCIC (i.e. GAD⁻ and GAD⁺
 382 matrix cells predominately receive information from other GAD⁻ and GAD⁺ matrix
 383 cells) (Fig. 6D, area shaded in gray). GAD⁺ cells in modules also receive most of their
 384 input from the matrix region (i.e. from GAD⁻ and GAD⁺ matrix cells), while GAD⁻ cells
 385 in modules receive a mixture of input from both domains (i.e. from GAD⁻ and GAD⁺
 386 cells in both matrix and modules) (Fig. 6D, area shaded in light green). Every cell type

387 receives substantially more inhibitory than excitatory input (thick vs. thin arrows in Fig.
 388 6D; see Fig. 6A for comparisons between inhibitory and excitatory charge). Taken
 389 together, these input patterns give rise to a predominately unidirectional flow of
 390 information from the matrix to the modular regions of the LCIC (Fig. 6D, gray arrow).

391 To determine if individual cells' dendritic fields cross module borders, a subset of
 392 cells were filled with biocytin during recording to recover their neuronal morphology and
 393 local projection patterns. Both GAD- (Fig. 6E left, white arrow) and GAD+ (Fig. 6E, left
 394 green arrow) matrix cells had large and heavily branched dendritic arbors and axons that
 395 projected throughout the LCIC, sometimes innervating nearby modules (Fig. 6E right, red
 396 arrows). These anatomical data support results from input mapping that show that matrix
 397 cells send information into modular regions of the LCIC. GAD+ cells in modules (Fig.
 398 6F left, green arrow) also exhibited heavily branched dendritic arbors that frequently
 399 sprawled beyond the borders of their home modules (Fig. 6F right, red arrow). This non-
 400 compartmentalized dendritic structure could serve as an anatomical substrate for the
 401 matrix-dominated input patterns revealed from photostimulation experiments. Unlike the
 402 other LCIC cell types, GAD- matrix cells (Fig. 6F left, white arrow) typically had small
 403 dendritic trees that remained within the borders of their home modules (Fig. 6F right,
 404 white arrow).

405

406 *Shared input to neurons in putative LCIC cell classes*

407 Since clear differences were observed in the inhibitory and excitatory input patterns for
 408 cells that differed in terms of location (module or matrix) and type (GAD67+ or GAD67),
 409 we reasoned that each of these categories of cells comprises a distinct cell class. As such,

410 we hypothesized that each cell class would share common local input, as measured
 411 during dual recordings (Fig. 7A). Therefore, dual recordings were made from 80 cells (40
 412 pairs) that were either matched in terms of location and type (Fig. 7B), differed in only
 413 one parameter, or were unmatched in both parameters (Fig. 7C). The degree of input
 414 similarity was computed using two methods. Cross-correlations between the detailed
 415 time-courses at corresponding stimulation sites were computed, as described in
 416 (Yoshimura & Callaway, 2005; Zarrinpar & Callaway, 2006). This method measures the
 417 similarity in the time-courses of synaptic inputs to two cells. In addition, the Pearson's
 418 correlation coefficient (Fisher z-transformed) using total inward current at corresponding
 419 sites for each pair of maps was also computed. This method emphasizes spatial similarity
 420 of inputs. These two metrics were compared across each group of pairs (Fig. 7D,E).
 421 Using either method, we observed that pairs that were more similar (in terms of cell type
 422 and location) had large values for both metrics, while cells that differed in one or both
 423 parameters had smaller values for both metrics (Fig. 7D,E). Cross-correlation values were
 424 significantly different between different types of pairs ($p=0.015$, $\eta^2=0.21$; Kruskal-Wallis
 425 rank-sum test); specifically, pairs in which both the location and cell type were matched
 426 had significantly higher cross-correlation values than pairs in which both of these
 427 parameters differed ($p=0.0043$, post-hoc Dunn's test with Holm correction). A similar
 428 pattern was seen for the correlation-coefficient analysis ($p=0.021$, $\eta^2=0.19$, Kruskal-
 429 Wallis test; Same vs. Diff: $p=0.0077$, post-hoc Dunn's test with Holm correction). To
 430 determine if the distance between the cells in a pair could account for these differences,
 431 we computed the regression coefficient between distance and cross-correlation values and
 432 found no relationship (Fig. 7D; $R^2=-0.027$, $p=0.94$). Similarly, no relationship was found

433 using distance as a predictor for correlation-coefficient values (Fig. 7E; $R^2=0.059$,
434 $p=0.073$) These data suggest that residence inside or outside of a module, as well as
435 neurochemical identity, define distinct cell classes in the LCIC and strongly determine
436 local input patterns.

437 *Projections to other regions of the IC arise from the matrix*

438 Given the marked segregation of auditory and somatosensory inputs to the matrix
439 and modular regions, respectively (Lesicko et al., 2016), and the modularity of LCIC
440 microcircuit organization shown above, we hypothesized that outputs from the LCIC
441 would also be organized along the basis of whether cells resided in a module/matrix or
442 expressed GAD67. To test this idea, we examined four outputs of the LCIC: ipsilateral
443 IC, contralateral IC (contraIC), superior colliculus (SC), and the medial division of the
444 medial geniculate body (mMGB) and determined if 1) they were located in the module or
445 matrix region and 2) if they expressed GAD67 (Fig. 8A).

