

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42

**Ready to time: response to Fifel**

Eric B. Emmons<sup>1</sup>, Benjamin J. De Corte<sup>1</sup>, Youngcho Kim<sup>1</sup>, Krystal L. Parker<sup>2</sup>, Matthew S. Matell<sup>3</sup>,  
Nandakumar S. Narayanan<sup>1</sup>

1—Department of Neurology, and 2—Department of Psychiatry University of Iowa;  
3—Department of Psychology, Villanova University

**CONTACT**

Nandakumar Narayanan  
319-353-5698  
[Nandakumar-narayanan@uiowa.edu](mailto:Nandakumar-narayanan@uiowa.edu)  
169 Newton Road  
Pappajohn Biomedical Discovery Building--1336  
University of Iowa, Iowa City, 52242

43 **Text:**

44           We greatly appreciate Fifel’s thoughtful review of our work. The link to Libet’s  
45 ‘readiness potential’ is a fascinating connection that we had not fully appreciated. The readiness  
46 potential could represent temporal processing and self-monitoring. However, correlates of  
47 temporal control are seen in many brain regions (Coull et al., 2011; Merchant and de Lafuente,  
48 2014), and there may be higher-fidelity correlates of timing than ramping activity (Van Rijn et  
49 al., 2011; Kononowicz and van Rijn, 2014; Narayanan, 2016). Although dopamine is necessary  
50 for the temporal control of action, its precise role remains of great interest (Meck, 2006; Soares  
51 et al., 2016). Our own work suggests that dopamine via frontal D1-type receptors is critical for  
52 ~4-Hz oscillations that are both related to cognitive control and engage ramping neurons in the  
53 medial frontal cortex (Cavanagh and Frank, 2014; Parker et al., 2014a, 2015; Kim et al., 2017).  
54 Whether these dopamine-dependent 4-Hz signals or ‘ramping’ patterns of nigrostriatal dopamine  
55 critically facilitate temporal processing are not purely theoretical questions (Howe et al., 2013;  
56 Howard et al., 2017). Indeed, this information may have great significance for patients with  
57 disrupted decision-making processes in dopamine-dependent disorders such as Parkinson’s  
58 disease and schizophrenia (Ward et al., 2011; Parker et al., 2013). Furthermore, these dynamics  
59 may also have significance in designing targeted therapies (Parker et al., 2014b, 2017; Kelley et  
60 al., 2017; Kim et al., 2017). However, as Fifel observes, these findings may have a much larger  
61 significance for elucidating the ontogeny of the volitional control of movement. The exact role of  
62 neuronal determinism and free will are, as Fifel rightly suggests, ‘underdetermined.’ Identifying  
63 these neuronal correlates may help better understand how to promote human agentic skills  
64 (Meyers, 1989).

65

66 Cavanagh, J.F., and Frank, M.J. (2014). Frontal theta as a mechanism for cognitive control.  
67 Trends Cogn. Sci. 18, 414–421.

68 Coull, J.T., Cheng, R.-K., and Meck, W.H. (2011). Neuroanatomical and neurochemical  
69 substrates of timing. Neuropsychopharmacol. Off. Publ. Am. Coll.  
70 Neuropsychopharmacol. 36, 3–25.

71 Howard, C.D., Li, H., Geddes, C.E., and Jin, X. (2017). Dynamic Nigrostriatal Dopamine Biases  
72 Action Selection. Neuron 93, 1436–1450.e8.

73 Howe, M.W., Tierney, P.L., Sandberg, S.G., Phillips, P.E.M., and Graybiel, A.M. (2013).  
74 Prolonged dopamine signalling in striatum signals proximity and value of distant  
75 rewards. Nature 500, 575–579.

76 Kelley, R., Flouty, O., Emmons, E.B., Kim, Y., Kingyon, J., Wessel, J.R., Oya, H., Greenlee,  
77 J.D., and Narayanan, N.S. (2017). A human prefrontal-subthalamic circuit for cognitive  
78 control. Brain J. Neurol.

79 Kim, Y.-C., Han, S.-W., Alberico, S.L., Ruggiero, R.N., De Corte, B., Chen, K.-H., and  
80 Narayanan, N.S. (2017). Optogenetic Stimulation of Frontal D1 Neurons Compensates  
81 for Impaired Temporal Control of Action in Dopamine-Depleted Mice. Curr. Biol. CB  
82 27, 39–47.

83 Kononowicz, T.W., and van Rijn, H. (2014). Decoupling interval timing and climbing neural  
84 activity: a dissociation between CNV and N1P2 amplitudes. J. Neurosci. Off. J. Soc.  
85 Neurosci. 34, 2931–2939.

86 Meck, W.H. (2006). Neuroanatomical localization of an internal clock: A functional link  
87 between mesolimbic, nigrostriatal, and mesocortical dopaminergic systems. Brain Res.  
88 1109, 93–107.

89 Merchant, H., and de Lafuente, V. (2014). Introduction to the neurobiology of interval timing.  
90 Adv. Exp. Med. Biol. 829, 1–13.

91 Meyers, D.T. (1989). Self, Society, and Personal Choice (New York, NY: Columbia Press).

92 Narayanan, N.S. (2016). Ramping activity is a cortical mechanism of temporal control of action.  
93 Curr. Opin. Behav. Sci. 8, 226–230.

94 Parker, K.L., Lamichhane, D., Caetano, M.S., and Narayanan, N.S. (2013). Executive  
95 dysfunction in Parkinson’s disease and timing deficits. Front. Integr. Neurosci. 7, 75.

96 Parker, K.L., Chen, K.-H., Kingyon, J.R., Cavanagh, J.F., and Narayanan, N.S. (2014a). D1-  
97 Dependent 4 Hz Oscillations and Ramping Activity in Rodent Medial Frontal Cortex  
98 during Interval Timing. J. Neurosci. 34, 16774–16783.

99 Parker, K.L., Narayanan, N.S., and Andreasen, N.C. (2014b). The therapeutic potential of the  
100 cerebellum in schizophrenia. Front. Syst. Neurosci. 8, 163.

101 Parker, K.L., Ruggiero, R.N., and Narayanan, N.S. (2015). Infusion of D1 Dopamine Receptor  
102 Agonist into Medial Frontal Cortex Disrupts Neural Correlates of Interval Timing. Front.  
103 Behav. Neurosci. 9, 294.

104 Parker, K.L., Kim, Y.C., Kelley, R.M., Nessler, A.J., Chen, K.-H., Muller-Ewald, V.A.,  
105 Andreasen, N.C., and Narayanan, N.S. (2017). Delta-frequency stimulation of cerebellar  
106 projections can compensate for schizophrenia-related medial frontal dysfunction. Mol.  
107 Psychiatry.

108 Soares, S., Atallah, B.V., and Paton, J.J. (2016). Midbrain dopamine neurons control judgment of  
109 time. Science 354, 1273–1277.

- 110 Van Rijn, H., Kononowicz, T.W., Meck, W.H., Ng, K.K., and Penney, T.B. (2011). Contingent  
111 negative variation and its relation to time estimation: a theoretical evaluation. *Front.*  
112 *Integr. Neurosci.* 5, 91.
- 113 Ward, R.D., Kellendonk, C., Kandel, E.R., and Balsam, P.D. (2011). Timing as a window on  
114 cognition in schizophrenia. *Neuropharmacology*.