446 The subdivisions of the IC are heavily interconnected, and the LCIC projects to
447 both the ipsilateral and contralateral DCIC and CNIC (Coleman & Clerici, 1987). To
448 determine if LCIC cells that project within the ipsilateral colliculus are also
449 predominately distributed in either module or matrix regions, Fluorogold (FG) was
450 injected into LCIC targets within the IC. The injection site was centered in the caudal half
451 of the IC along the border between the DCIC and the CNIC (Fig. 8A left). UV
452 illumination revealed several retrogradely labeled cells in the LCIC (Fig. 8B middle left).
453 Overlay images showed that cells that project to the ipsilateral IC are found almost
454 exclusively (97%) in matrix regions of the LCIC (Fig. 8B,C; Fig. 9E). However, a
455 substantial percentage (33%) of backlabeled cells were found to be GFP-positive,
456 indicating that this pathway is partially GABAergic (Fig. 9E).

457 To examine the projection pattern to the contraIC, an injection of FG was placed
458 in the ventro-medial and mid-rostro-caudal portion of this structure (Fig. 8A middle-left).
459 Backlabeled cells were found throughout all subdivisions of the IC (Fig. 8D) and were

most concentrated along the same rostro-caudal plane as the injection site (Fig. 8E right). Overlay images revealed that the pattern of outputs to the contraIC mimics the pattern to the ipsilateral IC; the vast majority (98%) of backlabeled cells are found in matrix regions of the LCIC (Fig. 8D,E; Fig. 9E). However, a smaller proportion of backlabeled cells were found to be GFP-positive (4%), indicating that this pathway is predominately non-GABAergic (Fig. 9E).

Inputs to the SC also come from the matrix zone

To examine the distribution pattern of outputs to the SC, FG was injected at a mid-rostro-caudal level of the SC, in both deep and superficial layers (Fig. 8A middle-right). Overlay images of the FG and GAD67-GFP labeling revealed that a) cells projecting to the SC were almost exclusively found in the matrix regions of the LCIC and b) the vast majority of retrogradely-labeled cells were non-GABAergic (Fig. 9A,B). These observations were confirmed with quantification; cell counts revealed that 96% of the retrogradely labeled cells in the LCIC were found in matrix regions, and that 96% were also non-GABAergic (Fig. 9E). Though cells projecting to the SC were found throughout the rostro-caudal extent of the LCIC, they were heavily concentrated in the rostral-most regions of the LCIC (Fig. 9B).

Cells projecting to the mMGB are found in modules

All subdivisions of the IC project heavily to the MGB, and the main thalamic target of the LCIC is the mMGB (Calford & Aitkin, 1983). A small deposit of FG was made in this region to backlabel colliculo-thalamic cells and determine whether their

483 distribution in the LCIC is patterned (Fig. 8A right). As shown, the injection site
484 appeared largely restricted to the mMGB, with potential spillover into the surrounding
485 paralamina nuclei (i.e. the supragenulate nucleus and the posterior intralamina
486 nucleus) (Goldowitz, 2010). In contrast to cells projecting to the SC and IC, cells
487 projecting to the mMGB were found to form clusters that were largely found within the
488 modules (Fig. 9C,D). Backlabeled cells were found throughout the rostro-caudal extent of
489 the LCIC (Fig. 9D). Cell counts revealed that 86% of these cells were found in modules
490 of the LCIC, while the remaining cells were found in the matrix. Interestingly, of the 404
491 FG-labeled cells that were identified in the LCIC, none were found to be double-labeled
492 with GFP, indicating that this pathway is strictly non-GABAergic (Fig. 9E).

493 DISCUSSION

494 In the present study, we used a combination of single and paired whole-cell
 495 voltage-clamp recordings, laser photostimulation, and tract-tracing to measure functional
 496 patterns of integration and segregation in a brain structure containing strong anatomical
 497 modularity, the LCIC.

498 Our photostimulation studies suggest that local input patterns for LCIC cells are
 499 strongly dependent upon their neurochemical identity (GAD+ or GAD-) and location
 500 (module or matrix), with distinct yet highly consistent input phenotypes found for each
 501 cell type. Both GAD- and GAD+ matrix cells receive input mainly from the matrix, but
 502 relay information to cells in both the module and matrix compartments of the LCIC.
 503 Though cells in modules receive input from both domains of the LCIC, they
 504 predominately relay it to other cells within the modules (Fig. 6D, Fig. 10). This circuitry
 505 gives rise to a directional flow of information predominately from the matrix to module
 506 regions of the LCIC. One advantage of such an arrangement could be to allow for
 507 independent modulation of auditory and somatosensory inputs while retaining local
 508 circuit mechanisms that allow for multimodal integration (see below). These data suggest
 509 that anatomical modules in the LCIC serve as guideposts to segregate the massive
 510 converging input onto this structure, as further described below.

511

512 *Outputs of the LCIC are associated with distinct extrinsic inputs*

513 We observed that the widely divergent outputs of the LCIC to the SC, mMGB, ipsi- and
 514 contralateral IC were nearly entirely determined by whether the cells of origin were
 515 found in the matrix or modules. These segregated streams of outputs have previously

516 been shown to be targeted by distinct inputs. LCIC modules receive input from
 517 somatosensory structures, such as the dorsal column nuclei (DCoN) and the primary
 518 somatosensory cortex (SScx) (Lesicko et al., 2016) (Fig. 10, purple arrows). Matrix areas
 519 of the LCIC, on the other hand, are targeted by auditory structures such as the AC and the
 520 CNIC (Lesicko et al., 2016) (Fig. 10, teal arrows). Though these two subregions of the
 521 LCIC are segregated on the basis of their neurochemistry and connectivity, they do not
 522 form wholly separate processing streams; cells in modular regions of the LCIC receive
 523 input from the matrix. These connections could serve to route auditory information into
 524 the somatosensory-recipient modules, thereby forming multisensory processing zones.
 525 The local connections in the LCIC appear largely unidirectional, in that the matrix
 526 regions receive very little input from the modules (Fig. 6B,C). This combination of
 527 segregated inputs and outputs and highly-specific local integration could therefore
 528 maximize the computational possibilities among parallel streams of information. For
 529 example, matrix regions of the LCIC could perform computations related to auditory
 530 processing and route it to midbrain targets including the SC and other regions of the IC,
 531 while the modules may integrate somatosensory and auditory information and route it to
 532 the mMGB. Though we have demonstrated a clear connection between the distribution of
 533 cells giving rise to these particular output pathways and the underlying neurochemical
 534 modularity present in the LC, it is worth noting that there are a number of additional
 535 targets of the LC whose sub-compartment of origin remains unknown, including outputs
 536 to additional subdivisions of the auditory thalamus and descending projections to the
 537 auditory brainstem (Caicedo & Herbert, 1993; Linke, 1999).
 538

539 *Similarities to patch/matrix compartments of the striatum*

540 While the function of the neurochemical and connectional modularity found in the LCIC
 541 remains unknown, studies in other structures with a similar organization can help shed
 542 light on the potential advantage of such an arrangement. Modularity is also present in the
 543 striatum, with inputs and outputs being segregated according to whether they are found in
 544 the acetylcholine-rich “matrix” areas or the opiate receptor-dense “patch” areas (Gerfen,
 545 1984; Graybiel & Ragsdale, 1978; Kincaid & Wilson, 1996). Studies that have
 546 investigated whether the two domains are fully segregated have found that the dendrites
 547 of retrogradely-filled cells in both compartments are confined to the region containing
 548 their cell bodies (Gerfen, 1985). While this finding suggests that the two compartments
 549 may form segregated processing streams, additional experiments have shown that
 550 intrinsic somatostatin-positive neurons form a bridge between the patch and matrix
 551 regions; the cell bodies of these interneurons are found in both regions, but their axons
 552 selectively innervate the matrix compartment (Gerfen, 1985). Single somatostatin-
 553 immunoreactive cells in the patch compartment send axons to the surrounding matrix,
 554 suggesting that these cells provide a unidirectional projection from the patch to the
 555 matrix. Interestingly, this organization bears resemblance to the largely directional flow
 556 of information from the matrix to module regions demonstrated in the present study (Fig.
 557 6).

558

559 *Implications for multisensory processing*

560 The results of the present study suggest a mechanism by which multisensory convergence
 561 could occur within the LCIC. Further studies will be required to determine if single cells

562 within the modules receive convergent input from both of these sources. It is presently
 563 unknown whether the dendrites of cells within module and matrix zones are confined to
 564 the region containing their soma, as is the case with other modular structures such as the
 565 striatum and the pons (Gerfen, 1985; Schwarz & Thier, 1995). If not, multisensory
 566 integration could also arise from direct input to a cell whose dendrites cross the
 567 module/matrix boundary. The advantage of having multisensory convergence arise from
 568 a local circuit mechanism rather than direct convergence of extrinsic inputs is presently
 569 unclear, but may permit independent modulation of each input prior to their convergence.

570

571 *Potential functional significance of module outputs*

572 The mMGB is the only target of cells residing in modules that has been identified
 573 thus far. Similar to the LCIC, this division of the auditory thalamus is known to integrate
 574 multisensory inputs, and neurons in this region exhibit broad frequency tuning and large
 575 tactile receptive fields (Lindsay M Aitkin, 1973; Bordi & LeDoux, 1994). The mMGB is
 576 also interconnected with limbic structures, such as the amygdala, and has been shown to
 577 be involved with auditory fear conditioning (LeDoux, Ruggiero, & Reis, 1985; LeDoux,
 578 Sakaguchi, & Reis, 1984). Though it has traditionally been thought that the IC provides
 579 auditory input to the mMGB, it is possible that the inputs from modules of the LCIC
 580 actually provide multisensory information important for executing conditioned fear
 581 behaviors (Ledoux, Ruggiero, Forest, Stornetta, & Reis, 1987).

582 The mMGB is also reciprocally interconnected with all regions of the AC, and it
 583 has previously been hypothesized to serve as a site for multisensory integration and/or to
 584 modulate the AC based on state of arousal (Rouiller et al., 1989; Jeffery A Winer, 1992).

Inputs from the LCIC to the mMGB could therefore convey somatosensory, auditory, or multisensory cues relevant to the animal's state of arousal. Somatosensory convergence occurs at multiple stations within the auditory system, and has generally been thought to mediate cancellation of self-generated sounds (Wu, Stefanescu, Martel, & Shore, 2014). The potential participation of the LCIC-mMGB-AC circuit in this process is intriguing given that a) non-GABAergic module cells in the LCIC, such as those that project to the mMGB, receive strong module-based inhibition that could be driven by extrinsic somatosensory inputs and b) inhibiting this population of projection neurons could effectively prevent activation of auditory cortical networks and conscious awareness of self-generated noise, given that the mMGB projects widely to all areas of the AC (Rouiller et al., 1989; Jeffery A Winer, 1992).

Potential functional significance of matrix outputs

Cells in matrix regions of the LCIC project to at least two distinct targets: the SC and other regions of the IC. It is presently unknown whether these projection systems are formed by different groups of cells, or if single cells project to both targets. Projections from the LCIC to the SC have long been thought to mediate various acoustico-motor behaviors (Huffman & Henson Jr, 1990). For example, stimulation of the IC causes movement of the pinna and eyes in conjunction with activation of auditory neurons in the SC, and this pathway is thought to mediate additional orienting and escape/defense behaviors (Syka & Straschill, 1970). Connections between the IC and the SC are also thought to be critically involved in pre-pulse inhibition of the acoustic startle reflex (Koch & Schnitzler, 1997). The SC is thought to receive information about auditory

608 prepulses in an acoustic startle paradigm from the IC and routes this information to the
609 pedunculopontine nucleus (PPT), a brainstem structure that also provides cholinergic
610 input to modular areas of the LCIC (Motts & Schofield, 2009; Schofield, 2010;
611 Swerdlow, Geyer, & Braff, 2001). The PPT then routes this information to the pontine
612 reticular nucleus, where it converges with and influences the primary startle pathway
613 (Davis, Gendelman, Tischler, & Gendelman, 1982).

614 Not only do matrix regions of the LCIC send input to the CNIC, but they also
615 receive dense inputs from this region (Lesicko et al., 2016). It is therefore possible that
616 some of the LCIC cells that project to the CNIC participate in feedback loops with the
617 lemniscal auditory pathway. In addition to inputs from the CNIC, matrix regions of the
618 LCIC receive descending inputs from the AC (Lesicko et al., 2016). Though descending
619 connections from the AC to the IC predominately terminate in the LCIC and DCIC, their
620 activation has been shown to cause striking shifts in the auditory response properties of
621 cells in the CNIC (Andersen, Snyder, & Merzenich, 1980; Gao & Suga, 2000; Jeffery A.
622 Winer, Larue, Diehl, & Hefti, 1998). Given that direct descending inputs to the CNIC are
623 sparse, it is possible that these changes are mediated through connections from the LCIC
624 to the CNIC (Stebbing et al., 2014).

625 REFERENCES

- 626 Aitkin, L. M. (1973). Medial geniculate body of the cat: responses to tonal stimuli of
627 neurons in medial division. *Journal of neurophysiology*, 36(2), 275-283.
- 628 Aitkin, L. M., Dickhaus, H., Schult, W., & Zimmermann, M. (1978). External Nucleus of
629 Inferior Colliculus: Auditory and Spinal Somatosensory Merents and Their
630 Interactions.
- 631 Aitkin, L. M., Kenyon, C. E., & Philpott, P. (1981). The representation of the auditory
632 and somatosensory systems in the external nucleus of the cat inferior
633 colliculus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 196(1), 25-40.
- 634 Andersen, R. A., Snyder, R. L., & Merzenich, M. M. (1980). The topographic
635 organization of corticocollicular projections from physiologically identified
636 loci in the AI, AII, and anterior auditory cortical fields of the cat. *Journal of*
637 *Comparative Neurology*, 191(3), 479-494.
- 638 Bordi, F., & LeDoux, J. E. (1994). Response properties of single units in areas of rat
639 auditory thalamus that project to the amygdala. *Experimental Brain Research*,
640 98(2), 261-274.
- 641 Calford, M. B., & Aitkin, L. M. (1983). Ascending projections to the medial geniculate
642 body of the cat: evidence for multiple, parallel auditory pathways through
643 thalamus. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 3(11), 2365-2380.
- 644 Casseday, J. H., Fremouw, T., & Covey, E. (2002). The inferior colliculus: a hub for the
645 central auditory system. In *Integrative functions in the mammalian auditory*
646 *pathway* (pp. 238-318): Springer.
- 647 Chernock, M. L., Larue, D. T., & Winer, J. A. (2004). A periodic network of
648 neurochemical modules in the inferior colliculus. *Hearing Research*, 188(1-2),
649 12-20. doi:10.1016/s0378-5955(03)00340-x
- 650 Coleman, J. R., & Clerici, W. J. (1987). Sources of projections to subdivisions of the
651 inferior colliculus in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 262(2), 215-
652 226.
- 653 Davis, M., Gendelman, D. S., Tischler, M. D., & Gendelman, P. M. (1982). A primary
654 acoustic startle circuit: lesion and stimulation studies. *Journal of*
655 *Neuroscience*, 2(6), 791-805.
- 656 Dillingham, C. H., Gay, S. M., Behrooz, R., & Gabriele, M. L. (2017). Modular -
657 extramodular organization in developing multisensory shell regions of the
658 mouse inferior colliculus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*.
- 659 Gao, E., & Suga, N. (2000). Experience-dependent plasticity in the auditory cortex
660 and the inferior colliculus of bats: role of the corticofugal system. *Proceedings*
661 *of the National Academy of Sciences*, 97(14), 8081-8086.
- 662 Gay, S. M., Brett, C. A., Stinson, J. P., & Gabriele, M. L. (2018). Alignment of EphA4 and
663 ephrin - B2 expression patterns with developing modularity in the lateral
664 cortex of the inferior colliculus. *Journal of comparative neurology*, 526(16),
665 2706-2721.
- 666 Gerfen, C. R. (1984). The neostriatal mosaic: compartmentalization of corticostriatal
667 input and striatonigral output systems. *Nature*, 311(5985), 461-464.

- Gerfen, C. R. (1985). The neostriatal mosaic. I. Compartmental organization of projections from the striatum to the substantia nigra in the rat. *Journal of comparative neurology*, 236(4), 454-476.
- Gerfen, C. R. (1992). The neostriatal mosaic: multiple levels of compartmental organization. In *Advances in Neuroscience and Schizophrenia* (pp. 43-59): Springer.
- Goldowitz, D. (2010). Allen Reference Atlas. A Digital Color Brain Atlas of the C57BL/6J Male Mouse - by HW Dong. *Genes, Brain and Behavior*, 9(1), 128-128.
- Graybiel, A. M., & Ragsdale, C. W. (1978). Histochemically distinct compartments in the striatum of human, monkeys, and cat demonstrated by acetylthiocholinesterase staining. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 75(11), 5723-5726.
- Huffman, R. F., & Henson Jr, O. W. (1990). The descending auditory pathway and acousticomotor systems: connections with the inferior colliculus. *Brain Research Reviews*, 15(3), 295-323.
- Jain, R., & Shore, S. (2006). External inferior colliculus integrates trigeminal and acoustic information: unit responses to trigeminal nucleus and acoustic stimulation in the guinea pig. *Neurosci Lett*, 395(1), 71-75. doi:10.1016/j.neulet.2005.10.077
- Kincaid, A. E., & Wilson, C. J. (1996). Corticostriatal innervation of the patch and matrix in the rat neostriatum. *The Journal of comparative neurology*, 374(4), 578-592.
- Koch, M., & Schnitzler, H.-U. (1997). The acoustic startle response in rats—circuits mediating evocation, inhibition and potentiation. *Behavioural brain research*, 89(1-2), 35-49.
- Ledoux, J. E., Ruggiero, D. A., Forest, R., Stornetta, R., & Reis, D. J. (1987). Topographic organization of convergent projections to the thalamus from the inferior colliculus and spinal cord in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 264(1), 123-146.
- LeDoux, J. E., Ruggiero, D. A., & Reis, D. J. (1985). Projections to the subcortical forebrain from anatomically defined regions of the medial geniculate body in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 242(2), 182-213.
- LeDoux, J. E., Sakaguchi, A., & Reis, D. J. (1984). Subcortical efferent projections of the medial geniculate nucleus mediate emotional responses conditioned to acoustic stimuli. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 4(3), 683-698.
- Lesicko, A. M., Hristova, T. S., Maigler, K. C., & Llano, D. A. (2016). Connectional modularity of top-down and bottom-up multimodal inputs to the lateral cortex of the mouse inferior colliculus. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 36(43), 11037-11050.
- Llano, D. A., & Sherman, S. M. (2009). Differences in intrinsic properties and local network connectivity of identified layer 5 and layer 6 adult mouse auditory corticothalamic neurons support a dual corticothalamic projection hypothesis. *Cereb Cortex*, 19(12), 2810-2826. doi:10.1093/cercor/bhp050

- Motts, S. D., & Schofield, B. R. (2009). Sources of cholinergic input to the inferior colliculus. *Neuroscience*, 160(1), 103-114.
- Ono, M., Yanagawa, Y., & Koyano, K. (2005). GABAergic neurons in inferior colliculus of the GAD67-GFP knock-in mouse: electrophysiological and morphological properties. *Neurosci Res*, 51(4), 475-492. doi:10.1016/j.neures.2004.12.019
- Petersen, C. C. (2007). The functional organization of the barrel cortex. *Neuron*, 56(2), 339-355.
- Rouiller, E., Rodrigues-Dagauff, C., Simm, G., De Ribaupierre, Y., Villa, A., & De Ribaupierre, F. (1989). Functional organization of the medial division of the medial geniculate body of the cat: tonotopic organization, spatial distribution of response properties and cortical connections. *Hearing research*, 39(1-2), 127-142.
- Schofield, B. R. (2010). Projections from auditory cortex to midbrain cholinergic neurons that project to the inferior colliculus. *Neuroscience*, 166(1), 231-240.
- Schwarz, C., & Thier, P. (1995). Modular organization of the pontine nuclei: dendritic fields of identified pontine projection neurons in the rat respect the borders of cortical afferent fields. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 15(5), 3475-3489.
- Shepherd, G. M., Pologruito, T. A., & Svoboda, K. (2003). Circuit analysis of experience-dependent plasticity in the developing rat barrel cortex. *Neuron*, 38(2), 277-289.
- Slater, B. J., Yudinsev, G., Lee, C. M., & Llano, D. A. (2019). Thalamocortical and intracortical inputs differentiate Layer-Specific mouse auditory corticocollicular neurons. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 39(2), 256-270.
- Stebbing, K. A., Lesicko, A. M., & Llano, D. A. (2014). The auditory corticocollicular system: molecular and circuit-level considerations. *Hear Res*, 314, 51-59. doi:10.1016/j.heares.2014.05.004
- Swerdlow, N., Geyer, M., & Braff, D. (2001). Neural circuit regulation of prepulse inhibition of startle in the rat: current knowledge and future challenges. *Psychopharmacology*, 156(2-3), 194-215.
- Syka, J., & Straschill, M. (1970). Activation of superior colliculus neurons and motor responses after electrical stimulation of the inferior colliculus. *Experimental neurology*, 28(3), 384-392.
- Tamamaki, N., Yanagawa, Y., Tomioka, R., Miyazaki, J. I., Obata, K., & Kaneko, T. (2003). Green fluorescent protein expression and colocalization with calretinin, parvalbumin, and somatostatin in the GAD67 - GFP knock - in mouse. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 467(1), 60-79.
- Winer, J. A. (1992). The functional architecture of the medial geniculate body and the primary auditory cortex. In *The mammalian auditory pathway: Neuroanatomy* (pp. 222-409): Springer.
- Winer, J. A., Larue, D. T., Diehl, J. J., & Hefti, B. J. (1998). Auditory cortical projections to the cat inferior colliculus. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 400(2), 147-174.
- Wu, C., Stefanescu, R. A., Martel, D. T., & Shore, S. E. (2014). Listening to another sense: somatosensory integration in the auditory system. *Cell and tissue research*, 1-18.

- 757 Yoshimura, Y., & Callaway, E. M. (2005). Fine-scale specificity of cortical networks
758 depends on inhibitory cell type and connectivity. *Nature neuroscience*, 8(11),
759 1552-1559.
- 760 Zarrinpar, A., & Callaway, E. M. (2006). Local connections to specific types of layer 6
761 neurons in the rat visual cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 95(3), 1751-
762 1761.
763

764 FIGURE LEGENDS

765 Figure 1: Experimental design. A) Experiments were performed in tissue slices from the
766 GAD67-GFP mouse in which modules are visually distinguishable under blue
767 illumination. Cells were voltage-clamped at -60 mV and +10 mV to isolate excitatory and
768 inhibitory inputs, respectively. B) In focal regions of UV laser activation, caged
769 glutamate is converted to active glutamate, thus generating spikes in presynaptic partners
770 and postsynaptic currents in the recorded cell. C) Four groups of cells in the LCIC were
771 recorded from: GAD67+ (putative inhibitory) and GAD67- (putative excitatory) cells
772 from both the modular and matrix regions. A 10X20 grid of stimulation sites was
773 centered over the LCIC and potential presynaptic partners were stimulated in a non-
774 neighbor fashion. Responses were plotted according to the location from which they were
775 generated and converted into heat maps by computing the area under the curve of each
776 response. D) Responses to photostimulation at various holding potentials. Note the
777 presence of inward current at 0 mV, and the absence at +10 mV. E) Left: Example of
778 spikes recorded in cell-attached mode in response to laser photostimulation (1 ms pulses,
779 3 mW) at various locations (10x10 grid, 20 μ m between adjacent rows and columns) near
780 the cell body. Right: Spike output 100 ms after laser onset shown as a heat map. The
781 location of the recorded cell is shown in black. The white outline indicates the 20 μ m
782 radius used to generate the first bin shown in G. F) The total number of spikes (average
783 of four trials) was computed for each cell and compared across cell types. No statistically
784 significant differences were found across cell types (Kruskal-Wallis test, $p = 0.84$) G)
785 The average number of spikes elicited at various distances from the cell body was
786 calculated and compared for each cell type (GAD- matrix: mean = 77.41, median = 29,

SD = 103.73, SEM = 59.89, CI = ± 257.67 , n = 3 cells; GAD+ matrix: mean = 39.39,
 median = 33.5, SD = 30.76, SEM = 17.76, CI = ± 76.41 , n = 4 cells; GAD- module: mean
 = 67.42, median = 84.75, SD = 45.31, SEM = 26.16, CI = ± 112.55 , n = 3 cells; GAD+
 module: mean = 48, median = 18.63, SD = 64.72, SEM = 32.36, CI = ± 102.98 , n = 3
 cells).

Figure 2: Examples of replicates of inhibitory and excitatory responses. A) Inhibitory
 responses for three separate runs. The average maps (as shown in the final panel) were
 analyzed and used for quantification. Example traces from two stimulation sites (white
 square = top trace, black square = bottom trace) are shown to the right. B) Total
 excitatory responses, including both direct activation and synaptic input to the recorded
 cell. C) Excitatory responses in a low-calcium ACSF which blocks synaptic inputs,
 giving rise to only direct activation of the recorded cell. Note that the response in the
 bottom trace from B is no longer present, indicating that it was a synaptic input. D) Maps
 of the excitatory synaptic inputs were generated by subtracting the direct input maps from
 the total excitatory maps. Scale = 400 μ m.

Figure 3: Input patterns for matrix cells. A) Example of inhibitory and excitatory inputs
 to a GAD- matrix cell in layer 3 of the LCIC. Black dot indicates the location of the cell
 body. White outlines represent the borders of the modules. B) Example of inhibitory
 inputs to a GAD- matrix cell positioned between two modules in layer 2 of the LCIC. C)
 Example of inhibitory inputs to a GAD- matrix cell in layer 2 of the LCIC. D) The total
 inhibitory (mean = 1667.39, median = 1125, SD = 1825.73, SEM = 358.06, CI =

810 ± 737.42 , $n = 26$ cells) and excitatory (mean = 470.8, median = 378.5, SD = 358.75, SEM
 811 = 113.45, CI = ± 256.63 , $n = 10$ cells) synaptic input to GAD- matrix cells. Note that
 812 these cells receive significantly more inhibitory input than excitatory input. E) Inhibitory
 813 (matrix: mean = 1454.85, median = 891, SD = 1742.15, SEM = 341.66, CI = ± 703.66 ;
 814 modules: mean = 191.34, median = 150, SD = 182.07, SEM = 35.71, CI = ± 73.54) and
 815 excitatory (matrix: mean = 396.05, median = 331, SD = 300.3, SEM = 94.96, CI = \pm
 816 214.82; modules: mean = 57.3, median = 35.9, SD = 63.14, SEM = 19.97, CI = ± 45.16)
 817 synaptic input to GAD- matrix cells parcellated by region of origin. GAD- matrix cells
 818 receive significantly more inhibitory and excitatory input from the matrix compared to
 819 the modular regions of the LCIC. F) Example of inhibitory inputs to a GAD+ matrix cell
 820 positioned between two modules in layer 2 of the LCIC. G) Example of inhibitory inputs
 821 to a GAD+ matrix cell in layer 3 of the LCIC. H) Example of inhibitory inputs to a
 822 GAD+ matrix cell in layer 2 of the LCIC. I) The total inhibitory (mean: 2387.57, median:
 823 1745, SD: 2072.93, SEM: 378.46, CI: ± 774.04 , $n = 30$ cells) and excitatory (mean:
 824 3736.15, median: 1450, SD: 6256.96, SEM: 1735.37, CI: ± 3781.04 , $n = 13$ cells) synaptic
 825 input to GAD+ matrix cells. Note that these cells receive balanced inhibitory and
 826 excitatory input. J) Inhibitory (matrix: mean = 2068.63, median = 1450, SD = 1892.51,
 827 SEM = 345.52, CI = ± 706.67 ; modules: mean = 300.03, median = 129, SD = 287.07,
 828 SEM = 52.41, CI = ± 107.19) and excitatory (matrix: mean = 3231.16, median = 1320, SD
 829 = 5422.89, SEM = 1504.04, CI = ± 3277.02 ; modules: mean = 456.02, median = 153, SD
 830 = 872.48, SEM = 241.98, CI = ± 527.24) synaptic input to GAD+ matrix cells parcellated
 831 by region of origin. GAD+ matrix cells receive significantly more inhibitory and

832 excitatory input from the matrix compared to the modular regions of the LCIC. Scale =
 833 400 μm .
 834
 835 Figure 4: Input patterns for cells in module regions of the LCIC. A) Example of
 836 inhibitory and excitatory inputs to a GAD- cell in a module in the LCIC. Black dot
 837 indicates the location of the cell body. White outlines represent the borders of the
 838 modules. B) Example of inhibitory inputs to a GAD- cell in a module in layer 2 of the
 839 LCIC. C) Example of inhibitory inputs to another GAD- cell in a module in layer 2 of the
 840 LCIC. D) The total inhibitory (mean: 1612.06, median: 1390, SD: 1221.26, SEM: 212.59,
 841 CI: ± 433.04 , n = 33 cells) and excitatory (mean: 510.93, median: 580, SD: 251.08, SEM:
 842 64.83, CI: ± 139.04 , n = 15 cells) synaptic input to GAD- cells in modules. Note that these
 843 cells receive significantly more inhibitory input than excitatory input. E) Inhibitory
 844 (matrix: mean = 596.03, median = 400, SD = 442.75, SEM = 77.07, CI = ± 156.99 ;
 845 modules: mean = 1001.76, median = 939, SD = 862.34, SEM = 150.11, CI = ± 305.77)
 846 and excitatory (matrix: mean = 265.4, median = 245, SD = 132.76, SEM = 34.27, CI =
 847 ± 73.52 ; modules: mean = 231.69, median = 166, SD = 189.74, SEM = 48.99, CI =
 848 ± 105.07) synaptic input to GAD- cells in modules parcellated by region of origin. GAD-
 849 cells in modules receive equal input from the matrix and module regions of the LCIC for
 850 both inhibitory and excitatory inputs. F) Example of inhibitory inputs to a GAD+ cell in a
 851 module in the LCIC. G) Example of inhibitory inputs to a GAD+ cell in a module in
 852 layer 2 of the LCIC. H) Example of inhibitory inputs to another GAD+ m cell in a
 853 module in layer 2 of the LCIC. I) The total inhibitory (mean: 1398.94, median: 833, SD:
 854 1540.33, SEM: 264.16, CI: ± 537.44 , n = 34 cells) and excitatory (mean: 464.13, median:

315, SD: 423.31, SEM: 109.3, CI: ± 234.42 , n = 15 cells) synaptic input to GAD+ cells in modules. Note that these cells receive more inhibitory than excitatory input. J) Inhibitory (matrix: mean = 1144.78, median = 624, SD = 1270.96, SEM = 217.97, CI = ± 443.46 ; modules: mean = 231.31, median = 113.9, SD = 297.02, SEM = 50.94, CI = ± 103.64) and excitatory (matrix: mean = 281.34, median = 230, SD = 179.2, SEM = 46.27, CI = ± 99.24 ; modules: mean = 165.92, median = 52.9, SD = 261.73, SEM = 67.58, CI = ± 144.94) synaptic input to GAD+ cells in modules parcellated by region of origin. GAD+ cells in modules receive significantly more inhibitory and excitatory input from the matrix compared to the module regions of the LCIC. Scale = 400 μm .

Figure 5: Pharmacological controls with GABAzine and TTX. A) Example map showing the effect of 20 μM GABAzine on inhibitory inputs. Note that inhibitory inputs are abolished (right). B) Effect of GABAzine on the total excitatory (including direct activation) input to the same cell shown in A. C) Effect of GABAzine on the excitatory synaptic input of the same cell shown in A and B. Scale = 400 μm . D) Map of direct activation generated in low calcium ACSF (left) and TTX (right). Note the similarity in the distribution of input sites. E) Maps of excitatory synaptic input for each condition from the same cell shown in A. Scale = 400 μm .

Figure 6: Cell type-specific input patterns yield a largely unidirectional flow of information in the LCIC microcircuitry. A) E:I indices each of the four cell types (GAD- matrix: mean = -0.22, median = -0.38, SD = 0.54, SEM = 0.18, CI = ± 0.42 , n = 9 cells; GAD+ matrix: mean = -0.15, median = -0.44, SD = 0.54, SEM = 0.15, CI = ± 0.33 , n = 13

878 cells; GAD- module: mean = -0.4, median = -0.54, SD = 0.37, SEM = 0.1, CI = ± 0.2 , n
 879 =15 cells; GAD+ module: mean = -0.35, median = -0.6, SD = 0.55, SEM = 0.14, CI =
 880 ± 0.3 , n = 15 cells). Note that the mean and median E:I index is negative for each cell
 881 type, indicating that the balance of charge is skewed toward inhibition. B) Modularity
 882 indices for the inhibitory inputs to each of the four cell types of interest (GAD- matrix:
 883 mean = 0.71, median = 0.72, SD = 0.15, SEM = 0.03, CI = ± 0.06 , n = 26 cells; GAD+
 884 matrix: mean = 0.72, median = 0.74, SD = 0.14, SEM = 0.03, CI = ± 0.05 , n = 30 cells;
 885 GAD- module: mean = 0.12, median = 0.22, SD = 0.39, SEM = 0.07, CI = ± 0.14 , n = 33
 886 cells; GAD+ module: mean = -0.67, median = -0.75, SD = 0.22, SEM = 0.04, CI = \pm
 887 0.08, n = 34 cells). C) Modularity indices for the excitatory inputs to each of the four cell
 888 types of interest (GAD- matrix: mean = 0.77, median = 0.76, SD = 0.13, SEM = 0.04, CI
 889 = ± 0.09 , n = 10 cells; GAD+ matrix: mean = 0.74, median = 0.71, SD = 0.2, SEM = 0.05,
 890 CI = ± 0.12 , n = 13 cells; GAD- module: mean = -0.17, median = -0.2, SD = 0.37, SEM =
 891 0.1, CI = ± 0.21 , n = 15 cells; GAD+ module: mean = -0.46, median = -0.61, SD = 0.29,
 892 SEM = 0.08, CI = ± 0.16 , n = 15 cells). D) Cell-type specific input patterns give rise to a
 893 largely unidirectional flow of information from matrix to modular regions of the LCIC.
 894 E) Neuronal morphology for a GAD- (white arrow left) and GAD+ (green arrow left)
 895 matrix cell. Note the axons terminating in nearby modules (red arrow right). F) Neuronal
 896 morphology for a GAD- (white arrow left) and GAD+ (green arrow left) cell located in a
 897 module. Note the differences in dendritic arborization, with the GAD+ cell's dendrites
 898 extending beyond the borders of the modules (red arrow right) and GAD- cell's dendrites
 899 confined to the modules (white arrow right). Scale = 250 μm .

900

901

902 Figure 7: Dual recordings. A) Example of a pair of simultaneously recorded cells. B) A
 903 pair of cells that is matched in terms of location and type. Note the prominent pattern of
 904 clustered positive values in the map of cross-correlations. C) A pair of cells that differs in
 905 both location and type. Note the absence of a pattern in the cross-correlation map. D)
 906 Left: Average cross-correlation values for each category of pairs (Both same: mean = 0.2,
 907 median = 0.2, SD = 0.13, SEM = 0.04, CI = ± 0.09 , n = 10 pairs; Location different: mean
 908 = 0.16, median = 0.12, SD = 0.19, SEM = 0.06; CI = ± 0.13 , n = 10 pairs; Type different:
 909 mean = 0.12, median = 0.09, SD = 0.1, SEM = 0.03, CI = ± 0.06 , n = 12 pairs; Both
 910 different: mean = 0.004, median = 0.05, SD = 0.1, SEM = 0.04; CI = ± 0.09 , n = 7 pairs).
 911 Right: Distance vs. cross-correlation value between simultaneously recorded cells.
 912 E) Left: Correlation-coefficient values for each category of pairs (Both same: mean =
 913 0.47, median = 0.52, SD = 0.29, SEM = 0.09, CI = ± 0.21 , n = 10 pairs; Location
 914 different: mean = 0.2, median = 0.16, SD = 0.22, SEM = 0.07; CI = ± 0.16 , n = 10 pairs;
 915 Type different: mean = 0.31, median = 0.17, SD = 0.31, SEM = 0.09, CI = ± 0.19 , n = 12
 916 pairs; Both different: mean = 0.05, median = 0.01, SD = 0.15, SEM = 0.06, CI = ± 0.13 , n
 917 = 7 pairs). Scale = 400 μ m. Right: Distance vs. correlation coefficient value between
 918 simultaneously recorded cells.

919

920 Figure 8: Cells that project to the ipsilateral IC and contraIC are found in matrix regions
 921 of the LCIC. A) FG injection sites. B) FG labeling in the LCIC after an ipsilateral IC
 922 injection in a GAD67-GFP mouse. White arrows indicate examples of double-labeled
 923 GAD+ and FG+ cells. C) Rostro-caudal distribution of cells in the LCIC that project to

924 other regions of the ipsilateral IC. D) FG labeling in the LCIC after an injection in the
 925 contraIC of a GAD67-GFP mouse. E) Rostro-caudal distribution of cells in the LCIC that
 926 project to the contraIC. Scale (A-B) = 1000 μ m, inset = 250 μ m. Scale (C-D) = 500 μ m,
 927 inset = 250 μ m.

928

929 Figure 9: Distribution of cells that project to the SC and mMGB. A) FG labeling in the
 930 LCIC after an SC injection in a GAD67-GFP mouse. B) Rostro-caudal distribution of
 931 cells in the LCIC that project to the SC. C) FG labeling in the LCIC after an mMGB
 932 injection in a GAD67-GFP mouse. D) Rostro-caudal distribution of cells in the LCIC that
 933 project to the mMGB. E) Percentage of GABAergic and non-GABAergic cells from each
 934 LCIC subregion projecting to various targets. Scale = 500 μ m, inset = 250 μ m.

935

936 Figure 10: Summary diagram of modularity in the LCIC neurochemistry, extrinsic inputs
 937 and outputs, and local circuitry. Left: somatosensory inputs (purple arrows) arising from
 938 the DCoN and SScx target modular regions of the LCIC, while auditory inputs (teal
 939 arrows) from the ipsilateral IC and contraIC and the AC target the matrix. In addition to
 940 GAD67, the modules are enriched in PV, AChE, CO, and NADPH-d, while the matrix
 941 region contains calretinin neurons. Right: findings from the current study. Local input
 942 patterns are highly cell-type specific, with an overall flow of information from the matrix
 943 to modular regions (small green and black arrows). The two compartments of the LCIC
 944 project to distinct targets: the modules send a purely excitatory projection to the mMGB
 945 (large black arrow). Excitatory cells in the matrix send information to the SC, ipsilateral
 946 IC, and contraIC (large black arrows), while inhibitory matrix cells project to the

947 ipsilateral IC (large green arrow). GAD67: glutamic acid decarboxylase-67; PV:
948 parvalbumin; AChE: acetylcholinesterase, CO: cytochrome oxidase; NADPH-d:
949 NADPH-diaphorase; CR: calretinin; AC: auditory cortex; SSex: somatosensory cortex;
950 DCoN: dorsal column nuclei; SC: superior colliculus; mMGB: medial division of the
951 medial geniculate body; CNIC: central nucleus of the inferior colliculus.



















